

Edn (1946) Department.

He SERIES.

G.O. NO.

2062  
Edn

Dated

29. 8. 1946

---

[Despatch Abstract.]

Madras Educational Service - Suggestion to ask Collectors to send reports on District Educational Officers' work - Papers recorded.

### Back Papers.

| G.O. | No.                  | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|----------------------|-------------|-------|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      | PH<br>144<br>10.7.39 |             |       |      |     |             |       |

### Further Papers.

| G.O. | No.             | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----------------|-------------|-------|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      | 2083<br>27.9.47 |             |       |      |     |             |       |

### Confidential:

Government of Madras.  
Education and Public Health Department.  
Memorandum No.25636.3.Dl.P.H. dated 2nd July 1946.

REPORTS on Civil Surgeons and Senior Assistant Surgeons in the Districts.

It is proposed that the Collectors should report half yearly on District Medical Officers and Civil Surgeons in the mufassal to the Surgeon-General and that the District Medical Officers should send the half yearly reports on Assistant Surgeons to Collectors who will forward them with their remarks to the Surgeon-General. These reports will be filed with the Surgeon-General's remarks in the personal files of these officers. The Collectors are requested to offer their remarks on the suggestion.

for Secretary to Government.

To all Collectors.

...oOo...

Edn. & P.H. Department. (D1) 3

Copy of letter from the Collr. of Cuddapah, dated 4-7-1946:-

Sub: REPORTS ON CIVIL SURGEONS AND SENIOR ASSISTANT SURGEONS IN THE DISTRICTS.

Ref: Government Memo No. 25636.3.D1.P.H dated 2-7-1946.

I agree this is necessary, and desirable.

2. If I may add another suggestion, reports on District Educational Officers should, in my opinion, pass through Collectors.

13/30/7

13/30/7

87

Edn. and P.H. Dept. (A) ④

4

MEMO. No. 39932-A-1-~~10~~ dated 27/7/ub.

Reports on D.E.O.'s - Suggestion to send thro' Collectors.

C.F.

It has been suggested that half-yearly confidential reports on D.E.O.'s should <sup>be sent</sup> ~~pass~~ through ~~the~~ <sup>of the respective dcs</sup> (with their remarks) ~~Collectors who will~~ forward them to the D.P.I. The

Dir. is requested to offer his views on the suggestion. To the D.P.I.

27/7/ub

27/7/ub

27/7/ub

27/7/ub

27/7/ub

C.F. 32.

In reply, please quote  
number, date and  
short title.\*

52904

⑤  
Educational DEPARTMENT.

Received \_\_\_\_\_ Registered \_\_\_\_\_

From (Name) Dr. S.R.U. Savor M.A., D.Sc., I.E.S.,

(Designation) Director of Public Instruction

Post Box \_\_\_\_\_, (Station) Madras. \_\_\_\_\_,

Telegraphic Address \_\_\_\_\_

To

The Secretary to Government,  
Education & Public Health Department.

Re No. 404 C-1/46, dated 10th September 1946.

Sir,

\* Public Services -- Personal files -- Reports  
on District Educational Officers -- Suggestion  
to send through Collectors.

ENCLOSURES \_\_\_\_\_

Ref: Government E & P.H. Memorandum No. 39932 A-1 Edn.  
dated 29.7.1946.

SPARE COPIES \_\_\_\_\_

With reference to the suggestion contained in the

P.T.O.

\*\*\*

\*\*\*

\*\*\*

6

This question may be taken up after orders are ~~issued~~ issued in this case.

T.G.M.  
17-7-46.

Please give an extract to A.S.II.

P.S.V.  
18-7-46.

2. The Collector, Cuddapah, has suggested that reports on D.E.Os. also should pass through Collectors. It is for consideration whether an extract of the above suggestion may be given to the Education Section for necessary action.

T.G.M.  
17-7-46.

E.P.H. (A).

The suggestion may be put to the D.P.I. and his remarks obtained first. The draft Memo subd. below is for approval.

*Handwritten notes and signatures:*  
pg. 7. 10/11/46  
22/7/46  
21/7/46  
21/7/46

(Issued as Memo. No. 39932-A-1-Edn., dated 29-7-1946)  
From the D.P.I., Rc. No. 404-C1/46, dated 10-9-46.

The authorities prescribed in the G.O. at "I" to write and maintain the personal files of Dt. Medical Officers (Medl. Dept.) and the Dt. Edl. Officers (Edn. Dept.) are the S.G. and the D.P.I. resply.

The views of the Collectors were recently called for on a suggestion that they should report to the S.G. half-yearly on D.M.O.'s (and Civil Surgeons) and the S.G. should add those reports with his remarks to the personal files of the officers. The concerned file is now in circn. In that connection, the Collector of Cuddapah suggested that reports on D.E.O.'s also might "pass through Collectors". The D.P.I. says that it is not possible, nor desirable, to accept the suggestion, for he himself prepares and maintains the personal files.

