.No

(1) (12)

EDUCATION (1947) Department.

M.S. SERIES.

G.O. NO. 763 Edn.

Dated 16. 4. 1947.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Elementary Education Expansion – Certain backward districts Subsidy to cover Excess Expenditure orders passed

and

Back Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Further Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

B2 (N)

Rc.No.C.8-14900/45

Anantapur District Board,
Dated 13--5--1946.

From

Sri P. Lakshmayya, B.A., B.L.,
President, District Board,
ANANTAPUR.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department,
MADRAS.

26195

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
16 MAY 1946
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.

Sir,

SUBJECT:-- Elementary Education - Expenditure under the scheme of expansion of - Enhancement.
REFERENCE:-- Government Memo No.7810-B2/46-5 Edn., dated 23-3-1946.
(2) G.O.No.Ms.,904 Edn. & P.H., dated 30-4-46.

149 J (J) Removed in EPA

150 J (J)

In para 4 of G.O.No.Ms., 904 Edn. & P.H., dated 30-4-1946, while passing orders on the Budget Estimate for 1946-47 of the Elementary Education Fund, it was pointed out that any expenditure incurred on the scheme of expansion of Elementary Education during the year 1946-47 in excess of Government subsidy is unauthorised and is liable to be surcharged, while the excess -- expenditure in 1945-46 should be made good by devising means - for meeting the deficit. However, the Government were pleased to sanction, in para 5 of the Order quoted above, the payment of a subsidy of Rs.1.25 lakhs towards the estimated deficit of Rs.2,58,562/- by the end of the year 1946-47.

Ms. 5 J.r dearness allowance

2. With reference to the enhanced expenditure under the scheme of expansion I may state as follows:-

179 (H).

(a) In G.O.No.542 Edn. & P.H., dated 12-4-43 (and other orders) the Government were pleased to sanction an amount of Rs. 73,400/- towards the special grant to the District Board to assist this backward District in the expansion and spread of Elementary Education, --- by strengthening the existing elementary schools so as to ensure literacy, by opening new schools and for the improvement of girls' education. This special grant is being utilised for the highest advantage of the District and

[illegible]

Was never

was never construed to relieve the normal obligations of the District Board which has been spending its due proportion of funds on Elementary Education. For, from 1-7-1943 the education cess has been raised from 10½ pies in the rupee to 12 pies in the rupee. Any further increase in the rate of taxation would result in real hardship.

(b) As is now suggested in para 2 of Government Memo No.7810 B2/46-5 Edn., dated 23-3-46, the incurring of the -- total expenditure on the grant of dearness allowance to teachers employed under the expansion scheme from out of the special - subsidy sanctioned for the purpose was not at all possible. For, as against an amount of subsidy of Rs.73,400/- an amount of Rs.41,278/- was incurred towards the payment of dearness - allowance to teachers. Out of the balance of Rs.32,122/- provision will have to be made for the cost of the other inevitable items like, the salary of the District Board Educational Officer, Contingencies, duty allowance to supervising Headmasters, stipends to poor girls, cost of articles of equipment, etc. Thus, the net amount would be less than Rs.20,000/-. And this amount will be just sufficient to maintain 80 (eighty) teachers in all. I do not, therefore, think that it is the intention of Government that the District Board should run the scheme with such a meagre amount left over and with the heavy responsibility of maintaining the schools in an efficient and satisfactory condition.

1479 Ⓙ

Q

(c) It may be mentioned in this connexion that the new schools opened and the posts of teachers newly created have received the approval of the inspecting officers. From 1-9-1945 no new school was opened. But, the strengthening the staff - could not be postponed as the strength and attendance of pupils in the schools warranted it and as there was persistent urge - from the inspecting officers. As may kindly be seen from the statement of particulars enclosed herewith, it was only during the year 1945-46 that the sanctioned amount of subsidy was exceeded. Further as many as eleven posts of additional teachers have yet to

have yet to be created; but, proposals have been shelved chiefly for want of provision of funds. Delay in giving effect to the above proposals has already indicated signs of a set back. Hence, I am sure that the inspecting officers will not be in a position to suggest proposals for retrenchment in the existing number of teachers. However, even if they happen to suggest such proposals, purely for the sake of retrenchment, schools will be abolished in the needed centres and the posts of teachers will be retrenched where they are essentially required.

(d) In either case, it would eventually result in the opening of new schools under aided agencies. But, as Government has now decided to pay Dearness Allowance at the same rate admissible to teachers in Board Elementary Schools, the cost to be -- borne by Government by way of grant-in-aid would approximately -- be same.

(e) When such a course of action is likely to be inevitable, the refusal to enhance the special subsidy would only -- result in the abrupt denial of educational facilities to a large number of pupils, while in the case of a few, there would be -- break in the continuity of their school course.

(f) Further, such a course of action would lead to the rise and the existence of two inefficient single-teacher schools. To wit, as the Board Elementary Schools cannot be developed into plural teacher ones, all the pupils of school-age cannot be brought under instruction and therefore an Aided agency will open a school with the least prospect of developing it into a plural teacher one. I do not, therefore, think it is the intention of Government to encourage any tendency calculated to contravene its own declared policy, viz., the gradual elimination of single-teacher schools.

(g) It may not be an overstatement if it is mentioned that when the special subsidy was sanctioned with the avowed purpose of promoting the expansion of Elementary Education in this backward District, it was automatically taken for granted that the entire cost of maintaining the schools, which desirably and fortunately, developed fortunately developed into plural teacher ones would be met by Government. It was least expected that the responsibility of maintaining such schools when they are developed into plural teacher ones would be thrown on the shoulders of the District Board, which, owing its poor finances, sought for the grant of special subsidy.

(h) Finally, I may kindly be permitted to state that if retrenchment in staff should necessarily be made at this part of the year, when, owing to the cessation of agricultural operations almost all the schools would be having good attendance (and also when a large number of school-age children will be outside school) the resulting state and condition of Elementary Education would not be encouraging. For this reason, I am inclined to state that instead of having responsibility of maintaining schools, few and far between, and that in an inefficient condition, all the schools opened under the scheme may kindly be ordered to be closed down, thereby reducing volume, responsibility and complexity of work.

3. In para 6 of G.O.No.Ms., 904 Edn., & P.H., dated 30-4-46, it has been stated that as the normal charges on Elementary Education exceed the normal receipts by about Rs.50,000/- the Board should take steps to effect retrenchment so as to - bring down the cost on Elementary Education within the normal receipts. As suggested, the inspecting officers have been - requested to forward proposals for effecting retrenchment. But, I am not hopeful if they can do so without seriously jeopardising the existing state and condition of Elementary Education.

151 of Ⓙ

4. In conclusion, as it has been stated, in para 4 of - of G.O.No.Ms., 904 Edn., & P.H., dated.30-4-46, that expenditure incurred on the scheme in 1946-47 in excess of Government subsidy is liable to be surcharged, I earnestly desire to seek an interview with the Honourable Minister for Education and the Honourable Minister for Local Administration with a view to deciding the best course of action to be taken in the matter. In this connection, I may also add that

150 of Ⓙ

also add that I am endeavouring to persuade the Presidents of District Boards of Kurnool, Bellary and Chittoor to seek a joint interview with the Honourable Ministers for the similar purpose.

P. Lakshmayya
PRESIDENT.

Copy forwarded to the Director of Public Instruction, Madras for favour of information.
" to the Divisional Inspector of Schools, Anantapur for favour of information
" to the District Educational Officer for favour of information.

Enclosures:-

(1) Statement of particulars.
(2) Copy of Resolution No 288. Dated 11-5-45 of the District Board, Anantapur.

EXPANSION SCHEME GRANT DRAWN EXPENDITURE ISSUED.

Year.	Amount of Subsidy Sanctioned.	Amount of net subsidy drawn.	Net amount available.	Expenditure.	Balance.
1943-44	44,000	44,000 (Remitted - 3,064 (	--	16,696	24,240
1944-45	66,354	42,114	24,240	58,487	7,867
1945-46	73,400	65,533	7,867	1,01,516	-28,116

⑤

PRESIDENT.

91

Anantapur District Board.

Extract from the Proceedings of the District Board at its Ordinary meeting held at 9-30 A.M. on 11-5-46 at Anantapur.

----

474 (J)

288. Read Government Memorandum No.7810-B.2/46-5 Edn., dated 23-4-46 regarding the closure of Elementary schools and abolition of posts of teachers in the expansion scheme so as to be within the Government Grant sanctioned for the purpose in consultation with the Educational Officers or to take an advance from Government to meet the additional expenditure.

2. Read note of the President, District Board, stating that the proposals for effecting retrenchment in the personal of the staff of the teachers under the employ of the District Board are awaited from the inspecting officers and that therefore the proposal to effect retrenchment may be deferred till such time when definite recommendations are received from the and sanctioned by the District Board. Hence the question of taking an advance in view of the present financial position of the Board is dropped. The posts of additional teachers will be continued in anticipation of sanction of Government.

Resolved to address Government to ratify the action of the President in having exceeded the sanctioned subsidy under the scheme of expansion of elementary education and to continue the posts of additional teachers in anticipation of sanction by Government which may be addressed again.

(Sd) P. Lakshmayya,
President.

/True Copy/

P. Lakshmayya
President.

M.A.14.5.Exd.

10/6/6.

Edn & P.H. Deptt (B2)

Memo. 26195 B2/46-1 Edn D/ 5-6-46

Ref:- Letter from the P.D.B. Anantapur. RC. No. C8-14900/45 dated 13-5-46.

Sub:- Expansion of Ele. Edn. - Interview with Minister

The P.D.B. Anantapur is informed that an interview will be granted to him by H. Ms. for Edn & L.A. in July. The exact date and time will be intimated to him in due course.

Despd 7-6-46

To,

The P.D.B. Anantapur.

5/6.

5.6.46.

5/6/46

B2 (a) 13

A

R.C.No.C-8-14900/45.
Anantapur District Board,
Dated 11--6--1946.

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
13 JUN 1946
EDUCATION & PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.

From

Sri P. Lakshmayya, B.A., B.L.,
President, District Board,
Anantapur.

31689

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department,
MADRAS.

Sir,

SUBJECT:-- Elementary Education - Expenditure under the Elementary Education Fund and under the scheme expansion of Elementary Education - Enhancement.

REFERENCE:-- (1) Government Memo No.7810-B2/46-5 Edn., dated 23-3-1946. 147 (J)
(2) G.O.No.MS. 904 Edn. & P.H., dated 30-4-1946. 149 (J)

I invite a kind reference to my letter Rc.No.C-8. 14900/45, dated 13-5-1946 wherein I earnestly endeavoured to indicate that the enhancement in the expenditure under the scheme of expansion was an inevitable result. As already pointed out therein, we the Presidents of District Boards of Anantapur, Bellary, Kurnool and Chittoor are proposing to make a joint representation on this subject. A copy of the Memorandum which we propose to send is enclosed herein for favour of information. As I have not so far received a reply to my letter quoted above, I may kindly be permitted to state as follows:-

p 1 ante

p 15 infra

1. I am still awaiting proposals for retrenchment from the Inspectress of Girls' Schools, III Circle, -- Bellary. The proposals received from the District Educational Officer, Anantapur have been given effect to.

2. Apart from the points stated in my letter quoted above and in the Memorandum, I wish to state that unlike other four districts, I am faced with a peculiar and difficult situation. In this District of

District of Anantapur, there is a deficit in the general Budget and also there is a considerable enhancement ? in the Budget relating to the scheme of Elementary Education. Hence, to off-set the deficit in both the items the closure of a good number of schools in the interior parts of the District and in the conversion of a large number of plural teacher schools into single teacher schools would have to be ordered. I am afraid I will not be able to face such a situation.

this is inevitable

3. When such is likely to be the state and condition of Elementary Education in the District, to introduce compulsion in the urban areas, with provision for midday meals, will be most inopportune and unwelcome. If it is the intention of Government that the process of drastic abolition of schools and retrenchment should synchronise with introduction of the scheme of compulsion in big urban areas, I can only represent that it would lead to criticism from all quarters.

4. I, therefore, request that in consideration of all the circumstances which have been repeatedly represented, Government should be pleased to sanction enough funds to wipe off the deficit in the Budget Estimate for Elementary Education Fund for the year 1946-47 including that under the scheme of expansion of Elementary Education.

5. If, however, the Government is not pleased to be convinced, I shall, as suggested in para 2 of Government Memo quoted, endeavour to prevail upon the District Board to take an advance from Provincial Funds and a resolution therefor will be submitted to Government immediately I am intimated of the orders on this representation.

6. I, therefore, request that early orders may kindly be passed on the subject so that I might take necessary action in the matter.

P. Lakshmayya
PRESIDENT.

Copy forwarded to the Director of Public Instruction for favour of information.
" the Divisional Inspector of schools, Anantapur "

MEMORANDUM.

(a)

DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION
26 JUN [illegible]
C 461 B/46
MADRAS

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department,
MADRAS.

Sir,

SUBJECT:-- Elementary Education - Enhanced expenditure under the scheme of expansion of the -----

We the Presidents of the District Boards of Bellary, Kurnool, Anantapur and Chittoor submit the following Memorandum in the profound hope that the points indicated hereunder would receive careful and sympathetic consideration of Government:-

(H) in Sect.

1. In G.O.No. 542 Edn. & P.H., dated 12-4-1943 a special grant was sanctioned to the District Boards for the expansion and spread of Elementary Education, by strengthening the existing elementary schools, so as to ensure literacy or by opening new schools and for the improvement of Girls' Education by giving them special encouragement in the shape of stipends. This special grant is being utilised to the highest advantage and has never been construed to relieve the normal obligations of the District Boards which have been spending their due proportion of funds on Elementary Education. In fact, the Boards have been spending more than their finances permit as, notwithstanding the conditions arising out of war and famine, the education cess has been raised from 1943 to the maximum that can safely be imposed in these districts; and, any further increase in the rate of taxation at the present times would result in a serious commotion.

