

EDUCATION & PUBLIC HEALTH (1946) Department.

His SERIES.

G.O. No. 2173 Education

Dated 14th November, 1946

(15)
Order on file 17-18 EF

[Despatch Abstract.]

Subsidy-District Board, Salen-Construction of
elementary school buildings-Orders passed

Back Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Go.	527	Edn	10/4/44				
Go.	713	Edn	2/4/46				
Go.	957	Edn	8/5/46				

Further Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Go.	270	Edn	17/2/47				

SALEM DISTRICT BOARD.

Subsidy-Salem District Board-Elementary school Buildings-Construction of school buildings at Nattuvampalayam and Perieri-application for half grant-submitted.

Roc.D1-Pd1.28-43

District Board office
Salem dated 29-7-46.

From
Sri K.A.Nachiappa Gounder M.L.A.
President District Board Salem.

To
The Secretary to Government
Education & Public Health Department
Fort St. George, M A D R A S.

Sir,

Through The Director of Public Instruction,
M A D R A S.

Ref: G.O.No.M.S.527, Edn. dated 10-4-1944.
G.O.No. 713, Education dated 2-4-1946.
& G.O.No.957, Edn. dated 8th May 1946.

I submit, herewith two revised applications, in the prescribed form, for half grant in respect of the Board Elementary school buildings for 1946-47 for favour of sanction.

2. The revised estimate for Rs.13000/- for the work of constructing additional unit to accommodate 150 pupils in Nattuvampalayam Elementary school compound has been approved by the Superintending Engineer, Highways, Salem in his letter Roc.No.2728-46B dated 4-7-46. The revised estimate for Rs.4700/- for constructing a school building at Periyeri has been sanctioned by the District Board in its resolution No.22(420) dated 26-11-45. These two estimates with plans are enclosed herewith for favour of reference and return.

P.T.O

2

3. A revised estimate for Rs.23000/- for the work of constructing an Elementary school building at Dharmapuri has also been approved by the Superintending Engineer in his letter Roc.3829-46-B dated 3-46. Necessary grant application in respect of this work together with plans and estimate are also submitted herewith. In view of the urgency of the work and this being only a revised estimate I am asking the Divisional Engineer to call for tenders and proceed with the work.

d. Chinnai
29.7.46

PRESIDENT
District Board, Salem.

Rk. 29/7

Serial No.

Name of work.

Total estimate cost of work.

Total estimate cost of work.
Government share of the cost according to any general or special order that may be applicable to the case.

Whether detailed plans and estimates for the work have been accorded technical sanction by the competent authority (works for which such sanction has not been accorded at the time of submission of the application should not be included).

Has the site been selected and if so, whether it is already at the disposal of the local body.

If not, is land acquisition necessary and if so what is the estimated cost of acquisition and the Govt. share of it.

What steps in detail have been taken to secure acquisition by Revenue Authorities and by what date is it anticipated that the land would be placed at the disposal of the local body.

Can the local body give an assurance that it has funds of its own, available for land acquisition or for immediate expenditure, on the work in the event of Government sanctioning a grant for either purpose.

In case of application for grants for medical buildings is the local body willing to meet one-half of the cost of works from its funds.

Having regard to particulars under items 7 to 9, what is the total amount inclusive of Govt. Grant which the local body is willing to meet on behalf of the cost of works from its funds expects to spend on land-acquisition or on actual constructions within the financial year for which budget provision is to be made.

What is the Govt. share of item 10 and what is the amount of grant likely to be drawn within the year.

What will be the probable total expenditure and the Govt. Grant in each of the subsequent years until the work is completed (reasons should be given for the anticipations in regard to expenditure in each year until completion of the work).

How the balance of cost will be met.

Remarks.

Constructing an elementary school building at Channarayana to accommodate 300 pupils.

23000 11500

Yes.

Yes.

—

Only the Govt. contribution is provided from the District Board funds.

23,000/-

11500/-

—

—

In G.O. No. 527, Education P.H. dated 10.2.46, the order is issued for the building to accommodate 300 pupils was sanctioned and a grant of Rs. 500/- has been allotted. The grant now applied for is the overall amount corresponding to the estimate sanctioned by the S.E. and includes the grant already sanctioned.

