

Education and Public Health

close
am

(1946) Department.

Ms. SERIES.

G.O. No. 1848

Dated 24-9-1946.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Establishment-Municipal Council Gadiyattam Sri A. Ulaganathan-
Assistant Municipal High School-Break in service-Condoned-Retraction
of pay-Ordered.

Back Papers

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	

Further Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

CP. 32.

In reply, please quote number, date and short title.



LOCAL ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT.

Received.....Registered.....

From (Name) SRI R. VATRASWAMI PILLAI., B.A.,
(Designation) COMMISSIONER, MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,
Post Box....., (STATION) GUDIYATTAM.

Telegraphic Address.....
To The Secretary to Government, Education and Public
Health Department, Fort St. George, Madras.
Through The Director of Public Instruction, Madras
And The Chairman, Municipal council, Gudiyattam.

Roc. B3. No. 3691/46, dated 27th May 1946.
Sir,

ENCLOSURES

(Two Stubs)

THREE COPIES

Sub: Sri A. Ulaganathan - L.T. Assistant, Municipal
High school, Gudiyattam - Condonation of break
in service and treatment of his service in the
Secondary grade as that put in the L.T. cadre.

Ref: Petition dated 27-5-1946 of Sri A. Ulaganathan.

:::::

I submit the petition dated 27-5-1946 of
Sri A. Ulaganathan, L.T. assistant, Municipal high
school, Gudiyattam regarding certain grievances in
respect of treatment of his service together with
copies of my letter No. 5346/45 dated 4-9-1945 to
the Director of Public Instruction with reference to
a similar petition of the applicant and the proceed-
ings Dis. No. 75/46 dated 5-1-1946 of the Director
of Public Instruction, thereon.

I have explained the circumstances under which
there was a break in service of the petitioner (Vide
my letter No. 5346/45 dated 4-9-1945 to the Director
of Public instruction). As instructed in paragraph 1
of the proceedings of the Director of Public Instruc-
tion referred to above it is not possible to grant him
leave for the period of break, being an acting teacher
belonging to vacation department and having no leave
to his credit.

As regards the second point raised in his peti-
tion, the Director of Public Instruction has decided
that his request cannot be granted.

Regarding the third point, he is eligible for
the vacation salary only for the period from 2-5-1943
to 1-6-1943 as ~~his~~ he was ousted with effect from
2-6-1943, and his successor was paid vacation salary
from 2-6-1943.

R. Vainam 22/5/46.
COMMISSIONER.

21/5/46

Forwarded.

CHAMAN.

DS.27/5.p.

Copy of letter No. 5346/45 dated 4th September 1945 of the Commissioner, Municipal Council, Gudiyattam to the Director of Public Instruction, Madras through the District Educational Officer, North Arcot, Vellore.

Sir,
Sub: ESTABLISHMENT - Sri A. Ulaganathan, Assistant, Municipal high school, Gudiyattam - condonation of break in service - Remarks sent.

Ref: This office No. 2665/45 dated 30-6-1945, and your letter Rc. No. 396 D/45 dated 19-8-1945.

I beg to report that full previous records are not available in this office. From the available records I am offering the following remarks.

