

Close
un

Seott. II-27-39,004-24-10-44

E.P.H. (1946) Department.

Ms. SERIES.

G.O. No.

560

Dated

12-3-46

[Despatch Abstract.]

Subsidy - Municipal High School, Palni -
Construction of a tiled shed - Additional
Subsidy - Sanctioned.

Back Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Further Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Ref: D2-4715/41.

From Sri V. Lakshmana Ayyar, M.A.,
Commissioner, Palni Municipality, Palni.

To The Secretary to Government, Education and Public Health
Department, Madras.

Through, The Director of Public Instruction, Madras
The Chairman, Municipal Council, Palni.

Sir: Subsidy - Government - ~~Construction~~ Construction of tiled shed in
Municipal High School, Palni.

I submit (i) a copy of my note dated 6-11-45 to the council
(ii) a copy of the resolution No. 483 dated 12-11-45 and state
as follows:-

In their order Nos. Ms. 771 Edu. dated 21st May 1942 and 1339 Edu.
dated 22nd September 1942 the Government were pleased to sanction
a subsidy of Rs. 1950/- and a loan of Rs. 1950/- towards the construc-
tion of a tiled shed for the Municipal High School, Palni as applied
for by this council during 1941 on the strength of the original
estimate, for Rs. 3900/- prepared in the first instance. Eventually
owing to the abnormal rise in the prices of the materials due to
the international situation the estimate was revised to Rs. 8900/-
with the approval of the competent authority. More over the council
had to accept the premium tender for the work under the circumstances
so warranted then. Therefore the total cost of the building comes
to Rs. 8520-8-0 for which the Government have sanctioned only Rs. 1950/-
as subsidy.

The work could have as well been abandoned by the council if it
was for any other purpose. But the council spent so much legiti-
mately in view of the growing strength of the school and in the
interests of the expansion of secondary education in this

P.T.O.

- 2 -

town. This council cannot afford to bear so much expenditure when its finances are very slender. There is no surplus in the general account of this municipality to meet this extra capital expenditure. Even to meet day to day ordinary obligatory expenditure the council has diverted some amount from its other earmarked funds.

In the circumstances I request that the Government may be pleased to sanction the ~~xx~~ additional subsidy of Rs.2210-4-0 as a special case according to details given below since the claim now made is a legitimate one.

- | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|
| (1) Actual cost of the work: | Rs.8320-8-0 |
| (2) Half grant on the cost v | Rs.4160-4-0 |
| (3) Subsidy already sanctioned | Rs. 1950-0-0 |
| (4) Balance of half grant (2 - 3) | Rs.2210-4-0 |

Encl: 2

pv.23-11-45.
C.C.No.2319

Forwarded

S. J. Chinnappa
26.11.45

Commissioner.

Municipal Council Resolution No.483 dated 12-11-45.

Read note ~~xx~~ dated 6-11-45 of the Commissioner to resolve to apply to Government for a half grant ~~xx~~ on the expenditure of Rs.8320/8/0 incurred for the construction of one block of two rooms of tiled shed for the Municipal High School, for the reasons stated.

"Note approved"

sd. I.T.Oblakondama Navakar,
Chairman, 12-11-45.

/ rue Extract/

Commissioner.

Copy of Commissioner's note to the Council dated 6-11-45.

The Government have sanctioned a subsidy of Rs.1950/- while the actual cost of the work has come to Rs.8520-8-0. We cannot afford to lose Rs.2210-4-0 being the difference of half grant on the cost and the amount already sanctioned. The financial position of this council has never been sound and the annual raid on ear marked funds such as the water supply fund has been the rule. Government have sanctioned grants to the extent of Rs.11399/- in the year 1944-45 for payment of dearness allowance to the establishments. A similar grant for 1945-46 is now pending with the Government. In the circumstances which I ~~xxxxx~~ shall explain in detail in the letter to be submitted to the Government, I submit that we must put forth a strong claim for our legitimate due in this behalf.

sd. V. Lakshmanan,
Commissioner, 6-11-45.

P.T.O.

Office of the Director of Public Instruction, Madras.
Dr. S.R.U. Savor, M.A., D.Sc., I.E.S.,
Director of Public Instruction, Madras
R.C.No. 1964-G/44.

Dated 18th December 1945

Subject.

MUNICIPAL HIGH SCHOOL, PALNI--Construction of a tiled shed--
additional subsidy--Request for.



