

E. &

(1947) Department.

SERIES.

G.O. NO. Ms.946 Edn.

946

Dated 8th May 1947.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Madras Educational Service - Lecturers, Professors and District Educational Officers - Treated as having belonged to one cadre before 1929 for calculation of pension.

Back Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	1152	O.(S)					
	5	11.29					

Further Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	2588						
	27.11.57						

Rc.8342J4/46.

Office of the Director of Public Instruction,
MADRAS, dated 17.2.47.

From

Dr. S.R.U. Savor, M.A., D.Sc., I.E.S.,
Director of Public Instruction,
MADRAS.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department,
MADRAS.



Sir,

Subject: Verification of Officiating Service prior to
confirmation - clarification of rules - Requested.

15108

In connection with the verification of officiating service rendered by Dr. M.K. Wade, Principal, Government College, Kumbakonam prior to his confirmation, the Accountant-General, Madras was asked to state whether certain periods of Officiating service of Dr. Wade can be treated as ~~counting~~ counting for pension with reference to para 8A of Art 371 C.S.R. (Madras Supplement). In his reply No. P.V. Mis. 1872 dated 22.10.45 (copy enclosed) the Accountant-General ordered that Dr. Wade who was recruited for service in the Cadre of Lecturers cannot count service for pension in the cadre of District Educational Officers in which the vacancy arose.

In my letter Rc.8342 J4/46 dated 12-11-46 (copy enclosed), the fact that during the period 29.3.26 to 29.10.30, when Dr. Wade was in officiating service, the Madras Educational service was not divided into Administrative and Collegiate sections (Vide G.O. No. 1152 Public Service Department dated 5-11-29) was pointed out to the Accountant-General and he was asked to re-consider the orders passed already.

The Accountant-General replied again in his Pen. Mis.2701 dated 24-12-46/3-1-47 (copy enclosed) saying that the benefit of a vacancy in the class of District Educational Officers cannot be allowed to a person recruited to officiate in the class of lecturers, as lecturers professors and District Educational Officers formed different cadres although they belonged to the same service Viz. the Madras Educational Service.

It is clear from G.O. No.1152 Pub. Service Department dated 5-11-29 (Vide para under "Constitution") that the Administrative and Collegiate branches of the M.E.S. came into existence only after the issue of the G.O. Before the issue of the G.O. the service was not divided with the Administrative and Collegiate branches. The Accountant-General's contention that different cadres existed in the M.E.S. before the issue of the G.O. does not ~~though~~ appear to be reasonable and the application of this principle will affect the cases of some officers of this department. Hence I request that Lecturers, Professors and District Educational Officers may be treated as having belonged to a single cadre, for purposes of counting service for pension, before the issue of G.O. No.1152 Public Service Department 5.11.29. This I consider will not affect the spirit of para 8 A of Article 371 C.S.R. (Madras Supplement).

For DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

P.R.22/2.

22/2

(M)
COPY OF No. P.V. ~~1872~~ 1872 dated 22-10-1945 from the Accountant-General
Madras.

The chain of arrangements made in the case of Dr. K. Wade is not similar to the one made in the case of Sri P. Bhadriah. Dr. Wade was not appointed to the cadre of District Educational Officers in which a vacancy arose on account of the deputation of Sri A.S. Radhakrishnan on foreign service. He was appointed to officiate as a lecturer vice another lecturer on other duty as Junior Professor. The latter continued to count service as lecturer only during the period. Sri P. Bhadriah on the other hand is seen to have been appointed to officiate as lecturer in a vacancy in the same cadre due to the deputation of another lecturer on foreign service. Hence the difference in the ruling given.

(TRUE COPY).

P.R. 22/2.

sd
22/2

For DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION

COPY OF: My letter Rc.8342 J4/46 dated 12.11.46. ⁽¹⁷⁾ addressed to the
Accountant General, Madras.

"In requesting you to reconsider the orders passed in your reference quoted above, I have honour to state that during the period when Dr. Wade was in officiating service (29-3-1926 to 29-10-30) the Madras Educational Service was not divided into Administrative and Collegiate sections - Vide G.O. No.1152 Public (Service) Department dated 5.11.1929. Hence with reference to para 8-A of Art 371 C.S.R. (Madras Supplement) kindly let me know whether the entire period of officiating service rendered by Dr. Wade may be reckoned as counting for pension. An extract from Dr. Wade's letter dated 16-10-46 is herewith enclosed.

/TRUE COPY/

For DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

COPY OF Pen Mis.2701 dated 24th December 1946 (16) from the Accountant
3 - 1 - 47

General, Madras.

X
(not recd.)
C
It is seen that Dr. Wade was recruited for service in an officiating capacity as a lecturer in the Madras Educational Service on 29.3.26 and was confirmed with effect from 30.10.30. In this connection it may be mentioned that Lecturers, professors and District Educational Officers formed different cadres although they belonged to the same service viz. the Madras Educational Service. A person recruited to the post of a lecturer in the Madras Educational service will not be entitled to hold any higher post in any class or category in that service without being promoted to the next higher class or category. So the benefit of a vacancy in the class of District Educational Officers cannot be allowed to a person recruited to officiate in the class of lecturers. Further the vacancy during 1-7-30 to 29-10-30 created by the deputation of Sir S. Radhakrishnan referred to in your Roc. No.1013 J/45 dated 14.8.45 was in the cadre of Indian Educational Service, and not in the M.E.S. In respect of those periods in which it could be proved that Dr. Wade was the seniormost officer officiating against a substantive vacancy in the cadre of lecturers in the M.E.S. the Doctor is entitled to the benefit of counting service under para 8-A below Article 371 in the Madras Supplement to the Civil Service Regulations."

