

EtOH (1947) Department.

Ms SERIES.

G.O. NO.

157
Eon

Dated

29.1.1947

[Despatch Abstract.]

Legislative Council Question - Expansion of education -
Subsidies to backward districts - Note recorded.

Back Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Further Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

... of the ...
(Council ...)
... 1944 ...

QUESTION.

... H. S. ...
... AND ...
... will the Hon. the Minister for
... be pleased to state:

(a) whether for the development
... elementary education in the backward
... districts of the province special grants
... were made for ~~the past~~ ^{in the past} some years;

(b) what the amounts of such
grants districtwar during each year were;

(c) The number of schools started
by each local body every year and what the
present total number of schools and the strength
districtwar are;

(d) whether it is proposed to
continue the system of special grants;

(e) whether ^{the sanction of dearness allowance to teachers}
employed in the schools was taken into account
in fixing the grants;

(f) whether the amounts paid towards
dearness allowances have resulted in deficits;

(g) whether the local bodies concerned
have asked for increased grants to make up
the deficits;

(h) whether the Government have ordered
the ... of the ...

... to ...

... to ...

... to ...

3
M

Secy. of the Madras Legn.
Madras
8-7-1946.
Education as a measure of post-war
starvation.

GRANT

SRI E. S. S. RAYANARAYANA RAO, M.L.C.
Education And Public Health Department
22, Hill St. Hon. the Minister for
Education be pleased to state:-

(a) whether for the development of
elementary Education in the backward
districts of the Province special
grants were made for some years in the
past.

(a) The answer is in the
affirmative. Seven of the districts have
been given special grants for the
purpose.

(b) What the amounts of such grants
district wise during each year were;

(b) The maximum subsidy granted
from provincial funds to each of the
District Boards is given below:-

Name of the District Board	For each of the years 1944 and 1945	1943
Guddanah	30,000/-	30,000/-
Chittoor	56,000/-	56,000/-
Kurnool	40,000/-	40,000/-
Beilary	38,000/-	38,000/-
Anantapur	44,000/-	44,000/-
Salem	1,00,000/-	1,00,000/-
Tamil Nadu	700,000/-	700,000/-

W 2/8
Sectt. of the Madras Legre.
(Council Section)
8-7-1946.

DRAFT ANSWER

STARRED QUESTION.

SRI R. SURYANARAYANA RAO, M.L.C.
Education And Public Health Department
*32. Will the Hon. the Minister for
Education be pleased to state:-

(a) whether for the development of
Elementary Education in the backward
districts of the Province special
grants were made for some years in the
past.

(a) The answer is in the
affirmative. Seven Dt. Boards have
been given special subsidy for the
purpose.

(b) What the amounts of such grants
district wise during each year were;

(b) The maximum subsidy sanctioned
from provincial funds to each of the
Dt. Boards is given below:-

Name of the District Board	For each of the years '43 '44 and 1944- -1945. Rs	For 1945-46 Rs
Cuddapah	30,000/-	50,000/-
Chittoor	56,000/-	93,500/-
Kurnool	40,000/-	66,740/-
Bellary	38,000/-	63,400/-
Anantapur	44,000/-	73,400/-
Salem	1,35,000/-	2,25,260/-
East Godavari() Agency Dt. Board	700/-	11,700/-

The maximum amounts were paid in '43-'44
and the amount paid in 1944-45 and 1945-46
were regulated with reference to the cost of the
expansion schemes after deducting the saving
in previous years.

C.T.C.

6
(c) the number of schools started by each local body every year and what the present total number of schools and the strength districtwar are;

(c) Complete information is not available.

(d) whether it is proposed to continue the system of special grants; (d) The answer is in the affirmative.

(e) whether the sanction of dearness allowance to teachers employed in the schools was taken into account in fixing these grants; (e) to (i) The whole matter is being re-examined by the Government with reference to representations made by the P.D.Bs of Ceded Districts and Chittoor.

(f) whether the amounts paid towards dearness allowances have resulted in deficits;

(g) whether the local bodies concerned have asked for increased grants to make up the deficits;

(h) whether the Government have ordered for the closure of the newly started schools

in order to balance the budget without making corresponding increase in the grants to make up the deficits;

(i) if the answer to (h) is in the affirmative, whether such efforts as have been made till now to promote elementary education have been practically rendered useless; and

(j) whether the Government have also been requiring local bodies to submit schemes for the expansion of elementary education as a measure of post-war development? (j) The answer is in the Negative.

31.7.46

31/7/46

Ed. & P.H. 37742/32/46-1
3-8-46.

Copy to the Public (Elc) Dept
Madras Legislature (3)

5.8.46

Legislative Council Question No.32 (Starred).

