

① Out
M
18/7/47
(194) Department.

SERIES.

G.O. NO. 1366

Dated 28 6 47.

33 CF

[Despatch Abstract.]

... Part ...
... appointment ...

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
70	97	68	11.6.58
21	5.60		17.7.58
P.O. 4350			20.8.58
20.10.58			11.1.59
173 A			9.6.58
11.4.59			2.4.59
Further			
G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	215	68	
	2.1.58		
	20.11.58		
	175		
	175		

செப்பனிட பவர் பெயர்
1-200/
செப்பனிடவருகாச எருதுக
கொள்ளப்பட்ட நாள்
செப்பனிட முடிக்க நாள்
சரிபார்க்கும்
ம.நி.பா.ச.
கருவியாப்பம்

C.F. 30

Received 19 Registered 19

Subject.

COLLEGES - Law College, Madras - Part time Lecturers-
Re-appointment of - Recommending

30804

ENCLOSURES.

No.

C. No. 1381 C-1/47

Spare copies.....

Station Madras, Dated the 18-4-1947 19

From (Name) Dr.S.R.U. Savor, M.A., D.Sc., I.E.S.,

(Designation) Director of Public Instruction

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

EDUCATION & PUBLIC HEALTH Department.

Sir, In G.O.No. Ms 615, Tan., dated 21-4-1944 Sri B.Lakkappai Rai and Dr. Hamid Ali were reappointed as Part time Lecturers Law College, Madras, for a period of three years with effect from 6th July 1944. The term of re-appointment of these two gentlemen will expire on 5th July 1947.

2. In view of their experience, satisfactory work and conduct I recommend that they may be re-appointed for a further term of 3 years with effect from 6th July 1947.

3. In this connection I may submit that Dr. Hamid Ali will be completing 55 years of age, on 14th October 1948. The Principal, Law College, Madras, represents that in view of the difficulty to get suitable Lecturers in the subjects handled by Dr. Hamid Ali viz., Roman Law and Muhammadan Law and in view of the fact that all Crown Officers in the High Court such as Crown Prosecutor, Public Prosecutor, etc are eligible for continuance in their respective posts till they attain the age of 60, a similar rule may be made in the case of -- Part time Lecturers. In this connection I invite the attention of Government to their Standing Order No. 3 under Part VI General in the annexure to G.O.No. 200, Home, dated 11th

January 1941 wherein Law Officers in the City are allowed to continue in their respective Offices till they complete 60 years of age. Recently this concession in the age limit has been extended to Law Officers of the Mufassil also on the ground that the termination of the services of the Law Officers in the mufassil on their attaining the age of 55 years has operated to deprive the Government of the services of leading and experienced members of the Bar- vide G.O.No. 3698, Home, dated 25th November 1946. Having regard to the principles underlying the above orders I recommend that the Government may be pleased to order that the Part time Lecturers in the Law College Madras will be eligible for re-appointment and retention in their respective posts till they attain 60 years of age, subject to the condition that their work and conduct continue to be satisfactory.

Sd/-
For Director of Public Instruction.

P.R.K. 21/4/47

sd
21/4/47

The G.O. refd. to at x above has been called for from M.P.S. It may be awaited till 3-5-47

P.P.
27.4.47
28/4/47

m
29-4-47

To the D.P.I.
" A.G.

Edn. and P.H. Dept.(A).

COLLEGE - Law - Part-time Lecturers - Re-appt. +
Notified.

Read:- G.O.No.615-Edn., dated 21-4-44.
From the DPI No.1381-C1/47, dated 18-4-47.

G.O.(MS.) No.1366 Edn., dated 28-6-47

Sri B.Lakkappa Rai and Dr.Hamid Ali are re-apptd. as Part-time Lecturers, Law College, Madras - the former for a period of 3 years from 6-7-47 and the latter for a period of one year from 6-7-1947.

2. The Govt. see no sufficient reason to accept the proposal of the D.P.I. that the Part-time Lecturers in the Law College be made eligible for re-appt. and retention in their respective posts till they attain the age of 60 years (subject to their satisfactory work and conduct).