29.7.46
President,
Board, Salem.

27/7/46
Divisional Engineer (H.),
Channarayana.

Government grant application for 1946-47: Elementary school buildings.

Salem District Board.

3/3

Name of work

Total estimated cost of work

Government share of the cost according to any general or special order that may be applicable to the case.

Whether detailed plans and estimates for the work have been accorded technical sanction by the competent authority (works for which such sanction has not been accorded at the time of submission of the application should not be included).

Has the site been selected and if so whether it is already at the disposal of the local body.

If not is land acquisition necessary and if so what is the estimated cost of acquisition & the Govt. share of it? What steps in detail have been taken to secure acquisition of Revenue authorities?

Has the local body made arrangements for the disposal of its own available land for acquisition or for immediate expenditure on the work in the event of Govt. sanctioning a grant for either purpose. In case application for grants for medical buildings is the local body willing to meet one half of the cost of works from its funds.

Having regard to particulars under item 7 to 9 what is the total amount inclusive of Govt. grant which the local body expects to spend on land acquisition or on actual construction within the financial year for which budget provision is to be made.

What is the Govt. share of item 10 and what is the amount of grant likely to be drawn within the year.

What will be the probable total expenditure over the next three years until the work is completed (Reasons should be given for the anticipation in regard to expenditure in each year until completion of the work).

How the balance of cost will be met.

Remarks.

Constructing Additional units to accommodate 150 pupils in Nattuvampalayam Elementary School compound.

13000

6500

Yes. Approved by Suptg. Engr. (H) in R.O. 2728-46-B dated 4-7-46.

Yes No

Constructing school building Perieri.

4700

2350

Sanctioned in D.B.R. No. 22(420) dated 26-11-45

Yes No

Half the cost provided in the District Board Budget

5000/-

2500/-

To be spent before 31-3-47

3514/-

1757/-

8000/-
Govt. Grant Rs. 4000/-
Dt. Bd. share Rs. 4000/-
for 47-48.

② Out of Rs. 4700 expenditure incurred upto 31-3-46 is Rs. 1186/- The balance of Rs. 3514 only shown in this application.

DIVISIONAL ENGINEER (HIGHWAYS),
Salem.

Copy to Assistant Engineer, Dt. Bd., Salem & Supervisors, Dt. Bd., Trichengode & Ar.

20/7

22-7-46

27/7/46

27/7/46

President,
H. Board, Salem.

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION, MADRAS.
H.C.No.850 B5/46, dated 31-8-46.

52007
Sub: Subsidy - Buildings - District Board, Salem - Construc-
tion of elementary school buildings.
Ref: Letter from the President, District Board, Salem H.C.
No.D.1.Pd1/28-43, dated 29-7-46.

SEP 19 1946
SUBMITTED

2. In G.O.No.527, Education, dated 10-4-44, seven building schemes were sanctioned and the District Board was rendered eligible for a grant of Rs.13,225/-. In G.O.No.957, Education, dated 8-5-45 the Board was permitted to claim the subsidy before 7-3-47 the latest in respect of these schemes. A subsidy of Rs.675/- has already been paid to the Board - vide G.Os. Nos.713, Education, dated 2-4-46 and 957, Education, dated 8-5-46.

The President, District Board has now submitted revised estimates in respect of the following three schemes - items 1, 6 and 7 of G.O.No.527, Education, dated 10-4-44. These estimates have been scrutinised by the Consulting Architect to Government and have been approved by him. As a result of the revision of the estimates the subsidy payable works out to Rs.17,557 for the year 1946-47. In the event of approval of Government necessary additional funds will be applied for by reappropriation.

I am also including a sum of Rs.4000/- in Part II schemes for 1947-48 in respect of the construction of buildings for the Nattuvampalayam School.

TRB.4/9
To

for DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

The Secretary to Government, Education & Public Health
Department, Madras.

sol
579

2002

$\frac{20}{135}$

uction-

[illegible]

Doc.D1-Pd1-28-43.