SRI R. SURYANARAYANA RAO.

Will the Hon'ble the Minister for Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether for the development of Elementary Education in the backward districts of the Province special grants were made for some years in the past.

(b) What the amounts of such grants district war during each year were;

(c) the number of schools started by each local body every year and what the present total number of schools and the strength district war are;

(d) whether it is proposed to continue the system of special grants;

(e) whether the sanction of dearness allowance to teachers employed in the schools was taken into account in fixing these grants;

(f) whether the amounts paid towards dearness allowances have resulted in deficits;

(g) whether the local bodies concerned have asked for increased grants to make up the deficits;

(h) whether the Government have ordered for the closure of the newly started schools in order to balance the budget without making corresponding increase in the grants to make up the deficits;

(i) if the answer to (h) is in the affirmative, whether such efforts as have been made till now to promote elementary education have been practically rendered useless; and

(j) whether the Government have also been requiring local bodies to submit schemes for the expansion of elementary education as a measure of post-war development?

Education and Public Health Department.

ANSWER.

(a) The answer is in the affirmative. Seven District Boards have been given special subsidy for the purpose.

(b) The maximum subsidy sanctioned from provincial funds to each of the District Board is given below:--

12 8

6 9

Name of the District Board.

For each of the years 1943-1944 and 1944-1945.

For 1945-46.

	Rs.	Rs.
Cuddapah	30,000/-	50,000/-
Chittoor	56,000/-	93,500/-
Kurnool	40,000/-	66,740/-
Bellary	38,000/-	63,400/-
Anantapur	44,000/-	73,400/-
Salem	1,35,000/-	2,25,260/-
East Godavari Agency District Board	7000/- (Sum rounded)	11,700/-

The maximum amounts were paid in 1943-44 and the amounts paid in 1944-45 and 1945-46 were regulated with reference to the cost of the expansion schemes after deducting the savings in previous years.

(c) Complete information is not available.

(d) The answer is in the affirmative. *yes*

(e) to (i) The whole matter is being re-examined by the Government with reference to representations made by the Presidents, District Boards of Ceded Districts and Chittoor.

(j) The answer is in the negative. *no*

Secretary to Government.

To

The Secretary, Madras Legislature (Council Section).
Copy to the Public (Elections) Department.

The above answer has been approved by
The Hon'ble Mr. T.S. Avinashilingam Chettiar.

Edn. & P.H.U.O. No. 37742, B2/46-1
dated 3rd August 1946.

PL/3.8.

slc

SECRETARIAT OF THE MADRAS LEGISLATURE,

Fort St. George, dated the 11-7-1946



37742

QUESTION No. 32

for the meeting of the Legislative Council

to be held on the

193.

Two copies of this question are forwarded to the ~~Finance~~ ^{Edn. & P.H.} Department.

The question has been admitted.

21
11.7.46.

11/7

To

The ~~Finance~~ ^{Edn. & P.H.} Department.

Dislinked (L.A.)
+ subd to H.M.

Edn. & P.H. DEPT (B2)

Please see the general note in the linked file explaining the circumstances under which a scheme of Special Subsidy to certain backward dist. for the expansion of ele. edu. was introduced in 1943. The note also explains how the expenditure under the expansion scheme has increased during the recent years partly on account of the grant of dearness allowance to teachers and partly because of the tendency on the part of the Dist. Bds. to launch on the schemes of expansion far beyond their means. The P. D. As. have represented to the Govt. the desirability of increasing the Govt. subsidy for expansion of edu. and proposed to interview H. Ms (Edn) & (L.A.) then interview

interview has been fixed on 26th July 1946.

2. A draft answer is submitted for approval.

8/3
16/7/46
16.7.46

Perhaps the file may lie over until the interview is over.

PM
18/7/46 & Ap. 21

I think so
Ap. 21

The main file is linked

Below the draft answer already sent for app. the question raised in the main file will be considered after the answer is despatched to the assembly etc.

27.7.46

18/46

* 21 Q.—SRI R. SURYANARAYANA RAO: Will the Hon. the Minister for Education be pleased to state—

(a) whether for the development of elementary education in the backward districts of the Province special grants were made for some years in the past;

(b) what the amounts of such grants districtwar during each year were;

(c) the number of schools started by each local body every year and what the present total number of schools and the strength districtwar are;

(d) whether it is proposed to continue the system of special grants;

(e) whether the sanction of dearness allowances to teachers employed in the schools was taken into account in fixing these grants;

(f) whether the amounts paid towards dearness allowances have resulted in deficits;

(g) whether the local bodies concerned have asked for increased grants to make up the deficits;

(h) whether the Government have ordered the closure of the newly started schools in order to balance the budget without making corresponding increase in the grants to make up the deficits;

(i) if the answer to (h) is in the affirmative, whether such efforts as have been made till now to promote elementary education have been practically rendered useless; and

(j) whether the Government have also been requiring, local bodies to submit schemes for the expansion of elementary education as a measure of post-war development?