Sri A. Ulaganathan, B.A.L.T., assistant, Municipal high school, Gudiyattam was first appointed in the L.T. cadre with effect from 17-8-1942 in one of the two L.T. posts created consequent on opening of two additional sections in the higher forms in the Municipal high school, Gudiyattam. Sri T.S. Malayappan, B.A.L.T., who was working in a Secondary grade vacancy without any probationary right in the L.T. cadre submitted an appeal petition to the Director of Public Instruction, Madras contending that he ought to have been appointed in the L.T. vacancy in preference to Sri A. Ulaganathan. On the appeal petition the Director of Public Instruction, Madras in his proceedings Dis. No. 2287/43 dated 26-5-1943 - copy enclosed - passed orders in favour of the appellant and hence Sri A. Ulaganathan was ousted from service from 2-6-1943 appointing the appellant in that L.T. post. Subsequently, on the proceedings of the Director of Public Instruction on the appeal petition, the Chairman, Municipal Council put up a note to the council up-holding the claims of Sri A. Ulaganathan for appointment in the L.T. vacancy and the same was considered by the council in its resolution No. 203 dated 20-7-1943 and the note of the Chairman was unanimously approved. The council also selected 3 members of the council to wait on a deputation with the Government in the matter. The resolution of the council was communicated to the Government for orders. Government in their order No. Ms. 1421 Edn., dated 23-x-1943 agreed with the council that Sri T.S. Malayappan could not claim an appointment in the L.T. cadre. It seems that by the time the orders of the Government were passed in favour of Sri A. Ulaganathan, one of the two L.T. posts created was abolished for want of necessary strength at the beginning of the school year 1943-44. Hence he could not be appointed in the L.T. vacancy unless his seniority over another temporary L.T. vacancy unless his seniority over another temporary L.T. assistant, Mr. Valiullah Shah Ameer was decided. The District Educational Officer, Vellore, in his letter Rc. No. 17074/43 dated 15-4-1944, to whom this matter was referred had stated that Sri A. Ulaganathan's claims over Mr. Valiullah Shah Ameer deserved to be established and given effect to. Accordingly Sri A. Ulaganathan was appointed as L.T. assistant in the Municipal high school, Gudiyattam from 28-4-1944 ousting Mr. Valiullah Shah Ameer, who was a junior. While all these questions were under consideration, Sri A. Ulaganathan was working as Secondary grade assistant in the Municipal high school from 9-7-1943 to 27-4-1944 the date previous to his re-appointment in the L.T. cadre. The petitioner's contention is that had it not been for the proceedings of the Director of Public Instruction, Dis. No. 2287/43 dated 26-5-1943 which was subsequently cancelled by the Director of Public Instruction himself in his proceedings Rc. No. 463/S/44 dated 27-3-1944 with reference to G.O. No. Ms. 1421 Edn., dated 23-10-1943, he would not have been ousted from the L.T. vacancy and would have put up a continuous service without

without any break from 17-8-1942 to this date. He further contends that his services were broken from 2-6-1943 to 8-7-1943 for no fault on his part and this may be condoned. In their order No. Ms. 1421 Edn., P.H., dated 23-x-1943, Government have not stated anything as to how the period during which he was out of L.T. cadre should be treated. The request of the petitioner to condone the break in service from 2-6-1943 to 9-7-43 and counting his service in the Secondary grade from 9-7-1943 to 28-4-1944 as that in the L.T. cadre deserves favourable consideration in the circumstances stated above. The orders of Government may kindly be solicited.

With reference to para 9 of the petition separate action is being taken for the payment of salary to which the teacher is eligible.

SD. R. Vairaswami pillai.,
Commissioner.

(True copy)

Copy of the proceedings No. Dis. 75/46 dated 5-1-1946 of the Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

Petition - Sri A. Ulaganathan, assistant, Municipal high school, Gudiyattam - Condonation of break in service and treatment of his service in the Secondary grade as that put in the L.T. cadre - Orders passed.

Read: (i) Petition dated 25-4-1945 from Sri A. Ulaganathan.
(ii) Letter D.Dis. No. 5346/45 dated 4-9-1945 from the Commissioner, Gudiyattam Municipality.
and (iii) Endorsement Rc. No. 764 B/45 dated 12-12-1945 of the Divisional Inspector of Schools, Coimbatore Division.

In regard to the Condonation of break in the service of the petitioner, the Commissioner may consider whether it is not possible to grant him leave for the period. If it is not, he may address Government.

2. The petitioner may be informed that his request to treat his service in the Secondary grade from 9-7-1943 to 27-4-1944 as that put in the L.T. cadre cannot be granted.

3. As regards his request for the arrears of pay due to him, the commissioner may inform him in terms of his remarks on the last para of his letter dated 4-9-1945 read above.

T. Suryanarayana,
For Director of Public Instruction
(True copy)

Municipal Office,
Gudiyattam, 27-5-1946.

DS.27/5.p.

COMMISSIONER.

The petition of Sri.A. Ulaganathan, B.A., L.T., assistant, Municipal High School, Gudiyattam is forwarded with the following remarks:

The circumstances under which there was a break in the service of the petitioner and why he served for some period in the secondary grade cadre in the same high school are fully brought out by the petitioner in his petitions and by the commissioner in his note to the Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

The first appointment of Ulaganathan in the L.T. cadre was made on 17-8-42 by the appointments committee of this Municipal Council, in accordance with the existing rules. But the Director of Public Instruction on a memorial submitted by Sri.T.S. Malayappan (who was no probationer in the L.T. cadre) an assistant in the secondary cadre against the appointment of Ulaganathan, passed arbitrary orders in his proceedings Dis No.2287/43 dated 26-5-43 advising the commissioner of this Municipality to appoint in the L.T. cadre T.S. Malayappan, ousting Ulaganathan.

