Scott II-27—58,004—4-11-46.

# Et PH (1948) Department.

## Ms. SERIES.

①

**G.O. NO.** 2724 Edn.

**Dated** 19. 12. 47.

---

**[Despatch Abstract.]**

Rules – Grant-in-Aid Code – Rule 32 (i) – Amendment – Issued

## Back Papers.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| " | 1938 | Edn | 4.9.47 | | | | |

## Further Papers.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | |

---

2/5 (P) ②

JOINT SECRE[illegible]
2[illegible] APR 47
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENT

Mn. ~~AR~~

Secretary, E. & P.H.

We have issued orders recently that the local boards should collect ten instalments of fees in the schools. A representation has been received from aided agencies that a general direction may be issued from the department that the aided schools also ~~are allowed to~~ may raise up to ten instalments. But in such cases, the aided schools must be told that the salaries of the teachers must be the first concern and they must pay at least in accordance with the local board rates.

TSA
28-4-47

H.M. Education.

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
29 APR 1947
36682
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.

E&PH-U.O. 36682/47-B.1
3/5/47

Office – Send copy by [illegible]
1.5

3/5/47

E.&P.H. Dept.

May be sent U.O. to the ~~Secy~~ Director of Public Instruction for necessary action.

Mn 30/4 [illegible] 30/4/47

W 1.5.47

S [illegible] 3/5/47

8

27/8/5

12/8/5

Sent a copy also to the D.P.I.

Despd 12/6/5

given instructions to the Provincial Motor Transport Controller in some cases to give priority to certain individuals; and if so, whether it was on the ground of 'essentiality';

(*d*) whether appeal lies to Government from the orders of the Provincial Motor Transport Controller in regard to permits for the purchase of new cars; and

(*e*) whether the Government will place on the table of the House a list of priorities prepared for guidance of the Provincial Motor Transport Controller?

M. SURYA RAO,
*Secretary to the Legislative Council.*

---

THE RAJAH'S HIGH SCHOOL
NILESHWAR S. KANARA
Rc. No 261/47 7/10
7 OCT. 1947

THE RAJAH'S HIGH SCHOOL,
Nileshwar: 7- 10- 1947.

(3)

MINISTER FOR EDN.
10-10-47
GOVT. OF MADRAS

11 OCT 1947

From

Sri T.C. Ravi Varma Valiya Rajah,
Manager & Correspondent,
The Rajah's High School, Nileshwar.

To

The Hon'ble Minister for Education,
Madras.

Sir,

87108

Sub:- Enhancement of school fees from 8 to 10 instalments at Standard Rates - Basis of Teaching Grant to Aided Secondary Schools - requesting clarification.

Ref:- Amendments to Madras Educational Rules - Rules 86(b) and 89(A) - G.O. Ms No 1938 Education, 4th Sept. 1947.

***

(9)

This letter though from an individual school is representative in character, in that the amendment to the Educational Rules quoted above has left the Managements of Aided Secondary Schools in doubt.

2. Till now, the grant to Secondary Schools has been calculated on the basis of 8 instalments at Standard Rates.

3. Now that the rules have been amended, the instalments have been raised to 10.

4. Unless the Government sanction grants on the basis of 8 instalments as has been done hitherto, in effect there will be no difference in the grants sanctioned even though the proportion of grant has been enhanced from 50% to 66 2/3%.

5. The Managements have not the least doubt that it is the intention of the Government to sanction grants on the basis of 10 instalments, as the object of the Government in raising the proportion to 66 2/3% is only to enable the Managements to pay their teachers at District Board or Government rates.

6. Most of the Managements at present have therefore adopted the District Board or Government scales of salary in their schools.

7. It is therefore prayed that you will be pleased to issue a communique clarifying that teaching grants will be calculated on the basis of 8 instalments at Standard Rates so that the Managements may rest at ease.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

E+PH (B)

T.M (Boyport)

(4)

D 28/7

Special

From
The Assistant Secretary E+PH Dept
To /
The Deputy DPI

DPI 28/10

Will you please let me know urgently whether in the case of aided secondary schools in which fees were levied in more than eight instalments ~~previously~~ prior to the issue of G.O. 475 Edn. 10.5.47 the income derived from the levy of only eight instalments of fees at standard rates was taken into account for arriving at the net cost for purposes of assessment of teaching grant or the income derived from ~~standard rates~~ fees at standard rates on ~~the~~ ~~number~~ of all the (nine or ten) instalments of fees actually collected. This information is required urgently for examining the suggestion ~~made~~ that instructions should be issued that teaching grants should be calculated on the basis of eight instalments at standard rates instead of 10.

28/x/47

---

131 AS IV ⑤ 4

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
21 OCT 1947
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.

83956

A

Secretary, E. & P.H.

We have issued orders that aided institutions may collect ten instalments of fees. Certain institutions have made enquiries whether the standard rate has been raised to ten instalments. We have not passed any such orders. The amendment that has been recently published in the Gazette must refer only to the permission that we have given to the aided institutions to raise the instalments of fees to ten. That does not increase the number of standard rates to ten. Orders may be issued clarifying that for matters of grant the existing system of eight instalments at the rates prescribed stand.

TSA
20-10-47

H.M. Education;

Please see the T.M. on pages 2-3 ante.

This may lie over pending a reply from the D.P.I. to the T.M.

28.10.47

28/10/47

29-X-47

[illegible]

5

'A' above whether there was anything in the
discussions to show that it was intended
to alter the "Standard Vote".

The original of this G.O. reference to above is put up in another file. Sd to H.M.Edn?

---

B1

6/⑥

Secretary, E.& P.H.

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
28 OCT 1947
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.