REFERENCE:

Letter Ref.D.2-4715/41 dated 22.11.1945 from the Commissioner,
Palni Municipality.

@@@

Submitted.

58288
2. In the circumstances explained by the Commissioner, the request for enhanced grant seems reasonable and is therefore supported. It is, however, for Government to decide to what extent relief can be given. I may inform Government in this connection that the final revised estimate for Rs. 8,900/- was not sent to me for approval.

3. The additional expenditure, if any, involved by the proposal, can be met from the current year's appropriation under "37.(h) Education--Secondary--Grants to Local Bodies for Secondary Education--A.Ordinary areas--(h)A.2.Buildings--Authorised".

112
To the Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Education and Public Health Department,
Fort St. George, Madras.

ks. 20-12.

7. Am N
For Director of Public Instruction.

sd/
20/12

Subsidy - Building - Municipal High School
Palni - Construction of a tiled shed -
Request for the grant of additional subsidy

From the Commissioner, Palni Municipality

ref

From the DPJ Rcmo 1964- G/44 2/18.12.47

Memo 58 288-B/45-3 Contd 25.1.46

The Commissioner, Palni Municipality,
is requested to report why the construction
of the tiled shed was proceeded with and
completed without obtaining the previous

$$\frac{2}{251.146}$$

$$\frac{4.8}{271.146}$$

1
approval of the DPD or the Govt for the revised estimate for Rs 8.900 for the work

18/1/46

25/1/46

26/1/46

To
The Commissioner
Palni
the chairman
Rr. 25-2-46

Ref:D2-4715/41

From Sri V. Lakshmana Avvar, M.A.,
Municipal Commissioner, Palni.
To The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department, Madras.
Through, The Chairman, Municipal Council, Palni.



Sir, Subsidy - Building - Municipal High School, Palni - Construction of a tiled shed - Request for the grant of additional subsidy
Memorandum No. 58288-B/45-3 dated 25-1-45. pp. 6-7 ante.
The estimate prepared at the first instance was revised to Rs. 8650/- and this revised estimate was approved by the Director of Public Instruction in his R.C. No. 1964-G-44 dated 18-12-44 (Copy enclosed). The tenders received for the execution of the work were in excess of estimate rates. The Government in their order No. Ms. 285 Edu dated 1-3-45 accorded sanction for the acceptance of the tender of Janab K. Nagoormeera Rowther, which was the lower of the two tenders at 51.8% in excess of estimate rates. The work was accordingly started. Under instructions from the Executive Engineer, Periyar Division, Madura who is the competent authority to accord technical ~~xxxxxxx~~ sanction, the original estimate was revised adopting the accepted tender rates, the revised estimate amount being Rs. 8900/-. This revised estimate for Rs. 8900/- was approved by the Executive Engineer, Periyar Division, Madura in his No. 2768 M dated 17-8-45. (copy enclosed). As the original estimate as approved by the Director of Public Instruction was revised allowing therein the tender rates sanctioned by the Government, the approval of the Director of Town Planning was not sought for.

2. Though the Government have in their order G.O. Ms. No. 285 Education dated 1-3-45 stated that no additional subsidy will be granted by Government towards the increased cost of construction, yet in view of the financial position of this council explained in my letter under reference, I request that the Government may be pleased to sanction the additional subsidy applied for by the council.

F.C. No. 283
P.V. 15.2.

As the Chairman is not in town
this is submitted direct.
V. Lakshmana
CHAIRMAN
16.2.46

V. Lakshmana
Commissioner.
16.2.46

CHAIRMAN

16.2.46

Initials of the Postmaster.

[Strike out any unnecessary clause.]

Commissioner.

From Sir R.M. Statham, M.A., C.I.E., I.E.S.,
Director of Public Instruction, Madras

To The Commissioner, Palni Municipality, Palni

R.O.No.1964 G/44 dated 18th December, 1944.

Sir,
Sub:- Subsidy - Construction of a tiled shed - Municipal High School, Palni
Ref:- Your letter No.Roc.B1-4715/44 dated 13-11-44.

The plans and the estimate for the construction of a tiled shed for the Municipal High School, Palni, are approved for Rs.5650/- subject to the remarks of the consulting Architect to Government dated 8-12-44 (copy enclosed).

