

Edn & P. H. (1948) Dept. A

Ms. Series.

G.O. No.

1172 Edn.

Closed.

Dated.

11-5-48.

~~188~~
Supdt.

~~4~~

(7)

Despatch Abstract.

Grant - Equipment - Thyagaraya Chetty Hindu
High School, Washermanpet - Deviation from the
approved scheme - Excess payment of grant -
Audit objection - Orders passed.

C.F. 32.

EDUCATION Department
From (Name) Sri D.S. Reddi M.A.,
(Oxon).,
Designation ASST. SECRETARY OF PUBLIC
INSTRUCTION,
Station MADRAS.

Received _____ Registered _____

To

The SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
Education & Public Health Department
Madras.

Enclosures: RC.No. 74-F/48 dated 3rd April 1948.

Sir,

SUB: GRANT - Equipment - Theagaraya Chetty Hindu
High School, Washermanpet, Madras - Waiver
of audit objection - Requested.

REF: Accountant-General's letter No.O.A.3348/47-48
dated 9-10-1947.

An equipment grant scheme in respect of
the Theagaraya Chetty Hindu High School, Washermanpet for
a grant-in-aid towards the purchase of books and furniture
at an estimated cost of Rs.3456/- was admitted for inclu-
sion in the approved list for the year 1946-47. While sub-
mitting the vouchers and invoices the Correspondent of the
School had stated that the management were forced to devi-
ate from the original approved scheme in view of the follow-
ing reasons:-

- i) Non-availability of furniture,
- ii) Advantage of the presence of skilled
Carpenters who were then available
and who undertook to supply immediately
the furniture needed,
- iii) Supply of timber to the value of Rs.1000/-
by one of the leading and reliable mer-
chants, and

= 2 =

(iv) that seating accommodation had to be provided for the increased admission of pupils in June 1946.

For the reasons stated by the management as aforesaid and in view of the urgency of the matter the Director had authorised and the payment overlooking the deviations from the original approved scheme. The grant was, however, restricted to one half of the estimated cost of the scheme i.e., Rs.1728/= as against an expenditure of Rs.4908=12=3 actually incurred by the management.

In his report on the Test audit of sanctions accorded to the Grant-in-Aid Code, the Accountant-General has however pointed out that only half the cost of articles originally approved viz., Rs.610/- should have been paid as grant to the school in question and that the excess amount of Rs.1110/- should be recovered from the management (Vide copy of extract from Accountant-General's letter referred to above).

I submit that provision for seating accommodation for the additional number of pupils admitted in June 1946 was an urgent necessity and that the management was as pointed out in para (1) above, necessitated to deviate from the original approved scheme. I also enclose herewith a copy of the Correspondent's letter dated 13/3/48 and in the circumstances stated therein I request that the Government may be pleased to ratify the action of the Correspondent, as a special case, and thereby waive the audit objection.

1110
610
1720

for DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

Ram.5/4/48.

Extract:

**

**

**

II. THEAGORAYA CHETTY HINDU HIGH SCHOOL: WASHERMANPET:Dis.994/47.

An equipment grant was applied for by the management in April 1945 based on an estimated cost of Rs.5624/- towards the purchase of furniture, library books, and science apparatus.

Out of this sum of Rs.5,624/- the estimated cost of acquisition of science apparatus amounting to Rs.2168/- was eliminated by the Director on the ground that the estimate was not based on competitive quotations and the institution was admitted to a half grant on the balance of Rs.3,456/-

A scrutiny of the vouchers reveals that a sum of Rs. 4.098-12-3 was spent by the management as follows:-

1. Value of timber purchased. 1,121-15-3.
2. Labour charges for making 17 pies of R.W. benches and stools. 341-13-0.
- 3.(a) Purchase of the following articles not provided for in the estimate accompanying the application for grant.

8 small benches.	Rs.48	0	0
23 long benches.	322	0	0
10 black-boards with stands.	300	0	0
1 black board.	10	0	0
12 chairs.	240	0	0
2 Science tables.	175	0	0
4 stools.	30	0	0
24 benches (backless.	1100	0	0

Rs.2225 0 0

(b) Articles provided for in the estimate.

8 chairs.	160	0	0
1 table.	40	0	0
4 science tables.	350	0	0
16 stools.	120	0	0
10 dual desks.	550	0	0

Rs1220 0 0

Total Rs.4908-12-3.

Items 1,2 and 3(a) not provided for in the estimates should not have been admitted. Only half the expenditure on item3(b) viz., Rs.610 seems admissible. It is also seen that the timber was purchased by the institution without inviting quotations and utilised for the manufacture of articles of furniture not provided for in the estimate. Further, there is also no information as to whether the entire quantity of timber purchased was used up. In any case, grant is payable only in respect of the articles of furniture specified in the estimate and to the extent provided for therein. In the circumstances, the excess of Rs.1118/ (Rs.1728 minus 610) seems recoverable from the management.

