Scott II-27-

Close work

(1947) Department.

E & P.H.

(1)

MS. **SERIES.**

G.O. NO. 2374 Education.

Dated 5th November, 1947.

Opus
p2274 Copy

[Despatch Abstract.]

Grants - Building Aided Secondary Schools and Colleges - Part Payment of sanctioned Grants - Permitted.

Back Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Further Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

B ②

R.C.726-D2/46.

Office of the
Director of Public Instruction,
Madras, Dated 9th October, 1946.

From
Dr. S.R.U. Savoor, M.A., D.Sc., I.E.S.,
Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

To
The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department.

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
10717
13 OCT 1946
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.

Sir,

Sub: Grant - Building - St.Antony's High School, Tanjore Construction of an upper storey - inclusion in the approved list.

....

The accommodation in St.Antony's High School, Tanjore last year consisted of the main pucca building, the long Don Bosco Hall accommodating 5 classes and a long rented shed accommodating 6 classes for which the school paid a rent of Rs.50/- p.m.The present strength of the school is over 800 distributed among the several classes - class II to Form VI. Class V & Forms I, II, III and VI have three sections each while class IV and Forms IV and V have two sections each. The management expects to have a third section in Form IV and V soon.

2.This year, the rush for admission is reported to have been so great that the management was obliged tohave the long shed extended so as to provide for two more classes (8 instead of 6 of last year) and also to hire the pucca building of the Kallar Sangam about 2 furlong south of the school on a rent of Rs.75/- p.m.

M

3.The present arrangement ~~wk~~ involves several disadvantages:-

i) The large shed is exposed to dangers of fire which may cause the loss not only of the sheds but also of the furniture in them.

ii) the payment of an annual rent of Rs.1500/-p.m. without the satisfaction arising from good buildings suitable for the work

iii) ~~what~~ want of rooms for the school library and for Sanskrit classes. A part of the teachers' room is used for a class.

iv) As the school is housed in different buildings proper supervision is not possible.

4.In consideration of all these the management has undertaken to construct an upper storey over the main school building. Part of the existing building is proposed to be made available for introducing Bifurcated Artisan Electrical and Mechanical courses in the near future. The Diamond Jubilee of the school is proposed to be commemorated this year. The estimated cost of the construction of the upper storey is about Rs.60,000/-. This is a deficit school and the management has not got sufficient funds for building construction without a grant from Government.

B.1

In the above circumstances, I request that Government may be pleased to permit this scheme to be placed on the list of approved works, not withstanding the existing ban. After orders of Government are received, the detailed plans and estimates will be called for, the opinion of the Consulting Architect obtained and then the scheme placed on the approved list. I may add that the school will not be permitted to go in for ornamental architecture of any kind.

for DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

RR.12/10/46.

Sd
12/x

2

③ **E.&.P.H. (B.1)Dept.**

79
3/12

3/12

Grant - Building - Aided educational institutions - Inclusion in the list of approved works- Modification of the procedure.

Memo No. ~~[illegible]~~ 61717 B.1/46-2 Edn. dated 2/12/ 1946

pp7-9 of [illegible]
[illegible]

8/-
2/12/46

According to the procedure laid down for dealing with applications for grants for the construction of buildings for the aided educational institutions, schemes which are accepted from the educational point of view are included in the list of approved works maintained by the Director of Public Instruction, and the question of providing funds for the payment of the half grant due for those works is considered every year in connection with the budget estimate for the ensuing year as a new item of expenditure (Part II Scheme). With reference to the restrictions imposed in regard to building grants for secondary and special schools and colleges for boys in G.O. No. 1915 Edn. dated 9-12-1941, the Director submits to Government for sanction for the inclusion in the list of approved works only such individual schemes which deserve to be included in the approved list for special specific reasons.

(B)

2/ It is found difficult to approve such individual proposals for the reason that the schemes will have to be apportioned between DLs and the funds availa~~ble will~~ ~~will have to be~~ distributed among them, having regard to linguistic areas and other considerations. It has therefore been suggested that all such schemes ~~will have to be apportioned between districts and~~ should be forwarded to Government in a consolidated form, arranged in order of preference, to enable them to distribute the funds available on an equitable basis.

HME's minute on pp3-4 of

2

P.T.O.

3

The Director is requested to offer his remarks on the suggestion and to submit necessary amendments to the rules in the Grant-in-Aid Code.

2/ The building scheme relating to St. Antony's High School submitted with the letter of the Director of Public Instruction R.C. No. 726 D2/46 dated 9-10-1946 ~~should~~ will have to be included in the consolidated statement mentioned in para 1 above.

30.11.46

To The D.P.I.

7/12

The following will have to be appointed [illegible] [illegible]

No. 1352.

Date. March 1- 1947.

From
The Manager, Correspondent & Headmaster,
St. Anthony's High School,
Tanjore.

To

Sri P. Visvanatha Ayyar,
Secretary to Government,
Madras.

Sir,

As we need accommodation for the Secondary Training School, which we are going to open in June 47, an early reply to my letter No. 931 dated 17-5-46 regarding the building grant application will oblige.

I would like to have a definte reply one way or the other so that in case the Government is not going to give us a grant, we could at least start building half the structure at our own expense.

Yours truly,

A Fernandes

Correspondent & Headmaster.

JOINT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
3 - MAR 1947
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENT

SECRETARY, EDN. AND P. H. DEPT.
17581
3 - MAR 1947
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Secy

5

(37)

Rc.10717 D2/46.

Office of the Director of Public Instruction,
Madras, dated 25.2.47.

From

S.R.U. Savoor, M.A., D.Sc., I.E.S.,
Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

ASST. SECRETARY'S ... DEPARTMENT
28 FEB 1947
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department,
Madras.

N7

pp 17-18 L

Sir,

16505

Sub: Grant - Buildings - Secondary School for Girls -
St. Antony's Girls High School, Badagara -
Scheme for tiling the school block - approval.
Ref. Govt. Memo No.62761-B1/46-1- Edn. dated 27.1.47.

The procedure to be adopted in future in dealing with application for building grants to aided schools is under consideration of Government. It is presumed that the above procedure is in respect of institutions for boys imposing the restriction in G.O. No.1915 Edn. dated 9.12.41.

Many institutions for girls apply for building grant. I presume that building grant applications in respect of instituions for girls may be entertained by me as usual, notwithstanding the new procedure under consideration of Government. Please let me know if my presumption is correct.

For Director of Public Instruction.

P.R.27/2. SD 27/2

15
20/3

Edn. and P.H. (B.1) Dept.

Memo No. 61717/ub-2-3-Edn. d 19/3/47

Grant - Building - St. Anthony's High School, Tanjore - Construction of an upper storey - Inclusion in the list of approved works.

From the Correspondent, St. Anthony's High School, Tanjore No. 1352 dated 1--3--47
From the DPI, Rc. 10717/ub-D2 d 26-2-47

4 cf
5 cf
19/3

The Correspondent, St. Anthony's High School, Tanjore, is informed that the question of modifying the procedure laid down for dealing with applications for grants for the construction of buildings for aided educational institutions is under the consideration of Govt. and that only after a decision is reached on the question, his application can be taken up for consideration.

2. The D.P.I. is informed that the revised procedure for building schemes is applicable to both boys and girls schools and that no new application

for grants should be included in his approved list.

17.3.47

To
The Correspondent,
St. Anthony's High
School, Tanjore
(para 1 only)
The D.P.I.

EDUCATION DEPARTMENT. 8

From

Dr. S.R.U.Savoor, M.A., D.Sc., I.E.S.,
Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
22798
21 MAR 1947
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.

The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department.

R.C.NO.10250-D2/46, dated 19-3-1947.

Sir,

SUBJECT:_ Grant - Building - St. Gabriels High School, Madras - Construction of 2nd Floor - Permission to be placed on the approved list.

Ref: Government Memo. No.73137-B1/46-1, Edn., dated 16-12-1946

(P)/3 in the linked file page 1 of

2. Director's letter to Government Rc.No.714-D2/46, dated 13-2-47.

G.O. 1915 Edn 9/12/41 (E)

The ban on entertainment of building schemes in respect of institutions for boys, has not yet been relaxed. Government have recently turned down certain building schemes recommended by me for inclusion and have also informed me that the schemes for the construction of buildings cannot be considered for the inclusion in the list of approved works, till a decision is arrived at, in regard to the prodedure to be followed in future in dealing with applications for building grants.

2. It seems to me that the building schemes may be roughly divided into the following two classes: Viz:

1915

(i) those relating to the construction of new buildings altogether

and (ii) those relating to extensions to the existing building or to alterations, to meet the present and prospective urgent demand.