2. The subsidy on account of the scheme will be limited to the amount sanctioned in C.O.No.Ms.771 Edn, dated 21-5-42.

3. As funds have been reserved for payment of the subsidy for the scheme, in the current year, please arrange to commence the work immediately and forward to me partial completion certificates as the work progresses, so as to enable me to recommend to Government the payment of the subsidy due to the Municipal council.

4. The plans and the estimate are re-transmitted herewith.

sd. x x x x x
For Director of Public Instruction

Copy of remarks of the C.A., dated 8-12-44.

Sub:- Municipal High School, Palni - construction of additional class rooms

The original plans and estimate approved for Rs.3950/- have not been submitted.

With regard to the revised plans and estimate amounting to Rs.5650/- the following suggestions are made:-

(a) It is seen and stated that the Executive Engineer Periyar Division has approved the plans and estimate. They have been prepared by him and the commissioner has also remitted Rs.56-8-0 into the Treasury towards the charge of preparation of plans and estimate.

(b) Regarding the size of rooms, it is seen that they fall short of the requirements specified in Grant-in-Aid code. But they may be accepted since the difference is small.

(c) The trial pit section shows very hard gravel at 3 ft. below G.D. and compact gravel below that level. The foundations may be stopped at 3'-0" below G.L. though it is stated that the foundations now adopted are similar to those adopted in Govt. Training School, Dindigal. The local Sub Divisional Officer may be again consulted regarding this, since calculations have ~~not~~ not been furnished.

(d) Ellis pattern flooring should be avoided and square bricks may be used instead and surface pointed ~~xxx~~ with cement mortar. The base may be of lime mortar concrete.

(e) One King post truss of suitable size should be used for each room instead of long purlins.

(f) Pillaimarudu wood should not ordinarily be used as this is a controlled timber for military works. If any other suitable country wood is not available and if this timber can be procured it may be used.

The estimate may be approved for Rs.5650/- subject to the above modifications during execution.

/true copy/

Commissioner.

has hereunto set
19
to day of
his hand and seal this
In witness whereof the said
said term of
months
that his liability hereunder shall continue until the expiry of the
and the termination of his said service and which may not have
any such other persons as aforesaid and which may not have
or
owing to the act of neglect or default of the said
has been sustained by the said Board or its successors
months as security against any loss or injury or damage that may
calendar
with such President as aforesaid for
so much thereof as shall then be in deposit and this bond shall remain
aforesaid or otherwise the said sum of Rs.
whether as such
lastly agreed that on the final termination of the service of the
Board and its successors or otherwise as aforesaid and it is hereby
part thereof in and towards the indemnity as aforesaid of the said
or any
shall require to apply the said sum of Rs.
security as aforesaid with full power for such President as occasion
remain with the President for the time being of the said Board as such
sum of Rs.
so deposited as aforesaid shall be and
and agreed that the said
and of no effect otherwise the same shall be and remain in full force
or for whom he may be responsible then this obligation shall be void
or of any person or persons acting under him
default or insolvency of the said
its successors by reason of any act of neglect or failure misconduct
as aforesaid be sustained incurred or suffered by the said Board or
in such office as aforesaid or in any such other office
hereafter during the service on or after the said
injury and damage which has been or shall be at any time or time
harmless the said Board and its successors from all and every loss
be required of him and shall also at all times indemnify and save
thereof respectively and other the duties which may from time to time
he may act always duly perform and fulfill all and every the duties
office or any other office to which he may be appointed or in which
of his said office and if he shall hold the said
as aforesaid always duly performed and fulfilled the duties
has whilst he has held the said office of
Now the condition of the above-written bond is such that if the said
its successors against all such loss or injury or damage as aforesaid
may be required of him and for the indemnity of the said Board and
to which he may be appointed at any time and of other the duties which
once by him of the duties of his said office and of any other office
as further security for the due performance
has entered into the above bond in the
whereas the said
persons acting under him or for whom he may be responsible and
or otherwise by means of the said
way suffer or sustain by reason of the said Board or its successors may in any
injury or damage which the said Board and its successors against all loss
and indemnifying the said Board and its successors against all loss
and for one purpose or second

Name of officer or servant punished
 Designation Nature of charge
 Result of appeal if any
 Lower divi - Delay in Increment
 Municipal Office
 of papers & stopped for appeal
 Improper main-tenance of
 personal regis-ters
 without post-poning future
 increments
 Increments stopped for appeal
 Delay in disposal of
 papers
 without post-poning future
 increments
 Increments stopped for appeal
 Delay in disposal of
 papers