Through
The Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

• ppl - 2 ante

President, District Board, Salem.

DEPT. OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION
31 AUG 1933
MADRAS

சென்னை மாவட்டம், சட்டமன்றத் தொகுதி, சட்டமன்றக் கட்டிடம், சென்னை.

Registration Officer.

N. V. VANDYANADA AYEER.

சென்னை மாவட்டம், சட்டமன்றத் தொகுதி, சட்டமன்றக் கட்டிடம், சென்னை.
சென்னை மாவட்டம், சட்டமன்றத் தொகுதி, சட்டமன்றக் கட்டிடம், சென்னை.
சென்னை மாவட்டம், சட்டமன்றத் தொகுதி, சட்டமன்றக் கட்டிடம், சென்னை.
சென்னை மாவட்டம், சட்டமன்றத் தொகுதி, சட்டமன்றக் கட்டிடம், சென்னை.
சென்னை மாவட்டம், சட்டமன்றத் தொகுதி, சட்டமன்றக் கட்டிடம், சென்னை.
சென்னை மாவட்டம், சட்டமன்றத் தொகுதி, சட்டமன்றக் கட்டிடம், சென்னை.
சென்னை மாவட்டம், சட்டமன்றத் தொகுதி, சட்டமன்றக் கட்டிடம், சென்னை.
சென்னை மாவட்டம், சட்டமன்றத் தொகுதி, சட்டமன்றக் கட்டிடம், சென்னை.
சென்னை மாவட்டம், சட்டமன்றத் தொகுதி, சட்டமன்றக் கட்டிடம், சென்னை.
சென்னை மாவட்டம், சட்டமன்றத் தொகுதி, சட்டமன்றக் கட்டிடம், சென்னை.

சென்னை மாவட்டம், சட்டமன்றத் தொகுதி, சட்டமன்றக் கட்டிடம், சென்னை.

சென்னை மாவட்டம், சட்டமன்றத் தொகுதி, சட்டமன்றக் கட்டிடம், சென்னை.

சென்னை மாவட்டம், சட்டமன்றத் தொகுதி, சட்டமன்றக் கட்டிடம், சென்னை.
சென்னை மாவட்டம், சட்டமன்றத் தொகுதி, சட்டமன்றக் கட்டிடம், சென்னை.
சென்னை மாவட்டம், சட்டமன்றத் தொகுதி, சட்டமன்றக் கட்டிடம், சென்னை.
சென்னை மாவட்டம், சட்டமன்றத் தொகுதி, சட்டமன்றக் கட்டிடம், சென்னை.
சென்னை மாவட்டம், சட்டமன்றத் தொகுதி, சட்டமன்றக் கட்டிடம், சென்னை.
சென்னை மாவட்டம், சட்டமன்றத் தொகுதி, சட்டமன்றக் கட்டிடம், சென்னை.
சென்னை மாவட்டம், சட்டமன்றத் தொகுதி, சட்டமன்றக் கட்டிடம், சென்னை.
சென்னை மாவட்டம், சட்டமன்றத் தொகுதி, சட்டமன்றக் கட்டிடம், சென்னை.
சென்னை மாவட்டம், சட்டமன்றத் தொகுதி, சட்டமன்றக் கட்டிடம், சென்னை.
சென்னை மாவட்டம், சட்டமன்றத் தொகுதி, சட்டமன்றக் கட்டிடம், சென்னை.

Extract from the proceedings of the Ordinary Meeting of the Salem District Board held at Salem on 19-8-46.

93(262) Grants-buildings-Salem District Board-construction of Board Elementary school buildings-1946-47-meeting one half of the cost of the schemes from general funds-Board's sanction-requested.

Note of the President requesting the sanction of the Board to meet one half of the cost of the undermentioned works relating to the construction of board elementary school buildings from its general funds.