I have already sent a note about this.

A draft reply may be put up for this letter.

T.S.A.
27-10-47

H.M. Education.

85048
M.W.

28 OCT 1947

E&PH(D.)

This may be added to the main file containing the note mentioned by HME.

28.10.47

31/10/47

3/X

PHONE NO. 8154
From
THE REV. D. THAMBUSAMI, M.A., L.T., B.D.,
PRINCIPAL

THE KELLETT HIGH SCHOOL,
TRIPLICANE, MADRAS.

(7) 7/

*Ref. No.* 12 20th Octr. 1947.

⑦

The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

Through
The District Educational Officer,
Madras.

Sub: Tuition fees in Secondary Schools - Levy in 10 instalments.
Ref: Roc.No.822 E.4/47 dated 29th Septr.'47.

Sir,

It was only very recently that the aided managements had the great joy of hearing that grants to aided managements will be assessed at 2/3rds of the nett cost instead of half. A few days after this, we heard to our dismay, that Government was contemplating to propose an increase in the standard rate of fees. On account of this apprehension a deputation ~~thereupon~~ of the Christian Education Council waited upon the Minister, who gave an assurance to them orally that this did not mean officially increasing the standard rate of fees either in number or in amount, but that such local boards and Municipalities that wanted to increase the rate of fees may be allowed to do so. But the recent circular announcing that the standard rate of fees has been raised from 8 to 10 has shown that our fears were not ill-founded and that the very benefit conferred on us by increasing the aid from 1/2 to 2/3 is now being taken away from us by increasing the standard rate of fees from 8 to 10.

We beg to point out this is going to have a serious repurcussion on all private managements. In anticipation of an increase in Government grant, (thus implying an increase in the amount of surplus that will be available for Managements to shoulder their heavy financial burdens), Managements have already given effect to Government scales of salaries in all our Methodist Mission Schools.

Now it looks as though we have been put on the wrong track. We have given effect to Government scales of salaries,

P.T.O.

but there is not going to be any real help from Government towards the heavy financial liabilities which we shall be incurring as from the 1st of January 1947. Till now, Managements, charging 10 instalments or 9 instalments, had the benefit of this extra one or two instalments to tide over difficulties. Even then Managements were finding it difficult to pay teachers at the old rate. When Government announced that a 2/3 grant-in-aid would be given on the nett cost, we assumed that, not only was this difference between 1/2 and 2/3 going to be available, but also the difference between 8 instalments and 10 instalments would be available to Managements. Your Circular makes it clear that Government's intention is not so generous as that at all.

We are afraid that this is also going to complicate affairs where at present there is a keen struggle going on between Managements and the staff, the staff asking for Government scale of salaries and the Managements hesitating to give. Your Circular comes at a psychologically critical moment. This is going to deny the very little hopes that Managements would have had till now of considering teachers' requests favourably. Of course, the Circular has not gained fortunately much publicity, but if and when this comes to be known and made clear to Managements, I am afraid, we fear that a very critical situation would develop.

May we, therefore, appeal to you to consider this with the least possible delay and request you to cancel this, not only because Managements would thus be helped to pay their staff, but because that was the very hope on which many Mana ments, including ours, came forward to pay their staff, sala and allowances at Government rates.

I have the honour to remain,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
SECRETARY,
THE HIGHER EDUCATION COUNCIL.

DT/KT.

Copy to:
1. The Minister for Education, Ft.St.George, Madras.
2. The Deputy Director of Public Instruction in charge of Finance, Madras.
3. The Dist.Edcl.Officer, Madras, ref:L.Dis.473 B/47 of 6-1

---

(8) 9

4/11/47

# Post Copy of Telephonic Message dated 30th October, 1947.

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
1 - NOV
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.

From

The Deputy Director of Public Instruction,
Madras

To

The Assistant Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Education and Public Health Department,
Fort St. George, Madras.

Reference:-Your Post copy of telephonic message dated 28.10.1947 regarding fee income at standard rates for assessment of teaching grant.

Whatever may be the number of instalments of fees levied by managements only 8 instalments at standard rates have been taken into account for arriving at the net cost.

Dy. Director of Public Instruction.

ks.31x.

Education Department.

From
Dr.S.R.U.Savoor, M.A.,D.Sc., I.E.S.,
Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

(9)

To
The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department.

R.C.No.1079.D1.47 dated 15th November 1947.

Sir,

Sub: Rules - Grant-in-aid Code - Rule 32 - Amendment.

Separate file

...

With reference to Government Memorandum No.76752.B1/47-1.Edn. dated 21-10-1947 I have the honour to state that I agree with the suggestion of the Secretary of the South Indian Teachers' Union that for purposes of calculation of grant Rule 32 of the Grant-in-Aid Code should be amended. The following draft amendment is submitted for Government's approval.

"Add the following at the end of the existing Rule 32(1) of the Grant-in-Aid Code: "the number of instalments being restricted to eight".

Sd. M.Abdul Haq,
for Director of Public Instruction.

(True copy)

...oOo...

12

13

EDN.& P.H.(B1).

RULES - Grant-in-Aid Code - Rule 32(i) - ~~Madras Educational Rules - Rule 83~~ - Amendment~~s~~ - Issued.

From the D.P.I., Rc. 1079 D1/47 dated 15-11-47.

P5C7 [illegible]

(10)

ORDER/MS. NO. 2724. EDN., DATED 19. -12-47.