(9) EDH(B1)

Special stay
 12/3/46
 CNO 58288/45
 1/1-21-8cf
 15cf
 9 of 2094 11/12/45
 (W)/3-4cf

Subsidy - Municipal High School - Palni -
 Construction of a tiled shed - Additional
 Subsidy - Sanctioned
 Road
 Gov. no. 280 Education of 1.3.45. (V)
 Gov. no 2094 Education dated 11.12.45
 From the Commissioner, Palni Municipality
 Ref. 22 - 4715/41 dated 22-11-45+15.2.46
 From the D P J Acno 1964-G/44 dated 18.12.45
 Order no 560 Edu of 12.3.46
 No.
 In the circumstances stated, sanction
 is accorded to the payment to the Municipal
 Council, Palni, of an additional subsidy of
 Rs 2,210 (Rupees two thousand two hundred and
 ten only) towards one half of the expenditure
 actually incurred on the construction of a tiled
 shed for the Municipal High School, Palni.
 2. The A.G. is requested to place the
 amount

2
amount at the disposal of the Municipal Council in the current year.

3. The expenditure will be met from the appropriation in the Annual Estimate of expenditure for 1945-6 under "37-(b) - Education - Secondary Grants to Local Bodies for Secondary Education - pscf

A - Ordinary areas 2. Buildings - Authorized

11.3.46

12.3.46

11/8/46

To
The Commissioner
Palni Municipality
through the chairman
✓ DP 9
✓ AG through Fin

10
Subsidy - Building - Municipal High School, Palni -
Construction of a tiled shed. - Request for the grant of additional subsidy

no 58288/45
11.2.46

From the Commissioner, Palni Municipality Ref no

De. 4715/41 dated 22.11.45

psc

Endt of the DP 9 Re. no 1964-G/44 dated 18.12.45

(N) 11cf (F) 7cf

In Gov. no 771 Edu D 21.5.42 + no 1339 Edu D 22.9.42

on 00493
no 1-3041-88583
22/11/45

the Govt sanctioned a subsidy of Rs 1950 and a loan of Rs 1950 to the Palni Municipal Council towards the construction of a tiled shed for the Municipal High School, Palni, as the work was then estimated to cost Rs 3,900.

2. The Commissioner, Palni Municipality, now reports that owing to the abnormal rise in the prices of materials the estimate was revised to Rs 8,900 with the approval of the competent authority and that the shed ~~had~~ ^{was} constructed at a cost of Rs 8320-8-0. As the Council cannot afford to meet the cost ^{in excess of} ~~over~~ the Govt subsidy from its funds, he requests that a subsidy equal to one half of the actual costs of construction of the shed may be paid to the Council. The DP 9 supports the request for addl subsidy.

psc

(T) 6.7cf

M

3. Attention is solicited to Govt 13482 on D 15.9.44 in which the subsidy sanctioned in 1942 for the construction of additional buildings at Palni for the Board High School, Hoobathalai, was raised with reference to the revised estimate for the work. The Commissioner Palni Municipality should have obtained the approval of the DP 9 for the final revised estimate. This irregularity need not be made to stand in the way of an additional subsidy being paid, if as pointed out by the Commissioner, the financial position of

the Council will not admit of the capital expenditure of Rs 4420 in excess of the subsidy & loan of Rs 3900 already sanctioned for the work.

4. The remarks of L.A. may be invited up on the financial position of the Council. They may be requested to say whether the additional subsidy of Rs 2210. (Rs 4420) applied for is necessary.

USK
25.12.45

25/12/45 LA

27/12
EPHuo. No.
58288-145-100
27/12/45.

The budget of the Palni M.C. has been recd. (C)
This may lie over till the B.E. of the Palni M.C. for 1946-47 is examined & till 7.1.46 whichever is earlier.
21.12.45



The Revised Estimate of the Palni M.C. for 1945-46 under the General Account-Ordinary closes with a deficit of Rs. 5916, after providing for a capital expenditure of Rs. 5430 which includes the sum of Rs. 4420 spent on the provision of the tiled shed for the High School. It is proposed to permit the M.C. to draw out of the arrear toll compensation amount Rs. 14,236 to wipe off the deficit and secure the minimum balance. So it is not now a question of how to meet the expenditure. If the Council is eligible for a half grant for the work, it may be given half the expenditure an additional grant so as to cover half the additional expenditure. The Commr. was no doubt wrong in not having obtained the previous approval of the D.P.I. for the revised estimate. But it seems hardly fair to deny the grant to the Council especially as the work was considered ^{necessary} and the D.P.I. considers the ^{request for enhanced grant} expenditure reasonable.