2. Though, it is not our intention to complain against the larger emoluments that are now being paid to the teachers, we have to mention that at the time of the

16

of the sanction of the special subsidy, dearness allowance was not being paid to teachers at the high rate (four-fifths of their salary) at which we are paying. The payment of dearness allowance to public servants is the legitimate obligation of Government as the Government is meeting the cost thereof even in the case of Aided school teachers. We are not, therefore, able to understand how we can meet this expenditure from the sanctioned subsidy? From a kind perusal of the figures in the Budget Estimates, it would appear that after meeting the entire expenditure on this and other permissible items under the scheme, there will hardly be sufficient amount to meet the expenditure on about one hundred teachers. We do not think it is the intention of Government that the District Boards should run the scheme with such a meagre amount left over and with the heavy responsibility of maintaining schools in an efficient and satisfactory condition.

3. Out of the special subsidy sanctioned schools were opened in centres where there was a keen felt want. Within a short time they developed into plural teacher schools, which was not only an inevitable but was the recognised objective of the educational policy of Government. As there was an urgent necessity for strengthening the staff in these schools according to the recommendations of the inspecting officers, additional teachers were appointed, lest the schools might be declared inefficient and their recognition withdrawn. To effect retrenchment now would result in the conversion of a large number of plural teacher schools into single teacher schools and in the abrupt denial of educational facilities to a large number of pupils. As the Boards are not in a position to meet the cost of the additional teachers needed in these schools it will mean either:

(1) that a single teacher would be required to teach more than 30 or 40 pupils distributed in five standards, -- an arrangement which will not be permitted by the inspecting officers as it would be a violation of the conditions of recognition; or,

(10)

17

(2) that a large number of pupils seeking admission into schools should be arbitrarily refused admission. We are convinced that Government did not contemplate either of these contingencies when the special subsidies were sanctioned for the spread of elementary education in these districts. We are also equally convinced that Government, knowing the financial position of the Boards, could not have imagined that this inevitable increase in expenditure would or could be borne from the Board's own funds.

4. In this connection, we are faced with a reasonable doubt as to why in insisting on effecting retrenchment at present, Government is pressing us to follow a course of action which, apart from the fact of its being detrimental to the educational interests of many remote localities in the Districts, contravenes its own oft deprecated policy of continuing single teacher schools.

5. Moreover, when many of the pupils of school-age are denied educational facilities owing to the inability of the Board to appoint additional teachers required, Aided schools will have to come into existence, to meet the needs of the districts. In this event, there will be two elementary schools resulting in the overlapping of educational effort and thus infringing another sound and declared educational policy. Further, both the schools will ordinarily continue to be single teacheer schools which would mean again that, in contravention of another item in the declared policy of Government, an efficient plural teacher school will be replaced by two single teacher, and IPSO FACTO, inefficient schools under different managements.

6. Thus, therefore, while we are congratulating

congratulating ourselves that schools opened out of special subsidy developed into plural teacher ones and thus justified our request for the sanction of special subsidy, we did not expect that the responsibility for maintaining such schools would be thrown on the shoulders of the District Boards when their slenderness of their resources is known to Government. In this connexion, it may be recalled that special subsidy was sanctioned in G.O.No.542 Edn. & P.H., dated 12-4-43 unconditionally, to provide special measures "in order to bring assistance to the more backward districts and to increase the proportion of children from these districts attending school". It was then fully realised by Government that "one of the obstacles in the backward districts to the spread of elementary education is the difficulty of securing sufficient local men to start aided schools". Now when the schools opened out of this subsidy have developed and consequently increased the cost of their maintenance, retrenchment is proposed. We wish to represent that to formulate and give effect to such proposals at the present time, -- when proposals for the Post War Reconstruction are engaging the attention of all Governments -- would assuredly be an anachronism. We can understand being required to close an uneconomic or ill-working school or to abolish a superfluous post but to be required to close an efficient and well attended school for which, in many cases, the villagers have even provided rent-free accommodation, is a retrograde step which will arouse resentment and discontent in the villages. We may also point out that as directed by Government in G.O.No.542 Edn. & P.H., dated 12-4-43 these schools have been opened in the backward areas of these districts and their closure would penalise just the localities which need special encouragement.

H

H

7. Hence, we request that in case the entire amount incurred under the expansion is not borne by Government,

Government, the cost of the scheme of compulsion to be incurred for the year 1946-47 might be suitably reduced. Otherwise, there is no point in endeavouring to provide educational facilities with provision for midday meals to pupils in big semi-urban areas while simultaneously removing and denying existing educational facilities to a large number of pupils in rural and isolated localities with little or no prospect of being served by even a single teacher aided elementary school in the years to come.

8. In the light of these representation, we request Government to bear the entire expenditure arising out of this development and expansion of the elementary schools opened out of the special subsidy. If this request cannot be complied with, we request that Government may take over all these special subsidy schools and manage them directly, as the responsibility for opening them is primarily that of Government. Having opened them it is their duty to see that they are maintained efficiently, providing them with the additional accommodation and staff that they are now in need of.

P. Lakshmayya
PRESIDENT,
District Board,

- 2 -

2. As regards the memo. to be discussed at the conference with the Hon'ble ministers, the President is referred to Govt. Memo. No. 26195 B2-1 dated 5.6.46. p 11 cf

18.6.46

BM
19/6/46

B
20.6.46

To
The P. D. B.,
Anantapur.

Despd
24-6-46

RPS

II

Memo 26195 B2/46-3. Edn. 21-6-46
Elementary education - Expansion
schemes - Subsidy - Enhancement

A memorandum proposed to be presented to the Hon'ble Minister for Education by the Presidents of the Dt. Boards of Bellary, Kurnool, Anantapur and Chittoor, on the subject noted above is forwarded to the D.P.I. for early remarks. pp 13-19 cf

As the Hon'ble Minister has arranged to grant an interview to the Presidents in the first week of July, the Director is requested to return the memorandum with his remarks ~~not~~ later than 3rd July '46

pp 13-19 cf

BM
19/6/46

B
20.6.46

To
The D.P.I.
(with memorandum to be returned with reply)

Despd with Encls
24-6-46

R: 5-7-46.

---

(12) B2

EDUCATION DEPARTMENT.

ROP No.8-B.3/45-46 Dated 28-6-1946

35275

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
8 JUL 1946
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.

From

Rao Bahadur Dr.S.R.U.Savoor, M.A., D.Sc., I.E.S,
Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education & Public Health Department,
Madras.

Sir,

Sub: Elementary Education - District Boards - Backward Districts - Expansion of education.

...

Ref: Government Memo No.26195 B.2/46-3 Edn. dated 21-6-1946.

---

f 22 ante

I submit herewith a copy of a D.O. from the Divisional Inspector of Schools in the matter and I entirely agree with him.

f 25 infra

2. I am of the opinion that Government should undertake the entire responsibility for the full development, in the natural course of events, of all those schools which were started or raised to higher grades with the help of the subsidy [illegible] for the expansion of elementary education. I presume that it was because the District Boards had already undertaken all the work the cost of which could be met from their funds and they could not undertake any more, the Government considered that, if accelerated expansion should be secured, they should give a special subsidy for opening schools in fresh areas which could not otherwise be brought under education within reasonable time or for taking such other measures as may be legitimately required for expanding elementary education facilities. Naturally the new schools started as small institutions in the first year. It is not to be expected that they would not expand. Still less could the District Boards be expected to bear the heavy cost due to consequent normal expansion from their own resources. The same argument will, I submit, hold good in the case of expansion of facilities already available.

f 15 ante

3. The memorandum received with the Government memo is enclosed herewith.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

for Director of Public Instruction-

nc/

sd
2/7.

Copy of D.O. R.C.128-E/46 dated 14th June 1946 from the Divisional Inspector of Schools, Anantapur.

With reference to the orders of Government - 1. Government Memo. No.7810-B.2/46-2 Edn dated 22-2-1946, 2.G.O.No.568 Education dated 13-3-1946; 3. Govt. Memo.No.10115-B.2/46-1 dated 19-3-46, and 4. G.O. No.Ms.424 Edn dated 28-2-46 - to the District Boards, Anantapur, Bellary, Chittoor and Kurnool, requiring them to reduce their expenditure, may I bring to your notice the following:-

1. When the special subsidy was granted to these District Boards in G.O.No.Ms.542 Edn dated 12-4-1943 the primary considerations that led Government to give this special treatment to these districts were (a) that they were educationally backward, (b) that no aided agencies were available as in other districts to open schools, and (c) that the finances of the Boards did not permit them to undertake any expansion on their own initiative. When the subsidy was sanctioned, the amount was, more or less, fully utilised by the Boards and schools were opened in remote and backward localities. In many cases, the villagers also came forward with offers of free buildings etc.

2. The directions given in para 6 of the G.O. that "the expenditure should not be unduly increased" cannot be construed as prohibiting the natural and inevitable development of the single-teacher schools into two-teacher schools. In most localities, the schools developed into two-teacher schools in a short time making the appointment of a second teacher absolutely necessary. It is impossible to expect the Boards to meet this inevitable additional expenditure when their financial position was unsatisfactory inspite of the increased rate of education tax. To keep the schools without additional teachers when the increased attendance made their appointment necessary is a retrograde measure and puts the departmental officers, who have been instructed to develop such schools into plural - teacher schools in a difficult position.

3. If the Board is not in a position to develop these single-teacher schools, the District Educational Officers have to permit the opening of aided schools in these localities which would result in the establishment of two single-teacher schools where a good two-teacher school could work efficiently. This also would be a very retrograde development, though I admit that the prospects of getting aided agencies to open new schools are very remote.

4. Quite apart from this, I understand that the District Boards, Kurnool and Bellary, have informed the District Educational Officers that, in sending up proposals for retrenchment, they should confine themselves only to schools opened out of the special subsidy granted in G.O.No.Ms.542 Edn., dated 12-4-1943 This would mean that relative inefficiency will not be the sole criterion and that new schools which may relatively be more efficient than old schools may have to be closed. No doubt the District Board is justified in taking this stand, but, viewing the needs of a district, as a whole, I consider that the distinction drawn by the Boards is undesirable.

5. The Presidents of some of the Boards - (1) C.R.No. 8300-C.1/45 dated 12-5-46 of the President, District Board, Bellary; (2) R.C.C.1.-45/Pdl./45 dated 24-5-1946 of the President, District Board, Kurnool, and (3) R.C.C.8-14900/45 dated 13-5-1946 of the President, District Board, Anantapur - have addressed Government for a reconsideration of the orders, and I request you to support their request in the special circumstances pointed out by me. So far as Government is concerned, if we

are

26

are able to get aided agencies to open new schools to take the place of the Board schools which are to be closed and or in which the staff is to be reduced, the money saved will have to be spent again by way of teaching grant to the aided schools. Finally, it does seem inconsistent to embark on a policy of compulsion and provide additional schools in large population areas and order at the same time the closure of small schools which were providing additional facilities in ~~to~~ remote and backward areas where children are already attending school without compulsion.

(TRUE COPY)

for Director of Public Instruction.

Sol
2/7

---

(14)

27

Edu & P.H. Dept. (B2)

Memo. No. 26195 B2/46-4 Edu D. 8-7-46.

2/7

Sub:- Expansion of Ele. Edu.

* Cuddapah, Kurnool, Bellary, Anantapur, Chittoor, Salem.

The P.D.B*... is requested to furnish very urgently information on the following points:-

(1.) the no. of schools opened during ~~during~~ 1943-44 under the expansion scheme,

(2) the no. of teachers employed in these schools in 1943-44,

(3.) the no addl. teachers employed ~~employed~~ in ~~them~~ schools opened in 1943-44 ~~and~~ during the years (a) 1944-45 and (b) 1945-46,

(4) the no schools newly opened during the years (a) 1944-45 and (b) 1945-46 under the expansion scheme,

(5) the no. of teachers employed in the schools newly opened in (a) 1944-45 and (b) 1945-46, and

(6)

P.T.O.

28

(6) the no. of addl. teachers employed during 1945-46 in the schools opened during 1944-45.

2. Information should also be furnished as to the nature of the other schemes of expansion undertaken by the Bd. classified under the following heads together with a ~~the~~ statement of the expenditure incurred on each item during the years 1943-44, 1944-45 and 1945-46:-

(1) Stipends to girl students.
(2) Supervising headmasters.
(3.) Replacement of lower sec. or higher grade teachers by better qualified teachers.
(4) Other items of expansion not ~~included~~ To. noted above.

The P.D.B. Cuddapah
" Kurnool
" Bellary
" Anantapur
" Chittoor
" Salem

6/7

6/7/46

6/7/46

8/7/46

29

Anantapur District Board,
Dated 10-7-1946.

Rc. No. C-8-14900/45.

From

Rao Bahadur Sri P. Lakshmayya, B.A., B.L.,
President, District Board,
Anantapur.

To

The Hon'ble Minister for Education
to the Government of Madras,
Fort St. George, MADRAS.

38248

Sir,

A true copy may be dealt with separately

SUBJECT:-- Anantapur District Board - Deficit in the Budget for Elementary Education - 1946-47.

REFERENCE:-- (1) G.O.No.MS. 904 Edn. & P. H., dated 30-4-1946.
(2) Government Memo No.26195-B.2/46-1, Edn. & P. H., dated 21-6-1946.

I invite a kind reference to my letter C.8-14900/45, dated 11-6-46 with which a copy of the Joint Memorandum of the Presidents of the District Boards of Kurnool, Bellary and Chittoor was also submitted.

