

Close
work

EfBH (1946) Department.

ILG SERIES.

G.O. No. 374
Edw

Dated 21.2.1946

(9)

[Despatch Abstract.]

Subsidy - District Board, Salem - Board High School,
Hosur - Levelling the playground - Request for the grant of
subsidy according to the revised estimate - Negatived.

Back Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Further Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

SALEM DISTRICT BOARD

GRANT-Salem District Board-Board High School Hosur-Levelling the playground-Reallotted-increase in subsidy based on the Revised Estimate-Sanction-Requested.

Roc.D1.9386-44.

District Board Office,
Salem, Dated 25-12-45.

From: Sri K. A. Nachiappa Goundar,
President, District Board, Salem.
To The Secretary to Government,
Education & Public Health Dept.
Fort St. George., Madras.

Ref: G.O.No.Ms.581-Edu-dated 17-4-1944 and
G.O.No.Ms.502-Edu-dated 2-4-1945.

In G.O.No.Ms.581-Edu-dated 17-4-1944, the Government were pleased to sanction a half grant of Rs.125-0-0 to be drawn in 1944-45. But due to the inaction on the part of the District Board Engineering branch this work of levelling the playground of the Board High School Hosur was not taken up in that year. The delay caused by the District Board Engineer was reported to Government in this office letter Roc.D1.9386-44-dated 28-2-1945. Thereupon the Government have been pleased to reallo the grant in 1945-46 in their Government Order No.Ms.502-Education dated 2-4-45 for being drawn in 1945-46.

The estimate for the work for Rs.950-0-0 was received in September 1944 and was sanctioned in the District Board Resolution No.29(213) dated 23-9-44. But this estimate was not worked out and by the end of the year 1944-45 it was reported that no tenders were received for the rates provided and that the estimate will be revised.

The revised estimate for the work for Rs.1,250-0-0 was received in August 1945. This was sanctioned by the District Board in its Resolution No.46(261) dated 13-9-45. But as the work was urgent the District Board Engineer was permitted to execute the work in anticipation of Board's sanction to the estimate in my proceeding dated 3-9-45. In response to the tender notification, the District Board Engineer has received only one tender wherein the contractor has demanded premium rate of 25 percent above the estimate rate. The Superintending Engineer (Communications) Trichinopoly has been addressed separately to accord his approval to accept this premium tender. Pending receipt of his approval, in view of urgency, the District Board Engineer has ordered this tenderer to execute the work.

A copy of the letter received from the District Board Engineer Roc.2241-45-dated 13-11-45 in the matter is enclosed for kind reference.

When the Director of Public Instruction was appraised of the above facts and was requested to sanction the subsidy based on the revised estimate, he has informed me in his letter R.C.1314-G-42-dated 18-9-45 that I must address Government direct in the matter.

Hence in the circumstances of the case, as set out in the letter of the District Board Engineer, Salem referred to above, I request that the Government may be pleased to sanction the enhanced subsidy not exceeding one half of the expenditure on the work according to the revised estimate for Rs.1,250-0-0 plus 25 percent excess tender rate. As the work has since been given on contract and as it will be completed before the end of this financial year, the grant may be permitted to be drawn before 31st March 1946.

K.V.N.25-12-45.

President, District Board, Salem.

P.t.o.

GRANTS-Provincial-Levelling the playground in the Board High School
Hosur-Enhanced amount based on revised estimate for Rs.1,250-0-0
Sanction-particulars furnished.

The half grant of Rs.125-0-0 was sanctioned on the basis of the estimate prepared before the year 1941-42 when the ~~exist~~ conditions were normal.

So the estimate had again to be revised as per 1945-46 rates which allowed higher percentages in rates, for Rs.1,250-0-0 under these difficult conditions the cost of the estimate has increased.

Your Exchange reminder is herewith resubmitted.

H.V.N. 25-12-45.

Encl No. 59052-1B., dated, 31-12-45.

Forwarded to the Director of Public

Instruction for very early remarks.
(By order)

J. B. Mason
Assistant Secretary to Govt.
USA
29/11/45

To
The Director of Public Instruction

P. C. NO. 14-G/46
 ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
 6 - FEB 1948
 EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.

Dr. S. R. U. Savaor, M.A., D.Sc., I.E.S.,
Director of Public Instruction, MADRAS.