(6)

I suggest that at least schemes falling under (ii) above may now be considered favourably, with reference to the urgency and I may be permitted to recommend such schemes being placed on the approved list, not-withstanding the existing ban.

3. Some schools which have grown in popularity are finding it difficult to cope up with the increased admissions due to want of adequate accommodation. Hence those schools are anxious to extend their existing

P.T.O.

9

building or effect suitable alterations to meet the urgent demand. St. Gabriel's High School, Madras, is one such school. As the management can neither refuse admissions nor can make admissions in excess of the authorised strength with reference to the capacity of the rooms, the only alternative left to them is to put up extensions. Many managements with their slender resources are unable to meet the entire cost wholly from their funds.

4. I request the favour of early orders.

for Director of Public Instruction.

KP.20-3.
Sd
20/3

---

10 (80) B1

C.F. 32.

Education Department

From (Name) Dr. S.R.U. Savoor M.A., D.Sc., I.E.S.,
Designation Director of Public Instruction, Madras.
Station

Received Registered

To
The Secretary to Government,
Education & Public Health Dept.
Madras.

31084

Enclosures : 2

Rc. No. 11388 D/46 dated the 20th April 1947.

Sir,

GRANT -- Building -- Aided educational institutions Inclusion in the list of approved works -- Modification of the procedure--

Refce: -- Govt. Memo.61717 B-1/46-2 Edn. dated 2.12.4~~7~~8.
pp 2-3 ante

I have the honour to make the following observations in regard to the proposals contained in the Government Memorandum cited above: --

(1) Apparently Government are under the impressi that under the present rules some districts are favour or come to be favoured more than the other districts b accident or otherwise and that it is desirable to devi scheme under which the resources of Government could b spread over as many districts as possible. Secondly, there seems to be a similar suspicion regarding some ca gories of managements getting more support than the rest. I beg to submit that both these suspicions, if they exist, are not justified. I am enclosing herewith a list of approved schemes and a list of schemes rejected. From these it will be seen that no district has been given any undue share either by accident or otherwise. It is true that in the list of approved schemes, we find a good half relating to Mission managements but it is not their fault or that of the state department that it is so. It is because (i) Missions run a large percentage of girls' schools; (ii) Missions have comparatively larger resources and (iii) there has been till recently no ban on buildings for girls schools as against Boys' schools. Naturally therefore the Missions bulk large.

pp 12-13 infra

(2) At the same time, I do not think that the schem devised by Government need be objected to. I would like press the adoption of the following principles: --

(a) that it should be possible for the Director to submit schemes once a quarter as they are ready. This is merely intended to expedite disposal of applications. The managements are naturally very keen on pushing forward with their proposals and they depend a great deal on popular enthusiasm to furnish them with funds. If for any technical or administrative reasons these schemes are held up for a time, these managements could not discharge their duties satisfactorily.

M

(b) In the past the managements used to be given pa payments at each stage of the work. Now however they have to wait for a considerable time after the building is put through before they can get the grant amount. The old practice should be revived. Many of the managements, as Government know, are not well off and they cannot be expected to carry out proposals involving large amounts and wait for over a year or two after the whole work is completed for collecting the half grant sanctioned. Government does not gain anything by this postponement. The managements, on the other hand, it is easy to see, are very much handicapped. Again, for the same reason, it is only the richer managements that can more easily take advantage of the Government's contribution. It so ppens that richer managements are either European or ission managements. Naturally, the result is that the indigenous managements suffer considerably in comparison. Since there is no obvious advantage to Government from the present policy, there is no reason why it should not be revised. According to my xxffxxtixn suggestion a scheme nce it is approved by Government should be placed on he approved list and the funds required should be included n the succeeding years budgets, so that the demands could be met as they are made.

14

(c) Government should remove all ban on building grants and encourage freely the construction of buildings. I would be failing in my duty if I didnot emphasise the reat value of comfortable buildings -- this is not the ame thing as luxurious buildings -- in the scheme of ucational organization. Educational effort is the duty vernment and if there are private bodies or asso- ns prepared to take up this work and carry it out, -- philanthropic and not in a proprietary spirit-- the bounden duty of Government to help the managements proper discharge of this most important State function nt should not be considered in the light of even help ill less charity but as a liability of Government -- a lity that should be discharged with all the greater rity since it is only half of what it would otherwise to meet, and sometimes it is even less.

(d) I am prepared to agree that the present system of rants followed by mortgages onlyxxftxx for a limited purpose s essentially wrong. I am of the opinion that buildings nce approved by Government for purposes of educational ork should be consecrated for that purpose for all time to me. They should not be alienated in any manner and if at ny time the managements wish to withdraw from the educational ield or sell the properties for some reason orother they hould in the first case, hand over these properties to Government to be used for educational purposes and, in the second case, sell only with the approval of Government subject to ch conditions as they impose. The point is that the sum educational facilities should not be diminished for some rivate reasons. They are really quasi-national trusts.

(3) Lastly we are still in the very beginning of educational organization. Our facilities will have to be trebled at least before we can afford to slacken our efforts, if at all any such slackening will be possible or desirable, in the world of education. So a generous and well informed policy of unstinted support for all helpful agencies is the imperative need of the moment and of the future for xxxxfxx a long time to come.

If Government agree to these general principles, I hall submit the necessary rules to carry them out.

I have the honour to be, Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

S R U Sawoor.

Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

Approved Schemes.

District.	School.	Scheme.	Year.
Kistna.	Dornakal D.H.School for Girls, Bezwada.		1942
Chingleput.	Christ King High School for Girls.	Tiffin Room - construction of.	1943
	St. Mary's Secondary School for Girls.	Construction of building.	1943.
Trichinopoly.	Savitri Vidyasala Hindu High School.	Extension.	1943
	~~EXTENS~~ The High School, Srirangam.	Block for Girls, Construction.	1944
	Savithri Vidyasala.	Acquisiton of site for construction of hostel.	1946
Malabar.	L.S.N.Convent Girls High School, Ottapalem.	Construction of building.	1943.
North Arcot.	Islamiah High School, Vaniyambadi.	do.	1944
Madura.	St.Joseph's Girls' High School, Dindigul.	Construction of building.	1944
	O.C.P.M.High School for Girls, Madura.	Hostel Block construction.	1946
Madras.	Madras Xian College School.	Purchase of site.	1946
	National Girls'High School, Triplicane.	do.	1946
	Theagarayachetty High School.	Addl.building.	1946
Ramnad.	Kshatriya Girls' High School, Virudhunagar.	Construction of building.	1946.
Tanjore.	Sir P.S.Sivaswamy Ayyar High School.	Sanitary Installation.	1946
Tinnevelly.	St. Mary's Secondary School for Girls, Sawyerpuram.	Construction of 2 rooms.	1946
Nilgiris.	C.E.Z.M.Gells M.Girls High School, Ooty.	Extension.	1946
West Godavari.	S.T.V.N.H.School, Pentapadu.	Constn.of latrines.	1946.

-----

10 13

REJECTED SCHEMES.

District.	School.	Scheme.	Year.
Madras.	Muthialpet High School.	Purchase of a house.	1942
	T.T.V.High School.	Acquisition of building.	"
	T.T.V.High School.	Construction of building.	1946
	Madras Progressive Union Secondary School.	Construction.	"
	Hindu Theological High School.	Construction.	"
	P.S.High School, Mylapore.	Construction of building.	"
Trichinopoly.	Sesha Ayyangar ~~Municipal~~ Memorial High School, Woraiyur.	Building construction.	1942.
	do.	do.	1946.
	S.V.V.Secy.School, Tiruppalathurai.	Construction of sheds.	do.
Ramnad.	Muslim High School, Abiramam.	Construction of thatched sheds.	1942.
	S.Rm.Ramanatham Chettiar High School, Nattarasankottai.	Construction of building.	"
	S.Rm.xxxxxx High School, Nattarasankottai.	Construction of building.	1946.
Madura.	O.C.P.M.High School.	Cost of site and construction of building.	1943
Malabar.	St.Joseph's Boys' High School, Tellicherry.	Extension.	1943.
	St.Joseph's High School, Calicut.	Covered drill sheds construction.	1944
	Providence Girls' High School, Calicut.	Construction.	1946
Chingleput.	St.Joseph's High School, Chingleput.	Constn.	1943
	St.Columba's High School, Chingleput.	Construction of building.	1944
South Arcot.	Pachaiyappa's High School, Chidambaram.	Extension.	1943.
	St.Ann's Girls' High School.	Purchase of site and building.	1945.
Tanjore.	National High School, Negapatam.	Construction of latrines.	1943
	Native High School, Kumbakonam.	Addl.School building.	1944
	St.Antony's School.	Construction of Building.	1946
North Arcot.	S.M.D.H.High School.	Extension.	1944
	Hindu High School, Ambur.	Hostel construction.	1945
	Muzhurul Uloom, Ambur.	Construction of Building.	1945
Tinnevelly.	Thirthapathi High School, Ambasamudram.	do.	1945.