2. As has already been represented therein to off-set the Budgets relating to the Elementary Education D Fund Account and that under the scheme of expansion of Elementary Education for the year 1946-47 heavy retrenchment consisting of the closure of more than one hundred schools will have to be effected. No doubt, I have been asked to effect retrenchment in consultation with the inspecting officers. I have so far effected retrenchment as per their recommendations; and, accordingly, I have closed down 14 boys and four Muhammadan Schools, and in all, twenty two posts of teachers have been abolished. But, this does not give the management any appreciable amount. Further proposals have not, as yet, come from the inspecting officers. Obviously, the working of the remaining schools is considered generally satisfactory. Hence, it would be cruel on the part of the District Board to close down efficient schools working in rural and remote areas, the villagers of some of which had enthusi-

enthusiastically and willingly constructed pucca buildings with contributions raised in the villages and offered rent-free buildings for the Board, at a time when complaints have already been received for the closure of the few schools.

3. I have repeatedly represented the position from many aspects of the question. But, if still the Government persists in effecting retrenchment, I request that the scheme of compulsory Elementary Education need not be extended to the proposed twenty ~~/~~ eight centres during the year 1946-47 and the probable cost of Rs.90,000-0-0 in bringing 7,000 pupils under instruction and in making provision for 2,300 pupils for Midday meals may be given for the purpose of offsetting the Budget under Elementary Education Fund, including that under the scheme of expansion of Elementary Education.

Compulsory Elementary Education.	Rs. 52,912-0-0
Midday Meals.	36,800-0-0
Total.	89,712-0-0

4. Even if the above proceedure is not acceptable to Government, as already promissed in Government Memo No.7810-B-2/46-3, Edn. &. P. H., dated 22-2-1946 a loan may kindly be granted to the District Board, so as to wipe off the Budget for the year 1945-46. As the resources of the District Board are slender, permission should be granted to repay the amount of the loan in a period of twenty or more years, so that the incidence of the loan may not be felt burdonsome. The ways and means of augumenting the resources of the Elementary Education Fund may also be kindly devised by the Government, with due regard to the famine conditions persistently prevailing the District.

A

5. I solicit the favour of immediate orders, so that the meeting of the District Board may be called for at an early date for raising the loan towards the deficit in the year 1945-46. Regarding the curtailment of the expenditure for the year 1946-47, proposals for retrenchment may kindly be

16

31

kindly be ordered to be forwarded by the inspecting officers, so that the normal expenditure may, as suggested, be reduced by Rs.50,000/-.

PRESIDENT.

11.7.46

33

R.C.No.14900-C.8/45.

Anantapur District Board,
Dated 4th July 1946.

From

Rao Bahadur
Sri P.Lakshmayya, B.A., B.L.,
President, District Board, Anantapur.

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
9 JUL 1946
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education & Public Health Department, Madras.

36568

Sir,

Sub:-- Expansion of Elementary Education- Interview with Hon'ble Ministers - Information - Requested.

Ref:-- Government Memo No.26195-B.2/46-1-Edn., dated 5th June 1946.

File in Circulation

In the reference quoted above, an interview with the Hon'ble Ministers for Education and Local Administration was proposed to be granted to me in July 1946. As I have to -- adjust my programme, kindly let me know, at your earliest convenience, the place at which and the date on which I shall -- have to meet the Hon'ble Ministers.

2. I shall deem it a favour if intimation is given to me by means of a Telegram.

P. Lakshmayya
President.
4.7.46

N N R/4-7.
Exd.

55/12/7 34

Edu. & P.H. Deptt (B2)

Memo No. 26195 B2-5 Edu. d/12.7.46 ~~Edn~~.

Ref:- Letter from the P.D.B. Anantapur. RC.14900-C.8/45 dated 4-7-46.

p 27 ante

Sub:- Expansion of Ele. edu – Interview with the Hon'ble the ministers.

The P.D.B. Anantapur is informed that the question of fixing a date for ~~the~~ the interview with H.M.s. (Edu) & (L.A.) will be considered on receipt of ~~infor~~ the particulars called for in Govt. Memo. No 26195 B2/46-4 Edu d. 8-7-46. p 27 ante

File in circulation

BK3 11/7

11/7/46

11/7/46

To,
The P.D.B.
Anantapur.

D/C 15.7.46

Special
Issue today
20/7/46

35

Edu & PH (B2)

Memo. No. 26195 B2-5 Edu. d 20-7-46

Sub:- Expansion of ele. edu. - Interview with the Hon'ble the Ministers.

The P.D.B. Anantapur is informed that H.M.s. (Edu) and (L.A.) will grant an interview to the P.D.Bs of the Ceded Dts and Chittoor at 2 P.M on Friday the 26th of July 1946 ~~at~~ at the Secretariat.

To,
✓ The P.D.B. Anantapur
Copy to.
✓ The P.D.B, Kurnool.
✓ " Bellary
✓ " Cuddapah
✓ " Chittoor.

BK3 15/7

15/7/46

16/7/46

2 In ~~the~~ Memo No 26195 B2-4 d 8.7.46 the P.D.B.s were asked to furnish certain particulars regarding the scheme of expansion of E.E. The Presidents are informed that if ~~it~~ it is not possible for them to forward the particulars sufficiently in advance they may bring the information with them. ~~when they~~

p 27 f

20/7

20.7.46

37 B2

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.
19 JUL 1946

19

Local Administration Department.

From:-
Dr.R.Nagan Gowda,M.Sc.,Ph.D.,
President,District Board,
Bellary.

To
The Secretary to the Govt., of Madras,
Education and Public Health Department,
Fort Saint George,
Madras.

C.R.No.8300/45 C.l.,dated 16th July,1946.

Sir,

Subject:- Education-Elementary-District Board-Bellary-Expansion-Expenditure- Grants etc., Deputation-communicated.

38966

In continuation of this office C.R.No.8300/45.C.l dated 30-6-46 the subject was placed before the District Board at its meeting held on 6-7-1946 for its consideration. Extract from the Proceedings (Resolution No.23 dated 6-7-46) is enclosed. The members of the deputation would meet the Hon'ble Minister on the date that may be fixed by him.

P. Lohi Reddy
for President,District Board,
Bellary.

15 16/7/46

P.S.R.16/7-

Copy to the ~~District~~ Director of Public Instruction Madras.
-do- Divisional Inspector of Schools,Anantapur.
-do- District Educational Officer,Bellary,

P.T.O for enclosure.

Enclosure:-

Proceedings of the District Board, Bellary.
Dated. 6th July, 1946.

Read:-

Correspondence ending with the Government Order No.1133 Edn., dated 7-6-46 declining the sanction of additional grant in respect of the increased expenditure on the schools opened in 1943-44 with the sanction and aid of Government grants under the "Expansion" scheme in some of the Backward districts (including Bellary).

ii. Letter R.O.C.No.146-C/45 dated 24-6-46 of the District Educational Officer Bellary submitting to the Divisional Inspector of Schools Anantapur proposals for retrenchment of expenditure on Elementary Education in this District Board to the extent of Rs.38,640/-.

No.of schools proposed for closure is 59 and the NO. of posts proposed for reduction is 45.

Also - the correspondence on the subject between the President and the Government.

Resolution No.23.

The Board fully endorses the President's letter to the Government C.No.8300/45 dated 8-6-46 and ratifies the action of the President in having continued the schools opened under the expansion scheme necessitating as a matter of course the increase of the sanctioned subisidy on account of the development of the schools, by additional teachers, in-anticipation of ultimate sanction of Government who should be addressed again, The ~~President~~ Board authorizes the President, Vice-President, ~~and~~ Messrs. N, Maribasavana Gowd and D. Govinda Das to wait on representation on the Hon'ble on the Hon'ble the Minister for Education, Government of Madras, on the subject.

Continued.

(Sd). R. Nagan Gowda.
President.

/True Extract/.

41

Government of Madras
Education and Public Health Department

**

Fort St. George,
Dated the 23rd July 1946.

D.O. No. 28150-B

Dear Dr. Savoor,

Elementary Education--Backward Districts--Expansion of Education.

p23ab

REF: Your letter No. 8 B 3/45-46 dated 28-6-46.

**

I am desired to say that the Hon'ble the Ministers for Education and Local Administration propose to receive a deputation of the Presidents of District Boards in Ceded districts and Chittoor at 2 P.M. on 26th July 1946 in the Secretariat to discuss the points raised in their Memorandum sent to you with Government Memorandum No. 26195 B2/46-3 Education dated 21-6-46 I am to ask you to be present.

p22cf

Yours sincerely,

OM
23/7/46

24.7.46

(Fair copy below)

To
Rao Bahadur Dr. S.R.U. Savoor,
M.A.D.Sc., I.E.S.,
Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

desp 24.7.46

Local Administration Department.

22

43

B2 VE

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
21 JUL 1946
2217
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.

From
Rao Bahadur Sri B.P. Sesha Reddy,
President, District Board, Kurnool.

To
The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department,
MADRAS.

Rc. 45/ Pdl/45 dated -7-1946.

Sir,

Sub:- EXPANSION OF ELEMENTARY EDUCATION - Particulars- Furnished.

39737 Ref:- Government Memorandum No. 26195 B2/46-4- dated 8-7-46.

The information required by the Government is furnished below:-

1. The Number of schools opened during 1943-1944 under the expansion scheme ---- ---- --- 30
2. The Number of teachers appointed in those schools in 1943-1944 ---- ---- --- 30
3. The Number of additional teachers employed in the schools opened in 1943-1944 during the
   years 1944-1945 ---- ---- ---- 5
   1945-1946 ---- ---- ---- 3
4. The Number of schools newly opened during the
   year 1944-1945 ---- ---- ---- 2
   1945-1946 ---- ---- ---- Nil
5. The Number of teachers employed in the schools
   newly opened in (a) 1944-1945 ---- ---- ---- 2
   (b) 1945-1946 ---- ---- ---- Nil.
6. The Number of additional teachers employed during 1945-46 in the schools opened during 1944-1945 ---- ---- ---- Nil.

A statement of the expenditure incurred on other schemes of expansion is furnished below:-

	1943-1944	1944-1945	1945-1946.
1. Stipends to poor girls	118- 8- 0	2104-12- 0	4103-10- 0
2. Supervising Headmasters	3600- 0- 0	3600- 0- 0	3600- 0- 0
3. Replacement of lower or higher Ele. Grade teachers by better qualified teachers	263- 4- 0	454- 8- 0	489- 8- 0
4. Other items of expansion not noted above--------			
(a) Cost of furniture supplied	2550- 0- 0	4014- 1- 0	81541- 0- 0
(b) Number of additional teachers employed in schools opened prior to the expansion scheme, during the years	80	50	74

20h
91800

B.P. Seshareddi.
President, District Board,
Kurnool.

j.s. 17/6
17.6.46

44

B2 45 (23)

Local Administration Department.

From:-
Dr.R.Nagan Gowda,M.Sc.,Ph.D.,
President,District Board,
Bellary.

To
The Secretary to the Govt.,of Madras,
Education and Public Health Department
Fort Saint George Madras.

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
19 JUL [illegible]
247
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.

C.R.No. 8300/45 C.1.,dated 16th July,1946.

Sir,

Subject:- Elementary-Education-Bellary District Board-expansion of Education- certain particulars- furnished.

38958

Reference:-Government Memo No.26195-B.2/46-4 Edn., dated 8-7-1946.

The information called for in your above reference is furnished below:-

1.	The Number of schools opened during 1943-44 under the expansion scheme:-	49.
2.	Number of teachers employed in these schools in 1943-44.	50. ✓
3.	Number of additional teachers employed in the schools opened in 1943-44 during years - 1944-45.	12 ✓
	1945-46.	2 ✓
4.	Number of schools newly opened during the years -(a) 1944-45.	11 ×
	(b) 1945-46	2. ×
5.	Number of teachers employed in the newly opened schools in (a) 1944-45. ...	11. ×
	(b) 1945-46.	3. ×
6.	Number of additional teachers employed during 1945-46 in the schools opened during 1944-45.	3.

64

17

81 additional teachers posts in existing schools have been sanctioned from the expansion scheme during the years. 7 Posts are of Secondary Grade.

1943-44.	20.
1944-45.	40.
1945-46.	21.

81. 36450

In addition to the above the following items of expenditure are debited to the expansion of Education as per orders of the Government from time to time.

	1943-44.	1944-45.	1945-46.
1. Pay & contribution of the Dt.Board Educational Officer.	-	2410/-	2384/-.
2. Payment of stipends to Girls.	-	2686/-	2012/-.
3. Wages of conductresses.	320/-	368/-	366/-
Dearness allowance to Conductresses.	1920/-	1947/-	1936/-.

For President,District Board,
Bellary.

P.S.R.16/7-

46

Ref:-9066.D.2.46. 24 47

Cuddapah District Board Office,
Dated 20th July, 1946.

From
Rao Bahadur Sri P.Raghava Reddy,-
President, Dt., Board, Cuddapah.

To
The Secretary to Government
Education & Public Health Dept.,
Fort St., George, MADRAS.

- - -

Sir,

Subject:-Education--Elementary--District Board Cuddapah--
Expansion of Elementary Education--Particulars--
Furnished.
Reference:Government Memorandum No.26195.B.2.46-4 Edn., 8.7.46

---oxo---

The particular called for in the above Government Memorandum are furnished below:---

~~Under~~ The No. of schools opened during 1943-44 under the expansion scheme. 32.
2. The No., of teachers employed in those schools in 1943-44. 32.
3. The No. of additional teachers employed in the schools opened in 1943-44-during the years 1944-45 — 6.
and 1945-46. - Nil.
4. The No. of schools newely opened during the year 1944-45, and 1945-46 under the expansion scheme.
1944-45.- 10.
1945.46.- Nil.
5. The No. of teachers employed in the schools newely opened in (a) 1944-45. 10.
(b) 1945-46. Nil.
6. The No. of additional teachers employed during 1945-46 in the schools opened in 1944-45. Nil.