On the resolution of this council pertaining to the advice of the D.P.I. the Government was pleased to revise the orders of the Director of Public Instruction establishing the appointment of Ulaganathan in the L.T. cadre and stating that there was no justification to interfere in this appointment by passing ~~the~~ in their G.O. No.MS 1421 Edn. dated 23-10-'43 which also stated that T.S. Malayappan could not claim an appointment in the L.T. cadre.

Ulaganathan was ousted from service in the L.T. cadre on 2-6-43 and he was appointed afresh on 9-7-1943 in the secondary grade cadre for no fault of his. But for the orders of Director of Public Instruction based on no rules and which fact was recognised by Government later on, the petitioner would have continued in the service in the L.T. cadre without either a break of service in the secondary grade cadre. Hence the illegal orders of the Director of Public Instruction caused undue hardship to the petitioner and so his grievances are real and long standing. And as a result of these, his increment was affected. His requests, therefore, for condonation of the break in service in the L.T. cadre and to treat the service in the secondary grade cadre as that in the L.T. cadre are justifiable. Why the D.P.I. who was pleased to grant condonation as that in the L.T. cadre is not comprehensible. Hence I request that the Hon'ble Minister for Education may be pleased to recognise the genuineness of the case and pass such orders as to redress the real grievances of the petitioner, A.Ulaganathan.

Although he was ousted from service on 2-6-1943, he is eligible for vacation salary from 2-6-43 to 15-6-'43 the date of re-opening of the school as per G.O. The fact that Malayappan was paid the vacation salary for the period could not be an acceptable reason for the non-payment of the same to Ulaganathan.

Hence the Government is requested to pass favourable orders for all the three requests of the petitioner.

T. V. D. Vaidyanami.
CHAIRMAN, 30.5.46
Municipal Council,
GUDIYATTAM.

⊗ If the break in service could not affect the service in the Secondary Cadre.

The petition of Sri.A. Ulaganathan, B.A., L.T., assistant, Municipal High School, Gudiyattam is forwarded with the following remarks:

The circumstances under which there was a break in the service of the petitioner and why he served for some period in the secondary grade cadre in the same high school are fully brought out by the petitioner in his petitions and by the commissioner in his note to the Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

The first appointment of Ulaganathan in the L.T. cadre was made on 17-8-42 by the appointments committee of this Municipal Council, in accordance with the existing rules. But the Director Of Public Instruction on a memorial submitted by Sri.T.S. Malayappan (who was no probationer in the L.T. cadre) an assistant in the secondary cadre against the appointment of Ulaganathan, passed arbitrary orders in his proceedings Dis No.2287/43 dated 26-5-43 advising the commissioner of this Municipality to appoint in the L.T. cadre T.S. Malayappan, ousting Ulaganathan.

On the resolution of this council pertaining to the advice of the D.P.I. the Government was pleased to revise the orders of the Director of Public Instruction establishing the appointment of Ulaganathan in the L.T. cadre and stating that there was no justification to interfere in this appointment by passing ~~the~~ ~~in~~ their G.O. No. MS 1421 Edn. dated 23-10-'43 which also stated that T.S. Malayappan could not claim an appointment in the L.T. cadre.

Ulaganathan was ousted from service in the L.T. cadre on 2-6-43 and he was appointed afresh on 9-7-1943 in the secondary grade cadre for no fault of his. But for the orders of Director of Public Instruction based on no rules and which fact was recognised by Government later on, the petitioner would have continued in the service in the L.T. cadre without either a break of service in the secondary grade cadre. Hence the illegal orders of the Director of Public Instruction caused undue hardship to the petitioner and so his grievances are real and long standing. And as a result of these, his increment was affected. His requests, therefore, for condonation of the break in service in the L.T. cadre and to treat the service in the secondary grade cadre as that in the L.T. cadre are justifiable. Why the D.P.I. who was pleased to grant condonation as that in the L.T. cadre is not comprehensible. Hence I request that the Hon'ble Minister for Education may be pleased to recognise the genuineness of the case and pass such orders as to redress the real grievances of the petitioner, A. Ulaganathan.