S.No.	Name of work	Revised Estimated cost. Rs.
1.	Constructing an elementary school building at Dharmapuri to accommodate 300 pupils.	23000
2.	Constructing additional unit to accommodate 150 pupils in Nattuvampalayam board elementary school compound.	13000
3.	Constructing school building at Poriori	4700

Note:-The above works were sanctioned by the Government in their G.O.No.527 Education dated 10-4-44.The revised estimates for the works noted against items 1 and 2 have been sanctioned by the superintending Engineer Highways,Salem.The revised estimate for the work in item No.3 was sanctioned in D.B.R.No.22(420) dated 26-11-45.The Government will sanction half grant for these schemes only if the district board bears the other half of the cost of the schemes from its general funds.The Government may be asked to sanction half grant for all the above works.

Source:-To be met from the allotment of Rs.29000 made under "Capital Elementary Education-Lands and Buildings" in the Budget estimate for 1946-47.

மதராஸ் லேஸ்டீலேடிவ் அலெம்பிளி

1937-ம் வருஷத்திய சேலம் ஜில்லா (சேலம் சூம் கோயமுத்தூர் cum நீலகிரி ஜில்லாக்களின்) மகம்மதியர் தொகுதியைச் சேர்ந்த மதராஸ் ப்ரொவினஸ்யல் லேஸ்டீலேடிவ் அசெம்பிளியின் முடிவான எலக்டர்களின் ஜாப்தாவிற்கு 1941 வருஷத்திய சேர்த்தல் சீக்கல் பிழை திருத்தம் இவைகளடங்கிய முடிவான ஜாப்தா.

சேலம் ஜில்லா—ஒரு ரெவினியூ டிவிஷன்

கிருஷ்ணகிரி தாலுகா

- குறிப்பு:—(1) பிராக்கட்டுக்குள்ளிருப்பவை கப்பலூர், புருஷன் அல்லது காரணவான் பெயர்
(2) யோக்கியதாமசம் குறி சதிராத பெயர்களின் யோக்கியதாமசம் 3a
(3) S என்பது ஸ்திரீ
(4) L என்பது எழுதப்படக்கூடாதெரிந்தவர்கள்

பிரஜஸ்ட்ரேஷன் ஏரியா—ஒரு ரெவினியூ டிவிஷன்

போலிங் ஏரியா நெ—2

டோல்க ஸ்டேஷன்—கிருஷ்ணகிரி

வரிசை நெ	பெயர்	யோக்கியதை	வரிசை நெ	பெயர்	யோக்கியதை
----------	-------	-----------	----------	-------	-----------

அடியிற்கண்ட கிராமங்களில் சேர்க்கை, தள்ளுபடி, திருத்தம் இல்லை

- | | |
|------------------------|---------------------|
| 1 அக்கலாபுரம் (ஜாகீர்) | 5 கங்கலேரி |
| 2 அகசிபல்லி | 6 கட்டிகாணபல்லி |
| 3 அகரம் | 7 கம்மம்பல்லி |
| 4 ஆலப்பட்டி | 8 இனும் கொத்தபேட்டா |

Resolution: Sanctioned. Government to be addressed.

(True Extract)

Sd. K. A. Nachiappa Gounder,
President, District Board, Salem.

K.V.N. 26-8-46.

President, District Board, Salem.

14

1938, 1939, 1941 வருஷங்களின் சேர்க்கை, கீக்கல், திருத்தல் அடங்கிய

1941-ம் வருஷத்திய (தென் ஆற்காடு cum திருச்சிநாப்பள்ளி cum சேலம் cum கோயமுத்தூர் ஜில்லாக்களின்)

இந்திய கிருஸ்துவர் தொகுதியைச் சேர்ந்த மதராஸ் லெஜிஸ்லேடிவ் அசெம்பிளியின் முடிவான ஏலக்டரல் ஜாபிதா

ஆத் தூர் தாலுக்கா.

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION, M A D R A S.
R.O.No.850-B3/46, dated 11-9-1946.

Sub: Subsidy - Buildings - District Board, Salem - Construction
of elementary school buildings.

REF: Letter from the President, District Board, Salem D1/Pd1/28/23
dated 25--6--1946.

53854

Submitted in continuation of this office Re.No.850-13/45
dated 31-6-1946.



for Director of Public Instruction.