Sir,

Sub: Grants - Salem District Board - Board High School, Hosur - Levelling the Playground - Reallotted - Increase in subsidy based on the Revised Estimate.

Ref: Endt No. 59052-1-B dated 31-12-1945.

The work of levelling the playground was a small-piece of work for which a subsidy of Rs.125/- was originally sanctioned in 1944-45. But the work was not executed within the year for reasons into which it is not necessary for me to go and the President, District Board, requested in his letter No.ROC.D-1-9386-44 dated 28-2-1945 for the same amount to be repeated in the current year's budget, although he was full aware even then that the estimate ~~has~~ had been revised and that the cost was expected to be Rs.1250/-. His request was complied with (vide G.O.No.584 Edn dated 2-4-45). He now asks for a half grant on Rs.1250/- though there is ~~now~~ a new factor to be taken into consideration. He has moreover started the work. In a similar situation an application from an aided institution would meet with refusal.

In these circumstances, the application for enhanced subsidy is not recommended for sanction.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

for Director of Public Instruction.

2004(3)

Subsidy - District Board - Salem - Board High School

Hosur.

2004(3)

57
21/2

Subsidy - District Board Salem - Board High School, Hosur. levelling the playground - Request for the grant of subsidy according to the revised estimate - negatived

Read
G.O. no 587 Edu dated 17.4.44
G.O. no 502 Edu dated 2.4.45
From the P.D.B. Salem Rec. 9386/44 25.12.45
CRO 7336/46. From the DP 9 Ac no 14.6/46 8.4.2.46

Order no 374 Edu. d. 21.2.46
The Govt decline to sanction any additional subsidy towards the cost of levelling the playground in the Board High School, Hosur. based on the revised estimate of Rs 1250 plus 25 percent excess tender rate

To
The P.D.B. Salem
DP 2.

11.2.46
12/2/46
note

note

The increase in the cost of executing the work was due to the failure of the Board to have the work executed in 1944-5. As the revised estimate for the work was very much in excess of the original estimate (based on which a grant of Rs. 125 was sanctioned), the P.D.B. Salem should have obtained the approval of the D.P.S. to the revised estimate before starting the work. The D.P.S. recommendation that no enhanced subsidy should be sanctioned may be accepted. LA may see before come



Grant - Salem District Board - Board High school Hosur - levelling the play ground - re-allotted - increase in subsidy based on the revised estimate - sanction requested.
R.O.C.Dl/9386-44.

Salem District Board office,
Dated 19--2--1946.



A. Nachiappa Gounder President, District Board, Salem.

Secretary to Government, Education & Public Health Department,
Fort St. George, Madras.

This office letter Roc.Dl/9386-44 dated 25-12-1945.

I invite your kind reference to this office letter cited above and request the favour of early orders sanctioning the subsidy for the work of levelling the play ground of the Hosur High school based on the Revised estimate for Rs. 1250/- plus 25% excess tender rate.

The Superintending Engineer (Comm) Trichinopoly has approved the proposal of the District Board Engineer Salem to entrust the work to the contractor at 25% above the estimate rate in his Proceedings L.Dis. 12639-45 dated 29-12-1945.

As the official year is drawing to a close, I request the favour of orders at a very early date, to enable this office to draw the grant before 31st March 1946.

E.H. (B.) Dept.

The P.D.B. has addressed this letter before the orders in G.O. No. 374 Edu. d. 21-2-46 were issued. May be lodged. File

President, District Board, Salem.

Cm. 14072 B.1/46

From the P.D.B., Salem, Rec. D1-9386/44 d. 9.13.46.

Sub:- Subsidy - Dt. Bd., Salem - Board High School, Hosur - Levelling the playground - Grant of additional subsidy according to revised estimate.

The P.D.B., Salem requests that the orders in

(E) 50. Lp. 10.374 Edn. d. 21-2-46 may be reconsidered and an additional subsidy based on the revised estimate of Rs 1250 plus 25% excess tender rate may be sanctioned for the work of levelling the playground in the Board High School, Hosur. Attention is invited to the notes on p.6 of. in the Lp. The main ground now urged by the President is that the failure to execute the work in 1944-45 when the schedule of rates were low was due to the slackness of the Engineering Dept. and the Dt. Bd. should not suffer on this account. The President has not however stated the reason why the D.P.'s approval was not obtained to the revised estimate before the work was started. In the circumstances it is for orders whether the request of the P.D.B. will be complied with.