Although he was ousted from service on 2-6-1943, he is eligible for vacation salary from 2-6-43 to 15-6-'43 the date of re-opening of the school as per G.O. The fact that Malayappan was paid the vacation salary for the period could not be an acceptable reason for the non-payment of the same to Ulaganathan.

Hence the Government is requested to pass favourable orders for all the three requests of the petitioner.

U. V. D. Vasuvarani.
CHAIRMAN, 30.5.46
Municipal Council,
GUDIYATTAM.

⊙ If the break in service could not treat
the service in the secondary cadre.

From

A. Ulaganathan, B.A., M.L.T.,
Assistant,
Municipal High School, Gudiyattam.

To

The Commissioner,
Gudiyattam Municipality.

Through

The Headmaster,
Municipal High School, Gudiyattam.

Sir,

Ref: - My petition dated 25-4-1945 -- Proceedings of the
Director of Public Instruction Dis.No.75/46 d/5-1-46--
Your Memorandum B.3-L.Dis.300/46 dated 18-1-1946 --
Request to move the government in the matter submitted.

1. In the light of your memorandum referred to above and the proceedings of the Director of Public Instruction - a copy of which I have the honour to receive from you, - I submit the following for your kind consideration and early action.

2. The Director of Public Instruction in his proceedings referred to above laid down under para 1, that "In regard to the condonation of break in the service of the petitioner, the commissioner may consider whether it is not possible to grant him leave for the period. If it is not he may address Government". Stating thus the Director of Public Instruction has been pleased to point out that my grievances are real and hence the break in service notified in my petition dated 25-4-45 deserves condonation. Therefore I request you to be kind enough to condone the said break in service so far as I have earned leave upto the date of my ousting on 2-6-1943 and to write to Government to condone the period still remaining in the break.

3. My request for treating my service in the secondary grade cadre in the same high school, from 9-7-1943 to 28-4-1944 as that in the L.T.cadre is not granted by the Director of Public Instruction. I once again submit that I was ousted from service in the L.T.cadre for no fault of mine and that I accepted a job in the secondary cadre only in the fond hope that justice would be meted out to me at least in the long run. Now that the proceedings of the Director of Public Instruction referred to above have done me justice only in part, and hence I pray you to be kind enough to approach the Government for the redress of my long standing grievance.

4. With regard to my request for the vacation salary you have in your proceedings L.Dis.4076/45 dated 5-4-46 sanctioned for the payment of salary from 1-5-43 to 2-6-43 only and said nothing with regard to my request for the proportionate salary from 2-6-43 the date of my ousting to 14-6-1943 the date of reopening of the school according to G.O.No.1881 Edn.dated 18-8-1939. Hence I request that favourable orders may kindly be passed for the payment of proportionate salary as per G.O.notd above considering my service from 17-8-1942 to 2-6-1943.

I beg to remain,
Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Gudiyattam, |
D/29--4--1946. |

A. Ulaganathan

Copy submitted to the Secretary to Government Education department to avoid delay along with the following copies:---

(PTO)

- 1). My petition dated 25-4-1945.
- 2). Proceedings of the Director of Public Instruction Dis.No.75/46 dated 5-1-1946.
- 3). Commissioner's Memorandum B.3-L.Dis.300/46 dated 18-1-1946.
- 4). Commissioner's Memorandum L.Dis.4076/45 dated 5-4-1946.

em No 110/46

Submitted to the

Municipal Commissioner,
Gudiyattam for Sympathetic Consideration

Nathan

Head-master,
Municipal High School,
Gudiyattam.

3. My request for treating my services in the secondary grade order in the same high school, from 9-7-1945 to 28-4-1946 as that in the L.T. cadre is not granted by the Director of Public Instruction. I once again submit that I was ousted from service in the L.T. cadre for no fault of mine and that I accepted a job in the secondary cadre only in the fond hope that justice would be meted out to me at least in the long run. Now that the proceedings of the Director of Public Instruction referred to above have done me justice only in part, and hence I pray you to be kind enough to approach the Government for the redress of my long standing grievance.

4. With regard to my request for the vacation salary you have in your proceedings L.Dis.4076/45 dated 5-1-1946 sanctioned for the payment of salary from 1-8-45 to 8-8-45 only and said nothing with regard to my request for the proportionate salary from 8-8-45 the date of my ousting to 14-8-1945 the date of reopening of the school according to G.O. 1881 dated 18-8-1945. Hence I request that favourable orders may kindly be passed for the payment of proportionate salary as per G.O. noted above considering my service from 14-8-1945 to 8-8-1946.