****

PP. 14 - 18 removed for separate file

10-10-1947.

THE MADRAS LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY.

Starred Question from the list of 9-10-47.

165--

Post-war Schemes.

*360 Q.- BEGUM SULTAN MIR AMIRUDDIN: Will the Hon. the Minister for Industries be pleased to furnish a list of Post-war Schemes the Government intend to undertake during the current year, specifying the expenditure to be incurred on each scheme?

D.K.V. RAGHAVA VARMA,
Secretary,
Madras Legislative Assembly.

SRD/9-10

~~19~~ 12

T.M

EdnII(A2)

524
15/5

From,

The Assistant Secretary, E&PH Deptt

To

The Deputy DPI.

p10 cf

Reference Director's letter RC No 11388 D/46 dated 20.4.47. regarding building grants to aided educational institutions - Inclusion in the list of approved works. Modification of procedure.

15/5/47

will you kindly refer me to the orders under which the part payment of building grants to private aided institutions was abolished & the existing system of payment of grants for works after completion was introduced.

15/5/47

14.5.47

14.5.47

[illegible] MAY 1947

Ob 30

13

C.F. 36.

EDUCATION **DEPARTMENT.**

Received .... 19 . *Registered* .... 19 .

Subject.

GRANT - Building - Sree Shanti Vijai Hindu Girls High School, Ootacamund - modification of procedure.

MM 4 11/749

ENCLOSURES.
No. ....
....
Spare copies ....

R.C No. ~~29-5-47~~ 670 D/46

Station Madras., *Dated the* 29-5-1947. 19

From (Name) Dr.S.R.U.Savoor, M.A., D.Sc., I.E.S.,

(Designation) Director of Public Instruction.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Education & Public Health Department.

Sir,

pp 10-11 ante

Ref: 1. My letter Rc.11588 D/46 dated 20-4-47.

Call for

With reference to para 2 of Government Memo No.52045/46-B1-11 Edn., dated 1-5-47, I have the honour to state that several building schemes though urgent, are not yet placed on the approved list, pending a decision on the introduction of the new procedure.

(m)

2. According to G.O.No.1915 Edn., dated 9-12-41 building schemes in respect of institution for boys which are urgent and which deserve aid can be submitted to Government for sanction for the inclusion in the list of approved works but Government in para 2 of the Memo No.61717 B1/46-2 Edn., dated 2-12-1946, have suggested that all "Such" schemes should be forwarded to Government in a consolidated form arranged in the order of preference. "Such schemes" were taken to mean Building schemes in respect of Boys Institutions. But Government have in their Memo No.61717/46-B1-3 Edn., dated 19-3-47 informed the Director that the revised procedure for building schemes is applicable to both boys and girls schools and that no new applications for grants, should be included in the approved list. So, all the applications for

pp 2-3 ante

pp 6-7 ante

p.t.o.

21

building grants in respect of schools for both boys and girls have to be submitted to Government in a consolidated form. It may kindly be indicated with what exact particulars and in which form each application should be furnished to Government. e.g.

i. When the list should be submitted - Due date.

ii. Whether the list should be quarterly, Half yearly, or annual.

iii. Due date for the submission of the application by the management to the Director through the District Educational Officer or the Inspectress not later than 1st July as in the case of Furniture grant.

3. According to para 2 of the above Government Memo all schemes should be forwarded to Government in a consolidated form, arranged in "order of preference". Schemes, if approved by the Director of Public Instruction should be included in the list referred to in para 4 of the G.O.No.2759 Edn. dated 2-12-1938, in the order of the dates on which they are approved by the Director.

(X) pp 60-62

Now Government want that the Director should maintain a list of building grant applications received by him in the order of dates received and submit a consolidated list of the schemes arranged in the order of preference - urgent and essential schemes will have preference over ordinary schemes. If Government were to pick out schemes from the list on linguistic basis, urgent and essential schemes in one area will be left out and ordinary schemes in another area taken up in preference.

4. After Government sanction the inclusion of a scheme in the list, the plans and estimates will have to be called for, for the scrutiny of the Consulting Architect. Only after the Consulting Architect finally approves the plans and estimates, which will take some time - the scheme will be placed on the approved list. If Government after approving a scheme say in June '47, makes provision therefor in the Budget Estimate for 1948-49 one cannot be sure that the provision will be utilised that year, as the scheme has to be completed and the title examined for purposes of mortgage which will take a long time - before grant can be paid.

This new procedure will involve much delay in the starting of Urgent Schemes.

In view of the above, I request that early orders may kindly be passed in regard to the details of the new procedure of the schemes have to be submitted to Government before 30-8-47 for consideration under Part II of the Budget Estimate for 1948-49.

M. Abdul Haq

for Director of Public Instruction.

k.h.30/5.

30/5

E&PH (B)

The D.P.I. has not yet furnished the information asked in T.M. dt 15/5/47 on page 19 ante. This may lie over till the required information is received from him. A reminder is also submitted below for approval.

6.6.47

5/6

6/6/47

52

T.M

E&PH (B)

From:

The Assistant Secretary, E&PH Dept.

To

The Deputy DPI

Ref. my T.M dated 15.5.47 - Building grants to aided educational institutions - Orders under which part payment of grant was abolished and the existing system of payment after completion of works was introduced. Please expedite reply

Despd

10/6

Issued

KR
7/6/47

6/6/47

6.6.47

C.F. 16

DRAFT FOR APPROVAL.

SUBJECT :—

	Date.	Initials.
When received for fair copying ..		
When copied ..		
When examined..		
When signed ..		
When despatched.		

READ AGAIN ____________, dated ____________ 19____

" " ____________ " ____________ 19____

READ *ALSO* :—

No. ________ From the ____________, No. ________, dated ________ 19____

" ________ " ____________ " ________ " ________ 19____

" ________ " ____________ " ________ " ________ 19____

Order/Resolution Ms./Rout. No. ________, dated ____________ 19____

---

23 B

(15)

11 JUN 194

POST COPY OF THE TELEPHONE MESSAGE DATED 7-6-1947.

From
The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

To
The Assistant Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department.

Ref:- Your Telephone message dated 7-6-1947 regarding building grant to aided Educational institutions - modification of procedure.

page 22 ante

48554

A reply was already sent on 17-5-1947.

2) A copy of my Post copy of telephone message dated 17-5-1947 is herewith enclosed.

for Director of Public Instruction.

Ro 9/6

kp.9/6.

P.T.O.

-2- 24

A copy of Director's telephome message dated 17-5-1947.to the Assista
Secretary to Government, ----

page 19 ante

Ref:- Your Telephone message dated 15-5-1947 regarding building grant to aided educational institutions - modification of procedure.

Payment of advance grant came into force during 1933, with reference to G.O.No.780, Mis. L.E. dated 3-5-1933. (W) 78

The revised procedure of building grant came into effect during 38-39 in accordance with instructions in G.O.No.2759, Edn., dated 3-12-1938; and G.O.No.1913, Edn., P.H. dated 23-8-1939.

(Y) pp 1-4 ante (X) pp 60-62

---

for Director of Public Instruction.

Recd 13/6/47

9/6

The quoted G.O's have been called for from M.R.O. Chittoor. This may lie over till 20/6/47. E+P4(13)

14/6/47

Draft II 25 16

Education and P.H.(B1) Department.

GRANT - Building - Secondary Schools for
boys and girls - Inclusion of schemes in
the list of approved works - Submission
of a consolidated list once a quarter.

From the Director of Public Instruction, RC.No.10717.D2
46 dated 19-4-1947 and RC.No.11388.D/46 dated 20-4-1947

Memorandum No. 61717/46-B1-6. Edn.dated 1-10-1947.

16 3/10

The Government accept the proposal of the Director of Public Instruction that applications for grants towards the cost of construction of new buildings and of additions and extensions to existing buildings for Secondary Schools for boys and girls and for Training Schools, may be consolidated and submitted to Government with his recommendations once a quarter for sanctioning the inclusion in the list of approved works instead of submitting each scheme when it is ready.

2. The Director of Public Instruction is requested to submit now a list of all such schemes which he wishes to put forward for consideration, arranged in the order of priority. The list of schemes relating to Secondary Schools for boys and girls and for Training Schools may be separate and each list may consist of two parts:

Part I - Schemes for additions and extensions to existing buildings;

Part II - Schemes for construction of new buildings.