19/12/47

The amount of teaching grant payable for ~~institutions~~ aided secondary schools under private managements in any one year will be regulated according to rule 32(i) of the Grant-in-Aid Code. For purposes of calculation, the approved net cost ~~for~~ in the preceding financial year, i.e., the excess of the approved recurring expenditure over the income from tuition fees reckoned at standard rates is taken into account. It has not been specified in the rule ~~what the standard number of~~ how many instalments of tuition fees should be taken into account for this purpose. In the past, only 8 instalments at standard rates have been taken into account for arriving at the net cost irrespective of the number of instalments of fees levied by managements of aided secondary schools. With the raising of the standard rates of ordinary fees leviable in ~~non-Govt. institutions~~ secondary schools under the management of Govt. and Local Bodies, from 8 to 10 instalments, a doubt has been raised whether the levy of 10 instalments should ~~also~~ be regarded as the standard number of instalments for purposes of calculation of grant for aided secondary schools under private managements. The intention of Govt. is that 8 instalments should continue to be the standard number for purposes of assessment of grant for secondary schools under private management~~s~~. To give effect to this, necessary amendments will be issued to the Grant-in-Aid Code.

2. The following notification~~s~~ will be published in the Fort St. George Gazette:--

NOTIFICATION~~S~~.

GRANT-IN-AID CODE - AMENDMENT~~S~~.

In rule 32(i), at the end of para 1 relating to the fixing of the amount of teaching grant to aided secondary schools, the following shall be added "the number of

-instalments-

p.t.o. -2-

14

instalments being restricted to eight in the case of aided secondary schools under private management only.

XX

17-12-47

S 17/12

To

[illegible] 20/12

The D.P.I.

" S.G.P. for publication in the F.S.G.G.

3 spare copies

---

15

# THE SOUTH INDIA TEACHERS' UNION

520, HIGH ROAD,
TRIPLICANE

No. U/—— Madras, Sept. 1947.

MINISTER FOR EDUCATION
25-9-47
GOVT. OF MADRAS

SECY., EDN. AND P.H. DEPT.
25 SEP 1947
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
26 SEP 1947
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.

(11)

To

The Hon'ble Sri T. S. Avinashilingam Chettiar,
Minister for Education, Government of Madras.

9678

Sir,

In further to our telephonic conversation I am herewith sending you a copy of the Government Order amending the M.E.R. xxx in respect of the standard rate of fees in secondary schools. Possibly an amendment of Rule 32 of the Grant in aid Code specifying the standard rate for purposes of assessment of grant may clarify the issue.

A May I also invite your attention to the fact that the question of the salaries of the Pandits is still pending. In your G.O. issued in June, you were pleased to state that orders xxxx in that regard will soon issue. It appears that in many Local Boards their salaries have not been revised as orders from you are expected. An early decision will be of great help.

B Permit me also to bring to your notice that the Anantapur District Board and certain municipalities in Anantapur and South Arcot have not yet adopted the revised scales of pay for their teachers. These are probably poorer Local Bodies. They have had the benefit neither of the revised scales nor of the bonus. Their case may be sympathetically examined and orders issued directing the Local Bodies concerned to give effect to the revised scales of pay with retrospective effect from 1st January 1947.

Yours sincerely,

S. Natarajan

Secretary.

11-9/

Registered No. M-1] [Price, 3 pies.

⑫

## RULES SUPPLEMENT TO PART I-B
## OF
# THE FORT ST. GEORGE GAZETTE

NO. 18] MADRAS, TUESDAY EVENING, SEPTEMBER 16, 1947

## EDUCATION DEPARTMENT.

### Amendment to rules relating to appointment of teachers in schools maintained by local bodies.

(*G.O. No.* 1803, *Education*, 11*th August* 1947.)

In exercise of the powers conferred by sections 74 (*b*) and 303 (2) (*a*) of the Madras District Municipalities Act, 1920 (Madras Act V of 1920) / 71 (1) (*c*) and 199 (2) (*a*) of the Madras Local Boards Act, 1920 (Madras Act, XIV of 1920), His Excellency the Governor of Madras hereby makes the following amendment to the rules relating to the appointment of teachers in schools maintained by local bodies, published with Education Department Notification No. 215, dated the 28th June 1940, at pages 580 and 581 of Part I-B of the *Fort St. George Gazette*, dated the 23rd July 1940, as subsequently amended :—

AMENDMENT.

In rule 1 of the said rules after sub-rule (3), the following sub-rule shall be added, namely :—

" (4) No person who has not attained the age of 17 years shall be eligible for appointment to any post of teacher in any school maintained by a municipal council/district board or panchayat."

### Amendments to Madras Educational Rules.

(*G.O. Ms. No.* 1937, *Education*, 4*th September* 1947.)

The following amendment to the Madras Educational Rules is published :—

AMENDMENT.

In rule 138, for the following words occurring at the end of the penultimate sub-paragraph, viz., " Provided that they have passed the University Matriculation Examination or are otherwise eligible for admission to University Courses of study," the following shall be substituted, viz. :—

"Provided that either (i) that they have passed the University Matriculation Examination or are otherwise eligible for admission to University Courses of Study or (ii) have secured in the Secondary School-Leaving Certificate or other Public Examination marks qualifying them for admission to public service."

(*G.O. Ms. No.* 1938, *Education, 4th September* 1947.)

The following amendments to Madras Educational Rules are published :—

AMENDMENTS.

(1) In rule 86 (*b*), for the word "four" occurring in line 5, the word "six" shall be substituted and the last sentence relating to the non-collection of fees in Muslim schools during Ramzan shall be deleted.