Office of the Director of Public Instruction, Madras.
Re.No.1068 G/46 dated 19-6-1946.

Municipal High School, Gudiyattam - Sri A. Ulaganathan,
Assistant in the - Condonation of break in his service
and treatment of his service in the secondary grade cadre
as that put in the L.T.cadre.etc.

Ref. Letter No. B3-3691/46 dated 27-5-46 from the Commissioner
Gudiyattam Municipality.

Submitted to Government for orders.



for Director of Public Instruction
the Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department,
Madras.

k.h.20-6.

20/6

52/24/9

10

Exon (101)

Establishment - Municipal Council Gudiyattam
Sri. A. Ulaganathan - Assistant Municipal High
School - Break in Service - Condoned - Refutation
of pay - Ordered

From the Commissioner Gudiyattam Municipality
Res. A.3. No 3691/46 8.27.5.46

From the D.P. J. Res. 1068 G/46 8.19.6.46

23/9/46

Order no 1848 Edu. D. 24. 9. 46

The Govt direct that the break in the
Service of Sri A. Ulaganathan, Assistant
Municipal High School, Gudiyattam, from 2.6.43
to 8.7.43. be condoned as a special case and that
the period be treated as duty in the d.T. Cadre without any
claim for pay.

2. The requests of the teacher (1) that his
service as secondary grade teacher from 8.7.43

Establishment - Municipal Council, Gudiyattam - Sri A. Ulaganathan - Assistant, Municipal High School - Condonation of break in service - Counting of service in the Secondary Grade as service in the L.T. cadre.

From the Commissioner, Gudiyattam Municipality, Rec.B.3 No. 3691/46 dated 27-5-46.
From the D.P.I. Re.No.1068-G/46 dated 19-6-46.

Please see the petition of Sri A. Ulaganathan, Assistant Municipal High School, Gudiyattam, on p.7 c.f. and the remarks of the Commissioner, Gudiyattam Municipality thereon on p.1. c.f.

2. Sri A. Ulaganathan was first appointed as an L.T. Assistant in the M.L. High School, Gudiyattam, by direct recruitment, with effect from 17-8-42 in one of ~~xxx~~ two newly created L.T. posts. Sri T.S. Malayappan, a secondary grade teacher, petitioned to the D.P.I. against the appointment. The Director upheld the claims of Sri Malayappan and directed that he should be appointed in the place of Ulaganathan. The latter was therefore ousted from service on 2-6-43. He was appointed as a secondary grade teacher from 9-7-43. On the representation of the Municipal Council, the Govt. ordered in G.O.No.1421 Edn., dated 23-10-43 that the action of the Appointment Committee in having appointed Sri A. Ulaganathan, an outsider, in the L.T. vacancy in preference to Sri T.S. Malayappan was in order and requested the D.P.I. to withdraw his proceedings in favour of Sri T.S. Malayappan. He did so in his proceedings dated 463/S/44 dated 27-3-44 (after a lapse of 5 months). Sri A. Ulaganathan was actually appointed as L.T. Assistant from 28.4.44 ousting his junior Mr. Valiullah Shah Ameer, as the question of seniority between the two had to be decided. There was thus a break in the service of A. Ulaganathan under the Municipal council from 2-6-43 to 8-7-43 both days inclusive and in the L.T. cadre from 2-6-43 to 27-4-44. He now contends that had it not been for the irregular proceedings of the D.P.I. upholding the claims of Malayappan for promotion to the L.T. vacancy, he would not have been ousted and his service in

to 27.4.44 ~~was as a secondary grade teacher~~ he treated as service in the L.T. cadre and (2) that he may be permitted to draw as a special case vacation pay for the period from 2.6.43 to 8.7.43 cannot be complied with. The Govt direct that the teachers' pay in the L.T. scale be referred now with reference to the pay he would ~~have drawn~~ had he continued as L.T. Assistant from 2.6.43 to 27.4.1944 with no claim to arrears of pay.

To
The Commissioner
Gudiyattam
Municipality
through the chairman
D.P.I.
Enr of L. Effects

in the L.T. cadre would have been continuous from 17-8-42 up to now. He therefore requests

- (1) that the break in his service from 2-6-43 to 8.7.43 (both days inclusive) may be condoned;
- (2) that his service as secondary grade teacher from 9-7-43 to 27-4-44 both days inclusive may be counted as service in the L.T. cadre; and
- (3) that he may be paid vacation salary from 2-6-43 to 8.7.43.

