Education and Public Health (13

s. SERIES.

G.O. No. 1204 edn

Dated 18-6-46.

1-37

[Despatch Abstract.]

Stationery Office-Unpassed clerks-Exemption to rise to the maximum of their scales of pay-Declined.

				70	621	Pub(Ser)	26.3.41
	668		12.7.44	〃	1023	Edn	3.5.38
〃	3874	Dev	30-11-43	〃	278	〃	8.2.37
〃	3604	〃	12-5-43	〃	409	Pub(Ser)	12.5.33
〃	1274	Edn	9-9-42	〃	1082	〃	23.12.26
〃	1028	PH	20-3-42	〃	784	Pub	17-8-26
〃	1027	PH	20-3-42	〃	604	L(Edn)	16.4.26
〃	2705	Pub(Ser)	25-11-41	〃	534	Edn	18-5-15

Further Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

MADRAS

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education & Public Health Department,
Fort St., George, Madras,

Through:-

The Superintendent of Stationery,
Madras.

Sir,

1 I beg to bring the following few lines for your kind and favourable consideration.

2 I was recruited as a clerk in the Stationery Office in 1923 by the Staff Selection Board in the scale of Rs.40-40-1½-55-1-65 and I was confirmed in the year 1927. I was exempted from the general educational qualifications required for the post of a clerk by the Government in their order No.1082 Miscellaneous, Public dated 23rd December 1926 as I served His Majesty's Forces in Persia as a clerk for a period of over three years. During the period of my acting appointment of 4 years in the Stationery Office, I worked for more than two years in the Revenue Department also.

3 I may also add here that I belong to an illustrious family of Muslims who have served His Majesty's Forces in various capacities. To begin with, I may state that my father was a Realdar Major Sardar Bahadur, Hony! Captain & A. D.C. in the 28th Light Cavalry (3rd Madras Lancers) and my elder brother was a Lieut., in the 7th Lancers during the recent war and my four uncles were Resaldar Majors and two N.C.Os. Not to speak of these, I may add that one of my uncles who was attached in the Indian Infantry at Africa was awarded the Sanad in the Great War of 1914 by order of

E. the Viceroy in recognition of services rendered by him and as a mark of approbation. He appealed to the Government of India, Army Department, Simla dated 17-10-1936 that his nephew, the humble petitioner, who loyally served the British Crown, may be promoted as an Upper division clerk. Accordingly the Government of Madras, Education & Public Health Department in their Endt. No.4628-B-1 dated 12-11-1936 called for remarks on his petition. The then Manager, Sri A.Munuswami Pillai, who was not well disposed towards me and bore a grudge against me since the time when he was a Store keeper and myself acting as his assistant, misrepresented the facts to the Superintendent and stated that the work turned out by me was only of a routine nature such as despatch work, telephone work, packing, posting etc., and that I was not fit to hold a clerk's post in the Upper division. Later I was informed that I must show improvement in my work before I could be recommended for further promotion or to cross the efficiency Bar. In G.O. No. M. S. 1274 Education dated 9-9-1942, Government ordered that I should qualify myself for promotion to higher posts in the Revenue department to cross the efficiency bar and reach the maximum scale of pay by passing the departmental test. In this connection, I beg to submit that the Government ordered in 1939 the amalgamation of this office with the other offices under the control of the Collector of Madras for purpose of promotion etc. But none of the members of this office thought that they will be required to pass the tests prescribed for promotion in the Revenue department at any rate in the last stage of our services; otherwise we would have tried to get through the examination at an early age. I was also under the impression that the existing staff would not be affected by the orders for promotion to upper division. In G. O. No. 2929 Public (Services) Department, dated 5-10-1944 the Government threw open to unpassed candidates in service the examination prescribed for group IV in G.O. No. 2705 Public (Services) Department, dated 25-11-1941. It was stated that if the unpassed clerks appear and qualify [illegible] examination, then they will be considered to be equal to the passed candidates and fit for promotion and transfer. I beg to submit that a clerk who has served for more than 22 years cannot hope to get through the examinations as required in the G.O. and if at all, he qualifies himself he cannot hope to attain a higher rank and get promotion early as so many young men with higher educational qualifications appear for the examination and get higher rank. Moreover I beg to submit that two lower division clerks of the Madras Taluk Office, viz., Mr. Munawar Sahib and Sri Chokalaingam Chettiar were allowed to cross the efficiency bar and a lower division clerk of the Stationery Officer viz., Mr. Raza Hussain was also promoted as upper division clerk. These clerks neither possessed the required educational qualifications nor did they render any service in the Military department to support their cause and yet they have been exempted from passing the departmental examination. Till the beginning of 1945, a number of upper division clerks were working in the Lower division and lower division clerks were made to work in upper division on account of their ability and for myself a lower division clerk was working as Record keeper, an upper division ~~seat~~ post. The Assistant to the store-keeper was a lower division ~~seat~~ post and an upper division clerk was working in that seat for the last 4 years. The post of Assistant store-keeper has recently been declared as an upper division one and one Mr. Achuthan who during his service of 24 years in this office had never worked in any upper division seat or in any correspondence section of the lower division, has directly been posted to this seat in spite of the fact that I worked in this seat efficiently for more than 5 years before the gentleman took charge. I am working now as Godown clerk immediatel under the store-keeper and doing the responsible work of checking incoming and outgoing consignments. I have to attend office [illegible] 0 A.M. and leave office at 6 P.M. daily to muster the coolies etc., for work at 10-30 A.M. and also check and close the muster for the day at 5-30 P.M. and to attend to other work connected with the closing of the Stores

