

Education and Public Health

(194⁶) Department.

MS. SERIES.

G.O. No. 1985 Edn.

Dated 16th Oct. 1946.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Government Press - Sri N. Venkatarama Ayyar - Clerk - Fixation
of seniority - Memorial to His Excellency the Governor -
Rejected.

Back Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
50	700	Edn	1.4.46				

Further Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

C.F. 32.

Department Received Registered
 From (Name) A. Lepper Esq., To
 Designation Superintendent, The Deputy Secretary to Government,
 Government Press, Education & P.H. Department,
 Station Madras, Fort St. George, Madras.

Enclosures:

No. S.B.C. dated 27th September 1946.

Sir,

Sub:- Government Press - Memorial of Sri N. Venkatarama Ayyar - Clerk - to His Excellency the Governor of Madras regarding his Seniority.

In forwarding herewith a memorial from Sri N. Venkatarama Ayyar, clerk of this office to His Excellency the Governor of Madras, Madras, I have the honour to state that this memorial is for reconsideration of the orders issued in para 2 of G.O.No.Ms.700 Edn., dated 1-4-1946 and I have no further remarks to offer other than those already furnished in this office letters No.SBC/Mis dated 24-3-1945 and 31-5-1945, but this much may be stated further that in equity his service in another department of the Government should also be taken into consideration in fixing the seniority even if it be by the relaxation of the rules.

L.S. 26/9

Superintendent.

2

Madras
3.9.46.

To

His Excellency the Governor of Madras

Madras

Through

The Superintendent
Government Press
Madras.

May It Please Your Excellency,

I beg to submit the following memorial to His Excellency against the orders of Government communicated to me in No. S.B.C/46 of the Superintendent Government Press Madras. The facts of the case as set out in my petition dated 28-5-45 to Government may be read as part of the memorial. The point which I specifically want to place before His Excellency is that the ordinary rules relating to services should not be the sole criterion for deciding my case. I respectfully submit that it should be decided on broad principles of equity, justice and fair-play as provided for in the proviso to Section 241(c) of the Government of India Act 1935 and instruction X of Instrument of

When I was taken over in ^(u) Government Press I had put in nearly 20 years of service in the Educational department and His Excellency may kindly consider whether it is equitable to treat me on a footing of equality with the man who joined in the Govt. Press on the day before I joined duty in the Govt. Press. I may be permitted to mention that I cannot go above Rs 58/- per month in spite of 25 years of service whereas in the Educational department in the Subordinate service I would be drawing Rs 60/- per month not to mention the possibility of my aspiring to be promoted as a junior Deputy Inspector of Schools in the Scale of Rs 55-70 for which I am fully qualified since I have passed the Deputy Inspectors Test Part I clerical with Accounts and the test is equivalent to the account test.

I most respectfully state that the service rules are intended to cover ordinary cases but not extraordinary cases like mine. It might be comparatively few. I therefore pray that my case may be decided on the grounds of equity, justice and fair-play.

I beg to remain

His Excellency's most obedient
Servant

When I was taken over the Government Press I had put in nearly 20 years of service in the Educational Department and His Excellency was kindly consider whether it is equitable to treat me on a footing of equality with the men who joined in the Govt. Press on the day before I joined only in the Govt. Press. I may be permitted to mention that I cannot go above Rs 28/- per month in spite of 22 years of service in the Educational Department in the subordinate service I would be drawing Rs 60/- per month not to mention the possibility of my aspiring to be promoted as a Junior Deputy Inspector of Schools in the grade of Rs 70/- for which I am fully qualified since I have passed the Deputy Inspectorate test for I obtained with records such the test is equivalent to the account test.

I most respectfully state that the service rules are intended to cover ordinary cases but not extraordinary cases like mine. It might be comparatively few. I therefore pray that my case may be decided on the grounds of equity, justice and fair-play.

I beg to remain

502-26-2-40.

(5) **Confidential**

SPECIAL.
URGENT.
ORDINARY.

Current No. _____

To


The

Secretary to Government,

Department,

Fort St. George,

MADRAS.

From 
The Superintendent,
Governor's Secretariat,
Ootacamund.

[Faint, illegible handwritten text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

92/161+

in the Govt. Press on the day before I
 Government Press. Sri. N. Venkatarama
 Appur - Clerk - Fixation of Seniority -
 Memorial to H. E. the Governor - Rejected

1-6C-7 C No 57497 In the S.G.P. no S. B.C. of
 28.9.46

Order /ms 1985 For Dy 16 - 10 - 46

eg: psc of (Y) H. E. the Governor declines to
 p 200-2 interfere with the orders already
 p 26 of (U) passed in No. 700 For Dy 1.4.46

To ✓ KCB
 the S.G.P. 14x46
 17/10 14/10
 26.10.46

Government Press - Sri N. Venkataranga Appa - Clerk.
Declaration of Remuneration - Memorial to H.B. the Government.

No. 57497 In the S.G. P. to S.A.C. D. 28.9.46

Sri N. Venkataranga Appa, a Secondary Grade Clerk
has been on the list of the Government Press
Service from 3.10.21 to 10.2.42 on the scale of
Rs 35-35-1 1/2 - 50-1-60/58 was appointed by transfer
as a Lower Division Clerk in the Government Press
by the Superintendent on 11.2.42 in the interest of public
service at a time when no Service Commission
candidates were available and great difficulty
was experienced to get on with the clerical work in
the Government Press. Sri N. Venkataranga Appa requested
that his remuneration should be fixed from date of his
first appointment in Government Service in the
Madras Government Press Service from 3.10.1921.
While the case was being examined by him, it was
found out that the action of the S.G.P. was irregular
in having appointed him (Sri N. V. Appa) from 1.2.42
as he had not undergone probation of two years
as of Madras Rule 34(a)(ii) of the Madras Government
Service Rules. The S.G.P. reported that prior to his
transfer he was holding a post identical with that
of a Lower Div. Clerk and salary was revised in favor
of him by 1.4.46. Referring the Commission as a
L.D. Clerk in Govt Press with effect from 1.12.42 and
he was also informed that his remuneration in the Govt
D.O. cannot be taken into account for his remuneration
= Sri N. V. Appa has now approached him with the
request that his remuneration in the Govt D.O. should be
taken up for consideration and his case should be
favorably considered for the reasons set out in
his petition on pages 3 & 4. The S.G.P. has

12501
11-5-26
11-5-26

12546

F1

the case.

2 The petitioner has sought redress by way of memorial to Govt. The present petition has been submitted through the Head of the Dept viz the S.G.P. The petitioner has availed him self of the remedies provided by the Rules and orders applicable to his case (viz previous petition to Govt).

The petitioner has ~~not~~ been informed that within six months of the order passed by Govt. on his latest petition and it relates to a matter which has not already been decided by H.B. the Governor

for 700 Rs. 1265
16.10.46

4 The file may be sent to the P.S.G. of: p1799V

Kan
5.10.46
Mm
5.10.46

8.10.46

Sp/14

P.S.G. (4.0)

HE directs that the memorial be rejected

J.B. Brown
11.10.46 G.S

EXP No 57497-171km
8.10.46

A draft order is submitted for approval

Kan
14.10.46
Mm
14/10

16.10.46