The remarks of L.A. and Fin. may be invited.

The work was sanctioned in April 1946. The work was a very minor one - levelling of a playground. It involved only labour and not materials. The work could have easily been done, had our local engineering staff been more fully alerted in their duties. There has been no revision of the estimate and no revision of the work.

2

1939

1939-ம் வருஷத்திய சேலம் ஜில்லா (தென் ஆற்காடு Cum திருச்சிநாப்பள்ளி Cum சேலம் Cum கோயமுத்தூர் ஜில்லாக்களின்) இந்திய கிருஸ்தவ தொகுதியைச் சார்ந்த மதராஸ் வெஜிஸ்லேடிவ் அமைப்பினி வேட்டர்களின் முடிவான சேர்க்கை, நீக்கல், பிழைதிருத்தம் காட்டும் ஜாபிதா.

சேலம் ஜில்லா—ரெஜிஸ்ட்ரேஷன் ஏரியா—நாமக்கல் ரெவின்யூ டிவிஷன்.

ராஜபுரம் தாலுக்கா.

அடியிற்கண்ட கிராமங்களுக்கு சேர்க்கை, நீக்கல், பிழைதிருத்தம்—இல்லை.

- | | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| 1 குருக்கபுரம் | 3 பிள்ளாநல்லூர் | 5 பொன்னுரிச்சி தரப் |
| 2 கூனவேலம்பட்டி | 4 பொன்னுரிச்சி | |

Ed B. B.1

tree Cabesh / schenke works on Rs 1250.
The work has been entrusted to a Contractor
and is for our schenke work and is now in progress.

The P.O. ^{no} wants a half grant on Rs 1250 + 312
or Rs 780. but he has not explained why
he did not get his schenke approved by the D.P.O.

before he started his work. This is a fault
which cannot be ignored. His request
may be turned down on this score.

28-3-46 S. 24/46 L.A. 14072 B.1/46-2
L.A. Dept. 29-3-46

May be perused and passed to Finance Dept.

S.S.S. 24-4-46

9.0 no 374 Edn 2
21-2-46 was sent



by this dept. in the draft
made. No fresh point has
arisen for reconsideration of that
order. This dept may agree
with E & P.H.

87/4/46 E & P.H.



E & P.H. (B.1) Dept. L.A. no. 9349/46.1.D 9-4-46

The file may now be sent to Finance as originally intended



A83/B.


ini (lept)

This Dept. also may agree with the views
of E & P.H. at A on p 2 above.

16-4-46 16-4-46 17-4-46 E & P.H.

E & P.H. (B.1)

Para. 20618 E & P.H.



A draft Memo. is subd. for approval.
May be filed with lps. no. 374 Edn. d. 21-2-46

after issue.

18/4/46 18/4/46

22-4-46

(Copy) in

Subsidy-District Board Salem-Board high school-Hosur-Levelling

the playground according to revised estimate sanction request

negative -reconsideration requested.

Roc.DT-323/44

District Board office
Salem dated 4/3/46

To
Sri L.A. Nachiappa Gounder
President, District Board, Salem.

The Secretary to Government
Education & Public Health
Department,
Fort St. George, MADRAS.

Sir,

Ref:-G.O.No.Ms.374 Edn., dated 21/2/46.

In the above orders the Government have been pleased to inform me that they decline to sanction the subsidy based on the revised estimate and excess tender rate. The grant sanctioned for the work was Rs.125/- as per G.O.No.581 Edn dated 17/4/44. But due to the slackness on the part of the Engineering department, the work was not executed in the year 1944-45 itself when the schedule of rates were very low. In this connection I wish to invite the kind reference of Government to their orders in G.O.No.Ms.1437 L.A. dated 3/7/45. It is on account of this slackness of the Engineering department that the estimate had to be revised adopting the higher schedule of rates. As such it is not just that the District Board should be deprived of the grant for the default committed by the Engineering branch. It is only with the hope that grant will be paid, based on the revised estimate and excess tender percentage, that the work was pushed through this year.

Hence I request that the Government may be pleased to reconsider their orders in G.O.No.Ms.374 Edn. dated 21/2/46 and sanction the subsidy based on the revised estimate and excess tender percentage, at least as a special case.

Rk.9/5

PRESIDENT, District Board, Salem

~~Cur 22:0006~~