With these remarks the file may be returned to E.P.H.U.O.

21.12.45

21/12/46

DP 15-1-46



3354
EPHuo 58288/145-25

11
Please see the remarks of L.A. on page 2 ante. It will be observed that the Palni Municipal Council was not in a position to meet the additional expenditure on the construction of a tiled shed in the Municipal High School from its general funds. In view of the remarks of L.A. at A on page 2 (ante) it is for consideration whether the application for an additional subsidy of Rs 2210 may be supported in this Dept. If so, the file may be sent to Finance for remarks.

17/1/46 S

Please see the notes of E.P.H. and L.A. on pp. 1-3 above. E.P.H. recommends the grant of an add. subsidy of Rs. 2210 to the Palni M.C. Council. 3. According to Rule 55 of the Grant-in-Aid Code

Code, the grant payable should not exceed one half of the total cost of the work shown in the management's estimates as approved by the D.P.I. In this case, the D.P.I. has reported that the revised estimate for Rs. 8,900 (which is more than 100% in excess of the original estimate of Rs. 3,900) was not sent to him for approval. The Commr., Palni Municipality, has not explained why the approval of the A.C. was not obtained.

It has also been ^{not} explained why the work was not taken up in 1942, having regard to the facts that the Smt. had agreed to give a half grant in S.O. 771 dtd. 8.2.15.42 & that a loan equal to half the estimated cost was also sanctioned in S.O. 1339 dtd. 8.2.29.42.

The grant-in-aid code does not apply to est. in which work is done locally.

Para-2 on p.5 c.f.

8.12.45

(N) 11-12-45

(F) 11-12-45

A subsidy of Rs. 1,900 equal to half the est. estimate has already been given vide S.O. 2094 dtd. 8.11-12-45 (N).

8.12.45

Non p.2 c.f. of Rs. 2,210 may be negatived.

8.12.45


If the work was not taken up due to war conditions, the Council should have reported the matter to the D.P.I. & the Smt. Also, the Council should have informed the D.P.I. when it decided to take up the work. The date when the construction of the school building was taken up has not been stated.

3. The Smt. do not increase as a matter of course the half grant ^{originally promised by them} on account of subsequent increase in the cost of work; but each case is considered on its merits. This case is not on all fours with the case cited at 'M' in E.P.H. note on p.1 above. In that case, the Dt. Bd., the Nilgiris, obtained the approval of the Smt. to stop the building work and also to the resumption of the work. When obtaining the approval of the Smt. for the resumption of the work, the Nilgiris Dt. Board obtained the D.P.I.'s approval to the revised estimate - vide p.4 of 8.0. (J).

The present case does not appear to be a fit one for the grant of the concession of allowing half grant on the basis of the actual cost of the work (Rs. 8320) instead of on the basis of the sanctioned estimate of Rs. 3,900. The L.A. dept.'s note on p. 2 above shows that the Council's financial position is such that it can meet from its own funds the excess expenditure required. Taking all the circumstances into consideration, this dept. may suggest that the request for ^{the grant of an addl. subsidy} ~~an addl. subsidy~~ be negatived.

4. If E.P.H. wish to press their proposal, they may be requested to obtain

a full report from the Council on the points mentioned in para 2 above. If the Council's explanation for not obtaining the approval of the D.P.I. to the revised estimate & to the remuneration of the work at the present inflated cost of materials & labour is satisfactory, the question of giving the Council an addl. subsidy in view of the increase in the actual cost may be considered.



21.1.46. Fin: may suggest that a full report may first be obtained from the Municipal Commr. as to why the construction of the building was proceeded with & completed without the prior approval of the Govt. for the revised estimate.
 22.1.46. Ex PH

4241

A

Fin. No. 3354 EOB-1

23.1.46

The suggestion of Finance at A above may be accepted.

A draft memo is submitted below for approval.