(TRUE COPY).

For DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

P.R.22/2.

COPY OF: G.O. No.1152 Public (Services) Department dated the 5th November 1929 - Para 1 only). (6) 5/

Madras Educational Service (h)
In exercise of the powers conferred in rules 3 and 4 of the Civil Services (Governors' Provinces) Delegation Rules, 1926, the Governor acting with the Minister hereby makes the following rules to regulate the method of recruitment, conditions of service, pay and allowances and pension of the Madras Educational Service.

For the purpose of these rules.

- (i) 'Local Government' shall mean the Governor acting with the Minister;
- (ii) The Service' shall mean the Madras Educational Service; and
- (iii) Words importing the masculine gender shall include females.

1. CONSTITUTION - The Service shall consist of two branches, Men's Branch and Women's Branch. There shall be two sections, in each branch, Administrative and Collegiate, and the Administrative section in each branch shall consist of a Senior scale and a Junior scale. The officers in each section shall be as shown in Appendix A annexed to these rules.

(TRUE COPY).

Quam
For DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

P.R.22/2. *STF*
22/2

20
11/3

cf 1

10/10/47
h

dp
up
p.c.

T.M. (A) E.J.G.H. (A)

From: The Asst-Secy, E.J.G.H. (A)
To: The Dy. Secy.

Ref: - Director's letter RC.8342-J4/47,
d/-12.2.47.


Though there was no clear division of the M.E.S. into Admn. and Collegiate Sections before the issue of G.O. No. 1152-P(S), d/-5.11.29, it is presumed that there were then, in the Service, separate groups of posts as D.E.O.'s, Professors & Lecturers but that the scales of pay of all these three categories were the same. Please confirm.

7/2/47
7/2/47
8/3/47

POST COPY OF THE TELEPHONE MESSAGE dated 13-3-1947.

From

Dy.
The/Director of Public Instruction, Madras.



To

The Assistant Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department.

Ref: Your Telephone message dated 10-3-1947 re: admissibility of
certain periods of service for pension - Dr. M. Karamchand
Wade.

20 984

Prior to the issue of G.O.No.1152.P.(S), dated 5-11-1929, there were nominal groups of posts as Lecturers, Headmasters, District Educational Officers etc. in the sense that in calculating the strength of the Madras Educational Service posts were classified under each group and the numbers noted against each group. But in the Departmental list all the posts were shown together without any classification but arranged according to seniority. Moreover transfers from one post to another e.g. from that of a Lecturer to that of a District Educational Officer were freely made. All these posts were also on the same scale of pay, viz., Rs.250-800.

kp.14-3.

Deputy Director of Public Instruction.

E. J. G. H. (A)

Read: - from the A.G. to the P.G.,
 No. 83 Rev. His. 2701, df-20.12.47
3.1.47
 from the P.G. 1., No. 8342-5-4/46
df-17.2.47
 No. 946 Edn., df-8.5.47

The Govt. direct that,
for purposes of counting service for
pension W.R.R. para 8-A under Art. 371,
C.S.R. (Madras Supplement), posts of
Professors, Lecturers and ~~senior~~ s in the
N.E.S. be treated as having belonged
to a single cadre, before the
issue of G.O. No. 1152-P.(S.), dt-5.11.29.

To see ~~A.P.~~ (Thur' Fin) ✓ ~~P.P.~~
" 8-8-1. ✓ 264 ✓ ~~1/10/47~~ $\frac{m}{28/4/47}$ $\frac{m}{28/4/47}$

for 1/9/5

[Faint handwritten notes in Tamil script, mostly illegible due to fading and bleed-through.]

C.No. 15018. From the D.P.I., Rc. No. 8342-J/4/46, dated 17-2-47.

PENSION--Verification of offg. service rendered by M.E.S. officers before 1930.

In his letter at p. 1 c.f., the D.P.I. requests that a ruling may be given to the effect that, for purposes of counting service for pension, w.r.t. para 8A under Art. 371 G.S.R. (Madras Supplement), Lecturers, Profs. and D.E.O.'s in the M.E.S. be treated as having belonged to a single cadre before the issue of G.O.No. 1152 Pub. dated 5-11-1929. Before the issue of that G.O. there was no clear division of the service into Administrative section (D.E.O.'s etc.) and Collegiate Section (Profs., etc.) and such division was ^{only} introduced by that order. In the light of the information given at p 7 c.f., ^{it seems that} the request ^{and may be complied with.} seems reasonable. As all the three groups of posts mentioned above carried the same scale of pay and as the question of promotion from one to another did not arise, the A.G.'s contention at X on p 4 c.f. is not correct.

The question is one of opinion. The Govt. may differ from the A.G. in this matter.

Finance may be requested to offer their

remarks.



15/4/47
27.3 *1/4/47*

15/4/47 *2/4/47* *9/4/47*
Finl Pen.


This dept. may agree to the proposal of the D.P.I. mentioned at 'M' above, which has been accepted by E.P.H.

15/4/47

17/4

E-P.H.

A draft order is subd.



26/4/47 *28/4/47* *7/5/47*

