

Out
12/24/47
E P H (1947) Department.

M **SERIES.**

G.O. NO. 2419 *Em*

Dated 8-11-47

[Despatch Abstract.]

M. E. S. - Sri V. R. Ranganatha
Mudr. - Exm. of service -
Granted -

Back Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Further Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	6786		12.7.48				

CONFIDENTIAL.

D.O.



Director of Public Instruction,
Madras, 17th October 1947.

My dear Sir,

83674

With reference to your conversation this afternoon about the continuation of the services of Mr. V.R. Ranganathan, I would like to say that I have brought to your notice during the last six months that the work and conduct of Mr. K. Muhammad have not been satisfactory and the matter is now before the Special Officer for Departmental Enquiries. Mr. C. Raghunathan's work and conduct also have not been satisfactory. Mr. P. Bhadrish who was posted here as Deputy Director has had to go on medical leave and even if he returns to duty, he may not be able to cope with the work of this office. I am therefore left with only 3 senior Officers in the administrative section of the Department all of them being now in my office as Deputy Directors. In the event of Mr. Ranganathan's retirement a mere probationer will have to be appointed as Deputy Director. This I think is most undesirable. I would therefore strongly urge that Mr. V.R. Ranganathan's

2.

Ranganathan's services may be extended.

Yours sincerely,

S. R. Savor.

The Hon'ble Sri T.S. Avinashilingam Chettiar,
B.A., M.L.
Minister for Education, Fort St. George,
Madras.

To Mr. Secy

K + P A

TSA

12.6.47

M.E.S. - Sri ~~Ranganathan~~ V.R.
Ranganatha Thir. - Extn. of service - Granted.

Read: - From the D.O., dt. 17.10.47

G.O. (Hs.) No. 2419 Edu., dt. 8.11.47

May. 1947 FASB(a)

To be recorded
in the
M.E.S.

By No. 1
by 8.11.47

To the D.O.

" A.S. (Hon' Fin.)

" S. H. Sec

" S.G.O. (for

pubn. in the Gazette)

In the interests of public service, an extension of the services of Sri Ranganatha Thir. V.R. Ranganatha Thir. by D.O., for a period of one year from the 10th Nov. 1947, the date on which he attains the age of Superannuation. The public ground on which the extension of service has been granted is that there is a dearth of experienced officers in the Edu. Dept.

P.S. 1/11/47
8.11.47

1/8.11.47

83154
CONFIDENTIAL.



(4)
To H.P.M.

In the next few months some of the most senior people in the Education Department are retiring. It is unfortunate that we do not have many a good and reliable people among the juniors waiting for promotion. Mr. C.D.S. Chetty has retired recently.

Dr. Savor will retire within a few months. Mr. Badrayya also is due to retire shortly. He is already not doing well and has taken leave under medical advice. Mr. Renganatha Mudaliar is also due to retire shortly. In fact it seems as if all our experienced hands are going away without the possibility of efficient new men being available. Mr. V.R. Renganatha Mudaliar is one of our best men. He is absolutely honest, reliable, straightforward and diligent. It is difficult to find an officer

p.t.o.

H.M. Education.

Please see the note above. Mr. V.R. Renganatha Mudaliar, Deputy Director of Public Instruction, may be given an extension of one year.

H.M. Education.

9. have agreed minutes
from the (Eur.)
that it was
"not merely
"re-employment." but
was "in fact a
"extension." but
not
the (Eur.) &
the have chosen
apart to be
present - &
for "extension"
by

The reasons given by the Director in his Do. on pages 1-2 S.F. 2 may be taken as "exceptional circumstances" "justifying" the sanction of re-employment of Sri V. R. Ranganatha Mudaliar for a period of one year from the date of his retirement, 10-11-47.

Pub (Se) and Finance may
see the Case. Those reports may be requested
to treat the case as specialty urgency.
According to Fin (Pen) no.
note 36801 A-Pen-2 dtd 1.9.47 orders in
this case will be issued in the Finance
Dept.

TMS
22/10/47
10mg
22/10/47.

JRM
26/10/47 S
W.P.H.
P.O. (K.)
g.m.

(Bone)
E.P.H. no. no.
83154 A/47-1
28.10.47

No remarks are called for in this depth. It may be passed on to the Finance Dept who should issue orders in

such cases

29/10/47

30/10/47

Pub (Sec. A) m
3501/47-1
Bo. 6. 47

Fin (CSR)

Under F. R. 56. a, Govt. servants on attaining the age of 55 should not be retained in Public Service except with the sanction of the Govt. on Public grounds which must be recorded in writing. H.M. (Edn) and H.P.M. have agreed to the retention of this officer for one year more. H.M. (Fin) may also see.

Orders in this case may issue in EPH as this is not a case of employment of a pensioner.

B. 1/11/47

The proposal is to extend the officer's service by one year. Will not this increase Govt's pensionary liability? Will it not be more economical to re-employ him. Please examine.