2. It is subd. that ordinarily the D.P.I. cannot inspect, every six months, the offices of all the D.E.O.'s in the Presy., and thus he cannot keep himself in ~~touch~~ <sup>touch</sup> such close with the D.E.O.'s as is necessary to make a proper judgment of their work. Between the D.E.O.'s and the D.P.I. there are Divisional Inspectors of Schools (four in no.) one of whose duties is to inspect the offices of the D.E.O.'s. As the jurisdiction of these Divl. Insprs. does not extend <sup>beyond</sup> ~~beyond~~ five or six districts, they can evaluate D.E.Os' <sup>work</sup> ~~work~~ better. It is therefore for consideration whether, retaining the D.P.I. as the authority who should maintain the personal files of the D.E.O.'s, it may not be desirable to prescribe that the <sup>Divisional Inspectors</sup> ~~Collector~~ of each district should

Government Memo, cited above, I have the honour to invite the attention of Government to the table annexed to G.O. No. 144 P.H. dated 16.1.1939, according to which the Director of Public Instruction himself is the authority who should prepare and maintain personal files of the District Educational Officers. I, therefore, submit that it is neither possible, nor desirable to accept the suggestion made to Government.

I have the honour to be,  
Sir,  
Your most obedient servant,

for Director of Public Instruction.



G.A.W./10.8.1946.

E. & P. H. (A)

The G.O. quoted above has been called for from Chittoor and its receipt may be awaited.

*Handwritten notes:*  
18.9.46  
14.9.46

*Handwritten notes:*  
21/7/46

submit the reports on the D.E.O.'s in his concerned jurisdiction thro' the Collectors of report half-yearly to the Divisional Insp. of Schools on the work of the D.E.O. of that district, <sup>concerned who will</sup> that the Divl. Insp. shd. forward that report to the D.P.I. with that remarks and the Dir. should file that reports in the officer's personal files after recording his own opinion. (There is a proposal now under consideration to abolish the posts of Divl. Insps.; the D.P.I. has expressed himself strongly against it. Even if the posts are eventually abolished, the Collrs. may send the reports direct to the D.P.I.)

Public (Special) <sup>Revenue</sup> may see for remarks before circulation.

20.9.46  
21/9/46

I think this is what is being done at present in the Excise department. District Commissioners send reports in duplicate on Excise and then, and here are forwarded to the Dy. Commissioner. Similarly D.S.P.'s send reports in duplicate on their D.P.'s and here are sent to the D.P.'s. The right suggestion that Divisional inspectors should send their reports through to the Dy. Commissioner, who will forward them to the D.P.I. with their remarks.

20.9.46

EDH 202932-A.2  
22.8.46

CNO-4576  
23/9/46

Pub (Spcl)

Revenue

Public (Special) Dept

Submitted <sup>for order whether</sup> that X above may be agreed to in this dept. and the file passed on to Rev. after orders are passed.

There is no objection to X above

20/9/46

CS


11/9/46



I do not think that the Collector can contribute much in regard to assessment of the work of S.E.O.'s. In case of Police, Excise & Engineering, the Collector are much into the work.

Pub (Spcl) O.O  
4576/46/1  
21-10-46

Would not be a problem



The proposal in this file is whether the confidential reports on D.E.O.'s should be sent through the Collectors of the district concerned.

As stated by E.P.H. Secy, confidential reports on Inspectors of Excise are forwarded by the Dist. Commissioners to the concerned Collectors who pass them on to the Dy. Commissioners with their remarks. On this analogy, E.P.H. Secy suggests that Divisional inspectors should send confidential reports on D.E.O.'s through the Collectors, who should forward them with their remarks to the D.P.I. The Chief Secy is not in favour of this suggestion for the reason that while they are in touch with the work of the Excise, Police and Engineering Officer they have no opportunity to assess the work of D.E.O.'s. For order of this Dept. may agree with the Chief Secy. After orders are passed the file may be returned to the D.P.I.

1/10/46

61051



If, however, the suggestion is to be followed, E.P.H. may be requested to obtain the remarks of the Dy. of Rev. which may be consulted before offering its opinion. The file may be returned to E.P.H.

20.10.46

11/9/46

11/9/46

CR-5-b

Considering what was already stated by the  
D.O. and ~~and~~ in view of the former Chief Secy.'s  
note on p3 ante, with which the Rev. Secy. agrees,  
the proposal to ask the Collectors to give their  
remarks on D.E.Os' work may be dropped. /w/  
For orders.

OS  
18-10-46  
25/10/46  
K  
18-X-46

OM  
19/10/46 S

W  
1/11/46

1/11 (Cm.)

TSA

22-10-46



The papers may be -

G.O. (No.) No 2062 Edn., d/ 29/10/46

"Recorded"

OS  
28-10-46  
28-X-46  
K

OM  
29/10/46

Abstract:- N.E.P. -  
Suggestion to  
ask Colls to  
report on the  
work - papers  
recorded.