2/- The particulars with regard to the other schemes of expansion undertaken by the Board are noted below:-

P.T.O.

-2-

Expenditure for the years.

	1943-44.	1944-45.	1945-46.	
1. Stipends to girls students.	Rs.726/-	Rs.2502/-	Rs.1589-10-0.	
4.	„ 11,520	„ 23,640	„ 50291-15-0*	*Appointment of additional teachers
2, 3, xxxxxx items	- - -	NIL.		

N.B. In addition to this 71 additional teachers in the other existing Board Schools were also entertained on the recommendation of the Educational Authorities.

-- ---

PRESIDENT.

PP.20.7.

4
N

R.C.No.15428/45. C.S.

Rao Bahadur ~~B.P Sesha Reddy~~ P. Lakshmayya.B.A-B.L.
President.District Board
Anantapur.

26-7-46

To,

Rc.15428/45 dated 26.7.46.

The Secretary to The Government
Educational and P-H.Department
Fort St George. Madras-.

Sir,

Sub: Education-Elementary Expansion-particulars-furnished-

--

Ref Government Memorandu, No.26195 B2/466 Education Dated 8-7-46.

Adverting to the Government Memorandum cited above, the information for the points required, is - furnished in a statement herewith enclosed.

Xxxxx

P. Lakshmayya
President. District Board,
Anantapur.

50

51

(78)

STATEMENT SHOWING THE EXPANSION OF ELEMENTARY EDUCATION IN ANANTAPUR DISTRICT FROM 1943-44 to 1945-46.

S.No.	Particulars.	Years. 1943-44	1944-45	1945-46.	Remarks.
1.	Schools opened in 1943-44	68			
2.	No. of teachers appointed in 1943-44. (Additional)	68 54 ---- 122 ----			
3.	No. of additional teachers appointed in schools opened in 1943-44 during the years 1944-45 and 1945-46.		24	30	
4.	Schools opened newly during the years 1944-45 and 1945-46.		50	-	
5.	No. of teachers employed in schools opened in 1944-45 and 1945-46. ~~(additional)~~	~~[illegible]~~ ~~24~~ ---- ~~[illegible]~~	50 24 (additional)	-	
6.	No. of additional teachers employed during 1945-46 in the schools opened during 1944-45.			30	

N.B:- Number of teachers employed on 1-7-46 in all schools opened under expansion scheme --- 141.

(2) Additional teachers newly employed in the existing schools but the cost of their maintenance debited to the expenditure under expansion scheme ---123.

258
123
774.
1096

141

61450.

209

	Head.		1943-44.	1944-45.	1945-46.
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1.	Stipends to girl students.		271	1,268	1,652
2.	Supervising headmasters (conveyance allowance)			400	1,200
3.	Replacement of H.G. teachers by S.G. Teachers.			1,440	1,440
4.	OTHER ITEMS.				
4.	Appointment of Dt.Bd. Edl. Officer.			1,964	2,445
5.	Duty Allowance.			1,000	3,024
6.	Extra H.G.Posts under the Supervision Scheme	Pay. D.A.		2,000 800	8,640
7.	Table copies of Text books.				1,268
8.	Furniture.		24	13,181	--
9.	Additional Part-time Prevocational Instructors.			210	840
10.	House Rent.			4,300	5,360
11.	Library Books and Maps.		1,793	5,055	1,863
12.	Contingencies.			5,104	5,554
	TOTAL.		2,088	36,722	33,286

54

C.F. 32.

Local Administration Department

From (Name) Sri C. Amrithaganesan, B.A.,
Designation Special Officer, District Board,
Station C H I T T O O R.

To
The Secretary to Government,
Edn. & Public Health Depart
Fort St. George,
M A D R A S.

Received 27 JUL 1946 Registered
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.

Enclosures:

No. Roc.C1-12605/46 dated 25th July 1946.

SECRETARY, EDN. AND P.H. DEPT.
26 JUL 1946
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Sir,

Sub:--EDUCATION--Elementary--Chittoor District Board--Expansion of Ele: Education--Particulars of Expenditure--Furnished.

Ref:--Government Memorandum No. 26195-B2/46-Edn., Dated 8th July 1946.

41152

The particulars called for are furnished below:--

	Rsx
(1) No. of schools opened during 1943-44 under the expansion scheme. ...	86
(2) No. of Teachers employed in those schools in 1943-44.	86
(3) No. of additional teachers employed in the schools opened in 1943-44 during the years:--	
(a) 1944-45	12
(b) 1945-46	13
(4) No. of schools newly opened during the years (under expansion scheme)	
(a) 1944-45	20
(b) 1945-46	nil.
(5) No. of Teachers employed in the schools opened in	
(a) 1944-45	20
(b) 1945-46	nil.
(6) No. of additional teachers employed during 1945-46 in the schools opened during 1944-45.	7

STATEMENT OF EXPENDITURE.

	1943-44.	1944-45.	1945-46.
(1) Stipends to poor girls.	--	5,524-0-0	6,970--6-0
(2) Supervising Headmasters.	4,295--8-0	10,821-8-0	13,941--5-0
(3) Replacement of lower or Higher Ele: Grade Teachers by better - qualified teachers.	--	780-0-0	1,260--0-0
(4) Other items of expansion not noted above:--			
(a) Salaries of masters belonging to the schools newly opened under expansion including House Rent and contingencies.	13,803--0-0	30,527-1-0	55,127-13-0
(b) Salaries of additional teachers employed under expansion scheme to strengthen the staff of the old schools.	2,548--1-0	3,086-11-0	38,676--0-0

PTO.

	1943-44.	1944-45.	1945-46.
(c) Cost of equipment under expansion scheme. ...	6,859-13-0	9,999-14-0	8,612--4-0
Total. ...	27,506--6-0	60,739--2-0	1,24,587-12-0

NOTE:-- The enormous increase in expenditure during the year 1945-46 compared with the previous year is due to the following reasons:--

(i) The Dearness Allowance of Teachers was enhanced from Rs. 10 and 13 to Rs.16 and 18 respectively with -- retrospective effect from 1-1-1945, as per G.O.No. 1173 L.A., dated 28-5-1945 and this expenditure had to be borne during 1945-46 only.

(ii) Very little expenditure was incurred on during 44-45 on the 20 schools newly opened in that year as these schools were actually opened in the latter half of February and in March 1945, as the orders according sanction for the same were issued in G.O.No. 166 Edn., dated 1-2-1945 only.

(iii) 20 more additional teachers were employed during 1945-46 on the recommendations of the Inspecting Officers due to the increase of strength in these schools.

(iv) Several houses taken on rent-free basis temporarily, had to be paid rent during the year.

(v) During 1945-46 the posts of 50 Higher Grade and 3 Sec. Grade Teachers had to be sanctioned to strengthen the staff of the old schools consequent on the increase in strength on the recommendations of the Inspecting Officers.

S.n.v.25-7.

DC 25-7. SPECIAL OFFICER. 257

29

57

SECRETARIAT EDN. AND P. H. DEPT.
27 JUL 1946
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

To

The Hon'ble Minister for Education,
Government of Madras, MADRAS.

Respected Sir,

Subject:-- Elementary Education - Expansion of elementary education - Expenditure.

We, the Presidents Dt. Boards of the Ceded Districts and the Special Officer, Chittoor Dt. Board represent that there was no unauthorised expenditure. The excess for which the District Boards are now found fault with is due to the fact that at the time when the schemes were framed the payment of dearness allowance was not contemplated. The increase is due to the dearness allowance that had to be paid on the direction of Government. It is therefore our considered opinion that the Government should meet the expenditure under dearness allowance by a special grant. If this cannot be done, the following suggestions may kindly be given effect to:--

(1) The savings from out of the provision made for midday meals in the Budget Estimate for 1945-46 be adjusted to the credit of the District Board for utilisation during the year 1946-47 for meeting the deficit under the expansion scheme.

(2) The schools which have to be closed on account of retrenchment may be considered to have been opened in the place of all or some of the centres proposed to be introduced under the scheme of compulsion to be extended during the year 1946-47.

M

President, District Board, Bellary

President, District Board, Kurnool

President, District Board, Anantapur

for Dt. Educational Officer
President, District Board, Cud

27.6.

Special Officer, Chi
Board.

Fort St. George,
27th July 1946.

Br 30 579

CEDED DISTRICTS ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT BOARD
SUBJECT:

EDUCATION - Primary - Ceded Dts. - Special grants - Increase - Board's recommendation - Submitted.

- -

Ref:- No.1566/46-1. dated 24th Aug. '46.

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
X 8 AUG 1946

From

Sri B. Joogappa, M.A.,
Secretary, C.D.E.D. Board,
Chepauk, Madras.

To

Sir Gerald Priestley, K.C.I.E., I.C.S.,
Commissioner of Land Revenue and Irrigation, Chairman.

- - -

49752

The Board submits to the Government a copy of the letter dated 5th Aug. '46 of Dr. R. Nagan Gowda, President, Dt. Board, Bellary and an exract of the para 23 of the minutes of its meeting held on 6th Aug. '46 and request that the Government may be pleased to sanction at least as much grant per capita for education in the Ceded Dts. as in other districts.

Your obedient servant,

B Joogappa
26.8.46

SECRETARY.

To the Secretary to Government
Education & Public Health Department, Madras.

Copy to Presidents, Dts. Board, Ceded Districts.

60

Copy of the minutes of the thirteenth meeting of the Ceded Dts. Economic Development Board held on Tuesday the 6th Aug. '46.

- - - - -

Para 23. ENHANCEMENT OF THE SPECIAL GRANTS FOR THE EXPANSION OF ELEMENTARY EDUCATION: Dr. R. Nagan Gowda, President Dt. Board, Bellary brought to the notice of the Board that the Ceded Dts. are most backward in education, and that though the Government had realised this and been making special grants since 1943, there had been a tendency of late to reduce the grants. He pointed out that the teaching grants sanctioned in these districts are very small when compared to the amounts allotted to other districts. He moved a resolution that this Board should recommend that primary education being a prerequisite for all development, the Government should at least spend the same per capita on education in the Ceded Dts. as they did in other districts. Sri Rao Bahadur B. P. Sesha Reddi, President Dt. Board, Kurnool supported it. The Board observing that the request was quite reasonable, resolved to recommend it to Government.

(True copy)

Copy of the letter dated 5th Aug. '46 of Dr. R. Nagan Gowda President Dt. Board, Bellary.

- - - - - -

Ceded Districts are absolutely most backward from the viewpoint of education. This has been recognised by the Government of Madras, and since 1943, they have been giving special grants for the expansion of elementary education. But of late there is a tendency to reduce this scheme of expansion. This impairs the economic development of these districts. I therefore wish to recommend the following resolution for adoption by this Board.

RESOLUTION:

Primary education being the pre-requisite for all economic development, and Ceded Districts being backward, this Board recommends to the Government to spend at least the same amount per capita on education in the Ceded Districts for their rapid development, as they do in the other districts of the Province.

(true copy)

SPECIAL

P23 ci

Ⓜ

Edn. & P.H. Department.

Sub;- Ele. Education - Certain backward Districts - Expansion Schemes - Expenditure Reduction.

From the D.P.I. letter No.8 B.3 - 45 - 46 dated 28-6-1946.

Memo. No. 26195 B2/46-10. dated 6. 1. 46

*Cuddapah.
Chittoor.
Kurnool.
Bellary.
Anantapur.
Salem.
East Godavari Agency.

An examination of the Ele. Education Fund Budgets for 1946 - 47 of the District Boards mentioned in the margin, for which special subsidies were given for expansion of ele. education, disclosed that the expenditure on the Schemes of expansion greatly exceeded the subsidy sanctioned by the Govt. The Presidents of the Distt. Boards of the Ceded Districts and Chittoor recently represented that the cost of the Schemes of expansion could not be curtailed and they requested that additional subsidies should be paid to cover the extra cost. The Govt. do not propose to sanction any additional subsidy for the purpose. It has however been suggested in this connection that some of the schools opened under the schemes may be treated as those ~~stated~~ started under the scheme of compulsory education, i.e. villages in which the schools have been opened may be selected for the introduction of compulsory

P.T.O.

B2

ele. education in 1946 - 47, the villages in each district being so selected that the total number of children of school age does not exceed the number of children in respect of whom compulsory ele. education education is to be introduced in those areas in 1946 - 47. The Director of Public Instruction is requested to offer his remarks on the suggestion, particularly on the point whether the proposal will interfere with his plan for selecting villages for introduction of compulsoy ele. education each year. The reference should be treated as specially urgent and a reply sent ~~before~~ not later than 15th Jan. 1947.

27/12/46

4/1/47

p11NF

The D.P.I.

(2/1/47)

(M) 6.3 (63) B2

C.F. 30.

13 JAN 1947
ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.

EDUCATION DEPARTMENT.

Received ....19 . Registered ....19 .

Subject.

2547

ELEMENTARY EDUCATION - Certain backward districts expansion schemes - Expenditure reduction.

ENCLOSURES.
No. ....
....
Spare copies ....

R.C.No. 78-B1/47.

Station Madras, Dated the 11th January 1947.

From (Name) Dr.S.R.U. Savoor, M.A., D.Sc.,I.E.S.,

(Designation) Director of Public Instruction.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH Department.

Sir,

pp 61-62 ant

REF:Government Memorandum No.26195-B2/46-10 d/-6-1-47.

I have the honour to state that steps have already been taken and compulsory elementary education introduced in the centres notified by Government during 1946-47 in Cuddapah, Chittoor and Salem Districts. Though notification by Government has been issued, the steps taken for the introduction of the scheme in Kurnool District are not known. It is only in Anantapur and Bellary Districts that the scheme has not yet been introduced. Proposals were submitted to Government for the introduction of the scheme in both Bellary and Anantapur Districts and Government have already issued notifications for the enforcement of compulsionin Anantapur District. In respect of Bellary orders of Government are

P.T.O.

are awaited.