(2) In rule 89-A, for item II School departments, the following shall be substituted :—

| *Institution and departments.* | *Long term.* | | *Short term.* | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | *In advance.* | *By seven equal instalments.* | *In advance.* | *By three equal instalments.* |
| | | RS. A. P. | | RS. A. P. |
| II School department— | | | | |
| Forms IV, V and VI | .. | 36 12 0 | .. | 15 12 0 |
| Forms I, II and III | .. | 19 4 0 | .. | 8 4 0 |
| Classes IV and V | .. | 8 12 0 | .. | 3 12 0 |
| Classes I, II and III | .. | 4 6 0 | .. | 1 14 0 |
| Courses for supplementary certificate under the scheme for the award of the Secondary School-Leaving Certificate for each subject. | .. | * 21 0 0 | .. | † 9 0 0 |

* Subject to a maximum of Rs. 42.

† Subject to a maximum of Rs. 18.

M. V. SUBRAMANIAN,
*Secretary to Government.*

MADRAS: PRINTED AND PUBLISHED BY THE SUPERINTENDENT, GOVERNMENT PRESS.

---

6/10

If then submit to Secy

21/x/47

(13)

E & A H (B·1)

Rules - Grant-in Aid Code - Rule 32 - Amendment

---

Memo. 76752 B1/47 - 1 Edn. dated 21-10-47

With reference to the amendment to rule 89-A of the M.E.R. specifying the standard rates of fees leviable in ten instalments in secondary schools, ~~[illegible]~~ published under G.O. No. 1938 Edn. dt. 4.9.47, the Secretary of the South Indian Teachers Union, Triplicane, has suggested that an amendment of Rule 32 of the Grant in aid Code specifying the standard rate for purposes of assessment of grant may clarify the issue. The DPI is requested to offer his remarks on the suggestion. If the suggestion is acceptable, the Director is requested to ~~suggest~~ indicate the ~~[illegible]~~ amendments to be made to Rule 32 of the Grant in Aid Code

21/x/47

To
the DPI

29/x

4.10.47

5

Education Department.

(14)

From,

Dr. S. R. U. Savoor, M.A., D.Sc., I.E.S.,
Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department.

ASST. SECRETARY TO GO[illegible]
17 NOV 1947
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH

R.C.No.1079 D1/47, dated 15-11-47.

Sir,

Sub:- Rules - Grant-in-aid Code - Rule 32. Amendment.

---

90954

With reference to Government Memorandum No.76752 B1/47-1 Edn. dated 21-10-47 I have the honour to state that I agree with the suggestion of the Secretary of the South Indian Teachers' Union that for purposes of calculation of grant Rule 32 of the Grant-in-Aid Code should be amended. The following draft amendment is submitted for Government's approval.

"Add the following at the end of the existing Rule 32(1) of the Grant-in-Aid Code. "the number of instalments being restricted to eight."

Abdul Haq
15/

FOR DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

15/11

PKR.15/11

(15)

EOH(M)

Grant - Teaching - Aided Secondary Schools - Enhancement of school fees from 8 to 10 instalments at standard rates - Number of instalments to be taken into for purposes of assessment

---

From the Manager & Correspondent, The Rajah's High School Nileshwar Rc no 241/47 d 7.10.47

---

Memo

Referred to the DPI for very early remarks. He is requested to report what will be the approximate extra cost to Govt by way of teaching grants if instead of the income from ten instalments of tuition fees reckoned at standard ~~states~~ rates, the income from eight instalments only are deducted from the approved recurring expenditure for purposes of assessment of teaching grants ~~[illegible]~~ to aided schools on the basis of two thirds of the approved net cost.

2. The Director is also requested to report whether in case such a concession is allowed to aided secondary schools, the same concession should be allowed to secondary schools under local bodies and if so what will be the extra cost by way of an increase in the teaching grant payable to those schools on the basis of half the approved net cost

USK
12.10.47

S

To
The DPI with CF
in orgl to be ret'd
with reply

**Note.**

Rule 32 of the Grant-in-Aid Code lays down that the amount of grant payable will normally be half the excess of the approved recurring expenditure over the income from tuition fees reckoned at standard rates. It does not lay down the ~~number of~~ number of instalments ~~to~~ which should be taken into account for the purpose. It would therefore seem that the number of instalments of tuition fees actually levied by each management

p 49 G.O. Ms 40

A

16

should be taken into account for purposes of assessment of teaching grant. If the number is restricted to eight, the Govt will have to incur additional expenditure by way of teaching grant as the net cost will be more than what it would be if the income from ten instalments are deducted. The remarks of the DPI may be obtained. Draft memo is for approval

Please see also the slip received from Secy on the subject at ⓐ.

USK
12.10.47

S. In the calculation of costs made at the time of revision of salaries of teachers only 8 instalments were taken into account. How can we say there will be extra cost if we take only 8 instalments here for calculation?

X

(14/4)

Ⓩ

The original of G.O. No 975 Edn dated 10-5-47 is put up in a C. Section file sent to Finance and is not available. In para 3 of the above order it is definitely stated that the payment of grant in aid is subject to the condition that each local body should levy ten instalments of tuition fees not below the standard rates. Under para 2 of the G.O. the grant should be assessed according to the procedure laid down in rule 32 of the Grant-in-Aid Code. This rule lays down that the amount of grant payable in any one year will normally be based on half the approved net cost for the preceding financial year i.e. half the excess of the approved recurring expenditure over the income from tuition fees reckoned at standard rates. This does not limit the income derived from tuition fees to eight instalments - ~~[illegible]~~ It therefore follows from the orders in paras 2 & 3 of G.O. No 975 Edn d 10.5.47, that the income from ten instalments should be taken into account in arriving at the net cost. If it was the intention that the income from only 8 instalments should be taken into account for purposes of assessment it should have been made clear in the orders sanctioning the revised scales of pay - For orders whether the draft memo to the DPI on p.p. 2 ante may be issued.

p 4 of BK No 40

M

(2) But the same arguments apply, a fortiori, to aided schools? W.L.

