

*closed on
Subst*

Scott. II-27-39,004-24-10-44.

E. J. P. H. (1946) Department.

U.S. SERIES.

G.O. No.

93. Edu.

Dated

11-1-1946

*(11)
Order on p 13 ef*

[Despatch Abstract.]

ELEMENTARY Schools - Aided - Assessment of grant for extra Teacher
in large schools - Sanctioned.

Back Paper

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Ms	1967	Edn	28.8.37				

Further Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Lo	57	Edn	8/1/57				
Ms	1601	Edn	28-7-59				

G.NO.1883-B/45,

22078

Office of the
Director of Public Instruction,
Madras 15-1945.

From

Dr. B. B. Dey, D.Sc. (Lond.), F.R.I.C.
Offtg. Director of Public Instruction

To


The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department.

Sir,

Sub: Elementary Education - Aided Schools - Assessment of
grant for an extra teacher in big schools - proposal.

I have the honour to invite the attention of Government to my letter RC.No.2775-B/43, dated 4-8-1943, wherein I have made out a case for the appointment of an extra teacher in big elementary schools having 10 or more sections, and for the assessment of grant on behalf of such extra teachers. Attention is also invited to Government Memo. No.24673-C-2 Edn., dated 30-9-43 in which the proposal mentioned above was turned down stating that the payment of grant for the employment of extra teachers cannot be considered until the funds prove sufficient in practice to pay the assigned grants without any pro rata cuts.

2. With the allotments placed at the disposal of the
Director.



Copy 1/1
3-4 info
p.4 info

Director, it was possible to pay the full assigned grant to all schools for 1943- and 1944. Hence I strongly recommend to Government that the proposal for the appointment of an extra teacher in big elementary schools and assessment of grant on their behalf may be approved. The proposal would involve an extra cost of about Rs.30,000. This can be met from the normal allotment of Rs.95/- lacs provided for in the budget for 1945-46.

for Director of Public Instruction.

RVR 12/5/-

30/12/5

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION, MADRAS.

R.C.NO.1883-B/45, Dated 13--6--1945.

ELEMENTARY EDUCATION - Aided Schools - Assessment of grant for an extra teacher in big schools - Proposal.

REF: Copy of--

- (1) Director's Letter RC.No.2775-B/43, dated 4-8-1943 to Government.
- (2) Government Memo. No.24678-C-2 Edn., dated 30-9-1943.

SUBMITTED with reference to Telephone Message dated 13-6-45.

for Director of Public Instruction.

To The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department.

RVR 14/6/-

Copy of letter RC.No.2775-B/43, dated 4-8-1943 from the D.P.I., to the Secretary to Government, Education and Public Health Department.

Sub: Elementary Education - Aided Schools - Assessment of grant on behalf of an extra teacher in large elementary schools.

I have the honour to state that the assessment of grant on behalf of an extra teacher in large elementary schools was considered by Government in the year 1937 and dropped vide G.O. No.1967 M.S. Edn and Public Health dated 26-8-1937. I now recommend to Government to consider the proposal and approve of the assessment of grant on behalf of an extra teacher in large elementary schools having 10 sections or more with effect from 1-1-1944. The appointment of an extra teacher will afford relief to the headmaster who has to attend to class work during almost all the periods in a week in addition to the clerical work and supervision work. Further when a teacher is absent on casual leave it is impossible to arrange for the work in his class without having an extra teacher on the staff. The proposal if sanctioned would go a long way to improve the efficiency of big elementary schools.

2. The expenditure involved on account of the proposal would be

be approximately Rs.30,000/-. This will be met from the existing allotment under teaching grants. According to 5 years' plan Government will sanction an additional allotment of Rs.5/- lakhs during 1944-45 under teaching grants to elementary schools and the additional amount required for this purpose can be met from that allotment.

3. In case Government approve of the scheme, necessary draft amendments to the rules for recognition and aid relating to Elementary Schools will be submitted to Government.

2.

Government of Madras.
Education and Public Health Department.

Memorandum No.24678-G-2 dated the 30th September 1943.

Sub: Elementary Education - Aided Schools - Assessment of grant on behalf of an extra teacher.

