

Patent  
12/18

E. P. H. Dept.

①

G. O. No. 1621 Edm.

①

①

dated 23-6-48

O. R. S.  
p7- copy for  
A. M.

G.O. 1563 Edn  
15-6-48

Madras, 16th June 1948.

From:

N.V. Rajan, c/o  
T. Mohan,  
COPS Office, (Movement Branch)  
M. & S.M. Rly. Madras. 3.



To  
The Secretary,  
Education Department,  
Govt. of Madras. MADRAS.

Dear Sir,

Re: Your letter No. 43550-A-1/48 A. Edn. of 15-6-48

My request in my letter dated 14-6-48 for exemption from age rule for admission to Engineering College is only on the ground of my **WAR SERVICE**. In fact there are definite instructions from the Government to the College authorities that exemptions from age rule for admission in the Engineering College must be given corresponding to the number of years spent in the **DEFENCE SERVICE**. This information was confirmed by the Principal of the Engineering College, Guindy when I had an interview with him on 14th inst. i.e. after handing over my letter of 14-6-48 in your office which I did without knowing the above mentioned facts.

In view of this I presume that your letter cited above is not clear. I therefore once again request you to issue me a letter cancelling the original letter.

May this matter be <sup>please</sup> treated as most urgent as the time for the ~~next~~ selection of the candidates is fast approaching.

Thanking you,

Yours faithfully,

*N.V. Rajan*  
(N.V. RAJAN)

*[Faint, mostly illegible text from a document on the left page, possibly a letter or report.]*

(M) 3 / E.P.H. (A)  
dated 17-6-48.

T.M. No.  
To the D.P.J.

Sub: Colleges-Engg., - Admission - Relaxation of age limit in the case of ex service men

(At once by special messenger)  
Please send a copy of

your L. Dis No. 1212 W, / 47 d. 7-6-48 and also a copy of the orders of Govt, if any on which the Director's proceedings referred to are based.

17-6-48 (100)  
17/6/48

Issued  
RBM  
17/6/48

G.F. 32.

In reply, please quote  
number, date and  
short title.\*

Education

DEPARTMENT.

Received \_\_\_\_\_ Registered \_\_\_\_\_

From (Name) Sri D.S.Reddi, M.A., (Oxon).,

(Designation) Director of Public Instruction,

Post Box \_\_\_\_\_, (Station) Madras.

Telegraphic Address \_\_\_\_\_

To

The Secretary to Government,  
Education & P.H.Department, Madras.

Roc.No. 1122 M/48, dated 18-6-1948. 19 .

Sir,

\* Colleges - Engineering Colleges - Admission - relaxation  
of age limit in the case of ex-service men.

ENCLOSURES \_\_\_\_\_

SPARE COPIES \_\_\_\_\_

Ref: Your Telephonic message dated 17-6-1948.

A copy of my Proceedings L.Dis.1212 M/47 dated  
7-6-1947 is herewith submitted. The Proceedings is based on  
the G.O. relating to the Further Education Scheme, No.1167 Edn., dated  
12-6-46. No mention has been made in the G.O. regarding  
age-limits.

*K. Sankaranarayanan*  
for Director of Public Instruction.

k.h.18-6.

Copy of the proceedings referred to above.

Read: Letter Rc.No.5/68 B/47 dated 30-5-1947 from the  
Principal, College of Engineering, Guindy.

The Principal is informed that no age limit is prescribed  
in respect of Ex-service personnel who seek admission into  
Professional Colleges under the Further Education Scheme.

(True Copy)

*K. Sankaranarayanan*  
for Director of Public Instruction.

3/8/6.

Colleges - Engg. - Admission of war service candidates - Age-limit - Relaxation - Granted.  
 Read:- From Sri N.V.Rajan, dated 16.6.48  
 From the D.P.I.; NO. 1122 - w/48 d/16.6.48

G.O.(MF.) No. 1621 Edn., dated 23.6.48.

to war-service candidates  
 The Govt. direct that, in applying the age-limits laid down for the admission of students to the Govt. Engg. Colleges, the period of war service rendered by such candidates shall be excluded in computing their age for admission to the colleges.