ASK
19.10.47

I think the original of G.O. 975 Edn d 10.5.47 should be available. L.O. [illegible] 27.x.47

S [illegible] 21-X 47

(2) Yes: Please examine further with reference to this discussion here. W.L.

---

E.S.

(17)

(I)

The original of G.C. No. 975, Edn., dated 10-5-47 which has since been obtained is at (I). It does not throw any light on the question ~~of the~~ at issue nor does it contain any discussion on the subject. In connection with the Cabinet Salaries Committee's report, the estimate of extra cost has been obtained from the D.P.I. and the relavant statistics, it is understood are with Finance.

(P)

X

M 4-5 of BK No 40

2. The D.P.I. has since reported that whatever may be the number of instalments of fees levied by the managements of the Aided institutions, only eight instalments at standard rates have been taken into account for arriving at the net cost for purposes of assessment with reference to rule 32 of the Grant-in-Aid Code. This was the state of affairs prior to the introduction of the revised scales of pay. The same position is bound to be continued even after the adoption of the revised scales. What is applicable to aided institutions is also applicable to local bodies.

This may be made quite clear now. W.L.

3. In the circumstances, it is for consideration whether orders may be issued that for purposes of assessing the payment of grant ~~of a~~ to a secondary school - either under Local body or aided ~~aided management~~ ~~management~~ - only ~~only half~~ the excess of the approved recurring expenditure over the income from tuition fees reckoned at standard rates for 8 instalments should be taken into account and the grant or subsidy due to the management should be worked out on that basis.

4. The case may be sent to Fin. W.O. for remarks.

[illegible] 13.11.47

S [illegible] 13/11/47

Fin:

Yes. To my recollection the intention all along was that local bodies & aided managements should be encouraged to levy extra instalments so that they may be able to bear their share of the enhanced costs of salary-revision thereby, & that the previous procedure of reckoning only 8 instalments for purposes of grant should remain unaffected - & that the calculations of the costs to Govt. were made on this footing. W.L.

E.A.D.'s U.O. No. 81108 B/47-1 (14.11.47)

ASST. SECRETARY TO [illegible]
68401 EA
14 NOV 1947
FINANCE DEPARTMENT

Finance (Expd)

With a view to enabling the

P.T.O.

management of aided secondary and Training Schools to find the funds required for meeting the extra cost involved in the introduction of the revised scales of pay, the Govt. raised the basis of assessment of teaching grants from one half to two thirds of the approved net cost - see item iv in G.O. 1154 Edn dt 5.6.47. Rule 32 of the Grant-in-aid Code lays down that the amount of grant payable in any one year will normally be based on the net cost, i.e. the excess of the approved expre over the income from tuition fees reckoned at standard rates. The managements of aided secondary and Training schools are now levying 10 instalments of fees at standard rates instead of 8 as levied formerly. The question for consideration is whether or not the additional two instalments of fee income should be

p 2 of (V)

(V)

see A

---

taken into account while arriving at the net cost for purposes of assessing the grant. E & P.H. recommend that tuition fees for 8 instalments alone should be taken into account in arriving at the net cost for the purpose of assessment of the grant. In other words, although local bodies and aided managements ~~although~~ are ordered by the Government to levy 10 instalments of tuition fees in order to augment their income for meeting the enhanced cost of salary-revision, the existing procedure whereby 8 instalments of tuition fees is reckoned for assessing teaching grant should remain unaffected.

Sec EPH Secy's note on p 5

2. In G.O.No.975 Edn., dated 10-5-47, it has been ordered that the payment of grants-in-aid to secondary schools under local bodies will be subject to the condition that the local body should levy ten instalments of tuition fees not below standard rates. In G.O.1938 Edn., dated 4-9-47, amendments to the Madras Educational rules have been issued making the number of instalments of tuition fees into ten in all secondary schools. Under Rule 32 of the Grant-in-aid code, the amount of grant payable will be 2/3rds of the net cost i.e. 2/3rds of the excess of the approved expenditure over the income from tuition fees reckoned at standard rates. It will be seen that this rule, for calculating the grant, does not refer to the number of instalments in which the tuition fees are levied. The rule does not seem to stipulate that the calculation of grant should be on the basis of 8 instalments of tuition fees. The Director of Public Instruction has merely stated that for purposes of Rule 32 of Grant-in-aid Code, 8 instalments of fees have been taken into account. It is submitted that as the grant payable is based on the net cost, in all fairness, the entire fee income, that is, the income from tuition fees for all the ten instalments should be taken into account. This will not be inequitable as the correct income and expenditure will be reckoned by this procedure.

para 3, p 1 of (1)

(X)

With reference to X on p.5 above it may be mentioned that no statistics to show that the calculation of grants were made taking into account only 8 instalments of fee income are traceable in this Department. The orders whereby local bodies were asked to levy 10 instalments of fees were issued only in May 1947 long after the Cabinet Salaries Report was issued.

In addition to the increase in the basis of assessment of teaching grant from ½ to 2/3rds of the approved net cost (which would go a ~~great~~ long way in improving the ~~cost of~~ financial position of the managements) ordered in G.O.No.1154 Edn., dated 5-6-47, the Government have also decided to pay the managements of aided secondary schools a special grant calculated at one-half of the difference

M.

10-5-47

(V)

B1

between the average of the scales of pay actually adopted by the managements and the average of the scales of pay laid down for similar posts in schools under local bodies. This additional concession is also given with a view to enabling them to give effect to the revised scales of pay to teachers.

Further, the proposal may involve an additional expenditure to Government.