The Director is requested to include, in the list now called for such of the schemes included in the list forwarded with his letter RC.No.10717.D2.46 dated 19th April 1947 that are to be considered now and also other schemes which are ready for consideration in connection with the budget for 1948-49. Information should be furnished in respect of each scheme whether the estimated cost is only approximate or whether ~~[illegible]~~ it has been fixed ~~scrutinised~~ by the Consulting Architect to Government after scrutiny of the detailed plans + estimates submitted by managements. ~~He~~ The Director is requested to treat the reference as specially urgent so that orders may be passed in time for framing the Part II Estimates for building grants to aided

P14(c)

3/10

P.T.O.

2

26

Estimates for building grants to aided Schools for 1948-9.

K.S.K.
23.9.47

4/10/47

To the D.P.I.
two extra copies

---

27 ⑰

Education and Public Health(B1)

Grants - Building ~~grants to~~ Aided Secondary Schools and Colleges~~-Modification of the procedure for the payment of sanctioned grants-~~ Part payment s~~ystem-Orders Passed~~. of Sanctioned Grants - Permitted.

Read G.O. No 1913 Edn 23-8-1939

From the D.P.I., Rc.No. 11388- D/46 dated 20-4-47.

ORDER?MS.No. 2374 Education dated 5.11.47

(Y) 1-3

pp 10-11. cf

KK

5/11

4/11/47

The Govt. accept the recommendation of the Director of Public Instruction that in the case of aided secondary schools and colleges the grants sanctioned for the construction of buildings ~~building grants~~ may be paid in ~~in~~ part ~~payments~~ as the work progresses (instead of in full ~~payment~~ as at present after the completion of the work) so that even poor managements may easily undertake the construction of buildings for Educational Institutions under their control with the limited funds at their disposal and recoup a portion of the amount spent by claiming the half grant due at each stage of the work.

2. The D.P.I. is requested to suggest the ~~necessary~~ amendments to be made to the Grant-in-aid code~~, in the matter,~~ to give effect to these orders.

30/10/47

31-X-47

1/11/47.

10/11

To the D.P.I.
" " AG thro' Finance.
" " Dep Dir Dayars
Copy to G3 Section.
28 p. copies

EXPA

18

Edn. & P.H. Department (B1).

GRANT - Building - St. Antony's High School, Tanjore - Construction of an upper storey - Inclusion in the approved list.

---

p195

From the Director of Public Instruction, ~~R.C.~~ No. 726-D2/46 dated the 9th October 1946.

The management of the St. Antony's High School, Tanjore, has submitted an application for a grant-in-aid towards the construction of an upper storey on the existing building of the school as it is insufficient to accommodate all the classes. Some of the classes are at present located in a shed and a hired building about two furlongs from the school. The Director reports that the present arrangement for housing the school is disadvantageous in several respects and that it is necessary to provide additional ~~construct~~ an ~~upper storey~~ accommodation as proposed. He has already been permitted to consider schemes for storeyed buildings involving the use of controlled materials wherever necessary.

please see para 3 in p195

C

2. Both the Chief Engineer (P.W.D.) and the Director have expressed the opinion that it is neither desirable nor feasible to adopt cheap designs for secondary schools. Being an institution for boys, the restrictions in regard to building grants, imposed in G.O.No.Ms.1915 Education, dated the 9th December 1941, will apply. The management is not in a position to meet the entire cost from its funds. The application for grant may therefore be admitted as a special case and the Director may be permitted to place the scheme on the approved list. The maximum amount of grant may be limited to one half of the present estimated cost of Rs.60,000/- or the actual cost of construction whichever is less.

Separate file

E

60014

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
[illegible] NOV 1946
FINANCE DEPARTMENT

3. The remarks of Finance may be invited U.O.

E4/11 (B1)
U.O.No.61717-B1/46-1
2/11/46

19/10/46

19/10/46

21/10/46 S

1/11/46

2/11/46

Fin. (Exp. A)

Please see the letter from the D.P.I on p. 1 c.f. and the notes on p. 1 c.f.

With a view to conserving all available funds for elementary education the Govt. decided in G.O. No. 1915 Edn. dt. 9.12.41 that grants for higher education should be curtailed as much as possible and that no building grant should be given in future to any College or School for boys (elementary School excepted) unless a special case is made out showing that a grant is essential to the institution. The ban has not yet been lifted: The management has already ~~been~~ accommodated the classes in rented buildings and is running the School. There seems to be no urgent necessity to undertake the construction of an upper storey at a time when the cost of materials and labour is high and when the management has not got sufficient funds. There are a number of high schools in similar circumstances.

As there ~~seems to be~~ there is no sufficient justification for treating this case as a special one, this dept. may advise against ~~the proposal~~ the inclusion of the scheme in the approval list until the ban imposed in G.O. No. 1915 Edn. dt 9.12.41 is removed.

8/11

8.11.46

8/11/46

P.R.
6.11.46

6.11.46

A.S.

8/11/46

Fin. 60014 Exp. A
8.11.46

E.P.H.

E.P.H. (B)

Asst. Secretary to Govt., Education and Public Health
685
11 NOV 1946

Please see the Finance Dept's note above. ~~There is~~ no immediate prospect of the ban imposed in G.O. No. 1915 Edn. dt 9.12.41 being removed. The DPI has reported that the present arrangement

---

61712/51
16/11

is very unsatisfactory. In the circumstances, it is for consideration whether this dept. may press for the proposal

We may press it

The file may be circulated to H.M. (Edn.) & H.P.D.

H.P.D. view the orig. of the proposal is to be pressed

12.11.46

13/11/46

S/

14/11/46

H.M. (Edn.)

H.P.D.

16/11/46

It is difficult for us to approve the individual proposals. After all we have to apportion them between Districts and there are various other ~~consideration~~ matters that should be taken into consideration before approving these things and so I shall be glad if the Director be asked to submit proposals for all necessary buildings, ~~if necessary~~, in a consolidated form, so that we may be able to distribute the funds that we may have, in the various linguistic areas as well as with a view to other considerations.

TSA
21-10-46

Need [illegible] now to H.M. in view of the minutes of H.M. (Edn).

21/11/46.

SECRETARY, EDN. [illegible] DEPT.
21 NOV 1946
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Edn & PH (B1)

Please see the minutes of H.M. (Edn) on pages 3-4 above. The D P I may be consulted in the matter. A draft memo to the Director is submitted below for approval.

27/11/46
USK 27-11-46

29-11-46
30

21/11/46

---

pp 2-3 of

p 4 of

Memo no 61717 B1/46-2 Edn dated 2.12.46
From the Correspondent St. Anthony's High School
Tanjore no 1352 d 1.3.47

Please see the letter from the Correspondent St Anthony's High School, Tanjore. on page 4 of

p 3 of

and para 2 of Memo no 61717 B1/46.2 Edn d 2-12-46 The correspondent of the school may be informed that the scheme for the construction of an upper storey cannot be included in the list of approved works now and the question of sanctioning a grant for the work can be considered only in connection with the budget for 1948-9 after the procedure to be followed in dealing with applications for building grants is settled.

A draft memo is submitted below for approval

USK
4.3.47

4.3.47

S
5/3

Why a "grant"? or can [illegible] liability if a [illegible] approved list. [illegible]

With reference to the Secretary's query in the margin it is submitted that according to the existing procedure applications from managements of aided educational institutions for grants for the construction of buildings are scrutinised as and when they are received and if the schemes are considered suitable from an educational point of view, they are included in the list of approved works. The inclusion of a scheme in the list implies no sort of liability on govt. for making a grant. The D.P.I. recommends for the consideration of Govt. every year such new schemes out of the list as he considers deserving of grant for consideration, in connection with the Part II budget estimates. After the budget is passed, orders are issued sanctioning grants for the schemes for which provision has been made in the budget. The actual disbursement of the grant is made by the D.P.I. after the work is completed and grant is claimed. It appears from the minutes of H.M.(Edn.

6

on pp. 3-4 ante, that the formality of placing schemes first in the list of approved works is to be dispensed with and that the D.P.I. should send to Govt. for consideration as a Part II scheme a consolidated statement showing the schemes for which grants have been applied for with his recommendations as to which schemes provision should be made. The selection of schemes will be made from the list submitted by the D.P.I. with reference to the considerations set out in the H.M.'s minute on p. 3 ante and ~~as soon as~~ after funds are provided in the budget for payment of grants for such schemes + the budget is passed orders will have to be issued sanctioning grants ~~after the budget is passed~~ as is being done now in respect of schemes included in the list of approved works. Under the revised procedure in view, it does not seem necessary to order the inclusion of schemes in the list of approved works, after the proposals of the D.P.I. are received + considered by Govt.