The current year is drawing to a close and any change that may be contemplated will well-nigh make it impossible to introduce the scheme during the current year. I therefore suggest that during the current year, theoriginal plan of introducing the schemes in the areas already selected may be given effect.to.

But from next year, I have no objection to act on the suggestion made to Government (Viz.) that villages in which schools have been opened under the explansion scheme may be selected for the introduction of compulsory elementary education. Such a step will not interfere with the plan for selection of villages for the introduction of compulsory elementary education in each year. While giving effect to the suggestion, only bigger centres will have to be considered because some of the centres where President, District Boards have opened schools are so small that it will not be possible to work the feeding schemes unless Government give a special subsidy to meet contingent charges and the constition of feeding or attendance committees will be impossible in some of these small centres.

R. Narasimhan

for Director of Public Instruction.

RR.11/1. 11/1

E & P. H Dept

The main file on the subject is ~~under~~ in circulation. This may lie over till its return. (30-1-47)

15-1-47 16-1-47

18/1/47

106
16/4

Education and P.H.

Sub: Elementary Education--Expansion--Certain backward districts--Subsidy to cover excess expenditure-Orders passed.

Order/Ms. No. 763 Education dated 16-4-47

- -

* Cuddapah
Chittoor
Kurnool
Bellary
Anantapur
Salem
East Godavari Agency

H.15-1-47

The Govt. sanctd. in 1943 special subsidies to the District Boards mentioned in the margin* for the expansion of elementary education in their areas. The total subsidy was first fixed at Rs 3.5 lakhs and it was raised to Rs 5.84 lakhs in 1945-46. An examination of the Elementary Education Budgets for 1946-47 of the District Boards disclosed that the expenditure on the schemes of elementary education undertaken by the Dt. Bds. exceeded even the increased subsidy of Rs 5.84 lakhs As the Govt. were not prepared to increase their commitments beyond Rs 5.84 lakhs, the Dt. Bds. were asked to curtail the scope of the schemes and to bring down their cost within the Govt. subsidy.

2. The Presidents of Dt. Bds. of Ceded Districts and Chittoor ~~have~~ represented that the cost of the scheme of expansion ~~cannot~~ could not be curtailed and ~~have~~ requested that an additional subsidy should be paid to cover the extra cost of the schemes.

3. The Govt. have examined the request and have decided not to sanction any addl. subsidy to the Dt. Boards . The Govt. understand that the scheme of compulsory elementary education extended to a few more villages in the Anantapur District has not yet been implemented. No notification has been published in the case of the District Board, Bellary regarding the ~~introduction~~ extension of the scheme of compulsory elementary education in 1946-47. The Govt. consider that in the case of Anantapur & Bellary, some of the schools opened under the scheme~~s~~ of expansion may be treated as those started under the scheme of compulsory ely. education i.e., the villages in which schools have been opened under the expansion scheme may be selected for the introduction of compulsory elementary education ~~under the expansion scheme~~. The villages in ~~each~~ the two district should be so

selected that the total number of children of school-age between the ages of 6 and 12 does not exceed the figure~~s~~ specified below against each district:-

Name of district.	No. of children
Anantapur	7,000
Bellary	6,600

Fixed for the extension scheme

The District Boards should also pass resolutions proposing the introduction of compulsory elementary education in the ~~new~~ villages to be selected as explained ~~of the few district boards~~ ~~mentioned~~ above; ~~and also~~ A resolution proposing the revocation of ~~revoke~~ the notification~~s~~ already published for the extension of the scheme of compulsory elementary education in respect of the Anantapur Dt. Board. Sh. also be passed by the Spl. Officer. ~~& submitted to Govt.~~

The resolutions should be passed in the manner laid down in Section 44 of the Elementary Education Act and submitted to Government through the D.P.I.

4. The Special Officers of District Boards other than Anantapur & Bellary are requested to submit proposals for meeting the excess under the expansion scheme not covered by the Govt. subsidy and to ~~say~~ state whether the rate of education tax should be raised for the purpose; and if so to what extent. Similar proposals sh. also be submtd. by the Spl. Officers of Anantapur and Bellary if the excess expenditure under the expansion scheme cannot be completely ~~[illegible]~~ met by the adoption of the suggestion in para 3 above

9.4.47

10/4/47

11/4/47

To
✓ The S.O.'s of D. Bds concerned
✓ The D.P.I.
✓ The Exy [illegible]

18

Call Book.

34

Notes

Edn. & P.H.(B.2) Dept.

EXPANSION of Elementary Education - Subsidy from Provincial Funds.

...

C.26195.

From the P.D.B.Anantapur, Rc.No.C.8-14900/45 dated 13-5-46.

...

In 1943 the Govt. sancd. a scheme of expansion of elementary education in certain backward Dist. Boards. Seven Dt. Bds. were selected for the purpose and a subsidy of Rs.3.50 lakhs was distributed among them as shown below:

Dt. Bds.	Subsidy paid. Rs.
Anantapur	44,000
Bellary	38,000
Cuddapah	30,000
Kurnool	40,000
Chittoor	56,000
Salem	1,35,000
E.Godavari Agency Dt.Bd.	7,000

The District Board~~s~~ should utilise the special subsidy on the opening of new schools, employment of addl. teachers, payment of stipends to girls, etc., in consultation with the officers of the Edn. Dept. It was specifically laid down that the Dt.Bds. should not relieve themselves of their normal obligations in the matter of provision of facilities for elementary education in the Dt. Attention is solicited to the notes and orders in G.O.542 Edn. dated 12-4-43.

p.17 of G.O. 542 Edn 12-4-43

2. In Feb.1944 the P.D.Bs., Ceded Dts. and Chittoor, waited on a deputation on the Adviser for Education (Sir Thomas Austin) and presented him with a memorandum containing certain suggestions for the improvement of education. One of the suggestions was that the special subsidy paid for the expansion scheme should be increased. This point was examined in the notes to G.O.1900 Edn. dated 21-12-44. It was decided that the special subsidy might be increased to Rs.5.84 lakhs, the ~~latter~~ amount being apportioned among the Dt. Bds. in the same manner as the orgl. figure of Rs.3.54 lakhs was divided among them. The increased allotment was made available to the Dt.Bds. from 1945-46.

G.O. 1900 Edn 21.12.44

p.23 of G.O. 1283 21.8.45

3. Cont.

3. On an examination of the B.E. of the Elementary Education Fund for 1946-47 it was found that all the Dt.Bds. in the plains have provided for an expre. under the expansion scheme far ~~for~~ in excess of the subsidy payable from Provincial funds for the scheme. As the possibility of increasing the Govt. subsidy was ~~is~~ far remote, it was ~~has been~~ ordered in all the cases that the cost of expansion of the scheme should be reduced and kept within the subsidy payable from Provincial Funds. The c.f. is practically a protest against this order.

x in individual budget

4. The reasons for the increase in expre. under the expansion scheme are the following:

(1) P.D.Bs. have formulated proposals for the expansion of education without limiting the cost to the subsidy payable from Provincial Funds.

(2) the increase in the rate of dearness allowance sanctioned to employees under local bodies from time to time (it has been ordered that the cost of dearness allowance to teachers employed under the expansion scheme should be met from special subsidy itself)

para 5, p 17 c.f. & NBs on pp 19-23 NF

© G.O. 1229

5. P.D.Bs. have specifically been informed that they should formulate schemes of expansion in such a manner that the recurring cost at any time should not exceed the subsidy payable from Provincial funds. In spite of these ~~the~~ instructions the expenditure provided in the budget is far greater than the subsidy payable as the following statement will show:

para 6, p 21 c.f. (H) & para 1 p 21 c.f. (R)

Name of Dt.Bd.	Maximum subsidy payable.	~~Expre.~~ provided in the budget
	Rs	Rs
Anantapur	73400	2,38,144
Bellary	63400	1,29,550
Cuddapah	[illegible]000	79,320
Kurnool	66740	1,23,160
Chittoor	93500	1,81,360
Salem	225260	6,55,510
East Godvari Agency.	11700	7,000

Y

It was, therefore, ordered that the cost of ~~expre. by the~~ the expansion scheme should be reduced and limited to Govt. subsidy failing which the excess ~~major~~ expre. would ~~will~~ be surcharged on the persons responsible for it. The Dt. Bo[illegible] have no surplus in the EE Fund for meeting the [illegible] expenditure ~~either~~.

X

6 The P.D.B., Anan[illegible]esires to interview the Hon'ble the Ministers for Edn. and L.A. to represent to them the position in regard to the expansion scheme. He has also stated that the P.D.Bs. of the other Districts will join the interview.

p 4 c.f.

The file may be submitted to H.Ms. for orders as to

(1) whether the interview will be granted;
(2) when ~~for fixing~~ ~~the~~ date and ~~time~~ may be fixed for the interview
and (3) whether the D.P.I. should be asked to be present at the interview;

24.5.46. I. Balakrishna Menon
Asst. Secy
27/5/46 S

28/5/46

H.M. (Edn.)

H.M. (L.A.)

col. 29/5/46

A
I/B He may have the interview sometime in July. The exact date & time may be fixed later on. The L.A.'s convenience on the [illegible] be consulted.

TSA
30-5-46.

I agree
4/6

4.6.46
S.

A draft memo is submitted with ref. to 'A' above. After issue of memo the file may lie over till 1-7-46.

5/6/46.

5/6/46

5.6.46.

Lr. 31689 D2 from the P.D.B. Anantapur dt. D. Cs 14900/45, of 11.6.46

p 13 c.f.

The President has apparently written his letter before he received the Memo on page 11 c.f. As regards the submission of a ~~the~~ Memorandum for discussion no action is now called for. The Memorandum may be sent to the D.P.I for early remarks so far as Anantapur is particularly [illegible] concerned the President points our certain finan[illegible] difficulties. These have been brought about by the indiscreet action of the President and the Dt. Board in having undertaken expenditure

20.6.46

expenditure without securing the income required for meeting it. The request for a subsidy from Govt. funds to cover the ordinary deficit in the E.E. fund account is not generally granted. The payment of an advance from Govt. funds can be only to tide over temporary financial crisis. In the present case the deficit is a recurring one & retrenchment of expenditure can alone solve the difficulty. An advance to cover the excess expenditure under the expansion scheme in 1945-46 may be ~~from~~ paid as already promised. The Board should devise means for repaying the advance. Two ~~A~~ draft memos are ~~is~~ submitted for appl.

para 6 p 51 c.f. (J) removed

para 6 p 51 c.f. (J)

para p 50 c.f. (J)

18.6.46

19/6/46 S

20.6.46

Orders are now solicited as to the date to be fixed for the interview to be granted by H.Ms (Edn) and (L.A.) to the P.D.Bs. of the Ceded Districts and Chittoor. The P.D.B., Bellary, who met the Secretary on 29-6-46 has expressed the view that any day between the 6th and 16th of July 1946 will suit him.

2. The Memo. submitted by the P.D.B. Anantapur for discussion on the conference has been referred to the D.P.I. for remarks. The Director's reply is on P.23 C.F. He recommends that the Govt. should meet the entire cost of the expansion scheme. In

p 15 c.f.

58

should be increased. The suggestion may be discussed at the conference. Particulars of expenditure on the expansion scheme during the years 1943-44 to 1945-46 may be called for so that a statement showing the progress of expenditure under the scheme may be prepared & placed at the conference. The draft memo below ~~is~~ for appl.

6.7.46

6/7/46 S

8.7.46

c 11/7/46

2. The interview may be fixed on Friday the 26th inst. 4.0 P.M. in the Secretariat. H.M. L.A. may also be informed

H.M. (Edn) a.i.

If convenient for H.M. Edn. the time may be fixed at 2 P.M. on 26/7

18/7

17.7.46

TSA

15-7-46

SECRETARY, EDN. AND P.H. DEPT.
15 JUL 1948
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

A draft memo is submitted for approval with ref. to H.M's minute at 'A' above.

2. To facilitate discussion at the interview it is proposed to compile a statement showing the progress of expenditure under the scheme of expansion of ele. edn. during the last three years. ~~To compile the statement,~~ Particulars to compile the statement have been called for from the P.D.Bs. It is not known whether it will be possible to prepare the statement before the day fixed for the interview (26-7-46).

3. The file may be circulated to H.M. L.A. with ref. to H.M's (Edn's) minute at 'A' above.

P 27 c.f.

The P.D.B.'s may be asked to bring the information with them, if

17.7.46

SECRETARY, EDN. AND P.H. DEPT.
18 JUL 1948
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

15.7.46

16/7/46 S

17.7.46

c 18/7/46

H.M. (L.A)

4

6

H.M. (L.A.) has stated that the interview ~~on 26/7/46~~ may be fixed for 2 P.M. on 26/7/46. H.M. (Edn) may kindly say whether the time will suit him.

B.M. 20/7/46 $

B 20.7.46

Yes.

TSA 20-7-46

H.M. (Edn)

c 19/7/46

[Issued as Memo 26195 D2-5, d 20.7.46]

Orders of H.M. may be taken whether the D.P.I. may be asked to be present at the interview

25/7

A

B.M. 20/7/46 $

ay 20/7/46

I understand that H.M. (Edn) is seeing the P. Dt. Bd. Bellary, the Vice President Bellary, and Rao Bahadur Mahabaleshwarappa at 3.30 P.M. today. The file is circulated.

B 22.7.46

H.M. (Edn)

The President and Vice President of the Bellary District Board met me today. Instructions have been issued to them that they must keep the expenditure within the amount of grant that has been allotted to them and they represented that in view of the large number of schools opened by them, they require more funds than have been allotted to them to keep those schools going. It was explained to them that the Presidents of other District Boards similarly placed are coming on a deputation on the 26th of this month & they wanted matters may be considered in consultation with all of them.