3. If E & P.H. press their proposal, they may first be requested to obtain from the Director of Public Instruction a statement of additional expenditure involved and send the case again to this Department for further remarks before orders are taken in circulation including H.M (Fin.) and the financial procedure to be observed is followed.

29.11.47
Rough (Salaries)

29-11-47

The basis of assessment of teaching grants to Secondary Schools under local bodies has been raised from 1/2 to 2/3 of the approved net cost, and this involves a large recurring liability to Provincial revenues.

Under Rule 32 of the Grant in Aid Code, the excess net cost should be calculated after taking tuition fees into account. It would follow that whatever fees are collected, whether in 8, or 10, or 12 instalments, should be taken into account in arriving at the net cost. There is no justification for the proposal to take into account only 8 instalments as now proposed, when 10 instalments are actually being collected. Such a course will involve a further addl. recurring liability to Provincial finance. We may advise against the proposal.

A

DWD

If E & H. however press the proposal, they may furnish an approximate estimate of the liability involved in this proposal to enable this dept to advise on the financial procedure to be adopted in the case.

B

C.S.
22.11.47

24/11/47

DWD
25.11.47

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
9311 9
28/NOV 1947
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.

E.P.H.

Fin U.O. No. 68401-EA.I
25.11.47

---

(19)

E+PH (B2)

Please see the Finance Deptt's note on pp 5-8 ante. They point out that there is no justification for the proposal to take into account only the income from eight instalments of tuition fees reckoned at standard rates for arriving at the net cost of maintenance of Secondary schools under local bodies and private managements for purposes of assessment of teaching grants when ten instalments are actually collected and they advise against the proposal as it will involve a further recurring liability to govt. If the proposal is to be pressed they have suggested that an ~~statement~~ approximate estimate of the extra cost involved may be obtained from the DPI and the case may be remitted to them again prior to circulation for indicating the financial procedure to be adopted.

A draft memo to the DPI is submitted below for approval.

K.S.K.
29.11.47

The draft memo. to the D.P.I. may issue. But it may be pointed out that the ~~Cabinet~~ orders ~~xxxxxx~~ requiring the local bodies to levy 10 instalments of fees were issued in May 1947 long after the Cabinet Salaries Report was issued. Apparently the Cabinet did not take into account the income derived by the local bodies and private managements from the levy of 10 instalments of fees. Please see M on page 7 ante. Even prior to the introduction of the revised scales of pay, the procedure adopted was to take into account the income from the levy of 8 instalments of fees at standard rates, even though the actual number of instalments of fees collected was more i.e. 9 or 10. Please see the T.M. on p.2 c.f. and the Director's reply on p.9 c.f. It ~~is~~ therefore seems that the observations of Finance at A on p.8 ante, that such a course will involve additional recurring liability to provincial finances ~~cannot be~~ not accepted. (This liability was in existence even when the revision of salaries was decided upon.) It is therefore for consideration whether the proposal to take into account the income derived from 8 instalments of tuition fees at standard rates for arriving at the net cost for the maintenance of secondary schools ~~in~~ under local bodies and private managements for purposes of

A

We may press for this.

M

assessment of teaching grant may be pressed. ~~But~~ It does not seem necessary to wait for the estimate of extra cost involved in view of ~~in~~ 'A' above. L.A. may see before circulation to H.M.(Edn.), H.M.(L.A.) and H.M.(Fin.).

M We may press also for the issue of orders without waiting for the information.

2 9.11.47

S LA 29/11/47. LA.

29/11/47

E.&P.H. U.O. No. 81108—2B, Edn. 29-11-47.

LA Deptt
(See

29 NOV 1947

The notes of E&P.H. Deptt on pp 9-10 may be perused and the file returned to E&PH.

SN
1/12/47.

1.12.47

1.12.47

1.12.47

Secy
E & P.H.

S.N
29/11

Edn and P.H. Deptt

1/12

~~Notes from page 5 ante may be read with reference.~~
Please see the minutes of Hon Edn on p 4 C 7.
Notes from page 5 ante may be read. Orders are requested on portion marked M on page 9 ante and above.

Ednco. 44935-K
1/12/47

1.12.47

S
Hon (Finance)
Hon (LA)
Hon (Edn)

H.M. (Edn.)
H.M. (L.A.)
H.M. (Fin.)

94342A.

1/12

This file is the result of the bungling of the Office. My instructions were that where Managements chose to do so, they may levy 10 instalments. The carelessness of the Office made an order which was responsible for these unnecessary notes. In the present circumstances it will be greatly to the detriment of aided institutions to insist on 10 instalments. I suggest that that order may be withdrawn to avoid this

1 DEC 1947

controversy. The original position may stand. H.M. Finance may see and then it may be sent back to me.

The matter of local bodies may be taken up later on.

20

TSA
1.12.47

'A' at p. 10 ante will be taken up separately later on.

In view of 'B' above the case need not go to H.M.(L.A.) now.

May be circulated to H.M.(Fin.)

1/1-47

H.M.(Fin.)

The addl instalments, if levied by any school, must be taken into consideration.

If any school did not levy it, 8 instalments may be taken into consideration.

7.12

8 DEC 1947

The file may be circulated to H.M (Edn) with reference to the minutes of H.M (Fin) above.

8/12/47

8.12.47

S
Hon (Edn)
H.M. (Edn.)

9/12

This will ~~give us~~ result in a great deal of hardship. The aided schools are just now not ~~not~~ economically sound. It is for that purpose that we gave a two-thirds grant. Even the two-thirds grant is not given on the full expenditure. There are 18 items of expenditure of which only for six items we give grants. Grants are not given for the other twelve items. The two-thirds that we give is two-thirds on the six items. For the other 12 items, the schools have got to make their own arrangements. The schools have been finding it very difficult to do so and so, we have come forward to give the two-thirds of the grant and then imposed another condition that they must pay the teachers at the local board rates. To make up these deficit amounts some schools have been charging ten instalments for a long time.