3. Attention is solicited to the principle suggested for condonation of ~~service~~ break in service on p.5 n.f. of G.O.No.280 Edn., dated 23-2-44. According to that principle, the case of Sri A.Ulaganathan cannot be considered, as he had put in a service of about 6 months only, at the time he was ousted but as he was discharged ~~as he was ousted~~ in consequence of the D.P.I.'s order which was subsequently withdrawn; the break in service from 2-6-43 to 8-7-43 (both days inclusive) may be condoned, as a special case, and the period may be treated as duty, as no leave is admissible to him.

4. The D.P.I. has already declined to comply with the petitioner's request that his service from 9-7-43 to 27-4-44 as secondary grade teacher may be treated as one rendered in the L.T. cadre. The petitioner's contention ~~is~~ that he would have continued in the L.T. cadre without a break but for the orders of the D.P.I. interfering with his appointment is not questioned. He could also have been appointed as L.T. Assistant earlier, had the D.P.I. withdrawn his proceedings as soon as G.O.No. 1431 Edn., dated 23-10-1943 was issued. It seems therefore that the Assistant should not be penalised for the delay in giving effect to the Govt. orders. Even though the request as such cannot be complied with, there seems to be no objection to the pay in the L.T. cadre being ~~refixed~~ ^{fixed} now with reference to the pay he would have drawn had he not been disturbed in June 1943, no arrears of pay need be allowed.

5. In regard to the claim for vacation salary, the Commissioner points out that the petitioner is eligible for salary only for the period from 2-5-43 to 1-6-43 as he was ousted from 2-6-43. But if the period of break in service is condoned and is treated as duty as proposed, the petitioner would ~~have~~ become eligible for salary for that period also.

The remarks of the Examiner may be invited u.o. as to whether there is any objection to the proposal.

Had it not been for the D.P.I.'s order which was subsequently withdrawn

with drawn under which in form 200.
In Ulaganathan would have retained his post in the L.T. Cadre right from his beginning i.e. from the date of his first appointment (17.8.42).

Requests (1) & (2) are therefore legitimate and should reasonably be granted. A Committee may be laid down to see he with not be granted any amount of pay than may be due to him. Consideration of his request.

Request (3), if granted, will introduce complications. The Committee says that he is eligible for his leave salary only for his period from 2.5.43 to 1.6.43 as from 2.6.43 his successor had been paid vacation salary.

Two persons cannot draw salary in respect of the same post for the same period. He may be granted only such salary as he is eligible for. The Exr may be consulted.

ELPH
33386-1 B122
10.7.46



quite so
10.7.46
Exr. of L.T. with

Examiner's Office.

Just as in the case of an officer dismissed and reinstated on appeal, the period for which he was out of employ can be treated as on duty and full pay allowed therefor without prejudice to the officiating arrangements made in his place and pay drawn therein (vide Instruction No.3 to F.R.54) and it is open to Government to permit drawal of vacation pay from the 2nd June 1943 to the 8th July 1943 as a special case notwithstanding the drawal of it by the then incumbent of the post. But as the requirements of Sri. A.Ulaganathan's case would appear to be amply met by

P.T.O.

4
B
met by grant of requests (1) and (2), the grant of request No.(3) does not appear to be quite necessary and such a decision would also be in line with the grant of request No.(2) for which also arrears are not proposed to be allowed. Also in regard to request No.(2) the period may be modified as 2-6-1943 to 27-4-44 in order that the period from 2-6-1943 to 8-7-1943 may also count for increment in the L.T.Scale, and this will be a change consequential to the decision regarding request No.(3) as proposed above.

In the result, the period for 2-6-1943 to 8-7-1943 may be treated as on duty, and the period from 2-6-1943 to 27-4-44 allowed to count for increment in the L.T.Scale, with no claim to arrears of pay in either case. Request No.3 may be refused.

PM R
A.S. 46

E & P.H. u.o.

Examiner's U.O. No. 213-1 dated 2-9-46



E & P.H (BI)

Please see the remarks of the Ex. of d. 7-A above. The Ex.'s suggestion in para 3 above may be accepted. A draft order is submitted for consideration.

20/9/46
b.p.o.

20/9/46
20/9/46

21/8/46

f. B. p. u.

11/9/46
20/9/46