**

**

**

/True copy

for Director of Public Instruction

COPY of letter dated 13-3-1948 from the Correspondent, Theagaraya Chetty Hindu High School, Madras to the Director of Public Instruction, Madras-

Sub: Grant - Equipment. Theagaraya Chetty High School, Washermanpet, Madras - Recovery of excess grant.

REF: Proceedings of the Director of Public Instruction, Madras R.C.No.74-F/48 D/24-2-48.

With reference to the above letter, I have to reply as follows:-

At an estimated cost of Rs. 5,624/- an Equipment Grant was applied and sanctioned in April 1945. The Management did their best to procure all the materials for immediate use of the institution. Before replying in detail I have to explain the urgent necessity why such purchases have been made. The strength in 1945 was only 800 and odd and it rose to nearly 1200 in 1946. When we were faced with a problem of such nature as to accommodate all these 1200 pupils, we had no time either to call for competitive quotations but to purchase all the available materials to suit our requirements. The reason for doing so is the urgent necessity of doing something to provide for these people. At a time when teak wood and other materials were of scarcity I am sure, the Director will appreciate our efforts in making immediate arrangements for the running of the Institution. It is true that the Management has not restricted itself to the details on which the Equipment grant was sanctioned. As against an estimate cost of Rs. 5,624/- we have spent only Rs. 4,908-12-3. Even against this amount the Director was pleased to reduce the estimated cost of the Science Apparatus amounting to Rs. 2,168/- on a small technical ground that the estimate was not based on competitive quotations. When it is realised that we managed to get the materials at a time when it was practically impossible to get them from any firm, I think the Director must appreciate instead of disallowing this amount, I, therefore, request that the grant on that amount may kindly be disbursed.

With regard to the observation made by the Audit that quotations have not been invited for timber and other things, I have to say that owing to the scarcity of the materials elsewhere we have to purchase them in shops where they are available. From the rate that has been got which is nearly Rs. 9-8-0 per C.ft. it can easily be understood that it was a very competitive rate though the formality of inviting quotations has not been observed.

With regard to the list of articles which have not been provided in the estimate, I request the Director to approve the list and pass on the grant. I do not think if the list is approved, there will be any audit objection. In any case it seems to be that even if this little grant that has been granted has to be cut out on some technical ground, it will be really putting the Management to unnecessary hardships at a time when the policy of the Government should be to liberalise the grants. I, therefore, request that the amount may be passed and necessary orders of the Government may be obtained to ratify our action.

Sd. x x x x
Correspondent.

Grant - Equipment - Theagaraya Chetty Hindu High School - Washermanpet - Deviation from the approved scheme - Excess payment of grant - Audit objection - Orders framed

From the DP 9 R.C.No 74-F/48 23.4.48

Order no 1172 Edu 11-5-48

In the circumstances explained, the Govt ratify the action of the management of the Theagaraya Chetty High School Washermanpet in having deviated from the approved scheme and made purchased certain articles of furniture not included in the approved scheme. They also approve the action of the DP 9 in having authorised the payment of the maximum grant admissible according to the approved school overlooking the deviations. The recovery of the excess grant of

2 The DPO is informed that ~~in such cases~~, in future, he should obtain the sanction of govt for ~~for~~ disbursement of the grants claimed in respect of articles of equipment not included in the approved ~~above~~ list.

$$\begin{array}{r} 1234 \\ 8 \overline{) 4148} \end{array}$$

$\frac{h}{10-6.48} = 5$ hf
McLennan

Note May be sent to Finance no. for acceptance

$$\begin{array}{r} 1254 \\ 8 \overline{) 4148} \end{array}$$

$$\frac{h}{10.4.48} \quad S \quad \frac{h}{\text{revenue}}$$

E & P. H. U. O. No.

25023 B, / 48-1

24/4/48

(New Page Please)

To
 15-5-55
 323707A
 26 APR 1948
 FRANCE DEPARTMENT
 ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
 A-G through

File # 32320 Exp A 148-1
28, 4-48

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
31874
30 APR 1948
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.

~~08-25~~

The order on pp. 1-2 ante may now issue

$$\begin{array}{r} 182 \\ 36 \overline{) 4148} \end{array}$$

3
SECRETARY, EDN, AND P. H. DEPT.
10 MAY 1948
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

3.5.68
 5 1/2
 4.12.68
 9.5.68

In the circumstances stated by the Director of Public Instructions on pp. 1-2 C.P., the draft order on pp. 1-2 above may be accepted. EoB4 may be requested to circulate the case to H.H. (Fin) as payment has been authorized overlooking the deviation from the approved Scheme which is a departure from the usual practice.

$\frac{02}{28648} \quad \frac{AES}{-} \quad \frac{72}{29.4.48}$
 28648

ΣΤΑΝ(10)

Handwritten notes in Tamil script, likely a memorandum or report, covering the left page of the document.

EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

10 SEP 1948

FROM

THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,
MADRAS.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department,
Madras.