12

If we bring in these restrictions and increase the standard rates to ten instalments, this will effect them ~~ex~~ very prejudicially and virtually take off the benefit of the two-thirds grant that we have given and perhaps may even have a worsening effect. The amendment that was issued by the Education Department was done by an oversight on a misunderstanding of a note that I issued and what we want now is that that order which was issued and which was not intended may be withdrawn

TSA
9.12.47

I agree, it may be done as H.M.(Edn) proposes.
B.G.R
9.12

10 DEC 1947
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Please see the orders in circn. above. The decision may be communicated to the DPI and he may be requested to submit the necessary amendments to ~~the~~ rules 86(b) and 89-A of the M.E.R.

A draft memo is submitted below for approval

Pl see below.
13.12.47

12/12/47

Please see the minutes of H.M.(Edn.) above to which H.M.(Fin.) has agreed. The decision in circulation is therefore that the amendments to the M.E.R. issued in G.O. No. 1938, Edn., dated 4-9-47 should be cancelled.

(X) 9-10

2. Secondary Schools in the Province are under the following managements:--

(1) Government.
(2) Local Bodies.
(3) Private managements.

Till the year 1947-48 school fees in the secondary schools under the management of the Govt. were levied at eight instalments. With the revision of the scales of pay sanctioned

-for-

---

21

for teachers of secondary schools under local bodies and teachers of Govt. schools, Govt. directed that school fees should be levied at 10 instalments from the school year 1947-48. In the case of local bodies the levy of 10 instalments of tuition fees not below the standard rates was made a condition subject to which the payment of Grant-in-Aid based on the approved net cost for the preceding financial year was sanctioned in lieu of the fixed lump sum subsidy paid till 1-1-47. It was also laid down that the grant should be assessed according to the procedure laid down in paragraph 32 of the Grant-in-Aid Code for the assessment of teaching grants to the aided secondary schools. The increased levy of instalments was with a view to augment their resources of the local bodies concerned to meet the extra expenditure ~~towards~~ on the revision of the salaries of teachers in secondary schools. Similarly managements of private schools have been asked instructed of the D.P.I to levy 10 instalments of fees not below the standard rates. As regards secondary schools under Govt. control, the increase from 8 to 10 instalments of tuition fees was agreed to by the Director for the reasons mentioned in the note on pages 1-2 of G.O. at (H). The Govt. accordingly approved the suggestion of the Director and directed the levy of 10 instalments and asked him to submit proposals for amending the M.E.R. The Director accordingly submitted amendments to the M.E.R. and these amendments were issued in G.O. No. 1938, Edn., dated 4th September 1947. The decision in circulation now is that this order should be cancelled.

(I) para 3 of G.O. 975 Edn 10/5/47

para 2 of do

(J)

(X)/9

3. The levy of fees in secondary schools under the management of Govt. ~~under~~ and local bodies shall be regulated according to the regulations in Chapter VII of the M.E.R. Attention is invited to Rule 83 M.E.R. - sub paragraph - The wording of the rule is If the amendment in the G.O. at (X) is cancelled according to the orders in circulation, rule 89.(A) II as it stood before the amendment will stand. The position will then be that only 8 instalments can be levied at the rates mentioned in the statement under "School Department". Evidently this is not what is contemplated. It seems that the levy of 10 instalments in all schools instead of 8 cannot be given effect to without an amendment of the M.E.R. Any such action is not ~~proper~~ regular. It therefore seems that the orders amending the

pp 17-20

"Fees shall be levied --- according to the regulations"

It was in order to regularise the levy in [illegible] schools that the amendment in (X) was issued. (This, incidentally would apply to local bodies schools also). This was overlooked when the general reference of the amendment on aided schools with reference to Rule 32(1) of the Grant-in-Aid Code [illegible] rules 86.(b) and 89.(A) II must stand. For orders.

But what is really required is an amendment of 32.i of the Grant-in-Aid Code — which is dealt with below.

4. The question then arises how to restrict the levy of instalments for purposes of assessment of grant. The assessment of grant is regulated with reference to the Grant-in-Aid Code. Rule 32(i) of the Grant-in-Aid Code lays down as follows:--

> "The amount of grant payable in any one year will normally be based on half the approved net cost for the preceding financial year, i.e., half the excess of the approved recurring expenditure over the income from tuition fees reckoned at standard rates".

As noted previously on page 2 ante, this rule does not lay down the number of instalments which should be taken into account for purposes of assessment of grant. Simply because rule 89.(A) II of the M.E.R. as it stood then contemplated the levy of only 8 instalments, it was automatically followed and the assessment was proceeded on that basis. Now that the levy of tuition fees has been raised from 8 to 10 and as assessment should be only with reference to 8 instalments, it seems that rule 32(i) of the Grant-in-Aid Code may be amended suitably.

(4) On the suggestion of the Secy., the South India Teachers' Union, the D.P.I. was consulted on this point and he is agreeable to amend rule 32(i) of the Grant-in-Aid Code by adding the following at the end of the existing rule, i.e., "the number of instalments being restricted to ~~8~~. eight"

Vide linked file page 5 c.f.

A This may be done.