Ref: From the Director of Public Instruction, R.G.No.2775-B/43, dated 4th August 1943.

The Government do not consider that a measure for improving the existing standards of efficiency of schools, to the extent of the employment of additional teacher in each elementary school under private management having ten or more sections, should be financed from the funds which the Government are in a position to provide towards teaching grants for elementary schools until they are satisfied that those funds prove sufficient in practice to pay the assigned grants without any pro-rata cuts. The Government are, therefore, unable to approve the proposal of the Director of Public Instruction.

M.V.SUBRAMANIAN,
Secretary to Government.

(TRUE COPY)

22/9/43
22/9/43
pp.1-2
Elementary education. Aided Schools. Assessment of grant for an extra teacher in big schools.

From the D.P.I., C.No. 1883-B/45-3 dt. 5.45

Memo No. 22078/45. 26.8.45

The D.P.I. is requested to state whether he can give reasons for justifying the introduction of the scheme during the current year and if so, he is requested to give reasons in support.

20
The D.P.I. (Ghoul)

25/9/45
B.M.

may be dispensed with and that the D. & O. shall accept the management's recommendation (as given) that the contributor is eligible for grant. contribution. The D.P.S. says that this does not take away the discretion any power vested in the inspecting officer to decide whether or not a subscriber is eligible for grant. contribution. But the wording of the Committee's report seems to take away the power. It will have to be altered. This may be pointed out to the D.P.S. The other suggestion do not call for any remarks. For order on 14 out, 13 and 12 on 15 out and 11 above.

Education Department
From Dr. B. B. Dey, D.Sc. (Lond.) F.R.I.C.
Offg. Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

To The Secretary, to Government,
Education and Public Health Department,
Madras. PC 1883/45 Dated 10.10.45
Sir, Sub: Elementary Education - Aided Schools -
Assessment of grant for an extra teacher
in big schools.

45630
With reference to Government Memo. No. 22078/45-C-2.
Education, dated 25-9-1945, I have the honour to state
that, ~~the~~ as the Calendar year 1945 is coming to a close,
the scheme need not be introduced during the current year.
Further it is only when the scheme is sanctioned by
Government that extra teachers ^{will} be appointed by the
management. The scheme may therefore be introduced
with effect from 1-1-1946, the beginning of the
assessment year.

for Director of Public Instruction.

BR, 11-10.

2 HODG
3 Adidravida
3 Agamudiyen

Rs.

667 8 0 825 0 0

75 0 0 09 0 0

920

Auditor.

Headmaster.

2 HODG (e)

Memorandum No. 22078C/45-4501. 8/14.11.45.

Elementary Schools. Aided. Assessment of grant for an extra teacher in big schools.

Under the rules relating to the grant of aid to elementary schools under private management, grant is calculated for as many teachers as there are standards or sections in the school or for as many teachers as are actually employed, whichever is less. The D.P.O. has recommended that in the case of large elementary schools having 10 sections or more, grant might be assessed for an extra teacher, to enable the management to appoint an additional teacher in those schools, for giving relief to the Headmaster and also for taking the place of teachers absent on casual leave. The Director has reported that the proposal would go a long way to improve the efficiency of big schools.

P. an p. 12 of C

p 3 of

8-9-1945 10/11/45 2
 and that the extra expenditure involved will be Rs. 30,000
 a year approximately. In view of the benefits to
 be derived from the scheme, it is proposed to
 give effect to it from 1.1.46.
 2. With reference to para 8(1) of the Memorandum
 of Financial Arrangements during the
 situation, the previous sanction of the Governor
 General must be obtained for the proposed expenditure
 from Provincial funds on the scheme.

10/11/45 12/11/45 13/11/45 14/11/45

and it can be met from the
 normal allotment for grants
 for aided elementary schools
 p2cf



MEMORANDUM.

The Governor-General has approved the
 proposal for the revised assessment of grant
 to aided elementary schools having ten sec-
 tions or more, at an extra estimated expendi-
 ture of Rs. 30,000/ per annum.

 *

Asst. Private Secretary to
 H.E. the Governor.