TSA 22-7-46

SECRETARY, EDN. AND ... 22 JUL 1946 GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

7

(37)

Orders are solicited on the point raised at A on page 6 ante viz whether the D.P.I. should be asked to be present at the interview.

ay 23.7.46

B.M. 23/7/46 $

Yes. Please put up a D.O. to him.

B 23.7.46

A draft D.O. with fair is placed below.

B.M. 23/7/46 $ B 24.7.46

The Presidents of the District Boards of Kurnool, Anantapur, Bellary and the Special Officer in charge of the Chittoor District Board have come on a deputation with regard to the matter the details of which are in this file. The President of the Cuddapah District Board has written that it was not possible for him to come and he has sent his District Board Educational Officer.

The matter for consideration is that eachof the District Boards were given a certain amount for expansion schemes of education. They had opened schools and spent the whole amount. Later on, they had to pay dearness allowance of Rs.16 per teacher and ~~are~~ has also to meet further expenses in connection with the growth of those schools which they have started under the expansion scheme, with the result that they now find themselves in large deficits. The Government are unable to make the grants ~~that will be necessary~~ to cover these deficits and a protracted correspondence has been going on between the Government and those District Boards as to how the deficits can be met. They have made the following suggestions:

(1) The deficit is from last year and continues in this year. The Presidents suggest that they have not been able to utilise in full the provision made for the midday meals in those districts last year and the savings made in the last year in this item may be adjusted towards the deficit. Apart from the financial technicalities of the position, I am of opinion that they may be allowed to make these adjustments.

(2). They claim that the Government should take upon themselves the

A

responsibility of paying dearness allowance to the teachers in schools under the expansion scheme as the dearness allowance was not contemplated at the time when the expansion scheme was inaugurated. I am afraid this position cannot be accepted because if once this precedent is allowed, it is open for other District Boards to claim dearness allowance upon their teachers.

B

(3). Another suggestion has been considered and it is this. The Government have asked them and they have also to close many of the schools started under the expansion scheme because of want of funds. The Government under the compulsory education scheme is taking up many villages in each District + opening new schools in those villages. The suggestion has been made that instead of closing these schools which have already been started, these schools may be continued as schools under the compulsion scheme an~~d the money spent~~ on those schools ~~under the present allotment made in the budget for the [illegible] compulsion scheme~~ so that the District Board will save the money spent hitherto on those schools. This is a suggestion worth considering. The Presidents want time to give a considered reply over these proposals. If any deficit is left over and above these ~~things~~ adjustments, th~~at~~ must be met by way of loan and the Finance Department may consider such proposals on their merits.

C

D

TSA
26-7-46

SECRETARY, EDN. AND P.H. DEPT.
27 JUL 1946
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Secretary, E. & P.H. Dept.

Please see the representation below presented to me by the Presidents of the District Boards of Bellary, Kurnool, Anantapur, Cuddapah and the Special Officer for the Chittoor district board. They agree to the suggestion that we discussed yesterday and I think these suggestions may be accepted.

TSA
29-7-46

D

p57CF

The file will be noted on and subd. after H.M. has approved the draft answer.

31/7/46 7.8.46

linked file

E & P.H. (B.2.) Deptt.

Please see the note on pages 1--2 ante and the minute on pp 7-8 ante.

PORTION 'A' OF H.M'S NOTE ON PAGE 7 ~~ANTE~~ ante.

The deficit in the expansion ~~schem~~ schemes viz., the excess ~~of~~ expenditure under the scheme over and above the Government subsidy is a recurring one. The savings in the provision made for midday meals during 1945-46 which the P.D.Bs desire to be re-allotted this year to cover the excess expenditure will be available only this year. Other means will have to be devised to meet the deficit in future years. This suggestion will not, therefore, solve the difficulty.

This will solve it to some extent this year. We can consider the problem again for next year. Dy. Secy.

PORTION 'B' OF H.M'S NOTE ON PAGE 8 ante.

The decision of Govt. is that a subsidy may be paid towards the cost of dearness allowance to teachers only if local bodies are not able to meet the cost ~~of the allowance~~ from their resources. The subsidy is generally restricted to the minimum amount necessary. The ~~itention~~ intention is that the possibility of augmenting the resources of local bodies should first be examined before a subsidy is paid. // Teachers in ely- schools under local bodies can be classified as follows:-

(1) Teachers in schools opened under the expansion schemes.
(2) Teachers employed under the scheme of compulsory ele edn. introduced last year and
(3) Teachers not covered by (1) and (2) above.

(1) a~~nd (2)~~ above

When the expansion scheme was formulated in 1943 the question of dearness allowance did not arise as local bodies were given ~~diretion~~ discretion in the matter of grant of dearness allowance to their employees. Only in January 1944 the Govt. directed local bodies to grant dearness allowance to teachers at Govt. rates and undertook to sanction subsidies to cover the cost of dearness allowance to the extent necessary. The rate of allowance was then Rs. 6/8 a month. It was subsequently raised gradually to Rs. 16/- a month, the present rate.

So far as teachers employed under the expansion schemes were concerned the question was raised whether the cost of dearness allowance should be excluded from the scope of the schemes as it was not thought of when the schemes ~~was~~ were originally sanctioned. The Finance Department held the view that the cost of dearness allowance to teachers employed under the expansion schemes should be met from the special subsidy itself. This Deptt. concurred in the view though with some reluctance. As Dt. Bds framed the original schemes of expansion without taking into account the cost of dearness allowance they should have modified ~~the schemes~~ them so as to limit the cost including dearness allowance ~~within~~ to the Govt. subsidy. This they have not done. On the other hand the gradual increase in the rate of ~~d.a.~~ dearness allowance contributed to the growth of expre under the expansion schemes. Thus under existing orders the cost of d.a. should be met from the special subsidy itself and no separate subsidy is payable for the purpose.

It is easy to suggest, but not so easy to carry out.
Dy. Secy.

I think we have to reconsider the view.
Dy. Secy.

(2) above The cost of dearness allowance to teachers employed under the scheme of compulsory ele. education is debitable to the subsidy paid forthe scheme. The Govt. have undertaken to bear the entire cost of the scheme including that of dearness allowance from provl. funds. Unlike the expansion schemes where the subsidy is a fixed figure, the subsidy for the scheme of compulsory edn. is determined year after year with reference to the scope of the scheme i.e. no. of schools opened & teachers employed. The cost of d.a. has been ~~better~~ taken into ~~account~~ ~~introduced~~ even when the scheme was first framed.

(3) above A subsidy is paid to Local Bodies if they have no other means of meeting the cost of d.a. from the ele. edn. fund.

PORTION 'C' ON PAGE 8 ante

The suggestion made is that some of the schools opened under the expansion schemes should be treated as those opened under the scheme of compulsory ele. edn. IN effect the proposal is that compulsory ely. edn. should be introduced in the villages where the schools opened under the expansion schemes are functioning. Of the six Dt. Bds. involved, proposals for the extension of compulsory ele. edn. have been

P

approved in three cases. Proposals relating to the two District Boards are under consideration. The D.P.I. has yet to send his proposals, re. the sixth -- Kurnool

Chittoor
Cuddapah
Salem

Anantapur
Bellary

The ~~D.P.I.~~ Director has been following some general principles in the matter of selection of villages for compulsion. The suggestion of the P.D.Bs may interfere with the Director's plan. Further the orders already issued may have to be cancelled. In the circumstances it appears that the suggestion of the P.D.B should be dropped. If it should be considered the Director should be consulted. For orders.

We may consult the D.P.I. on the proposal.
Dy. Secy.

2. It appears that a major portion of the cost of the expansion scheme can be brought down if the Govt. agree to meet separately the cost of dearness allowance to the staff employed under the expansion scheme separately from the Provl. funds. As already noted the Finance department insisted that the cost of d.a. should be debited to the special subsidy itself. This deptt. accepted the suggestion though reluctantly. Perhaps this question may be re-opened and Dt. bds. given some relief by ~~setting apart the~~ meeting the cost of d.a. ~~to~~ from Provl. funds.

Dy. Secy.

3. From the particulars furnished by the P.D.Bs it appears that almost all have employed addl. teachers in existing schools as part of the expansion scheme. Such a procedure is opposed to the instructions at 'X' on page 20 of G.O. 542 Edn. d/12-4-43. If these posts are either abolished or excluded from the scope of the expansion scheme large savings can be effected. It appears that many dt.bds. ~~may have~~ had to abolish ~~the~~ posts of teachers as there is not sufficient surplus in the ele. Edn. Fund accounts to meet the pay of the addl. teachers.

We can ask him to do this first.
Dy. Secy.

4. The file may be sent to the Finance Department for remarks before circulation.

18.8

17/8/46

P

The expansion scheme was taken up at a time when dearness allowance was not contemplated, and the Dt. Boards have opened schools in new areas, and increased the staff of existing schools within the subsidies allotted to them. Subsequently from 1.7.44 d.a has become payable, and the cost of the scheme has gone up through no fault of the Dt. Boards. It is not as if they have been ~~im~~ careless or improvident or irresponsible in ~~increasing expenditure without checking~~ not cutting their coat according to the cloth. ~~Such [illegible] larger coming to~~ ~~cut~~ The cost has increased for causes beyond their control. I think it is the duty of Government to subsidize them for D.A, to the extent involved in the case of the schools started within the subsidy amount. I agree that the increase of ~~teachers~~ staff in existing schools should ~~be~~ not be considered as part of the expansion scheme, and to that extent we are not bound to assist the Dt. Boards.

P

M

If D.A is granted all the other solutions suggested, which are of temporary, may become unnecessary. Adjustment of the savings on midday meals can at best solve the problem partially this year, and we will have to take it up again next year.

A.

There are difficulties in the way of treating the schools started under the expansion scheme, as schools opened under the compulsory education scheme. The former scheme does not contemplate compulsion, while the latter does; and if provision has to be made for compulsory attendance in all the schools started under the expansion scheme, the cost will become enormous, and the districts concerned will be put far ahead of other districts in the compulsory education programme.

45105 EB

Ex P.H. No. 26195 B2/46-7
19-8-46

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVT.
19 AUG 1946
FINANCE DEPARTMENT

Finance

Finance (Exp.A).

H 17-22 Cf. of (H)

In G.O.542 Edn. dated 12-4-43, the Govt. approved a scheme of expansion of elementary education in the areas of the four District Boards in the Ceded districts, the East Godavari Agency, Chittoor and Salem District Boards. The Government sanctioned a subsidy of Rs 3.50 lakhs for distribution among these District Boards for the purpose, [redacted]. This subsidy was increased to a maximum of Rs 5.84 lakhs with effect from 1945-6 and the amount was ordered to be distributed among the District Boards concerned in the ratio in which the original subsidy of Rs 3.50 lakhs was apportioned. It was made clear that the amount allotted to each District Board was intended to promote expansion and not to relieve the District Boards of any of their existing burdens in respect of elementary education and that the scheme should be framed by each District Board in such a manner that the recurring commitment at no time exceeded the amount of subsidy payable to the District Board. ~~Nevertheless~~ Nevertheless, some of the District Boards incurred expenditure in 1945-6 in excess of the Government subsidy. Some have also made provisions in their budgets for the current year for expenditure on the expansion scheme far in excess of the Govt. subsidy. While ~~thexxxx~~ examining the budgets of the District Boards for 1946-7 the Presidents, District Boards, were told by the Govt. that the cost of the scheme should be reduced and limited to the Govt. subsidy for the purpose. The President, Dt. Board, Anantapur, made some representations in this connection. Subsequently, the Presidents of the Bellary, Kurnool and Anantapur Dt.Boards, ~~and~~ the D.E.O., Cuddapah Dt.Board and the Special officer, Chittoor Dt.Board waited in deputation on H.M.(Edn.) and H.M.(L.A). The suggestions made by them are;

G.O. 1285 Edn 22-8-45
H 23-25 Cf. of (R)
of para 3 on p 23 cf. ibid
para 1 ibid

B

of SH on p 7 cf.

of para 3 on p 2 above

X on p 2 above

H 1-9, 13-19 cf

(1) that the expenditure on dearness allowance should be met by the Government by means of a special grant; and if this is not possible,

p 57 cf. and H.M.(Edn)'s minute on pp 7-8 above
3) to the staff employed under the expansion scheme

(2) that the savings from out of the provisions made for midday meals in 1945-6 should be adjusted to the credit of the Dt.Boards for utilisation during the current year towards meeting the deficit under the expansion scheme; and

p 57 cf.

N

(3) that the schools which will have to be closed by way of retrenchment may be considered to have been opened in the place of all or some of the centres proposed under the scheme of compulsion to be extended during 1946-7.

N

The Hon'ble the Minister for Education does not agree to the first suggestion. He, however, considers that the adjustment referred to in item (2) above may be made and that ~~the~~ suggestion (3) is worth considering. H.M. (Edn) has also minuted that the Presidents of The Dt. Boards are agreeable to the above. The E.P.H. Dept. have examined these suggestions and propose that the Govt. should undertake to pay the expenditure incurred by the District Boards by way of dearness allowance to the teachers to the extent involved in cases of schools started with the subsidy amount. They are of the view that all other solutions suggested, viz., items (2) and (3) above, may become unnecessary if dearness allowance is granted at Govt cost.

B on p 8 above

A on p 7 and C on p 8 above & D on p 8 above

pp 9-12 above

M on p 12 above

A on p 12 above

2. The argument adduced by the E.P.H. Dept. in support of their proposal for sanctioning a special subsidy towards the cost of dearness allowance to teachers under the expansion scheme is that, when the expansion scheme was framed, the payment of dearness allowance was not contemplated and when dearness allowance became payable subsequently, the cost of the scheme had gone up through no fault of the District Boards.

