

Close  
or  
Geyat

Sectt. II-27-37,004-13-11-45.

Edu. & P. H. (1946) Department.

2<sup>nd</sup> SERIES.

|          |          |
|----------|----------|
| G.O. No. | 962 Edu. |
| Dated    | 10/5/46. |

[Despatch Abstract.]

Elementary Schools - Retd. teacher  
G. Vedampattu - Re-employment  
Sanctions

## Back Papers.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |      |     |             |       |

## Further Papers.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |      |     |             |       |

Ref. C.1. 2615/46



District Board Office, Madura.  
Dated 10th April, 1946.

From

Sri W.P.A. Soundrapandian,  
President, District Board, Madura.

To

The Secretary to Government,  
Education and Public Health Department,  
Madras.

Through

The Director of Public Instruction,  
Madras.

Sir,

Sub:- Education - Elementary - service -  
extension for one year from 20-4-46 to  
19-4-47 - G. Vedamuthu (Secondary Grade)  
Headmaster, Board Higher Elementary School,  
Vedasandur - sanction of Government -  
solicited.

Sri G. Vedamuthu (Secondary Grade Trained Teacher) retired from service on 26-3-45 after-noon on completing his 55th year. But in consideration of his long and satisfactory work and on account of dearth of secondary grade teachers, the rules permitting the re-employment of retired teachers of the secondary grade with the previous approval of the Divisional Inspector of schools as per G.O.No. 1307 Education dated 5-9-44 were availed of and the retired teacher was re-employed for one year from 20-4-45 to 19-4-46 after obtaining the Divisional Inspector's approval. Copies of his L.Dis. Nos. 60 M/45 dated 4-4-45 and 237 MD/45 dated 12-10-45 are herein enclosed.

2. The teacher now requests that he may be continued in service for another year from 20-4-46. But the re-employment of retired secondary grade teachers as per the above G.O. was permissible only for the duration of the war and the war is over but the dearth of secondary grade trained teachers continues unabated. I would therefore like to continue the abovesaid teacher in service for another year from 20-4-46 to 19-4-47.

3. I request Government might be pleased to extend the operation of G.O.No. 1307 Education dated 5-9-44 for a period of one more year i.e., upto 1st June 1947 so that such teachers may be continued in service with the sanction of the Divisional Inspector of Schools.

4. The teacher has been drawing the maximum in the scale of pay of 25-2/2-45 and orders are also solicited if he may be paid the maximum if he is permitted to continue for another year.

N.N. 22-3-46.

PRESIDENT, DISTRICT BOARD,  
Madura.

Copy to Secretary to Government, Education and Public Health Department, Madras.

Copy of letter Ref. L.Dis. 60 Md/45 dated 4-4-45 of the Divisional Inspector of schools, Madura Division, Madura to the President, District Board, Madura.

Ref: Your R.C.No. C.1. 2185/45 dated 12-3-46 regarding Elementary Education-District Board, Madura-Establishment-Re-employment of retired secondary grade trained teacher G. Vedamuthu.

G. Vedamuthu may be re-employed as a teacher in the Board's service for a period of one year, from the date of his appointment and this authorization will be in force so long as his work and conduct are satisfactory. The service book is enclosed.

Copy of letter Ref. L.Dis. 237 Md/45 dated 12-10-45 of the Divisional Inspector of schools, Madura Division, Madura to the President, District Board, Madura.

Sub: Elementary Education-District Board, Madura-Re.employment of retired teacher-(Secondary grade) G. vedamuthu-continuance in service.  
Ref. Your letter C.1. 12514/45 dated 24-9-45.

The exemption already granted will stand.

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION, MADRAS.  
R.O.C.No.1512-B/46. Dated 17-4-46.

Sub: District Board Madura - Reappointment of a Secondary grade teacher - G. Vedamuthu.

REF: Letter from the President, District Board, Madura No.C1. 2615-46 dated 10-4-46.

Submitted.

2. Attention is invited to my letter ROC No.3677 B/45 dated 11-10-45 in reply to Government Memorandum No.41226 A/45-1 Edn., dated 28-9-45. The teacher in question may be permitted to be re-employed for another year from 20/4/46.

3. Regarding pay of the teacher attention is invited to my letter ROC No.3897 B/45 dated 28/3/46 (Vide Government Memorandum No.58212-A/45-1 Edn., dated 28-1-46).

for Director of Public Instruction.

To  
The Secretary to Government,  
Education and Public Health Department.

RR.22/4.

Elementary - Schools -

Ret. Teacher G. Veda - P. D. B. Madura

Sanctioned -

wh  
54  
105

(J)

Edu & P.H. Deptt (B2)

C. No. 21806. From the P. D. B. Madura. C. 1-2615/

46 dated 10-4-46

Sanctioned. P. D. B. Madura. D. P. G. R. O. C. No. 1512-B/46/17/4/4

9.0 962. Edu 7/10-5-46

The Govt. sanction the

re-employment under the P. D. B. Madura

for a period of one year from 20-4-46 of

the retired teacher, G. Veda. The

teacher may be permitted to draw during

the period of re-employment a pay of Rs 45/-

in the scale of Rs 25-2/2-45 only a pay of Rs 25/-

a month with effect from 1.5.46. The

excess salary already paid need not be

recovered.

Note:-

2/5/46

The question arises as to

P.T.O.

To,

The P. D. B. Madura

The D. P. G.

The Exr of L. F. A.

26/4

26/4

26/4

26/4

26/4

26/4

26/4

26/4

26/4

26/4

26/4

26/4

26/4

26/4

8m  
26/4/06  
an  
26.4.06

27/4/46 S

2 2 9  
= 20 20 20

Sanctuary  
over the whole & beyond  
the City of London  
Lancashire - 8000

There is also a letter  
from L. in Jan 2.  
has it his intention to  
leave the crew?  
The team does  
live by itself  
and was big about it.  
see no indication for  
further case.

by  
 $\frac{1}{15}$  mo.

(5)

The question discussed there was that the differential treatment in the matter of pay to be allowed to retired teachers on re-employment in compulsory and non-compulsory areas should be done away with. The Director ~~has not~~ <sup>did not</sup> specifically raised the question of pay to be allowed to retired teachers re-employed before the date of <sup>the</sup> ~~latest~~ revised orders. He has, however, recommended in another <sup>similar</sup> case that the minimum pay should alone be given <sup>to a</sup> ~~in the case of~~ retired teachers even though she had been <sup>under</sup> ~~in~~ re-employment <sup>(before)</sup>. If this view is concurred in, the draft will be revised for orders.

Linkes  
Zbl. I

4/5 | will be  
 8/25  
 2/5  
 1/19 ub  
 revised

~~08M  
 4/5/46 3 4  
 7/15/46~~

the draft has been  
revised. Submitted that the ~~ex~~ payment already  
made need not be recovered.

22  
7.5.20