68719
S.V.M.

Rc.No.74F/48 dated 14-9-48.

Sir,

Sub- Grant--Equipment--Theagaraya Chetty Hindu High
School, Washermenpet--Deviation from the
approved scheme--Excess payment of grant--Audit
objections--Orders passed.

H 5-6 of (X)

Ref:--G.O.Ms.No.1172 Education dated 11-5-48.

In paragraph 1 of the G. O. referred to above Government
have ordered that the recovery of the grant of Rs.118/- paid
in excess and objected to in audit is waived. But the actual
amount objected to by audit is Rs.1118/- as stated in my letter
and in the Accountant General's letter, extract already submitted
to you. I presume that the amount mentioned in the G.O. referred
to above viz. Rs.118/- is a mistake for Rs.1118/- I therefore
request that necessary corrections may be issued urgently

Yours faithfully,

Konkarnama 28

for Director of Public Instruction

Clb.14/9/48

18/9

ag 882
22/9

7
E & P. H. (B. 1.)

Grant - Equipment - Theagaraya Chetty Hindu High School - Deviation from the approved scheme - Excess payment of grant - Audit objections - copy of orders furnished

11.5.6 of (X)
p1 of -

Read : G.O. Ms. No. 1172 Edn. d/ 11.5.48.
From the D.P.G. Rc. No. 74F/48 d/ 14.9.48.

Endorsement No. 68719 B, /48-1 Edn. d/ 21/9/48

APD
21/9/48
A
21/9/48
To
The D.P.G. with copy of }
The A.G. this Finance }
with copy of G.O. }
20/9/48
20/9/48

The Director is informed that the figure 118 in the copy of G.O. no 1172 dnu 11.5.48 communicated to him mentioned in para 1 of the G.O. referred to above is ~~presumably~~ a typographical error for 1118. A fresh copy of the G.O. is furnished here with enclosed. Necessary correction may be made in the copy of the G.O. received in his office.
21-9-48
[Signature]

Note

~~This may be sent to Fin for perusal of
the draft over leaf~~

8/9/08

11. ~~Below 1111~~
12. ~~Below 1112~~
13. ~~Below 1113~~
14. ~~Below 1114~~
15. ~~Below 1115~~
16. ~~Below 1116~~
17. ~~Below 1117~~
18. ~~Below 1118~~
19. ~~Below 1119~~
20. ~~Below 1120~~
21. ~~Below 1121~~
22. ~~Below 1122~~
23. ~~Below 1123~~
24. ~~Below 1124~~
25. ~~Below 1125~~
26. ~~Below 1126~~
27. ~~Below 1127~~
28. ~~Below 1128~~
29. ~~Below 1129~~
30. ~~Below 1130~~
31. ~~Below 1131~~
32. ~~Below 1132~~
33. ~~Below 1133~~
34. ~~Below 1134~~
35. ~~Below 1135~~
36. ~~Below 1136~~
37. ~~Below 1137~~
38. ~~Below 1138~~
39. ~~Below 1139~~
40. ~~Below 1140~~
41. ~~Below 1141~~
42. ~~Below 1142~~
43. ~~Below 1143~~
44. ~~Below 1144~~
45. ~~Below 1145~~
46. ~~Below 1146~~
47. ~~Below 1147~~
48. ~~Below 1148~~
49. ~~Below 1149~~
50. ~~Below 1150~~
51. ~~Below 1151~~
52. ~~Below 1152~~
53. ~~Below 1153~~
54. ~~Below 1154~~
55. ~~Below 1155~~
56. ~~Below 1156~~
57. ~~Below 1157~~
58. ~~Below 1158~~
59. ~~Below 1159~~
60. ~~Below 1160~~
61. ~~Below 1161~~
62. ~~Below 1162~~
63. ~~Below 1163~~
64. ~~Below 1164~~
65. ~~Below 1165~~
66. ~~Below 1166~~
67. ~~Below 1167~~
68. ~~Below 1168~~
69. ~~Below 1169~~
70. ~~Below 1170~~
71. ~~Below 1171~~
72. ~~Below 1172~~
73. ~~Below 1173~~
74. ~~Below 1174~~
75. ~~Below 1175~~
76. ~~Below 1176~~
77. ~~Below 1177~~
78. ~~Below 1178~~
79. ~~Below 1179~~
80. ~~Below 1180~~
81. ~~Below 1181~~
82. ~~Below 1182~~
83. ~~Below 1183~~
84. ~~Below 1184~~
85. ~~Below 1185~~
86. ~~Below 1186~~
87. ~~Below 1187~~
88. ~~Below 1188~~
89. ~~Below 1189~~
90. ~~Below 1190~~
91. ~~Below 1191~~
92. ~~Below 1192~~
93. ~~Below 1193~~
94. ~~Below 1194~~
95. ~~Below 1195~~
96. ~~Below 1196~~
97. ~~Below 1197~~
98. ~~Below 1198~~
99. ~~Below 1199~~
100. ~~Below 1200~~