A

P on pp 11-12 above

It may be noted that the position stated at A above does not seem to be quite correct. The maximum subsidy payable, the expenditure provided for in the B.E for 1946-7 by the Dt. Boards, and the resultant excess expenditure are as shown below:-

Name of the Dt. Board.	Maximum subsidy payable.	Expre provided in the budget	Excess expenditure
(1)	Rs (2)	Rs (3)	Rs (4)
1) Anantapur	73,400	2,38,144	1,64,744
2) Bellary	63,400	1,29,550	66,150
3) Cuddapah	50,000	79,320	29,300
4) Kurnool	66,740	1,23,160	56,420
5) Chittoor	93,500	1,81,360	87,860
6) Salem	2,25,260	6,55,510	4,30,250
7) East Godavari Agency	11,700	7,000	---

[Cols 1-3 Statement at Y on p 2 above]

The expenditure in the case of items (1) and (6) is about thrice the subsidy and that in the case of items (2), (4) and (5) is

---

DWD

twice the subsidy. ~~These~~ Such a large excess cannot be solely ascribed to the payment of dearness allowance. (For example, the expenditure incurred on dearness allowance by the Anantapur Dt. Board in 1945-6 was only Rs 41,278 against a subsidy of Rs 73,400). ~~The~~ One main reason for the excess expenditure, therefore, seems to be that the Presidents, District Boards have opened an unduly large number of schools without ~~due regard to~~ taking care to keep the addl expr within the subsidy allotted to them. Another factor which contributed to the increased expenditure is that additional teachers have been employed in existing schools as part of the expansion scheme. The expenditure under the employment of additional teachers in existing schools is unauthorised in view of the instructions at X on p.20 C.F. of G.O.542 Edn dated 12-4-43. There seems to be no justification to sanction a special grant towards the excess expenditure incurred by the Presidents Dt. Boards on account of the measures mentioned above in view also of the specific instructions of the Govt. referred to at B on page 13 above. If the District Boards are asked to close down a sufficient number of new schools and abolish or exclude from the scope of the scheme the additional posts of teachers in existing schools, the expenditure can be kept within the maximum subsidy.

B on p 2 C.F.

cf para 3 on p 11 above

It is not stated in the instns that the subsidy shd not be used to pay addl teachers in existing schools. All that is said is that it shd be used for the expansion of el. education. No doubt the intention was that it shd be used only for new schools. It is a pity that this was not made quite clear.
DWD

H

para 3, p 11 above

It is true that, when the expansion scheme was first framed, the payment of dearness allowance was not contemplated. But, subsequently, this Department have held the view that the expenditure on dearness allowance to teachers under the scheme should also be met from out of the special subsidy. While agreeing ~~whithxthi~~ with this view, E.P.H. ~~have~~ noted that to the extent to which dearness allowance forms a charge on the special subsidy, the number of schools provided out of it would ~~will~~ get reduced. The decision that the expenditure on the grant of dearness allowance to teachers employed under the expansion scheme should be met out of the special subsidy paid for the scheme was taken in 1944 and there seems to be no reason for reconsidering the question now. The District Boards should have been aware that the payment of dearness allowance would increase the expenditure on the expansion scheme beyond the limit of the Govt. subsidy and should have made retrenchments to the extent necessary then and there. If the District Boards have incurred

P on p 11 above

A on p 19 of ©

Z on p 23 of ©

& cf para 5 on p 17 of ©

CSK
22-8-46
DWD

expenditure over and above the Govt. subsidy on their own responsibility, it seems that they will have to meet such expenditure from their own funds. In case they require any help in regard to the increased expenditure incurred during 1945-6, the question of granting them temporary advances for 1946-7 may be considered if the District Boards move in the matter.

The expansion scheme ~~is~~ was intended to promote expansion of elementary education in the more backward districts and not to relieve the District Boards of ~~the~~ any existing burdens nor to enable them to avoid a reasonable amount of expenditure on elementary education from their own funds in future. The ~~expansion~~ scheme was not made applicable to all Districts. The~~se~~ 7 District Boards in question are therefore, already enjoying a concession in the form of additional subsidy towards elementary education, which is not shown to other Dt. Boards, and it does not seem that the Govt. should go further to their assistance by sanctioning special grants towards the expenditure on dearness allowance to teachers ~~under the expansion scheme~~ as has been proposed. It may be noted that the provision made originally for granting subsidies to these District Boards was Rs 3.50 lakhs per annum. It was raised subsequently to Rs 5.84 lakhs per annum. The cost involved in the proposal to pay a special grant on account of dearness allowance is not known; but it ~~will~~ would be large and would involve a large increase over the present ~~the~~ maximum subsidy of Rs 5.84 lakhs. ~~will still go up.~~ For the reasons stated above, and in view of the heavy commitments of the Govt. on account of food subsidies, prohibition etc., Finance may advise against the proposal to give a special grant to the Dt. Boards in question to meet the expenditure under dearness allowance incurred in connection with the elementary education expansion scheme.

X on p20 of (H)

(H)

M
CSK 22.8.46
DWD

(H)

p. 111 of (L) and p 23 of (R)

M
CSK 22.8.46
DWD

3. If, however, E.P.H press the proposal, they may be requested to make an estimate of the extra cost & ~~to circulate the case to H.P.M. In case it is decided to proceed with the scheme~~ to follow the 'New Service' procedure, ~~will have to be followed~~ as the extra expenditure involved will be large.

CSK 22.8.46
DWD

4. The views of E.P.H. Secretary at A on p.12 above on & the other two suggestions of the Presidents, Dt. Boards, may be concurred in, in this Department.

N
CSK 22.8.46

& N on H 13 of above

NB 22.8.46

Usd 22.8.46

The scheme of expansion of elementary education sanctioned in the G.O. at (H) was intended to promote elementary education in the more backward districts and was made applicable only to such backward districts. It does not apply to the other districts in the Province. The grant sanctioned by the Government should be deemed to be for meeting all item of expenditure connected with the above scheme including payment of dearness allowance. It is an all-inclusive grant sanctioned by the Government and no additional grant is admissible for payment of dearness allowance. The District Boards were really at fault in having stepped beyond their mark and it is not necessary that the Government should remedy their mistake by undertaking further additional expenditure. In the circumstances, this Department must suggest that the District Boards should be asked (1) to abolish all additional posts of teachers sanctioned by them in the existing schools at once and (2) to close down as many new schools opened under the expansion scheme as may be necessary to limit the expenditure including dearness allowance to the maximum subsidy sanctioned by the Government for the purpose, ~~and to cover the deficit of previous years~~.

A on p19 of (C)
& Z on p22 of (C)

& Z on p23 (C)

As for the deficits now existing, the District Boards may meet them from the general accounts or other sources and wherever not possible they may apply to the Government for an advance to cover the deficits.

A

If the E.P.H.Dept. do not agree to the above suggestions and wish to press their proposal they may be requested to obtain orders in circulation including HPM and follow the 'New Service' procedure, as the additional expenditure involved will be large.

Fin no 45105 EB-1
23-8-46

CSK 23.8.46

DWD 23.8.46

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.
49012
6 AUG 1946

Edn and P.H. dept.

The ~~proposal~~ question for consideration in this file is how the ~~cost of~~ excess expenditure under the expansion scheme is to be met. A perusal of the notes on pages 1 to 2 ante, will disclose that District Boards have formulated schemes of expansion far beyond their ~~views~~ means. The following suggestions have been put forward to restrict the expenditure under the expansion scheme to the Government subsidy.

(i) The cost of dearness allowance should be exluded from the expansion scheme and ~~as~~ a separate subsidy should be paid for the purpose from Provincial Funds.

(ii) That the scheme of extension of compulsory elementary education may be stopped and the schools opened under the expansion scheme may be treated as having been opened under the Compulsory Education Scheme.

(i) above.

Attention is solicited to the notes on pages 10-11 ante wherein it has been explained how the cost of dearness allowance has increased the commitments under the expansion scheme. This Department has suggested that the cost of dearness allowance should be excluded from the scope of the scheme and a separate subsidy paid from provincial funds. The Finance department are not inclined to accept this suggestion.

We may press our views, as far as payment of D.A is concerned
9.9.46

(ii) above.

It has been pointed out in the notes on pages 10-11 ante that the suggestion to treat some of the schools opened under the expansion scheme as those opened under the Compulsory Elementary Education Scheme may interfere with the general policy followed by the Director in regard to the selection of villages for compulsory education. Secretary has ordered that the D.P.I. may be consulted on the suggestion. For orders whether a reference should be issued to him now (before the file is circulated.)

D.P.I. may be consulted
9.9.46

2. In paragraph 3 of the note on page 11 ante, it was pointed out that the pay of additional teachers employed in schools opened before the expansion scheme was launched ~~could~~ should not be debited to the special subsidy paid for expansion scheme. A suggestion was made that ~~the~~ District Boards may be asked to abolish those posts if they could not maintain them from the surplus in the Elementary Education fund account. For orders whether instructions on th~~is~~ese lines may be issued.

Yes; and they should be told that any schools which have not been started or expanded under the scheme, are entitled under 3. and ~~to scope~~ of our previous, and that any attempts to close down such schools under colour of ~~[illegible]~~ Government orders on the expansion scheme, will have to be separately justified on their own merits.
9.9.46

---

(7)

3. In his note on page 7 ante, H.M. (Education), has ordered that the savings in the subsidy paid for schemes of compulsory education and supply of midday meals may be utilised to cover the excess expenditure under the expansion scheme in 1945 - 1946. Such an arrangement can be ~~made~~ ~~made~~ sanctioned for 1945-1946 alone. Special measures should be devised for meeting the deficit under the expansion scheme which is a recurring one. As observed by Secretary, this matter may be examined if and when the need arises.

9.9.46

(d)

4 A statement is put up below showing the cost of the scheme as provided in the budget for 1946-1947, the cost as ~~reported subsequently~~ worked out from the figures and the cost as it ~~may~~ become modified if the suggestions above are carried out. It will be seen from the statement that the cost of the scheme can be reduced substantially by leaving out the expenditure on dearness allowance. The Dt. Boards, Bellary and Cuddapah will get the needed relief without any retrenchment. In the case of Dt. Boards Kurnool and Chittoor ~~some~~ a few posts of additional teachers employed in schools opened long before the expansion scheme was launched may have to be abolished. In the case of Anantapur the cost of the scheme cannot be brought down even after all the posts of additional teachers are abolished. The scope of the scheme should itself be modified.

9.9.46

9.9.46

5 The file may be circulated for orders on the points raised in the notes above.

7.9.46

9/9/46

circulated

H.M. (Edn)

10/9/46

H.P.M.

20

(1)(i). It is true that the District Boards, when they were sanctioned the extra amounts for expansion ~~com~~ of education, did not contemplate that they will have to pay such a large amount of dearness allowance and ~~in~~ view of that fact, ~~the only course open to us is that~~ in the present circumstances the Provincial Government ~~should~~ may come forward to pay the dearness allowance for those teachers who have been employed under the expansion scheme previously sanctioned by Government.

(ii) I think it is, in my opinion, perfectly correct ~~to meet the~~ in the present emergencies, to consider some of the schools opened under the expansion schemes as though opened under the compulsory elementary education scheme. I do not think it is necessary even to refer to the D.P.I. about it. It is a matter of adjustment and it may be done. If necessary the D.P.I.'s consent may be obtained.

(2) If by the above methods the budgets of the various District Boards could not be met they may be asked to abolish certain new posts they have created in the old schools.

(3) While the necessary adjustments may be made in 1945-46 the matter may be examined ~~in~~ for the future also.

(4) If in the case of Anantapur the budgets cannot be balanced, the matter of abolishing some of the inefficient elementary schools now existing may be considered.

TSA
12-9-46

12/9/46.

H.P.M.
12/10/46

E. and P.H. [B.2]

It has been decided in circulation that a separate subsidy should be paid from provincial funds towards the cost of dearness allowance to teachers employed under the expansion scheme. The extra liability on this account to Govt. will be about Rs 3 lakhs (recurring). The Finance department has already pointed out that the procedure prescribed ~~in the rules~~ for new service should be followed in this case.

14 OCT 1946

The file has been seen only by H.M.(Education) and H.P.H. It has now to be circulated to all the other Hon'ble Ministers and H.E. As the matter is really urgent, it seems sufficient if the file is circulated only to the H.Ms. in the City and H.E., ~~the~~ a note being circulated to all the other H.Ms.

2. H.M.(Edn.) has accepted the request made by the P.D.B., that savings in the subsidy paid for the scheme of compulsory education and midday meals should be utilised for covering the excess expenditure under the expansion scheme in 1945-46. This point will be examined separately with reference to the needs of each district Boards.

3. A draft order is submitted. Finance department may see before circulation.

21/10/46.

E.P.H. 26195-B2-8
22.10.46
(Confidential)

24 OCT 1946

Recd. on 25

Finance 57935 E.P.H.
29.x.46

Finance (Exp. A)

Previous Finance note on pp. 13 - 17 above, the notes following and the orders in circulation on p. 20 above may be perused.

2. As the proposal is on a 'New Service' and urgent, E.P.H. propose to circulate the case to the H.Ms in the City and H.E., a note being circulated to all the other H.Ms.

3. The case may be returned to E.P.H.

28.x.46

28.x.46

A.S.

30 OCT 1946

29/x/46

E.P.H.

24

26195-B2
9/12/46

each of the districts in question and the total of 1.40 lakhs in 1946-47 will also be exceeded. It seems Finance will have to see ~~the~~ case again if H.M.'s proposal is to be given effect to. The file may be recirculated to H.M. Edn. and H.P.M. before ~~it is~~ circulation to H.E.

3/12/46 S

3/12/46

Please copy Secy. Instruction 25 in the revised Business Rules proposed to be issued.

The original proposal ~~may~~ be passed.

TSA
4-12-46

I agree with Finance & Hon Rev.

T.P. 5/12/46.