3. The Dir. is requested to ^{submit proposals} ~~send to Govt.~~ for amending ~~incorporation in~~ the rules regulating the constitution and working of the Madras Law College, ^{so as to prohibit the retention in service} ~~a draft rule to the effect~~ ^{that persons who are over 55 yrs. of age, should not be re-} ~~appt. or retained as~~ Part-time Lecturers, as ^{are not eligible for} ~~present~~ present, there is no such provision.


sd
18-4-47
19-6-47

V (4) V

of CNU. 30904A :- From the SPI No. 1581 E-1/41 of 18-4-47.
Law. College, Madras - Part time
Lecturers - appointment.

The posts of part time
lecturers in the Law College, Madras, are not included
in the P.E.S. They are governed by the Law
College rules. Under rule 9 of these rules, part
time lecturers are selected from among legal
practitioners. They shall be on probation for one
year and if their work is satisfactory, they will
be re-engaged for a further period of 3 years;
they will also be eligible for re-appointment.

p 2 of 30 No. 917 Ed
of 21.5.48



2. The terms of office of Sri. B.
Lakshappa Rao and Mr. Hamid Ali, part time
lecturers, will expire on 6-7-47. The SPI recommends
that they may be re-appointed for a further
term of 3 years with effect from 6-7-47.

4. The recommendation in respect of Sri. Lakshappa
Rao may be accepted.

3. As regards Mr. Hamid Ali,
the SPI reports that he will be completing 55
years of age on 14-10-48 - i.e. within the
3 years' period of appointment proposed now.
There is no rule at present to the effect
that persons who are over 55 should not
be appointed as part time lecturers. The
SPI's recommendation that Mr. Hamid Ali
may be re-appointed for a further period
of 3 years and that it may be laid
down that part time lecturers in the
Law College will be eligible for re-appointment
and retention in their respective posts till they
attain 60 years of age subject to the
condition that their work and conduct continue
to be satisfactory, may be accepted. For
re-approval Public Sec may see prior to circulation.

on the analogy of
the provision for the
retention of Law Officers
till they attain the age
of 60

EDH V.O. No
30504-A-1
12-5-47

10/5/47
12/5/47

This dft need not object to the proposals
in paras 2 & 3 above. SPI may also see

13/5/47
13/5/47

the case. The file may be ~~passed on to~~ returned to E.H.M.

15/5/47

16-5-47

12/5/47 17/5/47


The file may be circulated to H. M. (Edn) and the H.P.M. for orders on para 2 and 3 above on the previous page.

19/5/47

23-5-47

5/6/47 13/6/47

Pub. Sec. No 580/47 17.5.47



30/5

H.P.M. noted in another file that extensions or reappointments after 55 years need not be allowed.

I also see while it should ~~not~~ be allowed in this case.

Unless we are not able to get suitable men for manning those posts, ~~these extensions need not be given~~

No extension of age O.P.R 17/6

TSA 9-6-47



The rules only say that "Lecturers ** will also be eligible for re-appointment". They do not mention the period of 3 years for ~~re-appointment~~ immediately ~~re-appointment~~, unlike in the case of "re-engagement"/after probation of 1 year.

Hence, it is presumed that Mr. Hamid Ali may be re-apptd. until the date of his attaining the 55th year, though the period works out only to a little over 1 year and 3 months. Further there are not statutory rules.

In this view a draft order is ~~not~~ But Mr. Hamid Ali attains the age of 55 on 14.10.48. It is not desirable to bring in a new lecturer in the middle of an academic year. He may perhaps be appointed for one year from 6.7.47, in this view a draft order is ~~not~~

19/6/47 19-6-47

A Second para rule 9 d/2 of

in relation to the new order. The officers who are in the line of the new order are those who are in the line of the new order. The officers who are in the line of the new order are those who are in the line of the new order.

17/6/47

M. (W.)

Mr. Hamid Ali may be appointed for one year only i.e. till the end of the current college year.

TSA 25-6-47



The draft order (already submitted) is for approval.

25/6/47

26-6-47

S. (W.)

16

6