The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department.

MR.12/5.

ssd
14/9

16

11500 Khamapur

700

320

1140

315

2500

1757

2285

675

22150

4000

18150

17557

593

18232

615

17557

17557

49
14/x1

16/11

Subsidy - St. Board, Salem - Construction of ely. school buildings - Orders passed.

p9ef.

From the P.D.B., Salem, Roc. D1-PdL-28-43

" " D.P.T., R.C. No. 850-B3/46, 2/11.9.46.

G.O. No. 517 Edu 7/10.4.46.

G.O. (MS) 2173 * Edu. 14.11.46

The maximum subsidy payable from Provincial funds to the St. Board, Salem towards the cost of construction of a building for the Board ely. school at Periyeri, will be raised from Rs 1,200 to Rs 2,350 (Rupees two thousand, three hundred and fifty only).

Finance note on p3m.

2. In view of the ^{high} cost of materials and labour, the construction of a building for the Board elementary school at Khamapur and extension of the building of the Board elementary school at

18

Natturapalayam should be deferred.

3. All the works mentioned in G.O. 527 Edn, d/10.4.44 other than those referred to in para 2 of this order should be completed and the subsidy claimed before 7-3-1947.

4. The D.P.I. is requested to take necessary action to provide funds to meet the expenditure by reappropriation.

OSM
13/11/46

pp19-20089 (X)

To the P.D.B.
Salarp
Finance (Budget)
2-10

Subsidy - Dt.Bd. Salem - Elementary school buildings construction - enhancement of.

G.No. 52007C1/46. From the P.D.B. Salem, Roc. D1. Pd1.28-43, d. 29.7.46.
From the D.P.I.R.C.No. 850B3/46, dd. 31.8.46.
From the P.D.B. Salem, Roc. D1. Pd1.28-43, d. 25.9.46.
From the D.P.I. C.No. 850B3/46, d. 11.9.1946.

In connection with the Part II estimates for 1944-45 the D.P.I. recommended the payment of a subsidy not exceeding Rs. 13,225 to the Dt.Bd., Salem, towards the cost of constructing the following school buildings: --

Name of the work.	Estimated cost.	Maximum subsidy proposed.	Rs
1. Construction of a building for the board school at Dharmapuri.	13,000	6,500	
2. Construction of a latrine and urinal for the Board Boys school at Dharmapuri.	1,400	700	
3. -do- for the girls ele. school at Dharmapuri.	640	320	
4. Construction of an additional building for the board elementary school at Thevur.	2,280	1,400	
5. Construction of a well in the elementary school compound at Dharmapuri.	630	315	
6. Extension of the building of the board elementary school at Nattarasampalayam. Natturampalayam	6,100	3,050	
7. Construction of a building for the board elementary school at Periyeri.	2,400	1,200	

The proposal was treated as a Part II scheme and the subsidy was sanctioned in G.O.No. 527 Edn. dd. 10.4.44. In the same G.O. the P.D.B. was informed that the works should be completed and the subsidy claimed before 10.3.1945

In his letter dated 28.3.1945 the P.D.B. reported that on account of the rise in the price of materials the estimates had to be revised, that the construction of buildings could not be completed and the subsidy claimed before 10.3.45. and reports that the subsidy might be reallocated for 1945-46. The D.P.I. recommended the request and the Dt. Bd. was allowed time till 7.3.46 to complete the works and claim the subsidy.

In his letter dated 2.12.45 the President reported that only two works 5, and 7 had been taken up in 1945. at an estimated cost of Rs. 760 and Rs. 4700 respectively, that the other works could not be taken up in that year and that the

pp19-20089 (X)

p20089 (X)

p21089 (X)

p23089 (X)

p25089 (X)

p39 (d)

p39 (d)

subsidy for the rest of the works might be reallocated for 1946-47. As an expenditure of Rs. 500 had been incurred on construction of No. 7, a subsidy of Rs. 250 was sanctioned in G.O. No 713 Edn, d. 2.4.46.