24.1.46. Memo. No. 58288 B/45-3 Edu. d. 25.1.46.

Cor. 9835 From the Municipal Commissioner, Palni, Ref: 12-4715/45 d. 15.2.46.


The Commissioner, Palni Municipality states that as the Govt. had sanctioned the acceptance of the tender rates which were in excess of the original estimate rates, the revised estimate which was prepared on the basis of the enhanced tender rate was not sent to the D.P.I. for approval. While according sanction for the acceptance of the tender rate the Govt. ~~has~~ stated no additional subsidy ~~will~~ be granted towards the increased cost of construction.

✓/1

This Commissioner, however, requests that in view of the financial position of the Council the additional subsidy may be sanctioned. In the circumstances it is for consideration whether this Dept. may support the request for additional subsidy.

The file may again be sent to Finance for remarks.

21/2/46
22/2/46



The Commissioner's explanation for his failure to obtain the approval of the D.P.I. for the revised estimate is given in brief at 'A' on p. 8 CF. E or D or L here has been a misunderstanding.

22.2.46

Fin:

Govt. No. 58288 B/45-4 Edu. 22-2-46.

22/2/46

11066 CF

Finance (Ex. p. 6)

(Previous Finance note on pp 3-6 above)

With reference to 'A' on p 6 above, please see the letter of the Commr., Palni Mpty. on p 8 CF. and the further notes on pp 6-7 above. The Commr. has stated that, as the original estimate was revised allowing the tender rates sanctioned by the Govt. the approval of the D.P.I. to the revised estimate was not sought. He has requested that, in view of the financial position of the Council, the additional subsidy of Rs 2210 applied for by the Council may be granted.

'A' on p 8 CF

para 2 p 8 CF

✓
pp 6-7 CF

It is submitted that, while according sanction to the acceptance of the tender rates in excess of the original estimate rates, the Govt. stated definitely that no additional subsidy would be granted towards the increased cost of construction of the school building. The Commr. has not given any strong reasons for reconsidering the above order. As regards the

[Finance]

B1. 153

financial position of the M.C., L.A. have already stated in their note on p2 above that the C. can meet from its own funds the excess expenditure involved. In the circumstances, this Dept. may advise that the request of the M.C. may be negatived.

1.3.46. D.D. 1.3.46 E.P.H. $\frac{1}{28/4/46}$



Invo. 11066 EB-1
1.3.46

E.P.H. Dept (B.1)

12847
CB


For orders whether the view of Finance at 'M' above may be accepted. If so the draft order subd. below is for approval. L.A. may see before issue.

There are no strong reasons to force his proposals for an addl. Rtd. Dr. The Commr's failure to obtain the Director's approval for his revision is a mistake. His explanation at A on p. 10 is not acceptable. He says (V) the cl. was definitely to be revised addl. A subd. would be granted owing to his revision of the estimate. Moreover the cl. has already been approved and its financial position is not likely to be affected. The request of the cl. is therefore referred. $\frac{1}{11/3/46}$

1.3.46. He may see the D.P. to report in his report. Obviously the work was delayed owing to the abnormal conditions prevailing in 1942 & after. The remark in GO 285 dated 1.3.46 - P. 2 of (V) - that no

(14)


additional subsidy would be granted. is based on the Commr. proposals for reappropriation at P4 of (V). But these proposals contemplated diversion of funds. In the letter at P8 & 6F the Commr. has given a plausible explanation for the failure to get D.P.D's approval of the revised estimate. It was merely a question of revision of rates. based on the lowest tender that had been accepted. I recommend that a full half grant be given in this case & that the balance of £220/- be paid this year as recommended by D.P.D. at P8 & 6F. It can be met from the budget provision, the Municipality can find other ways for its annual bill compensation.



A, I agree NY 11/3/46

1000
9.3.46

15



10

Please see the orders in circulation on p 9 ante.
A draft order is submitted below for approval.
It may be sent to Finance no. for acceptance

122
11/3/46

Y
11.3.46

S. W.
11/3/46

Fin (Exp B)

(Finance last noted on pp 7-8 above)

The further notes and orders in circulation on
pp 8-9 above may be perused. The draft order may
be accepted and the case returned to EPA.



2
Exp. H. no. 58288 11/3/46
139784

12/3/46 12/3/46

24
12.3.46

E. P. H.

Fin. no. 13734 EB-1
12.3.46

18me
Y
12.3.46