In reply to my petition dated 4-1-1945 for promoting me as an upper division clerk I was informed in Memo. No. A.1.3330/44 dated 14-3-1945 that appointment as upper division clerks is ~~more~~ made by selection and not by mere seniority. I beg to state that this is not the correct ~~procedure~~ position. Under the rules where seniority and merit are both equal, seniority must be taken into account. My petition dated 4-1-1945 was rejected merely on the ground that I was not considered suitable for the post.

The fact that I had worked as Assistant store-keeper for more than 5 years to the entire satisfaction of my superiors is sufficient to show that I am fit to hold the post of Assistant store keeper. Added to this I have worked also as Record keeper which is an upper division seat. The then Manager of the office Sri A. Munuswami Pillai represented in 1936 that I was unfit to hold an upper division seat merely due to caprice and illwill towards me. In the circumstances stated above I request that I may be appointed as Assistant store-keeper. In the alternative I may be appointed as an upper division clerk at least for the duration of the war taking my previous services in the upper division post and my war service into account. In G.O. No. 621 1941 public, dated 26-3-1945 the Government laid down certain principals for appointing persons to the Madras Ministerial Service by transfer. I request that the same principals may be applied in my case for appointing me to the upper division post though mine is not exactly a case of transfer.

9

I beg to remain,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

2.7.45.

5

B/D.S

STATIONERY OFFICE (MADRAS) AT GUINDY, SAIDAPET P.O.

Endorsement No.D.Dis.4415/45 dated 3-10-45.

44941

Forwarded. Put up into

4

2) The petitioner is an unpassed candidate and cannot be promoted beyond the stage of Rs.55/-, the limit of exemption. He has not since qualified himself by passing any of the tests prescribed in order to entitle him for promotion to higher posts.

3) In the absence of sufficient grounds to recommend exemption for promotion to Upper Division clerk's post, I do not recommend his case for the promotion requested for in his petition.

23-12-26

9-OCT 1945

for Superintendent.

3/10/45.

SGK.3-10.
To The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department, Fort St.George, Madras.

16 18/11 S 18/11

28-11-45

Ed. H. Dept

B

p1-4 cf

p5 cf

C. No. 44941 – from the Petitioner, petition d. 2.7.45.
From the S. of S., Endt. No. D. Dis. 4415/45 d. 3.10.45.

Subject

Stationery Office – Unpassed clerk A. G. Dastagir Sahib – Request for promotion to upper division grade.

Memo No. 44941 B/45-1 Edn. d. 9/11/45

Ap 9/11/45

The petitioner refers in his petition to the case of two L.D. clerks of the Madras Taluk Office viz., ~~the~~ Munawar Sahib and Sri Chokkalingam Chettiar who ~~did~~ do not possess the required educational qualifications but ~~were~~ have been allowed to cross the efficiency bar in their scale of pay and ~~to~~ the case of Raza Hussain Sahib a L.D. clerk of the Stationery Office who does not possess the required edl. qualfns. but ~~was~~ has been promoted as ~~the~~ upper division clerk. The S. of S. is requested to offer his remarks on the above statements of the petitioner.

and to ~~quote the relevant papers concerning those clerks~~

He ~~is~~ also ~~requested to forward the confdl. sheet of the~~ Petitioner for reference

7/11/45
USH
8/11/45

Despd with encl to The Supdt of Sta
KN
12-11-45

Note:— The S of S has not offered his remarks on portion marked 'A' in the petition on p3 cf. These may be called for. The remarks of the S of S on the work & conduct of the petitioner in 1942 are at p7 of G.01274 Edn d. 9.9.1942. The confdl. sheet of the Petitioner may perhaps be called for for reference.

7/11/45
USH
8/11/45

in official Memorandum No. 56.22/...
d. 12-9-40

Yours sincerely,

To
Lt. Col. C. M. Ganapathy M.C., I.M.S.
Director of Public Health.

Recd by Van Post Messenger

SMM
7.11.40

FROM C.W.Tremenheere Esq., M.B.E.,
Supdt. of Stationery (Madras)
at Guindy, Saidapet P.O.

To The Deputy Secretary
ment, Education & P.H.
Department, Madras.

6

28 NOV

No. A2-4881/45 dated 21-11-45.

Sir,

Stationery Office - Unpassed clerk A.G.Dastagir Sahib - Request for promotion to Upper Division post - Government Memo 44941/45-1 Education (Education & P.H.) Department D/-9-11-45.

Mahomed Raza Hussain Mayal, late clerk of the Stationery Office was exempted from the Provisions of Article of 1 of the Public Service notification for promotion to the Upper Division of the clerical service in G.O.No.624 Law (Education) Department dated 16-4-1926.