In his letter dated 23.4.46 the D.P.I. reported that further expenditure had been incurred on the construction of items 5 and 7 and in G.O. No 957 Edn. d. 8.5.46, a further subsidy of Rs. 425 [Rs. 340 for item (5) and Rs. 85 for item (7)] was sanctioned. In the same G.O. the Dt. Board was also allowed time till 7.3.47 to complete the works and claim the balance of subsidy sanctioned in G.O. No. 527 Edn., d. 10. 4. 1944.

The P.D.B. has now submitted revised estimates in respect of the following schools. (Nos. 11, 16 and 17) and applied for increased ^{subsidy} ~~half grants~~ as follows : --

Name of work.	Orgl. estimated cost Rs	Orgl. Subsidy promised. Rs	Revised estimated cost. Rs	Subsidy now applied for. Rs
1) Construction of a building for the Bd. ely. school at Dharmapuri.	13,000 ✓	6,500 ✓	23,000 ✓	11,500 ✓
2) Extension to the Bd. ely school at Nallur. Nattarasampalayam	6,100 ✓	3,050 ✓	13,000 ✓	6,500 ✓
3) Construction of a building for the Bd. ely school at Periyar.	2,400 ✓	1,200 ✓	4,700 ✓	2,350 ✓

The D.P.I. recommends the payment of increased ^{subsidies} ~~half grants~~. He also says that he is including a sum of Rs. 4000 in the Part II scheme for 1947-48 in respect of ^{Nattarasampalayam} ~~works~~ the construction of a building for the ~~Nattarasampalayam~~ school.

As the estimates had to be revised on account of the ^{enormous} increase in materials and labour, the ^{enhanced} ~~increased~~ ^{subsidies} ~~grants~~ may be allowed. The financial position of the E.E. Fund of the Dt. Bd. is not satisfactory and the additional expenditure involved cannot be met from this fund. ^{Building subsidies} ~~the grants~~ have been increased under similar circumstances- please see G.O. No. 1762 Edn. d. 31.10.1946.

It is unnecessary to treat the proposal for payment of ^{subsidy} ~~increased grant~~ to the ^{Nattarasampalayam} ~~Nattarasampalayam~~ school as a Part II scheme for 1947-48 as ~~this is a part-paid scheme~~. The increased subsidy may be paid after the work is finished.

The President may be told that all the works mentioned in G.O. No. 527 Edn, d. 10.4.46, must be finished before 7.3.47 and the subsidy claimed before that time and the D.P.I. may be asked to apply for ^{addl.} ~~more~~ funds by reappropriation.

The file may be sent to Finance Department for remarks.

Finance (Expr-A) dept.

Please see the notes on pp. 1-3 above.

Item (3) on page 2 above. The work is in progress. The

Dist. Board has already been paid a subsidy of Rs 335 towards one half of the expenditure actually incurred in 1945-46.

According to the revised estimate submitted by the P.D.B. and scrutinised by the Consulting Architect to Govt. the work is estimated to cost Rs 4,700 as against the original estimate of Rs 2,400 and the subsidy payable towards one half of the cost works out to Rs 2,350 as against Rs 1,200 originally sanctioned by the Govt. in G.O. 527 Edn dated 10-4-44. It is stated that the increase in the R.E. is due to the increase in the cost of materials and labour. In the circumstances this dept. may agree to ^{an} enhanced subsidy of Rs 2,350 being sanctioned in lieu of Rs 1,200 approved in G.O. 527 Edn. dated 10-4-44.

2. Items (1) and (2) on page 2 above.- The proposal involves the payment of an enhanced subsidy of Rs 18,000 against Rs 9,550 originally sanctioned in G.O. 527 Edn. dated 10-4-44. The ~~two~~ works have not yet been commenced. According to para 53 of the Budget Manual grants for works for which no part of the grant was drawn in a previous year should ordinarily be treated as a Part II scheme for next year. The grants to be sanctioned in respect of the 2 works should therefore be treated as a Part II scheme 1947-48. According to the instructions issued in Budget u.o. No. 54303 B.G.-1 dated 9-10-46 a proposal can be considered as a Part II scheme for 1947-48 only if it is required in connection with the grow more food campaign.

is fully remunerative or is absolutely essential for the running of the department. The present case does not seem to satisfy any of the above criteria. The District Board also has not commenced the work so far even though the grants were sanctioned in April 1944. Presumably there is no special urgency for the ^{two} works. In view of the prevailing high cost of materials and labour, the Govt. also do not ordinarily sanction execution of building schemes. In the circumstances this dept. may suggest that the Dist. Board may be asked to defer the execution of ^{these two} works for the present.

