

Put away

56

Scotts. II-27-57,000-12-11-45

EPAH (1946) Department.

Rs SERIES.

G.O. No. 346
Ean

Dated 19.2.1946

[Despatch Abstract.]

Certificate of age and nationality - Indian Civil
Service - Mr. R.S. James - Granted.

Back Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	

Further Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Law (General) Department.

Certificate of age and Nationality - Indian Civil Service - 2

Mr. R.S. James - Granted.

Read again G.O.

dated

193

Read also:
C.No.

From the D.M., Viragapala No. dated 193
R.C No. 340-45-C-5 dated 23-1-46

ORDER
Ms

No. 346 E dated 19.2.1946

A certificate of age and Nationality is granted to ---
Mr. R.S. James a candidate for the Indian Civil Service.
Examination to be held in

To
S/Lieut R.S. James, R.I.M.V.R.,
H.M.I.S. Circars,
Viragapala
(with Certificate)
Copy to the D.M., Viragapala. ✓

18/2/46
18/2/46
19/2/46

CERTIFICATE.

I hereby certify that Mr. R.S. Jan

who is a candidate for the selection in the Indian Civil Service Examination has submitted proof of his birth detailed below* and has satisfactorily shown that he was actually born on or about the date stated, viz. the twenty ninth day of August, one thousand nine hundred and fifteen and further I hereby certify that he is a British subject and that his father is a British subject.
Subject of the Hyderabad State in India

* Certified extract from the Andhra University granted by the Registrar.

18/2/46. Record of enquiry in Mulki court in Urdu with its translation
16/3. 18/2/46.

Education & P.H.(C) Dept.

Letter/Ref.No. 4521C/46-1

Edn. dated 19-2-46

To [The Secretary to the G. of I.,
Home Department, New Delhi. (with encl.)]

The Secretary to His
Excellency the Crown Representative
New Delhi.
(with encl.)

Sir,

Certificate of age and nationality - I.C.S. - Mr.
R.S.James - Subject of the Hyderabad State.

With reference to rule XI of the rules issued
with the Government of India, Home Department Notifica-
tion No.35/10/39 Ets. dated 22-2-1939 as amended
by the Government of India, Home Department's letter
No.35.2.45.Ets. dated 2-6-45, I am directed to forward
the papers detailed below, submitted in connection with
the application for a certificate of age and nation-
ality by ~~Mr.~~ ^{Mr.} R.S.James, Vizagapatam, a subject
of the Hyderabad State who is a candidate for selec-
tion to the Indian Civil Service.

1. Letter dated 20-10-1945 from S/Lt.R.S.James

to the Dt. Collector, Vizagapatam.

2. Record of enquiry in Mulki certificate in Urdu with its translation.
3. Certified extract from the ^{Andhra University} ~~Andhra University~~ Registrar. ~~Andhra University~~.
4. Letter from the District Magistrate, Vizagapatam R. 3400/45.C.5 dated 23-1-46.

I am to ^{enclose a copy of the} ~~add that~~ a certificate in the prescribed form, ^{which has been} ~~has also been~~ issued to the candidate.

Y.O.S.

[Signature]
16/2/46

*copy to the Secy to the
Govt. of India, Home
Dept
New Delhi
(with cl)
with
encls.*
10-2-46

Education & P.H. (C) Dept.

CERTIFICATE OF age and nationality - I.C.S. - S/Lieut. R.S. James.

From the D.M., Vizagapatam, Rc. 3400-45-C.5 dated 23-1-46.

The candidate is a subject of ^{the Indian State of} ~~the~~ H.E.H. The Nizam of Hyderabad ^{by} birth. His father also appears to be a subject of the Hyderabad State. In the case of a candidate who is a subject of an Indian State, the procedure prescribed in rule XI on p.2 of (Y) should be followed. A draft order granting a certificate to the candidate and a draft letter to the Govt. of India forwarding the papers are submitted for approval.

*As regards the date of his birth, the certificate
at 10 years old. As regards place, we may
accept the Mulki certificate at 9 of. The
Dr. Mayle could have attested their certificates -
we may accept the candidate's attestations on
them. The certificate below may issue.
As regards the further procedure,
Rule XI in (Y) 24 says that the papers*

*There has been no
case like this reported
to I.C.S. There was one
case in the H.E.H. -
please refer to 12835th
11-7-40 ©*

maybe forwarded to the SGI or the Crown Representative,
 as the case may be in the case of a defect
 of an Indian State. ~~But~~ We do not know whether
 these papers should go to the SGI or the Crown Representative,
 though from the context, it seems that the paper should
 go to the latter in the case of a defect of an Indian State.
 In the case of (C),
 the papers were sent to the SGI but the rules were
 there in (A), in which there is no reference to a ruler.
 I think we may send the letter to the Crown
 Representative in this case, with a copy to the SGI. /y

[Signature]
 16/2/46

S. *[Signature]* 18/2/46.

D.S. wanted to know whether the letter to the
 Crown Representative should go to the Secretary to the
 Crown Representative, New Delhi or Hyderabad. It
 has been ascertained from Public that it should be
 sent to the Secy, Crown Representative (Viceroy) New Delhi.

(Letter signed)

[Signature]
 19/2/46