5. It may be noticed that this amendment if given effect to will apply equally to the local bodies as well, as in para 2 of G.O. No. 975, Edn., dated 10th May 1947, the Govt. have laid down that the grant payable to the local bodies will be assessed according to the procedure laid down in rule 32(i) of the Grant-in-Aid Code. The orders in circulation specifically relate only to private institutions but it is presumed that they will also have to be applied to local bodies. If the presumption is not correct, suitable instructions amending the G.O. No. 975, Edn., dated 10th May 1947 will have to be issued or ~~the provision~~ a proviso will have to be added to rule 32(i) (as revised at A above) of the Grant-in-Aid Code (as revised at A above) to the effect that 8 instalments will not apply for purposes of assessment of grant to secondary schools under ~~in the case of~~ local ~~body institutions~~. bodies

① /1

See 'B' at p. 11 ante. This will have to be dealt with separately.

T

6. The case may be circulated ~~for orders~~. to H.M. (Edn.)

---

for orders on the points raised in paragraphs ~~3, 4 and 5.~~ 3, 4 and 5 of minute above

(22)

13.12.47

S 18/12/47
H.M. (Edn.)

The intention is that in the case of aided secondary and middle schools the standard number of instalments will be eight as before. Draft amendments to carry out this purpose may be submitted.

TSA
13.12.47

SECRETARY, EDN. AND P. H. DEPT.
18 DEC 1947
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Please see the minutes of H.M.(Edn.) above. A draft order amending rule 32(i) of the Grant-in-Aid Code to give effect to the intention of H.M.(Edn.) that for purposes of calculation of Grant to secondary schools under private managements, the standard number of instalments should be eight as before.

2. From the minutes of H.M.(Edn.) it seems that the question of the fixation of the standard number of instalment of fees in secondary schools under local bodies for purposes of calculation of grant need not be pursued further.

3. Finance may see before issue.

17-12-47 S 17/12/47

E. & P.H. G.O. No.
8108-38, Edn.
17-12-47.
(with l.f.
d. No. 76757/47)

## Finance (Exp.A) Department.

Previous Finance note on pp.5-8 above.

The orders in circulation on pages 11-12 above may be perused. H.M.(Finance) has agreed⊗ to the suggestion of H.M.(Education) that in respect of secondary and middle schools under private managements, only eight instalments of fees be taken into account for arriving at the net cost for pur-

⊗ H.M.'s minute on p 12 above

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
76733 EA
17 DEC 1947
FINANCE DEPARTMENT

P.T.O.

16

poses of grant. E & P.H. therefore propose to mmend Rule 32 of the grant-in-aid code in accordance with the above decision. The proposal may be agreed to and the draft order accepted.

19.12.47

19.12.47

19/12/47

Edn & P.H. Dept

Fin U.O. No. 76733-EA.I
19/12/47.

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
19 DEC 1947
99903
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.

The draft order may issue

Hon (Edn) may see after issue.

19.12.47

19/12/47

[Issued as G.O. 2224 Edn d 19-12-47]

TSA
23-12-47

SECRETARY, EDN. AND P.H. DEPT.
23 DEC 1947
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

---

(23)

E+ON (B1)

Education - Secondary - Revision of scales of pay of teachers - Delay in giving effect to the revision - Inclusion of standard rate of fees in rule 32 of the Grant-in Aid Code

From the Secretary, The South Indian Teachers' Union d 30-9-47

The suggestions made by the Secretary, The South Indian Teachers Union in his letter on page 1 of are examined below:-

(1). Amendment of Rule 32 of the Grant-in Aid Code specifying the standard rate of fees

If the standard rates of fees are incorporated in Rule 32 of the Grant in Aid Code, it will be necessary to amend the rule in the Grant in Aid Code whenever Rule 89-A in the M E R is amended. As the managements of schools will have copies of the M E R. there will be no difficulty in referring to it. The remarks of the DPI may perhaps be obtained on the suggestion

21.X.47

(2). Revision of scales of pay of Pandits & Munshis

This is under separate consideration. The remarks of the DPI have been obtained on the question of reclassification of Pandits into two groups & orders will be issued shortly

(3) Delay in ~~gg~~ giving effect to the revised scales of pay by certain local bodies

This is under separate consideration in B2. ~~Nine~~ 17 district ~~boards~~ boards and ~~55~~ 63 municipalities have adopted the revised scales of pay and the rest have not adopted for want of funds.

A draft memo is submitted with reference to suggestion I above.

4. cont.

21.X.47

[Issued as Mem No. 76752 B1/47-1 Edn. dated 21-10-47)

2

C No 90954/47. From the DPI Rc No 1079 D1/47 d 15-11-47 p5 of

Please see the amendment suggested by the DPI to Rule 32 of the Grant-in-Aid Code on page 5 of. The question whether 8 or 10 instalments of fees at standard rates should be taken into account for calculation of net cost for purposes of assessment of teaching grants to Secondary schools under local bodies and private managements is under separate consideration & the file has been circulated for orders. This may await a decision in that file till 10-12-47

p 4 of [illegible] 1040

30/11/47

5-12-47

Please see the orders of HM (Edn) on p 15 nf in the top file. Necessary amendment to rule 32 (1) of the Grant-in-Aid Code has been suggested in the top file. No further action is called for in this file. This may be added to the top file after orders are issued.

16-12-47

17-12

HM (Edn) suggested that managements
ed secondary schools also should be
levy ten instalments. A copy of

minutes [illegible] for the
necessary instructions. The
al is put up in a file circulated
M (Edn).

The question that the income
the levy of eight instalment
n tuition fees at standard rat
one should be taken into accoun
r purposes of assessment of
ching grant and the managemen
ould be permitted to utilise the
dl income derived by the levy
wo addl. instalments has no

been raised. For orders whether
may be consulted.

[illegible]
8.10.47

Sr
[illegible] [illegible] this
is under the [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
already clearly [illegible]
do.
[illegible]
[illegible]

of aira
asked t
the
Thr