21.11.46
21.11.46

22.11.46

22.11.46
22.11.46

56270
22.11.46

ETP.H CC-1)

pp 19-20 of 9

In G.O. 527 Edw. 2/10.4.44, the St. Board, Salem, was sanctioned a subsidy of Rs 13,225 towards the cost of construction of a school building as detailed in the Statement on p 1 ante. The P.D.B. has now submitted revised estimates in respect of items 1, 6 and 7 referred to on p 1 ante and has applied for increased subsidy (Vide Statement on p 2 ante).

The Finance Dept agree to the payment of enhanced subsidy for item 7; but, for the reasons stated in their note on pp 3-4 above, they suggest that the St. Board may be asked to defer the execution of works referred to in items 1 and 6 on p 1 ante.

For orders whether the suggestions of Finance will be accepted.

30/10/46

31/10/46

1/11/46

1/11 (Com) TSA
7.11.46

A draft order is submitted



62943(B)

18 NOV 1946

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
EDUCATION & PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENT
G.O. No. 2173 Education dated 14th November, 1946

SUBSIDY-District Board, Salem-Construction of elementary school buildings-Orders passed

Read the following
1. From the President, District Board, Salem Rec. D.I-PdL-28-43 dated 25.8.1946
2. Director of Public Instruction, R.O. No. 850.B.3/46 dated 11.7.1946
3. G.O. No. 527 Education dated 10.4.1944

ORDER

The maximum subsidy payable from Provincial funds to the District Board, Salem towards the cost of construction of a building for the Board elementary school at Voriyeri, will be raised from Rs. 1,200/- to Rs. 2,350/- (Rupees two thousand three hundred and fifty only)

In view of the high cost of materials and labour, the construction of a building for the Board elementary school at Dharmapuri and extension to the building of the Board elementary school at Nattuvapalayam should be deferred.

All the works mentioned in G.O. 527 Education dated 10.4.1944 other than those referred to in para 2 of this order should be completed and the subsidy claimed before 7.3.1947.

The Director of Public Instruction is requested to take necessary action to provide funds to meet the expenditure by reappropriation.

(By Order of His Excellency the Governor)

M.V. Subramanian,
Secretary to Government

To
The President,
District Board,
Salem.
The Director of Public Instruction
The Examiner of Local Fund Accounts
Finance (Budget) Department.

Forwarded/By Order.

Finance (B5)

A copy of the Proceedings has not been communicated to the St. Board, although it conveyed sanction for payment of increased subsidy. It may be requested to communicate a copy to the St. Board through this Dept.



M. M. Murali

7/6 P3

31/10/46

EA

~~29/11/16~~

Finance

RR H.W.O. 71683 (1/46)
27 11/46

Please see this dept's mode on 7 inv. (Exp. 1)

p. 1 above and the notes following. As it is
advisable that the D.G. should ^{know} the
maximum amount of subsidy sanctioned in
each case, it seems necessary that the G.O.
should be communicated to the D.G. ~~and~~



Endorsement with G.O. at (m) is submitted
After it is approved and issued the case

may be returned to the E. P. H. dept. They may

PR / Communicate types of similar sentences in future to me $\frac{7x}{1.1 \text{ sub}}$
30.11.46

740
271.46

F. P. H.



✓ EPHCO-1 89

The Suggestion of Finance on p 4 ante
This may be filed with Ex. 2173 Edm.

$$\frac{0.511}{4/12/46}$$

is noted.
by 14.11.96

$$\frac{3/24}{3/2}$$

10



20/1/21

✓