Governor's Secretariat.

U.O. No. 4066/45-3 dated the 17th Dec. 1945.

The above Memorandum may be communicated to Education &
 Public Health Department u.o.

Moin.

17.12.45
 E&PH Dept. u.o.

82/11/13
Education & P.H.(A) Dept.

ELEMENTARY Schools - Aided - Assessment of grant for ~~an~~ extra teacher in ^{large} ~~big~~ schools - Sanctioned.

C.No.22078.C. From the D.P.I., No.1883.B/45 d/11-5-1945.

ORDER/Ms.No. 93 Edn. dated 11.1.46

The Government approve the proposal of the D.P.I. that in the case of ~~large~~ ^{aided} elementary schools having ten sections or more, grant may be assessed with effect from 1st January 1946 for an ~~extra~~ ^{addl} teacher.

~~The A.G. is requested to admit the expenditure in audit pending provision of funds.~~

To the D.P.I. ✓
To the A.G. thro' Fin.

2800.
28/12/45

By
28/1-46

by
28/1-46

C22078 From the D.P.S. C.No. 1883 B/45 d 14.5.43

Schools - Aided Elementary - Assessment of grant for an extra teacher in big schools.

p 3-4 of

In August 1943 the D.P.S. recommended that grant might be assessed for an extra teacher in large elementary schools under private management having 10 sections or more. The proposal was ^{indeed} ~~decided~~ to enable the managements to appoint an addl teacher on the staff of these schools who will afford relief to the headmaster and also take the place of teachers absent on casual leave. The Director stated that the proposal would go a long way to improve the efficiency of big schools. The extra cost involved by the proposal was ^{about} Rs. 30000 ~~per year~~ ^{per year}. The Govt decided to approve the proposal, as they considered that ~~any~~ ^{such} measures for improving the existing standards of efficiency of schools should not be financed from the funds provided for teaching staffs for elementary schools until they were satisfied that these funds were

p 3 of

p 4 of

memo of

2/2/44

2/2/44

2/2/44

2/2/44

2/2/44

2/2/44

2/2/44

2/2/44

sufficient in practice to pay the assigned grant without any pro-rata cuts.

p 2 of

do

do

do

do

The Director has stated that it was possible to pay the full assigned funds to all schools for 1943 and 1944. He therefore strongly recommends the ~~ad~~ proposal for the appointment of an extra teacher in big schools and the assessment of grant

on his behalf. In the circumstances stated by the Director, the recommendation may be accepted. It is stated that the extra cost of about Rs. 30,000 can be met from the provision of teaching grants in the budget for 1946-47. The file may be sent to Fin. for remarks.

p 2 cf

EPH. wo. no

22078C/45-1

15/9/45

Fin (Exp B)

In the circumstances explained by the D.P.S. in his letter on pp 1-2 CF, his recommendation that grant may be assessed for an extra teacher in large elementary schools under private management - having ten sections or more, which has been accepted by ESP.H. may be accepted in Finance.

41786 E.B.

20/9/45

Asst

24/9

cf. Xmp. 11/4

26/9/45

p 2 cf

20/9/45

20/9/45

20/9/45

20/9/45

20/9/45

20/9/45

20/9/45

20/9/45

20/9/45

20/9/45

20/9/45

20/9/45

20/9/45

This is a new item of expenditure not incurred hitherto. The amount involved is not trivial (viz Rs 30,000) & the expenditure is a recurring one. Fin. may suggest that this proposal may be brought forward for consideration as a Part II scheme for 1946-7. (The fact that the expenditure in the current year can be met from the budget provision for teaching grants is no adequate justification for undertaking this new recurring liability without considering it along with other new schemes for 1946-7.)

19.9.45

12016

For orders whether the Finance Department's view that the proposed scheme should be treated as a Part II scheme for 1946-7 may be accepted.

The S.D.D. has not specifically stated that the proposal should be sanctioned in the current year itself.