THE HON. SRI T. S. AVINASHILINGAM CHETTIAR:—

"(a) The answer is in the affirmative. Seven district boards have been given special subsidy for the purpose.

"(b) The maximum subsidy sanctioned from provincial funds to each of the district boards is given below:—

Name of the district board.	For each of the years 1943-44 and 1944-45.	For 1945-6.
	RS.	RS.
Cuddapah	30,000	50,000
Chittoor	56,000	93,500
Kurnool	40,000	66,740
Bellary	38,000	63,400
Anantapur	44,000	73,400
Salem	1,35,000	2,25,260
East Godavari Agency District Board.	7,000	11,700

PM
31/7/46
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
8 - AUG 1946
14.7.46 (Edh.)

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
8 - AUG 1946

Est. H. No. 37/42 B 247.

29.1.47

Legislative Council
Question Expansion
25 - Submitted to
billwans 25 -
NLS Mander

29.1.47

the papers may be
157 Edu 1 29.1.47
Record

"The maximum amounts were paid in 1943-44 and the amounts paid in 1944-45 and 1945-46 were regulated with reference to the cost of the expansion schemes after deducting the savings in previous years.

"(c) Complete information is not available.

"(d) The answer is in the affirmative.

"(e) to (i) The whole matter is being re-examined by the Government with reference to representations made by the presidents, district boards of Ceded districts and Chittoor.

"(j) The answer is in the negative."

SRI R. SURYANARAYANA RAO:—"May I know from the Hon. Minister for Education whether he is aware that as a result of the orders of Government asking the district boards to balance their budgets, more than 200 schools have been recently closed down in Anantapur district?"

THE HON. SRI T. S. AVINASHILINGAM CHETTIAR:—"That is the information which I have read in the newspapers this morning, and more than that Government have no information."

SRI R. SURYANARAYANA RAO:—"Is it not proper on the part of Government to ask these district boards to continue these schools without closing them down, when the matter is under consideration?"

THE HON. SRI T. S. AVINASHILINGAM CHETTIAR:—"The whole matter is under consideration, and I may tell for the information of the House that the presidents of the district boards and the Special Officer in charge of the District Board, Chittoor, waited on a deputation, and these matters were considered. Certain suggestions were given and are under the consideration of the Government."

SRI R. SURYANARAYANA RAO:—"Is it not more difficult to re-open the closed schools than to allow the schools to continue until the matter is finally settled?"

THE HON. SRI T. S. AVINASHILINGAM CHETTIAR:—"In fact that is one of the suggestions that are under consideration."

SRI R. SURYANARAYANA RAO:—"In view of the information that I have conveyed to the Hon. Minister, will he issue instructions immediately to district boards not to close down the schools pending the decision of Government?"

THE HON. SRI T. S. AVINASHILINGAM CHETTIAR:—"It is not even one week, Sir, I speak subject to correction, since the district board presidents were here, and they were told that they could readjust the Compulsory Education Scheme to the already existing scheme instead of closing down the schools. After having communicated the suggestions in person, I do not think any purpose will be served by again writing to them."

SRI R. SURYANARAYANA RAO:—"May I know whether it is a fact that while Government have been asking local bodies to submit schemes for the expansion of elementary education, they are at the same time, asking the local bodies to close down the schools that are already in existence? Does not the Hon. Minister see some inconsistency in the policy of the Government?"

THE HON. SRI T. S. AVINASHILINGAM CHETTIAR:—"The presumption of the hon. Member is incorrect. The answer to clause (j) is 'the answer is in the negative'."

SRI R. SURYANARAYANA RAO:—"May I bring to the notice of the Hon. Minister that there is a Government circular to the district boards, which I have seen, asking them to prepare a scheme for the expansion of elementary education?"

MR. PRESIDENT:—"The present Government?"

SRI R. SURYANARAYANA RAO:—"Yes, Sir, after the Minister has taken charge."

THE HON. SRI T. S. AVINASHILINGAM CHETTIAR:—"I have nothing more to add to the information I have already given."

1. *Amelanchier canadensis*
 2. *Amelanchier canadensis*
 3. *Amelanchier canadensis*
 4. *Amelanchier canadensis*
 5. *Amelanchier canadensis*
 6. *Amelanchier canadensis*
 7. *Amelanchier canadensis*
 8. *Amelanchier canadensis*
 9. *Amelanchier canadensis*
 10. *Amelanchier canadensis*