

Education (1947) Department.

SERIES.

G.O. NO.

2144

Edu

Dated

6 x 47

[Despatch Abstract.]

Legislative Assembly question. Dir of Prachin
 clarker - Revival - paper - Recorded.

the gov

Back Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Further Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.



SECRETARIAT OF THE MADRAS LEGISLATURE,
Fort St. George
Chennai; dated the 3rd Sept. 1946.

5310

QUESTION No. 624 for the ^{next} meeting of the Legislative Assembly to be held on the 193 .

Two copies of this question are forwarded to the E and P.H. Department.

The question has been admitted.

31/9/46

To

The E and P.H. Department.

U. S. 3898/46 - 1M

4/4/46

E and P.H. Dept.
C1.

Please see the starred question in the of .

blanc (a)

38 of the M. E. R.

Appendix 17. A. to the Madras Ed. Rule contains a list of castes and communities recognised as backward for the purpose of grant of fee concessions in schools and colleges under rule 92 of those rules. The list consists of two groups - I. Scheduled castes and II. castes other than Scheduled castes. The list in Group I is based on the Hindu Caste Order in Council and can be amended only by the Parliament. The list in Group II can be amended by this Govt. with a view to reduce the grant

expenditure on fee concessions the question was raised in 1938 whether some of the communities which were on the list could not be removed from it. In consultation with the officers of the Education Dept and the collectors of districts the D.P.S suggested that ~~some~~ ^{eight} communities might be removed from the list. He also ~~suggested~~ ^{suggested} the inclusion of 12 communities in the list. The Director's recommendation was accepted and the revised list was approved in G.O. No. 160 Edu & 29.1.40. ^{On receipt of further} ~~the list was not~~ ^{has revised so far} ~~as far as~~ representatives the communities known as Agamudaiyans, Kaikalans and Kammalans which had been removed from the list were included in it. After this there has been no revision of the list.

clause (b)

The question of the revision of the list was raised in 1943: the proposal was postponed for the duration of the war as it was considered that collectors would justifiably object to the extra work. Last year the question was again examined and it was decided that it might be postponed as the war had not officially ended and the collectors had odd work relating to the resettlement of demobilised soldiers. It does not seem opportune even now to take up the work as collectors will be fully engaged with food problems; ^{to} ~~the~~ eight districts [collectors] have also to see to the enforcement of prohibition. ~~For and so~~

clause (c)

Of late ~~representations~~ ^{representations} have been received from a number of communities requesting their inclusion in the list. In all these cases the ~~replies~~ ^{reply} given has been that the question will be considered at the time of the revision of the list. If exception is made in the case of one community it will be difficult to resist similar requests from other communities.

A draft answer will be put up after orders are passed on the above note. is subd for consideration

8/10/46

12/10/46


in the first is raised when the difficulty at present is, 'A' & 'B' are by the indicates.

To enable the answer ~~may~~ ^{be} supplemented the following information may be provided:

- (1) When was the last revision previous to 1940 made?
- (2) A copy of the rules to show the matter contained in page 1 c.f. may be flagged and kept for ready reference.

(1) above - in 1935 - please see G.O. No. 2116 Edu & 23.10.35

(2) above - Please see the note on page 13 of G.O. No. 2019 Dev & 26.8.1940. The order in council



referred to is as G.O. 224-225. A letter Bore put up

The answer may be despatched to the

12/10/46

The Question was not answered in the Assembly as it was postponed. This may now be revealed for future reference.

27/1/47

5/10/47

Legislative Body
Question - Sri S.
Nagaraja Manjari
- List of Backward
Communities - Papers
Received.

No. 2144 of 6-10-47
Hrs

STARRED

22-8-1946

Sri S. NAGARAJA MANIGAR, M.L.A.

EDUCATION & PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENT

*Q. NO. 624. Will the Hon'ble the Minister for Education be pleased to state:-

(a) when the list of backward communities entitled fee concessions in educational institutions was last revised;

(b) when it is proposed to revise it next; and

(c) whether any representation made by a community for inclusion in the list will be considered in the meanwhile?

(a) In 1940.

(b) In 1946
course taken
is practical

(c) No

5/10/47

by
Hrs

Eds No. 51310 CI-1
14. X 46

To Hon'ble
Legislative
Secretariat

N.V. 22/8

Go no 160 Eds
28 1-40 6 m 11 5889 CI (46)
became 40 no 145 Eds
4.

SECRETARIAT OF THE MADRAS LEGISLATURE
(ASSEMBLY SECTION)
22-8-1946

SECRETARIAT OF THE MADRAS LEGISLATURE
(ASSEMBLY SECTION)
22-8-1946

Sri S. NAGARAJA MANIGAR, M.L.A.

EDUCATION & PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENT

*Q. NO. 624. Will the Hon'ble the Minister for Education be pleased to state:-

- (a) when the list of backward communities entitled to fee concessions in educational institutions was last revised;
- (b) when it is proposed to revise it next; and
- (c) whether any representation made by a community for inclusion in the list will be considered in the meanwhile?

Will the Hon'ble the Minister for Education be pleased to state:-
(a) when the list of backward communities entitled to fee concessions in educational institutions was last revised;
(b) when it is proposed to revise it next; and
(c) whether any representation made by a community for inclusion in the list will be considered in the meanwhile?

Edn and P.H. Dept
C.I.

Advance copy circulated to
H.M. (Edn).
10/9/46.
11.9.46

old papers called for from Mr. R. & being noted on

N.V. 22/8

TSR
11.9.46
H.M. (Edn)
11 SEP 1946
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Draft Answer

(a) 22.8.46

(b) 22.8.46

(c) 22.8.46

11/9/46

11/9/46

11/9/46

11/9/46

11/9/46

2

SRI S. NAGARAJA MANIGAN, M.L.A.

Will the Hon'ble the Minister for Education be pleased to state:-

- (a) when the list of backward communities entitled to fee concessions in educational institutions was last revised;
- (b) when it is proposed to revise it next; and
- (c) whether any representation made by a community for inclusion in the list will be considered in the meanwhile?

EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENT

A N S W E R

- (a) In 1940
(b) As early as practicable
(c) NO

For secretary to Government.

To the Secretary, Madras Legislature (Assembly Section)
Copy to the Public (Elections) Department.

The above answer has been approved by the Hon'ble Mr. T.S. Avinashilingam Chettiar.

Edn. U.O.No. 51310 Cl-1)
dated 14th October 1946)

S/C

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

4-10-54. Will the Hon'ble the Minister for

Approved by the Board of Directors

Collection donated to FBI Lab (a)

Submitted for publication in educational

; 004707 001 000 000000

(b) When it is proposed to revise it

5. 11. 1953 : 10. 11. 1953

(c) whether any representation made by a

community for inclusion in the list will be

considered in the morning.

Edm and P. H. Daff

12

at *Salmonia pyrae* var. *var.*

W. H. (1885)

10/1/20

(363) CH

A21

44-9.11



GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

Department - (1945) Department.

Passes
500

Endorsement

D.O.

Memorandum

Lodged

No. 28529 F^{II}/45-

dated

11th May

- 1945-

FURTHER PAPERS.

Number.	Series.	Date.