24/9/45

24/9/45


24/9/45

24/9/45

24/9/45

24/9/45

24/9/45



Memo no. 22078/45. C. 2 25.9.45
From the D.P.S. no 1883 B/45 20.10.45
Please see the D.P.S. reply on p. 6 g. of the

scheme is introduced with effect from 1.1.46. The first instalment of grant will become payable only during April 1946 as the grants are now paid in quarterly instalments. In that case it will be sufficient if provision is included in the budget for 1946-7. But to enable the management eligible for the grant from 1.4.46, the scheme will have to be sanctioned ~~from now~~ so that the management may employ extra teachers from 1.1.46 and claim grant from 1.4.46. Fin. may be requested to say whether the scheme may be introduced with now and provision included in the Budget for 1946-7.

13/10/45

16/10/45

17/10/45

22078C/45.3 62
17.10.45

Fin (Exp. B)

(Previous Fin. note on p. 2 above)

Please see the order of H.2 on p. 2 above, the D.P.S. letter on p. 6 cf. and the notes of E.B.H. above.

2. If the scheme is to be sanctioned in the current year, the proposal will have to be treated as a 'New Scheme' and a copy of the proposal should be obtained.

Although the grant is to be payable only in April 46 (next year) in as much as the scheme has to be formally sanctioned now, H.S.'s authorisation to a supplementary estimate of expenditure for a token sum of Rs. 100/- has to be obtained. The E.B.H. will have to include in the course the necessary alterations to the estimate for 46-47.

As the expenditure involved exceeds Rs. 25,000 p.a., the prior sanction of the Governor is necessary.

24.10.45


25.10.45

26.10.45

26.10.45

26.10.45

26.10.45



2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

2

with the sanction of the Government and the H.E.'s authorisation.


This proposal - The app. of an extra teacher in large elementary schools will increase efficiency is reported by the D.P.H. The grant may be allowed for introduction from 1-4-46, so that grant-in-aid may be paid from April.

9 agree

11/45

AH

8/11/45



Governor's Sectt.

Routine.

Schools - Aided Elementary - Assessment of grant for an extra teacher in large schools.

E&PH Dept. may be requested to send 2 copies of a Memorandum to be forwarded to the SecGG (Public) for obtaining the prior approval of H.E. the Governor-General.

A draft memorandum is submitted. After approval two copies may be sent to the Governor's Sectt. for obtaining the prior approval of the E.P.H.

E&PH u.a.



The file may be sent to the Governor's Sectt. as above.

Governor's Sectt.

The Secretary to the Governor-General (Public), was addressed on 21st November 1945.

Copy to be sent to the Governor-General's Sectt.

Copy to be sent to the Governor-General's Sectt.

Copy to be sent to the Governor-General's Sectt.

Copy to be sent to the Governor-General's Sectt.

Copy to be sent to the Governor-General's Sectt.

Copy to be sent to the Governor-General's Sectt.

Copy to be sent to the Governor-General's Sectt.


Education & P.H. (C) Dept.

The Governor-General has approved the proposal set out in the memorandum on page 7-8 c.f. A draft order is submitted. It may be sent to Finance for acceptance. Action will be taken in due course for obtaining H.E.'s authorisation to a supplementary estimate of expenditure for a token sum of Rs.100/- vide "Z" on page 3 ante.











28/12/45 S (Exp. B)

(Fin: last noted on p. 3 above)

The order in circulation on p. 4 above and the draft order below may be perused and the case returned to E&P.H.



Para 2 of the draft seems unnecessary as expenditure will be incurred only in 1946-7. E&P.H. may be requested to include the necessary provision in the list of new sanctions to be done with this deptt. on the 10th Jan '46.



(8.4.2)

Griff water taken may be present and the loss
the water in circulation in p. 4 above and the
(for: last reflect in p. 3 above)

21. 11. 1942
31.12.42

Griff water taken
21. 11. 1942
31.12.42

Griff water taken
21. 11. 1942
31.12.42

The whole experiment in this case is to be done
from the normal situation. The first part of the
experiment is to be done in the first of the
series in any case.

(8.4.2)

The 8.4.2. is a note about the case
Griff water may be present and the loss
returning to 8.4.2.

21. 11. 1942
31.12.42

21. 11. 1942

21. 11. 1942