2. The appln. of Sri N V.Rajan (c/o.Sri T. Mohan, C.O.P.S.Office: Movement Branch, M.S.M.Ry., Madras 3) for admission to the College of Engg., Guindy, shd. be dealt with in accordance with the orders in para 1 above. Memo.No.43550-A.1/48-A-Edn., dated 15-6-48, is cancelled.

To the D.P.I.  
 To Mr.N.V.Rajan  
 (address as in body of draft).

Copy to the Principal,  
 Eng college,  
 Guindy

3 Sec

120

7

Please see the notes and orders in G.O.No.1563 Edn. dated 15-6-48 and the papers on pp 1-5 ante. The D.P.I's proceedings at S on page 5 ante is not passed on any Govt. order. On the other hand what G.O.No.1167 Edn. dated 12-6-46 says is as follows:-

" 10 per cent of the seats should be reserved for each personnel (ex-service personnel) admission being made subject to rules of academic qualifications and merit".

No age limits have been laid down for admission to Arts Colleges. In regard to <sup>the Engg.</sup> ~~Professional~~ Colleges there are age-limits. The question whether any relaxation should be made in the case of Defence Service Personnel in respect of the age-limit for admission to <sup>the Engg.</sup> ~~professional~~ colleges was not considered in connection with the scheme for further education and training of such personnel. The very object of

the scheme will be defeated if no relaxation is made in regard to age in the case of these persons for admission to ~~Professional~~ <sup>the Engg.</sup> Colleges. On the analogy of the provisions in the service rules the periods spent in war service may be excluded for purposes of age for admission <sup>into the Engg.</sup> ~~into the Professional~~ Colleges.

In the present case the candidate was in war service for four years - 1942-46. He is over-aged only by one year for admission to the college. For orders whether in modification of the orders already issued the Principal may be told that the candidate's application may be admitted.

A

C

B

A

that is he will be well "within age" of his formula at 'A' is applied by

only 18/6/48 S

of the Land Warfare  
but will put in the application as A to have  
his case with have been dealt with  
under 'S' as per S of - i.e. his application  
would have been entertained by the Selection Committee  
he put in his application here under a  
misapprehension of the case was dealt  
with, a 9 referred to say, without verification

B' case, which is just as what  
 the candidate wanted a Vulin(-) -  
 (which is known that in DPg has given  
 a Vulin(-) as a S' or P. S. -)  
 We may have  
 give a general Vulin(-) as a A' at P. S. -  
 and, and cancel the order at (A) and at direct.  
 the case is to be dealt with under the general  
 which has been given.

18/6/48  
 H.M. (Edn.)

22/6/48

I appreciate the difficulty. I think they may be given a relaxation up to a period of two years not exceeding the period that they served in the war. I wish these matters were more clearly brought out before issuing the previous order.

A draft order is  
 subd.  
 21/6/48

18A  
 21-6-48



I submit that one result of the formula proposed by H.M. (Edn) would be to penalise persons who have rendered longer war service. The following example will illustrate this. A candidate joins the army when he is 21 and puts in 2 years war service; he will be eligible according to this formula. But Another candidate who joins the army at the same age, namely, 21, and puts in 4 years war service will not be eligible according to this formula. Such a result is obviously not justifiable.

Another result will be that persons who had already exceeded the ordinary age limit at the time they entered the army might become eligible under the formula - while there is hardly any reason for giving such persons the concession. For example a candidate who enters the army at the age of 23 when he

(1)

has already exceeded the age limit for admission to the Engineering College, and who puts in 6 months war service, would become eligible under the formula proposed by H.M. (Edn).

I submit therefore that the fairest and simplest method is that indicated at 'A' at page 7 ante which has already been adopted for purposes of service rules. That would exclude altogether persons who had exceeded the age limit even at the time of their entry into the armed forces - which is as it should be; and on the other hand, while keeping the <sup>existing</sup> age limit as such, it will allow the entire period of war service to be excluded when calculating whether a person falls within the age limit at all or not.

22/6/48



18A  
 22-6-48  
 H.M. (Edn.)

A draft order is subd.  
 22/6/48