MR 3/11/47

Fin (CSR)

By granting extension of service to an officer the pensionary liability to Govt. will be increased. This is due to the fact that during extension the Govt. servant draws full pay of the post & counts the period for increment as well as for leave. In view of the fact that H.M. (Edn) & H.P.M. have agreed to the extension of service of this officer, Fin have agreed to circulation to H.M. (Fin) also. The difference between extension of service and retirement & reemployment of an officer is explained in the routine note on p. 569 (D). (removed in Fin 10/4/48)

What I wanted was that the financial effect of extension should be worked out in this case at least approximately before the case goes in on to H.M. (Fin). Can't this be done? MR 4/11/47

64653
CSR
FINANCE DEPARTMENT

661 of 1946
NO. 154

EPH

Box

Submitted

As Sri Ranganatha Mudaliar Dy Director of Public Instruction has reached the maximum of Rs 1000 on the scale of pay of Rs 600-1000 on 27.1.45 his approximate pension calculated on the 3 years average substantive pay on 10.11.47, the date of his superannuation, will be Rs 496/- of extension of one year service is given in this case his pension will be slightly enhanced to Rs 500/- p.m. Thus the net increase in pensionary liability by granting him an years extension will be about Rs 4/- p.m. As the question of granting extension of service to Govt servants is one of policy orders of H.M. (Fin) may also be taken in the matter.

4/11/47

This dept would suggest

that the officer should only be re-employed after retirement and not given an extension of service. Orders may be taken in Oⁿ including H.M. (Fin).

Two Pension

4/11/47

Fin. U.O. No. 64683-CSR

4. 11. 47.

EPH

MR 4/11/47

E + P H
A.

The file may be sent to H.M. (Edn), H.M. (Fin) and H.M. for orders.

87467

Spl. - Officer attains age of superannuation on 10.11.47 - d

4/11/47

1/11 (Cur)
1/11 (Fin)
1/11

Mr. Ranganatha Mudaliar has

already suffered in service because 7 or 9 years of his service has not been taken into account as permanent service. That matter is separately und

(B. 7)

15/11/47

consideration. The intention is that he may likely be ~~profited and make good~~ ^{his advantage} in view of the loss incurred by him. ~~in the other matter~~ ^{It was in his view} that the suggestion of extension was made, and that was accepted by H.P.M. also. And so, in the circumstances of the case, extension may be ordered. 9/11/47

Ordering it is
reemployment.

He is entitled today to a pension of Rs 496 P.M.

If he is given extension, his lesion
will go upto Rs 500 P. R. He may be given
extension

Advantage is negligible.


~~So he has given him the best~~

keep my heart.

4. Finance has approved. H.P.M. has already approved. I understand that he is retiring on Monday the

10th and so, orders may be issued immediately and he may be

informed by phone that he is being given an extension. In view of his previous consent & the urgency we need not call the H.P.M. again.



ISA
8-11-47

'p' above - 9

have proved to

in 1899.

Have 6

found adas obs
is not to by

$$\frac{2}{871140}$$

A draft order is sold. Fri. may see after issue.

1 km
8/11/47

$\frac{h}{g \cdot l \cdot m} \quad \frac{h}{g \cdot l \cdot m} \quad \frac{h}{g \cdot l \cdot m}$

(Signed on 4.3.10.)

89855-2419-EDM, dy - 8.71.4)

Previous fin notes on pp 4-5 above,
in circulation above HG. 02419 Con #11 & 8
and 3 of maybe perused and the case
returned to Con #11.

28.11.46 67756
 12 NOV 1947
 83154 - A-3

15. 45

File no. 67756-602

18. H. W.

Page (4)
Ranganatha Mudahai

By Director of Public Instruction
Rs 600 - $\frac{100}{2}$ - 100. (7)

Reached maximum
Of Rs 1000 on 27.1.45

Due to relation 10.11.47

Approximate
Pension Calculated
@ 36 months average
paylast drawn Rs 496.3

If extension of one year is granted the pension will be Rs 500.00
as a safe - Rs.

Average		Rs.
10.11.44	to 30.11.44	6000
1.12.44	to 31.12.44	9000
1.1.45	to 26.1.45	754
at 9000		161
27.1.45	to 31.1.45	
at Rs 1000		12000
1.2.45	to 31.1.46	12000
1.2.46	to 31.1.47	9000
1.2.47	to 31.10.47	354
1.11.47	to 10.11.47	

1-11-47 to 10-1-48

36 months

For emolument for 36 months

1/2 the emolument for Pension

36

35769

2

993.6

496.8

⑧

✓ Amber

on the question of
his retention in
service

$$\frac{1000}{81447}$$

8/11/17.

For order as to circulation

8.11.6

5. The main file is
the one with notes
from the meeting on
the 1st of May.

Dear Mr. Warren,

The note sent by
H.M. (Edw) was taken
back by H.M.'s
clerk)

(Boc)

83154-A-2

(Contd.)

E.& P.H. (G-3) ^A

(Cable)

D.O.

Dear Dr.Savor,

Sub:- Sri V.R.Ranganatha Mudaliar, Dy.D.P.I. -
Retention in service.