The schemes selected by Govt. for — out of the list submitted by the Director will be sanctioned ~~[illegible]~~ grants in that year.

Put up [illegible] after [illegible] is [illegible] settled. The [illegible] send out a communication worded as proposed. That [illegible] first — & [illegible] this [illegible].

[illegible]
6.3.47

2. Attention is solicited to the letter from the D.P.I. on page 5 C.F. wherein he enquires whether the procedure to be adopted in future in dealing with applications for building grants will be applicable to secondary schools for girls also. Though the restrictions imposed in G.O.No. 1915 Edn. dated 9-12-41 are not applicable to schemes for the construction of buildings for girls schools, it would seem that the revised procedure to be adopted should be made applicable to schemes for the Construction of building for both boys and girls schools. The D.P.I. may therefore be informed that no new applications for grants for construction of buildings for secondary schools for girls should be included in the list of approved works till the procedure to be adopted in future is settled.

pp 25-27 C.F.

B

[illegible]
6.3.47

I agree. Put up. HM may see.

The draft below is for consideration. / HM approves.

~~For orders.~~

[illegible]
6.3.47

[illegible]
6.3.47

S ('B' above) HM's orders

HM (Laws)

19/3/47

19 MAR 1947

7

The difference between the practice now obtaining and the suggestion made by the Hon'ble Minister is to take away from the D.P.I. his power to recommend which schemes in his opinion are to be recommended for inclusion in the Budget. Evidently H.M. would like to have the whole list put before him from which he may select which schemes are to be included in the year's Budget. This, however, does not preclude the D.P.I. from making his own suggestions as to what priority should be given to the various schemes. The Government may or may not accept his suggestions, but his recommendations must certainly be helpful. The D.P.I. may be instructed accordingly.

A

14 MAR 1947

14/3/47

61717-B1
18/3/47

8 p

Edn. H (B2)

Please see the minutes of H.M. Edn on page 7 ante. It is presumed that H.M. Edn is of the opinion that the revised procedure to be adopted should be made applicable to schemes for the construction of buildings for both boys and girls schools.

A Draft memo to the DPI is submitted below for approval. ~~Necessary instructions may be issued to the DPI~~ with reference to the minutes of HM (Edn) at A on p 7 ante ~~after the Director's reply to the memo on pp 2-3 ante is received & final orders are passed thereon~~ attention is invited to the

MM
15/3

draft memo on pages 2-3 C/. The D.P.I has been asked to send a consolidated list of schemes 'arranged in order of preference'. The D.P.I list should therefore contain full suggestions as to the priority to be given to the various schemes. May be circulated to H.M. (Edn)

15.3.47

17.3.47

(Edn.)
19.3.47

22

pp 8-9 C/

From the Director of Public Instruction, R.C.No.10250-D2/46 dated the 19th March 1947.

Building schemes for aided secondary schools may be divided into two classes:

(i) those relating to the construction of new buildings altogether and

(ii) those relating to extensions or alterations to the existing buildings to meet the present and prospective urgent need for additional accommodation.

p 8 C/

(E)

According to the restrictions imposed in G.O.No.1915 Education, dated the 9th December 1941 no grant can be made to secondary schools for boys for the construction of buildings of both the classes referred to above unless a special case is made out that a grant is essential to the institution, ~~and~~ the Director cannot include the schemes in the list of approved works without the orders of Government. The restrictions do not apply to girls schools and the Director is competent to include schemes for the construction of buildings in respect of such schools in the list of approved works without reference to Government.

pp 2-3 C/

2. Secondary school for boys: In Memo No.61717-B1/46-2 Edn. dated the 2nd December 1946, the Government proposed that the existing procedure of placing in the list of approved works individual schemes which deserve special consideration as and when they are received may be discontinued and that the Director may forward to Government all such schemes in a consolidated form arranged in the order of preference for consideration in connection with the budget estimate for the ensuing year to enable them to distribute the funds available on an equitable basis. The Director requests that he may be permitted to recommend to Government the inclusion of schemes falling under item (ii) in the list of approved works having regard to their urgency notwithstanding the restrictions imposed in G.O.No.1915 Edn. dated the 9th December 1941 as schools which have grown in popularity are finding it difficult to cope up with the increased admissions due to want of additional accommodation and that many of them with their slender resources are unable to meet the entire cost wholly from their funds.

p 8 C/

(E)

p7 of (I)

Remmed

In G.O.No.1634 Edn. dated the 23rd August 1946 the Government decided that the restrictions imposed in G.O 1915 Edn d 9.12.41 should continue and conditions have not changed for reconsidering the decision . In the circumstances stated by the Director till the present financial stringency is over, the existing practice of including schemes in the list of approved works may be confined to those relating to extensions, alterations or improvements to the existing buildings for aided secondary schools for boys which are urgent and for which a grant is considered essential according to G.O.No.1915 Edn. dated the 9th December 1941. The Director's proposal that schemes should be included in the approved list notwithstanding the ban does not seem acceptable. In regard to schemes under category (i) the Director may be asked to submit a consolidated list of schemes, if any which satisfy the conditions in G.O 1915 Edn d 9.12.41 when submitting the budget estimates for building grants under Part II (new schemes). ~~The revised procedure may be made applicable to secondary schools.~~

(E)

3. Secondary schools for girls: It is for consideration whether the proposed procedure in respect of boys' schools may be made applicable to girls' schools also ~~the Director may be asked to submit schemes falling under category (ii) in para 1 on page 9 ante in respect of girls schools also to Government before inclusion in the list of approved works so that the Government may have an opportunity to scrutinise each individual scheme and satisfy themselves that they are necessary before placing in the approved list.~~

4. Training schools: Under G.O.No.1532 Edn. dated the 27th September 1945 the Director should obtain the orders of Government before including any scheme in the approved list. It is for consideration whether as in the case of secondary schools, the inclusion in the approved list may be confined to building schemes falling under category (ii) above.

pp3-4 of (E)

(remmed)

5. Colleges: The existing restrictions may continue. There is no need to maintain any list of approved works. Special cases where a grant is considered essential may be submitted to Government in a consolidated form for consideration in connection with Part II estimates.

The file may be sent to Finance U.O. for remarks.

27.3.47

I consulted Dy. D.P.I. over the phone. He is not against sending the consolidated list of schemes for Govt's approval but wants to send it once a quarter and has accordingly proposes to send a further communication. Await its receipt.

28.3.47

E.& P.H. (B1)

(23)

~~[Previous notes need not be read]~~

[Previous notes need not be read]

p10 of
p17 of

From the D.P.I. R.C.No. 11388 D/46 Dt. 20-4-47.
-do- 10717-D2/46 d 19.4.47.

***

1/ The procedure hitherto adopted for dealing with applications from managements of aided educational institutions for grants for the construction of buildings was to scrutinise them as and when received and if the schemes were considered suitable from an educational point of view to include them in the list of approved works. The D.P.I. recommended for the consideration of Govt. each year such new schemes out of the list as he considered deserving of grant for consideration in connection with the next year's budget as a Part II schemes. After the budget was passed orders were issued sanctioning grants for the schemes for which provision had been made in the budget. The grants were disbursed by the D.P.I. when claimed after the completion of the work. It was considered that the funds available for payment of building grants in any one year should be allotted to the various schemes having regard to linguistic areas and other considerations and it was therefore suggested that all building schemes which are accepted from the educational point of view should be forwarded to Govt. in a consolidated form arranged in the order of preference to enable the Govt. to distribute the funds available on an equitable basis. The remarks of the D.P.I. on the suggestion will be found on p 10 c.f. He considers that the existing procedure of placing schemes on the approved list should be continued and that instead of submitting to Govt. each individual scheme, he may be permitted to submit to Govt. once a quarter a list of building schemes for which grants have been applied for with his recommendation for inclusion in the approved list so that the applications may be disposed of expeditiously and the managements may push forward their schemes and collect funds, from the public. The inclusion in the approved list implies no sort of liability of Govt. for making a grant, but only conveys an approval of the scheme from an educational point of view. It will enable managements to make the necessary preliminary arrangements for starting the work as soon as the grant is provided and also proceed with the execution of the work and claim the grant as soon as it is provided for. If the system of placing the schemes on the approved list is given up and the schemes are approved and grants are sanctioned simultaneously, it may take ~~sanction~~ some time for the managements to make necessary preliminary arrangements for starting the work and no appreciable progress could be made in the execution of the work

p5 ante

Minutes of H.M (Edn) on p13 ante & memo on pp. 2-3 cf

p10 cf

A

P.T.O.

during the year in which the grant is sanctioned. In the circumstances stated by the D.P.I. it is for consideration whether the existing procedure of placing schemes on the approved list may be continued and the D.P.I. may be asked to submit once a quarter all applications for grants which have been scrutinised and are ready whether for boys' schools or girls' schools. The Director now addresses Govt. only in respect of schemes relating to secondary schools for boys and training schools - schemes relating to girls schools are approved by the Director. It is for consideration whether applications for building grants for secondary schools for girls may also be required to be submitted to Government. X X

A

This list may be once in six months so that Govt's' object for calling such consolidated list may be achieved

4.5.47

B

Yes; Pl see Secy's remarks at 'B' on p 6 ante and para 2 of the memo on p 8 cor

4.5.47

2/ In this connection the D.P.I. has made certain other proposals. These are examined below.