The file may be re-circulated to H.M. (Edn) for perusal of H.P.M.'s minute. If H.M. (Edn) desires to press the proposal, it seems that the matter may be discussed at a meeting of the council of Ministers with the permission of the Prime Minister.

6/12/46 S

6/12/46

TSA
7-12-46

It is presumed that H.M. (Edn) desires to discuss the matter at a meeting of the council of Ministers. The file may be circulated to H.P.M. thro' H.M. (Edn) for orders.

9/12/46 S

9/12/46

No, the proposal as originally agreed by Finance may be accepted.

TSA
10-12-46

SECRETARY, EDN. AND P. H. DEPT.
0 - DEC 1946
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

SECRETARY, EDN. AND P. H. DEPT.
1 - DEC 1946
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

SECRETARY, EDN. AND P. H. DEPT.
14 DEC 1946
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

---

25 (46) E & P H Dept.

The suggestions made in this file for the curtailment of expenditure under the expansion scheme are the following:-

para 2, p 11 ante

1) Exclusion of expenditure on account of Dearness allowance

P a p 10 ante

2) Treating some of the schools opened under expansion scheme as those opened under the scheme of compulsory ~~scheme~~ ES.

para 3 p 11 ante

3) Abolition of posts of teachers appointed in schools not ~~opened~~ under the expansion scheme.

p.16 ante

The Finance dept. has objected to (1) above, but have agreed to (2) + (3) above. If the expenditure under the expansion scheme cannot be brought within the Government subsidy by adopting the alternatives ~~in~~ (2) + (3) above, the size of the scheme should be modified by effecting retrenchments.

p 16 ante

p 15 ante

2. So far as this year is concerned the expenditure under the expansion scheme may exceed the govt subsidy. The question as to how the excess expenditure is to be met may be decided on receipt of the report to be called for from the Dt. Affairs in the draft.

69354 E&PA

3. The revised draft below is for approval. ~~Finance may see before cir.~~

~~4. The file may be submitted to HM~~

SECRETARY TO GOVT.
20 DEC 1946
FINANCE DEPARTMENT

Rd D.H. no 26195 B2/46-1
20.12.46

17/12/46

19/12/46 S

7 im. (Exp. A)

Please see the last 7 im. note and p. 21, the orders in circulation on p. 24 above and the notes following. With reference to para 2 above attention is invited to this dept.'s note at A on p. 17 above wherein it has been suggested that in cases where the Dt. Bds. are not able to meet the excess expenditure from the General Account or other sources, they may be asked to apply for advances to cover deficits. The last sentence of the draft may therefore be altered suitably as indicated in pencil.

24.12.46.

26/12

As regards item (2) on p. 25 above, it may be pointed out that this dept. merely ~~not~~ agreed (at N on p. 16 above) with the views of E. P. H. Secretary at B on p. 12 above. They did not specifically agree that the schools opened under the expansion scheme ~~might~~ be treated as having been opened under the compulsory elementary education scheme.

At ② on p. 17 above Fin. suggested the closing of as many new schools opened under the expansion scheme as may be necessary to limit the expen. including D.A. to the max. of subsidy

24.12.46.

26/12

As it is however now proposed to treat only some of the schools opened under the expansion scheme as those started under the scheme of compulsory elementary education and to restrict the total number of children ~~to~~ in each district to the number ~~[illegible]~~ up to which the Govt. have already agreed to bear the cost of compulsory elementary education, this dept. need not object to ~~the proposals in~~ para 3 of the draft. (It is presumed that in the case of District Boards where additional schools have already been opened separately under the compulsory elementary education scheme, the schools opened under the expansion scheme will be closed to the extent necessary)

At X on p. 18 above E.P.H. Secy noted that the D.P.I. may be consulted on this point as it may interfere with the general policy followed by the D.P.I. in regard to the selection of villages. It does not seem desirable to adopt this course now in the absence of the D.P.I.'s views.

26.12.46.

DPI's views may be got first.

26/12

~~Subject to the above remarks, the draft order may be accepted.~~

Draft cannot be accepted till the point above is settled.

26/12

DR
23-12-46

24.12.46.

D.S.

E.P.H.

26/12

Recd 69354 E & P.H.
26.12.46

EPH

26195 B2
4/1/47.

E & P.H. Deptt
B2

A draft Memo is subd. w r t Finance deptt's note on page 26 ante.

27/12/46 S

4/1

With refce: to the portion sidelined 'Z' in H M's minute on page 20 ante, he may see the draft Memo before issue.

4/1/47

4/1

H.M. (Edn.)

SECRETARY, EDN. AND P. H. DEPT.
6 - JAN 1947
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

TSA

[Memo No. 26195 B2/46 d. 6-1-47] 4.12-46

pp 61-62 cf

C No. 2547. From the D.P.I. Madras

p 63 cf

R.C. No. 78 B1/47 dt 11.1.47

Please see the memo to the D.P.I., and his reply on pages 61 to 64 c.f.

The Director is of opinion that proposals already sanctioned for extension of compulsory elementary education need not be modified. He suggests that some of the villages to be selected for introduction of compulsory elementary education in 1947-48 may be from the areas in which schools have been opened under the expansion scheme. The Director's suggestion will not solve the problem of reducing expenditure on account of the expansion schemes in 1946-47.

The Schemes of expansion relate to seven District Boards. The scheme of compulsory elementary education does not apply to one of them, viz., East Godavari Agency Dt. Board and in the case of this District Board the expenditure on account of the expansion scheme does not exceed the Government subsidy. Of the remaining

p.t.o.

remaining six district boards, orders for extension of compulsory elementary education have already been issued in the case of five district boards. The case of the sixth District Board, viz. Bellary, is pending, in view of the question raised in this file. The President, District Board, Anantapur, has reported that the orders of Government relating to compulsory elementary education have not been given effect to. If the suggestion of the D.P.I., above is accepted, only the District Boards of Anantapur and Bellary can now be permitted to select some of the villages in which schools have been opened under the expansion scheme for extension of compulsory elementary education. In the case of remaining District Boards, the cost of the expansion scheme can be reduced only by drastic reduction of expenditure. But as the year is almost over, it is doubtful whether anything substantial by way of reduction of expenditure can be achieved. These District Boards can be allowed to select villages in which schools have been opened under the expansion scheme for the extension of compulsory elementary education only in 1947-48.

As the expenditure under the expansion scheme is a recurring one and any savings effected this year will be very small, a special advance (loan) or subsidy may have to be given from Provincial funds - vide the H.M's minute on pages 7 to 8 ante.

A

'A' & 'D'

The file may be sent to Finance for remarks before circulation.

30/1/47 S

31/1/47

E & PH U.O. 26195-B2/46-10
3.2.47

Fin. (Exp. A)

Please see the previous Fin. note on pp. 13 - 17 & 25 - 26 above and the notes following.

This dept. have already noted on p. 17 above that in cases where the District Boards are not able to meet the excess expenditure from the General Account or other sources they may be asked to apply for the advances to cover deficits and H.F.M. has agreed with this dept.'s view - see p. 24 above. This dept. may therefore advise against the payment of any special subsidy as suggested at A on p.28 above.

A

P
12.2.47

C.S.
11.2.47

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
12514
8 FEB 1947
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.

DR
10.2.47

E & P.H. may advise the Local Boards concerned to apply for 'Advances to cover deficits' if they are unable to meet the excess expr. from their 'Genl. Funds'.

C.S.
11.2.47

D.S.
E & P.H.

P
12/2/47

Fin. U.O. 8265-A.Exp.A-1,
11/2.

E - PH.

C.S. J.P.U. Depn
A

The file relates to the question as to how the excess expenditure under the expansion scheme may be avoided. The following suggestions have been made in this connexion:-

(1) Payment of a separate subsidy towards the cost of dearness allowance, granted to teachers employed in schools opened under the expansion scheme (Under the existing orders, the ~~ex~~ cost of D.A. should be met from the spl. subsidy itself)

(2) Treating some of the schools opened under the expansion scheme, as those opened under the scheme of Compulsory Ele.Edn.

(3) Abolition of posts of additional teachers employed in schools not opened under the Expansion Scheme.

(4) Other measures of retrenchment expenditure.

M on p.16 ante
H.M. Revd. orders on
A 2-2-23
H.F.M.'s orders on
p. 17

(1) above: It has been decided in circn. that no such subsidy need be paid.

(2) above: The D.P.I. considers that it may not be proper to interfere with the scheme of Compulsory Ele.Edn. already sanctioned. ~~In the notes~~ As explained in the note on p.28 ante, the scheme of compulsory ele.edn. has not been extended in the area of the Bellary Dt.Bd., In the case of Anantapur, the scheme, though sanctioned has not been implemented.

P.T.O.

In the circumstances it appears that the suggestion under (2) above can be acted upon only in the case of Anantapur and Bellary if the Director's recommendation is to be accepted.

(3) and (4) above: These alternatives may have to be followed in the case of 4 Dt.Bds., Kurnool, Cuddapah, Chittoor and Salem, in which the scheme of compulsory ele.edn. has already been extended this year (according to the D.P.I., the scheme already sanctioned should not be interfered with) In the case of Anantapur and Bellary, also, these alternatives may be adopted if the savings resulting from the acceptance of the proposal under (2) above are not sufficient to avoid the excess expenditure under the expansion scheme.

2. So far as the current year is concerned, there will be an excess expenditure under the expansion scheme, which will not be covered by the Govt. subsidy. The Fin.Dept.suggest that advances may be paid from provl.funds, to cover the excess, if it cannot be met otherwise.

p29 ante

3. The question has also been raised, whether the savings in the subsidy paid for the supply of mid-day meals to school children in compulsory areas in 1945-46 may not be adjusted towards the excess expenditure under the expansion scheme this year. It is not clear from the notes whether the Fin.Dept. have agreed to this suggestion. The matter will be separately examined in consultation with Finance.

'A' on pp 7 & 12 ante
para 4, p'16 ante
para 2, p21 ante

4. The file may be circulated to H.M.(Edn.) for orders.

(1) whether the scheme of compulsory ele.edn.already extended in the areas of Kurnool, Chittoor, Cuddapah and Salem Dt.Bds., need not be interfered with and whether some of the schools opened under the expansion scheme may be treated as those opened under the compulsory edn.scheme in the case of the remaining two Dt.Bds., Anantapur and Bellary.

P

(2) Whether the Boards may be asked to abolish posts of teachers created in schools not opened under the expansion scheme ~~(this will xxx apply to Anantapur and Bellary alone)~~ (if the excess expenditure under the scheme cannot be met by the adoption of the suggestion as at 'A' above).

× This will apply only to Anantapur & Bellary

2695-[illegible]
22/3/47

IX 9

(3) whether the Boards may be asked to modify the scope of the scheme by retrenchment of expenditure further if the adoption of the suggestions (1) and (2) above next year will not bring in sufficient savings.

20.2.47

20/2/47 S

21/2/47

H.D. (Edn.)

SECRETARIAT, EDN. AND P.H. DEPT.
27 FEB 1947
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

I am afraid these suggestions above alone will not do. Suggestion (1) may be agreed to. ~~Suggestions (2) and (3) may also be agreed to but~~ I would like a 4th suggestion to be also examined. The ban on increase of the Education cess has been removed in another file allowing the District Boards to increase their education cess to make up their elementary education expenditure. This matter may be examined in consultation with the ~~H.D.~~ Dept. (L.A.). I would prefer this, rather than closing down the schools that have been already opened.

TSA
26.2.47

H.M has agreed to the proposals at P on p.30 ante. The suggestion made therein will tend to reduce the cost of the expansion schemes relating to Anantapur and Bellary.

2. Apparently HM does not accept suggestions (2)+(3) viz retrenchments of expenditure to reduce the cost of the scheme. Submitted that the question of enhancement of Education tax has separately been taken up in connection with improvement of resources of Dist Boards for meeting the additional cost of revision of salaries. Secretary has recorded the view that the rate of education tax may be raised in the ratio which the cost of revision of salaries bears to the present commitment. In many cases, even the additional income of this formula will not be sufficient to meet the cost of revision of salaries; ~~[illegible]~~

(d)

No ~~orders~~ decision on the [illegible] taken yet on this; this [illegible], I think, [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] may be taken up.

Please see above: the question of our [illegible] [illegible] in a rate higher than under this formula cannot be [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

In the circumstances it does not appear that the tax can be raised further for providing funds for the expansion scheme [illegible]. In the case of the Dist Boards of Kurnool, Cuddappah, Chittoor & Salem retrenchments seems inevitable. In the cases of Anantapur & Bellary retrenchments may also have to be effected if the savings resulting after the adoption of suggestion (i) are not sufficient to cover the excess Expenditure.

Level [illegible] upon [illegible] are low.

3. The file may be submitted to AM (vide para, p 29 ante and the minute on p 31 ante.)

5/3

5/3/47.

ORM
6/3/47 S

7/3

HM (Law.)

I am against retrenchment being made. This will create a lot of agitation in the public in those places where this retrenchment is being made. It is up to us to find sources of taxation for the Local Boards which will give them or give us sufficient revenue to meet the educational needs. The papers in connection with the raising of the education cess may be put up for final orders. Even that ~~being~~ is done soon, there will be some relief. That it will not be sufficient relief is no reason for holding ~~on~~ up a decision in that respect. That matter may be expedited.

TSA
3.4.47

SECRETARY, EDN. AND P. H. DEPT.
5 - APR 1947
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

A draft order with refce to the decisions reached in this file is put up. The question whether the rate of edn. tax should be ~~further~~ raised for meeting the excess expenditure under the expansion scheme may be put to the Spl. Officer for remarks ~~[illegible]~~ in the first instance. HM (Edu) may see.

SECRETARY, EDN. AND P. H. DEPT.
15 APR 1947
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

11/4

ORM
10/4/47 S

11/4

HM. (Edn.) TSA
13-4-47

-4-47