I am desired to inform you that, pending issue of
further orders on the question, Sri V.R.Ranganatha Mudaliar,
Dy.D.P.I., should be retained in service.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]
8/11/47

[Signature] S *[Signature]*

To
Dr.S.R.U.Savor,
M.A.,D.Sc.,I.E.S.,
D.P.I.

(11-10-11)

10

Plan for
in reference of Mr. (Cm.)
in the whole
N. Bhargava, re. employees
was asked by
14/7/48.

Subd. at (P) below.

PS
14/7/48

14/7/48


14/7/48
Mr. (Cm.)

P/INF

I had advisedly used in my note the words,
"these officers", referring both to Sri
Bhadria and Sri Ranganatha Mudaliar and
~~had used the words "these officers" in my note.~~

~~Notes on the subject of Finance have also been agreed~~

When we are taking up large schemes of reorganisation, we are in need of some experienced officers and I wanted ~~in~~ re-employment for Mr. Ranganatha Mudaliar also and I believe that it is on that understanding that H.Pr. and H.M. Finance have also agreed.



Last year, Sri R. Ranganatha Mudr. (Dy. Secy.) was granted an year's extension of service from 10. 11. 47, the date of his superannuation. The period of extension will



11

expire on 9-11-48 a.n. H.M. (Edn.) apparently wants that retaining for ~~extension~~ Sri Ranganatha Mudr.'s services beyond 9-11-48, orders shd. be issued now and that the order need not give him any year's extension but may re-employ him for one year. / At "P", the D.P.I. had not ^{specifically} raised the question of extending the services of Sri Ranganatha Mudr. and it was ^{in view of that & also of above} ~~therefore~~ understood that H.M. (Edn.)'s minutes refd. to only Sri P. Bhadriah's case which was put up by the Dir. Fin., H.M. (Fin.), and H.Pr. may again see. The file may be sent to Fin. before circn. for issue of orders after clearing the case.

19/7/48 S/2/pen
4/7/48 Jm

Finance (Pen.)

Please see the minutes of H.M. (Edn.) on pp. 1-2 above, and the notes of E. & P.H. following.

13

A

The period of extension of service of Sri V.R. Ranganatha Mudaliar will expire on 9-11-48 A.N. It seems too early to issue orders now sanctioning re-employment. In fact, the general question of raising the age of superannuation from 55 to 58 years is separately under consideration and if it is ultimately decided to raise the age limit to 58, the question of re-employment will not arise at all. In the circumstances, E & P.H. may kindly be requested to renew their proposal early in October 1948.

22/7/48
22/7/48

22/7

22/7

22/7/48

May be sent to Fin. on 1.10.48 (if neeq.). H.M. (Edn.) may however see Fin. to note above.

27/7/48

27/7/48

27/7/48

27/7/48

27/7/48

9.10.34 (P)

(Dep. Fin.)



55434

22/5/48

14


After all October is only a couple of months hence. I think it may be done. H.Pr. and H.M.(Fin.) may see again.

if necessary

18A

12

1.8.48



The file may be returned to Finance for taking the orders of H.M. (Fin.) and H.Pr.

2/8/48

your Lr.

22/7/48



55619

22/7/48

Finance (Pen.)

The proposal in this file relates to the reemployment of Sri V.R. Ranganatha Mudaliar, Dy. Director of Public Instruction in the same post for a period of one year from the date of expiry of the period of his extension of service (i.e. 9-11-afternoon) sanctioned in G.O. 2419 EP.H. dated 8-11-47. This department considered that it is too early to sanction his reemployment now, and suggested that the proposal might be taken up in October 1948. H.M. (Edn.) has however mentioned that orders may issue even now after obtaining orders in circulation. The case may now be circulated to H.M. (Fin.) and Hon. Premier for orders whether the proposal will be accepted.

22/7/48

As H.M. (Edn.) is apparently satisfied that there is no one else fit for the post, Finance Dept. may agree to the proposal.


H.M. (Fin.) I am not in favor of giving any further extension to Mr. Ranganatha Mudaliar.

H.Pr.

I agree with H.M. Finance

22/8

9.8



15/6
Recirculated to H.M. (Edn) with ref. to the minutes of
H.M. (Fin) & H.R. meeting.

23/8

H.M. (Edn) TSR
1-9-48

Draft only
C/S



As it has been decided not to give any further
reemployment to Sri V. R. Ranganatha Mudaliar
of the Education Dept., the case may be sent to
Edn for the issue of necessary orders ~~with reference~~

MLC
2.9.48

2/9

E-111

65477

Edn. & G.H. (A)

Indw, 636/Thurp
2.9.48

The proposal to re-employ Sri
Ranganatha Mudr. was sent forward not
by the G.H., but by H.M. (Edn). Therefore,
no orders need go to the Gov. H.M. (Edn.)
has seen ^{above} H.M. (Fin.) & H.R.'s minutes on
p. 1 sub. The papers may be filed
with ~~(H)~~ the orders in circulation are that Mr. Mudaliar
need not be given any "extension". It is presumed
that ~~they~~ include re-employment. Also, the papers
may be filed with (H).

1/10/48
6/9/48

9/9/48
5/10/48

MLC