(1) PART PAYMENT OF BUILDING GRANTS – Para 2(b) on p 10 c.f.

Appendix 5 [illegible] Code 29 [illegible] 1930

Building grants are now disbursed only on production of a certificate by the management that the work for which the grant is claimed has been completed according to the approved plans and estimates. As most of the managements find it difficult to provide the necessary funds required for the execution of the work in the first instance and wait for a year or two for drawing the half grant from Govt., the D.P.I. recommends that part payment of grants may be made at each stage as was done before.* In the case of subsidies to local bodies for the construction of buildings for secondary schools part payment is made ~~every year~~ with reference to the expenditure incurred on the execution of the work during the year. Similar procedure may be adopted for private aided institutions also-Budget provision may be made every year with reference to the anticipated expenditure in the ensuing year. and a condition may also be imposed that the work should be completed within two or three years from the date of sanctioning the grant.

* Vide Rule 54 Grant in aid Code corrected up to 1937.

4.5.47

C

(2) ~~EXEMPTION~~ REMOVAL OF BAN ON BUILDING GRANTS – Para 2(c) on p 11 c.f.

This is under separate consideration.

(3) EXECUTION OF MORTGAGE – Para 2(d) on p 11 c.f.

Rules 54 & 56 [illegible] 40.

Under the existing rules in the Grant-in-aid code the building or land for which a grant is made is secured against ~~diversion~~ diversion for a limited period ~~proposed~~. The D.P.I. suggests that ~~in case~~ if at any time (whether within or without the period for which the mortgage stands) the managements want to withdraw from the educational field & sell away the property they should do so only with the approval of Govt. and subject to such conditions as they impose. The Director's suggestion may be approved.

D

4.5.47

The Grant in aid code should be amended suitably. The Director may be asked to suggest suitable amendments

~~The file may be circulated to H.M. Edn. for orders on the general principles raised by the Director.~~

~~The Director has forwarded a list of applications received for~~ p 14 c.f.

P.T.O

---

p 14 g

3/ The Director has forwarded a list of applications received for grants for the construction of additional blocks and extensions to the existing buildings for aided secondary schools for boys and girls to meet the present and prospective demand for increased admissions. He considers these schemes should be given preference to those relating to the construction of new buildings altogether and that they need not wait the adoption of cheap designs. He requests that he may be permitted to include them in the approved list after the plans and estimates are scrutinised by the Consulting Architect. On the basis of the appoximate cost, the half ~~grnat~~ grant in respect of the building schemes for boys schools works out to 5.77 lakhs and for girls schools 4.2 lakhs excluding the schemes for which the estimated cost has not been reported. In the present financial stringency it is not possible to include all these schemes in the approved list-some of the schemes included in the list cost more than a lakh and the construction of those buildings should be deferred till the prices of building materials come to normal. The selection of schemes may be made after the procedure to be adopted in dealing with the buildin-g grant applications in future are settled.

4.5.47

~~The file may be circulated to H.M. Edn. for orders.~~

Finance may see with reference to para 2(1) of note before circulation

3/5/47

4.5.47

5

A. I agree with the D.P.I.'s view that the present procedure may continue & the lists be quarterly.

B, C, D & E – I agree.

8/5/47

EXP.A.U.O 6717/46-1973
8/5/47

4 MAY 1947

28183 ExpA.

8/5/47

Finance (Exp D)

C on p 12 above

Please see the notes of the E&P. H. Dept on pp 11-13 above. The file has been sent with reference to the proposal in para 2(1) of the note on p 12 above. The E&P. H. Dept may be requested to put up the relevant orders of the Govt abolishing the system of part payment of grants in order to know the circumstances under which they

~~14~~ the system was abolished and also to examine the necessity to revive it now.

KS 12.5.47 DR 13.5.47. 13.5.47 Esp H. Fin u.o. No 28183 Exp A1 13. 5-47.

MAY 1947

40943

EdH (R) Deptt

[T. M to the DPI dated 14.5.47]
From the DPI RC 670 D/46 dated 29.5.47
[T. M from the DPI dated 7.6.47]

p 19 cf
pp 20.21 cf
pp 23.24 cf

is Please see the Finance Deptt's note above.

2. The system of payment of advances from time to time out of the sanctioned grant before the work is finally completed was in force for a long time - Vide page 9 of G.O. No 780 Edn dated 3-5-33. This provision was omitted when the grant-in-Aid Code was amended in 1939 with reference to the orders in G.O. No 2759 Edn dated 3-12-38 (X) There are no discussions as to why the provision was omitted. - Vide pp 23 & 27 of [illegible] (Y)

(W) - The forms is from the 1933 Edn of the Code to 62

3. The necessity for making part payment of grants is explained in para 2 (b) of the letter from the D.P.I. on pp 10-11 C.F- As pointed out by the Director, the payment of the grant in instalments with reference to the progress made in the execution of the work would enable managements to carry on the work with the limited funds at its disposal. From the point of view of Govt there can be no objection to such payments on production of a certificate to the effect that no less than twice the amount of the grant claimed has been actually spent on the execution of the work as per plans and estimates previously approved by the Deptt and if necessary the Govt may impose a time limit within which the work should be executed and the grant should be claimed in full - (say three years from the year in which the grant was sanctioned) Such part payments are made in respect of subsidies to local bodies towards the cost of construction of buildings and the same procedure may be adopted for private aided secondary schools also.

M

N

4. The file may be sent to Finance u.o. for remarks. ~~They may be requested to expedite the return of the file with their remarks as the D.P.I. wants early orders.~~

KRK 20.6.47

23.6.47

37879

E&PH u.o. No. 61717/47

Finance (Exp.A) Department.

(Previous Finance note on pp.13-14 above.)

para 2 (b) on pp 10-11 CF

The file has been sent to Finance for remarks on the proposal of the Director of Public Instruction to make part payments of building grants to managements of private aided secondary schools. E & P.H. have examined the D.P.I's proposal in para 2(1) of their note on p.12 and in their note on p.14 above.

The necessity for making part payments of grants at each stage of the work instead of the present system of payment after the completion of the work has been explained by the Director of Public Instruction in para 2(b) of his letter on pp.10-11 c.f. E & P.H. consider that there is no objection to the proposal as such payments are ~~xxx~~ now made in respect of subsidies to local bodies towards the cost of construction of buildings for secondary schools. In the circumstances explained by E & P.H., on p.14 above, the proposal may be agreed to, subject to the conditions mentioned at M on p.14 above. H.M. (Fin) may see.

M & N on p.14 above.

See below. DWD 7.7.47

7.7.47

7.7.47

Also (X)

Please see notes on pages 44 and 47-51 N/F of G.O. 2759 Edn d 3.12.38. The present procedure under which grants for buildings are [illegible]de to private educational institutions after the wor[illegible] [illegible]ve been completed was introduced at the instance of the then HM for Education (now HM-Home) with the concurrence of the then HFM. (who was also in charge of Finance). Prior to that, payments were made in instalments as the works progressed. The change seems to have been made on account of the difficulty felt in providing funds for all schemes for which assistance was sought. The D.P.I. then pointed out the difficulties that the private managements would have to face on account of the change in procedure. He drew attention to the "great difference between having a scheme on the approved list with the moral certainty of getting a grant and applying for a grant after the completion of a scheme leaving the Govt. the option of sanctioning or refusing it". He also pointed out that the

Pages 47-49 [illegible]

B'arlog 47 [illegible]

16

B1

change in procedure would tend to operate in favour of rich managements and against poorer ones, as only the former could venture on building schemes out of their funds in the first instance without any promise of grant from the Govt. In spite of the representation of the D.P.I, the Govt. ~~[illegible]~~ gave effect to the change in procedure - cf. Go on pages 60 - 62 of (X).

Min P48 of (X)

The reasons now adduced by the DPI for reversion to the old procedure are the same as those mentioned above. This is likely to result in an increase in the number of applications for grants for buildings from private educational institutions and ~~so~~ to commit the Govt. to larger expenditure. ~~and~~ It does not appear that the present financial position is such as to justify the introduction of any measure that will increase the Govt's commitments. Fin. may advise against the proposed change in procedure.

