

close
use

Scott. II-27-37,004-18-11-45.

E. & P. H. (1947) Department.

11/8 SERIES.

①

G.O. No. 2581. Edu.

Dated

2-12-47.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Legislative Assembly Questions —
S. R. M. High School, Nattarasankottai —
(Ramanad Dt.) — Building grant —
Papers recorded.

Back Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Further Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Secretariat of the Madras Legislature.
Assembly Section
13-5-1947.

STARRED

SRI R.V. SWAMINATHAN, M.L.A.

EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENT.

*Q.-No. 95 Will the Hon'ble
the Minister for Education be
pleased to state:-

(a) whether any *request*
requisition for building grant for
S.R.M. High School of Nattarasan-
kottai, Sivaganga *taluk* in Ramnad
District *has been received by* the Government; and

(b) if so, whether
the Government *have* sanctioned any
amount; and *if* not, why not?

SRD/13-5

3/5
Secretariat of the Madras Legislature.
Assembly Section
13-5-1947.

STARRED

SRI R.V. SWAMINATHAN, M.L.A.

EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENT.

*Q.-No. 95 Will the Hon'ble
the Minister for Education be
please to state:-

(a) whether any *request*
~~requisition~~ for building grant for
S.R.M. High School of Nattarasan-
kottai, Sivaganga Taluk in Ramnad
District ~~was made to~~ *has been received by* the Government; and

(b) if so, whether
the Government ~~has~~ *have* sanctioned any
amount; and ^(c) if not, why not?

Draft Answer

(a) yes;

*The govt. did not
sanction in front.*
(b) and (c) *No.* The proposal
was deferred pending the

*removal of the restrictions
imposed in regard to building
grants to Secondary schools.*

SRD/13-5

To.

The Secretariat of Madras
Legislature (Assembly Section)

Public (Elections) Deptt.

one s/c.

*Despatched
2/6*

*ken
28/5/47*

*W
24.5.47
4
5 3/4 (W)*

Sri. R. V. SWAMINATHAN, M. L. A.

Will the Honourable the Minister for Education be pleased to state:-

(a) whether any request for building grant for S. ^{12.5} High School of Nattarasankottai, Sivaganga taluk in Ramnad District has been received by the Government; and

(b) if so, whether the Government have sanctioned any amount; and

(c) if not, why not?

EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENT

ANSWER

(a) Y E S.

(b) and (c) The Government did not sanction the grant. The proposal was deferred pending consideration of the general question of the restrictions imposed in regard to building grants to Secondary schools.

Secretary to Government.

To

The Secretariat of Madras Legislature (Assembly Section)
Public (Elections) Department.

The above answer has been approved by the Honourable Mr.
T. S. Avinashilingam Chettiar.

E. & P. H.

U-O No.

Dated the 31st May, 1947.

SKG/31/5.

1/2

Ans
TSA
26.9.47



SECRETARIAT OF THE MADRAS LEGISLATURE,
Fort St. George
Chennai, dated the 20.5.1947

(5)

44038 . QUESTION No. 95 for the ^{next} meeting of the Legislative Assembly to be held on the 19 .

Two copies of this question are forwarded to the E. and P. H. Department.

The question has been admitted.

802
22/5

Meeth
22/5

To

The E. and P. H. Department.

no 3826/47-2ML
23-5-47.

2+PA (B,)

Please see the Legislative Assembly Question No. 95 (starred) on page 1 of.

In June 1946, the D.P.2. recommended that the scheme for the construction of a building for the S.R.M. High School Nattarasankottai might be included in the list of approved works at an estimated cost of Rs. 1.5 lakhs as the school ~~was~~ housed in temporary sheds which are inadequate and unsuitable.

(P)

According to G.O. No. 1915 S.M. dated 9/12/46 no grant towards the construction of buildings for secondary schools for boys should be given unless a special case is made out that the grant is essential to the institution. The Govt. considered that the scheme in question should wait till the general ban referred to above.

M.S.

meeth
22/5
17 P.H.S

is removed. In. G.O. No. 1779. Edu. D. 16/9/46.
the D.P.I. was informed that the scheme
cannot be included in the list of approved
works.

The question of removing the ban was
once considered and dropped. ~~And~~ The
question has ~~been~~ again ^{been} taken up and it
is under consideration of Govt. separately.

A Draft answer is submitted below
for approval.

The file may be circulated to H.M. Edu.
for approval of the draft answer.

29/5/47
29/5/47

29.5.47 S
1/5A
20.5.47

29/5

Building grant.

* 109 Q.—SRI R. V. SWAMINATHAN: Will the Hon. the
Minister for Education be pleased to state—

(a) whether any request for building grant for S.R.M. High
School of Nattarasankottai, Sivaganga taluk in Ramnad district,
has been received by the Government;

(b) if so, whether the Government have sanctioned any
amount; and

(c) if not, why not?

THE HON. SRI T. S. AVINASHILINGAM CHETTIYAR:—

“(a) Yes.

“(b) & (c) The Government did not sanction the grant.
The proposal was deferred pending consideration of the
general question of the restrictions imposed in regard to
building grants to Secondary schools.”

SRI R. V. SWAMINATHAN:—“May I know the policy of
the Government regarding these grants?”

THE HON. SRI T. S. AVINASHILINGAM CHETTIYAR:—
“As it stands, boys schools and colleges are not able to
get buildings and equipment grants.”

SRI KALLUR SUBBARAO:—“May I ask whether the
Government will give at least 50 per cent, where local people
come forward to build high school buildings?”

THE HON. SRI T. S. AVINASHILINGAM CHETTIYAR:—
“What I am referring to is applicable to all aided schools
where local people are coming forward to put up buildings.”

SRI R. V. SWAMINATHAN:—“Will the Government con-
sider the giving of grants to such schools where there are special
reasons?”

THE HON. SRI T. S. AVINASHILINGAM CHETTIYAR:—
“It is very difficult to say. But the Government are feel-
ing the hardship and are trying to reconsider the matter.”

Order 2581 Ed., d.
11/5/47
Legislative Assembly
Questions — S.R.M.
High School, Nattarasankottai — (Ramnad Dist.)
Building Grant —
Paper recorded.

No further action is necessary. The papers
may be recorded for future reference.