M in pp 10-11 cf

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
10 JUL 1947
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.

If the E&P.H. Dept. wish to press their proposal on the ground that the procedure now proposed is adopted in the case of building grants to local bodies, they may be requested to take orders in circulation including HM (Fin).

Kro
8/7/47

I take it,
There is no proposal to change the procedure under which the building grants recommended by D.P.I. are considered by the Govt as a Part II scheme or schemes in connection with the budget of each year. All that is proposed is a change in the procedure for payment of grants included in the budget as voted by the Legis. Assembly, so that part-payments may be made as the work progresses, according to the practice in force up to 1938. The proposed change would not ∴ in itself commit the Govt to any larger total expre on grants, but only to paying the bulk of the ~~amount~~ amounts sanctioned earlier than under the present procedure. The proposed system would, however, make it easier for managements to undertake building schemes & would ∴ lead to an increase in the number of applications for building grants & greater pressure on the Govt to sanction them. But as the present procedure must naturally operate in favour of the richer managements & handicap the poorer ones, Finance need not oppose strongly the proposed change in procedure for payment, which is only a reversion to the pre-1938 procedure. HM Fin. may see.

sd 9.7.47 E.P.H.

A

26437

9/7

Finance no. 37879-EA
9.7.47

17

Edn. and P.H. (B1)

Notes from page 11 ante may be read.

2 The case relates to the modification of the existing procedure of considering applications for building grants to aided educational institutions as and when they are received and placing them on the list of approved works. It was suggested that all such applications should be forwarded to Govt. in a consolidated form once a year arranged in the order of preference to enable them to distribute the funds available ~~in the order of preference~~ on an equitable basis. The remarks of the D.P.I. will be found on page 10 cf. ~~In order to expedite the disposal of applications for building grants,~~ the D.P.I. suggests that the existing procedure of placing schemes on the approved list may be continued and that he may be asked to submit the applications to Govt. for sanctioning the inclusion in the approved list quarterly instead of once a year. ~~It is for consideration whether~~ The Director's proposal may be approved and he may be asked to submit applications for building grants from all aided institutions including training schools and colleges whether for boys or girls to Govt.

OM on pp 2-5 ante

proc

X

3 Incidentally the D..P.I. has made certain other suggestions mentioned below:-

(1) Removal of all the restrictions on building grants with a view to encourage freely the construction of buildings.

c on p 11 cf

(2) Modification of the procedure for payment of the sanctioned grants providing for part payments of grants at each stage of the work instead of the present system of payment after the completion of the work.

b on p 10 cf

(3) Modification of the condition relating to the execution of the mortgage to provide that if the managements want to withdraw from the Educational field and sell away the property whether within the period for which the building has been mortgaged to Govt. or subsequently, they shoould do so only with the approval of Govt.

d on p 11 cf

Suggestion(I) The question is already under separate consideration.

Suggestion (2) The proposal is only to revert to the practice which was in force up to 1938. The necessity for the change is fully explained in para 2 (b) of the Director's letter on pages 10-11 cf.
Please see in this connection the Director's note on pp 47-49 of (X)

Finance ~~agree~~ do not object to the proposal-Vide Finance Secy.'s note on page 16 ante.

It is not proposed to alter the existing procedure under which building grants recommended by the D.P.I. in respect of schemes included in the approved list are considered by the Govt. as a part II scheme or schemes in connection with the budget of each year.

Suggestion (3) The suggestion may be approved as it is intended to prevent educational facilities being diminished for some private reasons.

4/ The file may be circulated to H.M. (Edn.) and H.M. (Fin) ~~for orders~~. (with reference to the proposed changes in procedure for payment of sanctioned grants.) for orders. After the procedure is settled, the Director's proposals for the inclusion of certain specific schemes in the approved list will be examined, and submitted for orders.

11/7/47

1.7.47

(4)/7/47

Hon. Edn/M (Edn.)

Hon. Fin/M (Fin.)

X

(1) As mentioned in p.17 ante, the question is under separate consideration.

(2) The proposal is to revert to the practice which was in force before 1938. This will help smaller managements and I think may be approved.

(3) This is also under separate consideration. But for the present the D.P.I's suggestion may be accepted. In this matter I would like to clear a misunderstanding which seems to be prevalent with Finance as well as with the Dept. In page 11 at 'A' it is said that the inclusion in the approved list implies no sort of liability of Govt. for making a grant, but only conveys an approval of the scheme from an educational point of view. While this may be legally so, morally it has been said in previous files that we are bound to give them a grant. With regard to the claim of the Loyola College for a certain building grant for which we had made no definite promise except the ~~personal~~ approval ~~conditionce~~ conveyed as above, Finance Dept. + Edn ~~noted~~ that we were morally bound to give the grant and there is a great deal in what they ~~say~~

said ~~and~~ Managements also have a feeling that when such approval is given the grants will be paid to them and so in this matter even when we give approval, we may be more careful in the matter.

In this connection I would like to give a suggestion to the Dept. Two forms of approval may be devised in the Dept., one form which merely says that the plan is approved from educational point of view and must make clear that the management should not ~~accept~~ expect any grant for the institution. Another form stating while we may not assure the Management, we shall consider their claims for grant. The D.P.I. and the Dept. may consider this matter separately and put up a note.

x x x

TSA
20.7.47

Since this involves a departure from the existing practice, it will have to go before the Cabinet. This will ~~have~~ involve the Govt. to the expenditure of several lakhs a year.

On the merits of the question, I agree with H.M. (Edn). Some sort of help is necessary for these numerous institutions that are coming up from private funds. Our help will further stimulate private benefactions for public purposes.

24.7

EDN. AND P. H. DEPT.
28 JUL 1947
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

— A above —

An extract of the minutes of H M (Edn) has been taken for separate action in regard to the modification of the form of order of approval of building schemes.

P.T.O.

B1 EdA

The file may now be circulated to HM (Edn) with reference to the minutes of HM (Fin) & ~~for orders in regard to circu~~ and also to MPM for orders ~~also~~ for placing the proposals before the Cabinet.

USK
30.7.47

31.7.47

S
31/7/47

O.S. 35
31/7

TSA
1-8-47

HM (Edn)

Place before Cabinet
O.P.R
29/8

A note for the Cabinet is submitted below for approval. The file may be sent to the Finance Dept and to the Chief Secy for approval of the note prior to circulation to HM (Edn)

USK
5/9/47

5.9.47

S
5/9

C.S. C.S.

AP
5/9/47

E&H L.O. 61717/ub-B1
5/9/47

Finance (ExpA)

Secretary to Government
53312 EA-1
1 SEP 1947
Finance Department

(Previous Finance note on pp 15-16 above)

Please see the orders in circulation on pp 18-20 above.

The draft note for the Cabinet may be accepted.

SHA
13.9.47

NVS
13.9.47

15.9.47

DWD
15.9.47

~~A.H.Ladey~~

~~C.S.~~ C.S.

16/9

E&PH

Fin U.O. 53312 EA-1
15-9-47

Secretary to Government
SEP 1947
Education and Public Health Dept.

74403.

E&PH (B1).

The note for the Cabinet in regard to the modification of the procedure for payment of sanctioned grants for the

for the construction of buildings for aided educational institutions may now be circulated to H.M (Edn) for approval.

A / 24.9.47

2. Attention is solicited to paragraphs 2 & 3 of the notes on pp 11-12 and 13 ante and the further notes thereon in para 2 on page 17 ante in regard to the submission to Govt of the applications for building grants received from managements of aided educational institutions once a quarter for sanctioning their inclusion in the approved list. In order to enable the DPI to suggest the provision to be made in the Part II estimates for 1948-9 for building grants, it seems necessary to pass orders in regard to the inclusion in the list of approved works of the schemes already submitted by the Director and also other schemes that may be ready for consideration now. The list on pp 16-18 cf submitted in April is not exhaustive. Orders may now be issued accepting the DPI's proposal to submit quarterly all applications for building grants and he may be asked to submit now a fresh list of schemes which he wishes to put forward for consideration in the order of priority. Subject to orders on this point, a draft memo is submitted below. It may also be circulated to HM (Edn) for approval.

B / 24.9.47

KSK
23.9.47

24.9.47

S
24/9/47

HM (Edn)

25/9

A. approved

B. may be done.

TSA
28-9-47

Secretary, E. & P.H. Dept.
1 - OCT 1947
Government of Madras

pp 25-26 cf

[Issued as Memo no. 61717-B1/ub-6 Edn. of 1/10/47]

~~E&PH~~ (B1)

Education and Public Health Department
(B1).

NOTE FOR THE CABINET.

Please take 35 copies
VSN
7/10/47

Building grants to aided educational institutions - Modification of the procedure for payment of sanctioned grants.

---

para 54 p11 g (X)

Prior to 1938, advances were made from time to time out of the grant sanctioned for the construction of buildings for aided educational institutions provided the Director of Public Instruction was satisfied that the work was proceeding satisfactorily and generally in accordance with the approved plans and specifications and the title deeds of the property were found to be in order. The final payment was made after the work was completed on production of a certificate signed by the Architect or the Engineer in charge of the work that the work has been completed in accordance with the approved plans and estimates and another certificate signed by the Correspondent himself that the full amount of the Government grant received has been duly expended thereon specifying also the total amount actually spent on the building. The system was abolished in 1938 and the present procedure under which grants are made after the work is completed was prescribed.

para 55 p12 g (X)

pp10-11 cf

2. The Director of Public Instruction reports that many of the managements are not in a position to carry out the construction of buildings involving large amounts and wait for a year or two after the whole work is completed for receiving the half grant from Government and consequently only the richer managements are in a position to take advantage of the Government grant and poor managements are very much handicapped. He therefore recommends that the system of making part payments of grants should be revived. He considers that once a scheme is approved by Government, it should be placed on the approved list and the funds required should be provided in the succeeding years' budgets so that the demands could be met as they are made. The procedure

P.T.O.

now proposed for private aided institutions is the one now adopted in making ~~adopted in making~~ building grants to secondary schools under local bodies. Finance Department do not object to the proposal. The note of the Finance Secretary is extracted below:--

"There is, I take it, no proposal to change the procedure under which the building grants recommended by D.P.I. are considered by the Govt. as a Part II Scheme or schemes in connection with the Budget of each year. All that is proposed is a change in the procedure for payment of grants included in the budget as voted by the Legis. Assembly, so that part payments may be made as the work progresses, according to the practice in ~~[illegible]~~ force up to 1938. The proposed change would not therefore in itself commit the Govt. to any larger total expenditure on grants, but only to paying the bulk of the sanctioned amounts earlier than under the present procedure. The proposed system would, however, make it easier for managements to undertake building schemes and would therefore lead to an increase in the number of applications for building grants and greater pressure on the Govt. to sanction them. But as the present procedure must naturally operate in favour of the richer managements and handicap the poorer ones, Finance need not oppose strongly the proposed change in procedure for payment, which is only a reversion to the pre-1938 procedure. H.M.Finance may see."

D.W.D.
9-7-1947.

3. On the proposal H.M.(Edn.) minuted as follows:

"The proposal is to revert to the practice which was in force before 1938. This will help smaller managements and I think may be approved".

T.S.A(vinashilingam)
20-7-47.

H.M.(Finance) minuted as follows: —

"Since this involves a departure from the existing practice, it will have to go before the Cabinet. This will involve the Government to the expenditure of several lakhs a year.

On the merits of the question, I agree with H.M.(Edn.) Some sort of help is necessary for these numerous institutions that are coming up from private funds. Our help will further stimulate private benefactions for public purposes".

B.G.R(eddi)
21-7-47.

H.P.M. has ordered that the proposal be placed before Cabinet.

4. The point for orders is whether in the case of aided secondary schools and colleges the payment of building grants may be by part payment as the work progresses, instead of full payment after the completion of work. ~~so that even poor~~ managements may easily undertake the construction of buildings for ~~secondary schools~~ the educational institutions under their control with the limited funds at their disposal and recoup a portion of the amount spent by claiming the half grant due at each stage of the work.

USR
5/9/47

5.9.47

S

5/9/47.

P.T.O

Draft II

25/ Item (1) 3

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
Education and Public Health Department.
***

NOTE FOR THE CABINET.

Building grants to aided educational institutions - Modification of the procedure for payment of sanctioned grants.
x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x

Prior to 1938, advances were made from time to time out of the grant sanctioned for the construction of buildings for aided educational institutions provided the Director of Public Instruction was satisfied that the work was proceeding satisfactorily and generally in accordance with the approved plans and specifications and the title deeds of the property were found to be in order. The final payment was made after the work was completed on production of a certificate signed by the Architect or the Engineer in charge of the work that the work has been completed in accordance with the approved plans and estimates and another certificate signed by the Correspondent himself that the full amount of the Government grant received has been duly expended thereon specifying also the total amount actually spent on the building. The system was abolished in 1938 and the present procedure under which grants are made after the work is completed was prescribed.

2. The Director of Public Instruction reports that many of the managements are not in a position to carry out ~~of~~ the construction of buildings involving large amounts and wait for a year or two after the whole work is completed for receiving the half grant from Government and consequently only the richer managements are in a position to take advantage of the Government grant and poor managements are very much handicapped. He therefore recommends that the system of making part payments of grants should be revived. He considers that once a scheme is approved by Government, it should be placed on the approved list and the funds required should be provided in the succeeding years budgets so that the demands could be met as they are made. The procedure now proposed for private aided institutions is the one now adopted in making building grants to secondary schools under local bodies. Finance Department do not object to the proposal. The note of the Finance Secretary is extracted below:-

"There is, I take it, no proposal to change the procedure under which the building grants recommended by Director of Public Instruction are considered by the Government as a Part II Scheme or schemes in connection with the budget of each year. All that is proposed is a change in the procedure for payment of grants included in the budget as voted by the Legislative Assembly, so that part payments may be made as the work progresses, according to the practice in force up to 1938. The proposed change would not therefore in itself commit the Government to any larger total expenditure on grants, but only to paying the bulk of the sanctioned amounts earlier than under the present procedure. The proposed system would, however, make it easier for managements to undertake building schemes and would therefore lead to an increase in the number of applications for building grants and greater pressure on the Government to sanction them. But as the present procedure must naturally operate in favour of the richer managements and handicap the poorer ones, Finance need not oppose strongly the proposed change in procedure for payment, which is only a reversion to the pre-1938 procedure. Hon'ble Minister(Finance) may see."

D.W.D./9-7-1947.

3. On the proposal Hon'ble Minister for Education minuted as follows:-

" The proposal is to revert to the practice which was in force before 1938. This will help smaller managements and I think may be approved."

T.S.A(vinashilingam)
20--7--1947.

Hon'ble Minister (Finance) minuted as follows:-

" Since this involves a departure from the existing practice, it will have to go before the Cabinet. This will involve the Government to the expenditure of several lakhs a year.

On the merits of the question, I agree with Hon'ble Minister for Education. Some sort of help is necessary for these numerous institutions that are coming up from private funds. Our help will further stimulate private benefactions for public purposes."

B.G.R(eddi)/24-7-1947.

The Honourable the Prime Minister has ordered that the proposal be placed before Cabinet.

4. The point for orders is whether in the case of aided secondary schools and colleges the payment of building grant may be by part payment as the work progresses, instead of full payment after the completion of work.

M.V. Subramanian,
Secretary to Government.

B1

86608

MEETING OF THE COUNCIL OF MINISTERS HELD AT FORT ST. GEORGE ON MONDAY THE 27TH OCTOBER, 1947.

The Hon'ble the Prime Minister presided.

----

29 OCT 1947

PRESENT:

The Hon'ble Dr. P. Subbarayan.
The Hon'ble Dr. T.S.S. Rajan.
The Hon'ble Sri M. Bhaktavathsalam.
The Hon'ble Sri B. Gopala Reddi.
The Hon'ble Sri H. Sitarama Reddi.
The Hon'ble Sri K. Chandramouli.
The Hon'ble Sri T.S. Avanashilingam.
The Hon'ble Sri K. Madhava Menon.
The Hon'ble Sri Kala Venkata Rao.
The Hon'ble Sri A.B. Shetty.
The Hon'ble Sri V. Kurmayya.

AGENDA:

Building grants to Aided Educational Institutions - Modification of the procedure for payment of sanctioned grants.

ORDER:

It was decided that in the case of aided secondary schools and colleges the payment of building grant may be by part payment as the work progresses.

(Sd.) O.P. Ramaswami Reddiar,
29-10.

29/x

E. & P.H.Dept.

B1 28 Ex A (33) E&PH(D.)

Please see the Cabinet decision on page 27 ante.

A. draft order is submitted below for approval. Finance may see before issue.

30.10.47.

30/10/47

3-11-47

3/11/47

Fin. (Exp. A)

E&PH. no. 61717 B1/48-8
1-11-47

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
Fin. U.O. 65494 E.A-1
~~3 NOV 1947~~
4.11.47
FINANCE DEPARTMENT

87326

Seen in Fin.

3.11.47

4/11/47

~~A.D.S.~~

E&PH

Issue