P.T.O.

54215/47-Sante

(E)

Called for

-2-

I have addressed the Collector of Madras, to-day to quote relevant papers direct to Government in which orders were passed, permitting Munawar Sahib and Chockalingam Chettiar to cross the efficiency bar. The confidential sheet of A.G.Dastagir Sahib the petitioner, is sent herewith in a sealed cover.

for Superintendent.

Ex PH Dept (B)

Report about 'A' above may be awaited till 18.12.45

30/11/45

SCR.21.11.

(TRUE COPY).

'Lushington Garden'
Saidapet,
30th October 1920.

J. Abdulla Khan Sahib, son of the late Subadar Jamal Khan Sahib of the late 36th Madras Native Infantry is know to me for some years. He comes out of a very respectable military family. I understand through his testimonials that he has done acting duty during the late "Great War". a promising young man of excellent character and deserve every encouragement. I shall be glad if his future career is bri

Sd/- H. Karimulla,
31-10-1920.
Collector and District Magist
Chingleput District.

Port Engineer's

I have found P. C. & C. No. 963 accounts clerk Abdull a very willing and obliging clerk.

I understand he is returning to India to take up the posi tion he before the war and I hope he may be successful in promotion when a vacancy occurs.

4 - 2 - 1921.

Sd/- Herbert
Constr

Office of the
Harmil,

Certify that P. C. and C. No. 963 Accounts clerk Khan worked under me as a Personal and Costing Clerk for of over two years, during which period he has given faction. He is attentive, intelligent, worker.

Sd/- R.
Port

During the last month of his service Mr. Abdulla ed under me in the same capacity as above and I Port Engineer's remarks regarding him.

DISCHARGE CERTIFICA

To All Officers, Civil and Military, whom it may [illegible]

This is to certify that [illegible] No. [illegible] clerk Abdulla Khan is discharged from Fort [illegible] on Servancy by reason of time expired. He served in the [illegible] Department [illegible] years [illegible]

His rate of pay was Rs. 73-5-4 and overseas allowance Rs. 36-10-8. He may be re-engaged if required.

He has received a just, full and true account of all [illegible] pay, arrears of pay and other demands from the Government of India from the date of his engagement/enrolment to the date [illegible] to this present date, the hand of [illegible] appears by his receipt herein given.

8

Sd/- C. [illegible]

Dated at Karachi
the 17-7-1921.

DESCRIPTIVE ROLL.

Name.	Father's name.	Village or town.				
Abdulla Khan.	Jamal Khan.	Portuguese Street, Mint Buildings.	Chingleput.	37	86" Linear scar.	Le

38696

9

Madras,

20th December 1945.

From
J. Abdullah Khan,
Clerk,
Stationery Office,
Madras @ Guindy.

24 DEC 1[illegible]

To
The ~~Chief~~ Secretary,
Education and Public Health Dept.,
Government of Madras,
MADRAS.

THRO' THE SUPDT. OF STATIONERY, MADRAS.

Sir,

I beg to state that I am one of the clerks in the Stationery office, Guindy, having put in a permanent service of about 27 years with satisfaction and I have also served during the late Great War 1914, for about 3 years at Mesopatomia and all my fore-fathers have rendered meritorious services in the Military with great zeal and honour (copy of the geneology list and copies of the true testimonials are enclosed for your kind perusal.)

I was exempted in the grade of 40 to 65, but the increment was stopped at Rs.55/- in the year 1935. The Government in their several G.Os. have ordered to consider and grant increments to persons who have served during the late Great war. But no consideration was given to me up till now though I was the only clerk who proceeded to the late Great War, foregoing the peace and luxury of the civil life, from this office, while all the other clerks of this office did not leave their seats and they have been promoted to higher posts.

Now I have to point out that one Mr. Samuel and another Mr. Abdul Rahaman Sahib, both unpassed candidates in the office of the Director of Public Health, Madras, both having war service, were ~~promoted~~ exempted from possessing the necessary qualification and were allowed to rise to the maximum of Rs.65/- in the grade and also allowed to hold upper division posts. (Vide G.O.Ms.784, P.H. dated 16-8-1926 and G.O.No. Ms. 1027 P.H. dated 20-3-42. One Mr. Pariaswamy Mudaliar (an unpassed candidate) in the Registration Department has also been exempted to hold the post of Sub Registrar. (Vide G.O. No.409 Public (Services) Department, dated 12-5-1933. He is also a War Service Candidate.

One Mr. Md.Raza Hussain and Sri A. Chokkalinga Chetty and Mr. Md. Munawar who were working in the Collector's and Stationery offices (unpassed men) have been exempted and allowed to rise up to Rs.65/- in the lower grade and the first named personel held up the Upper Divn. post and reached the maximum and retired. These three persons are not War Service candidates and still they were promoted.

Under the above represented facts, I pray that the Government will be pleased to consider my War Service and allow me to reach the maximum of Rs.65/- as I am in the retiring stage being put in a service of above 27 years.

Praying for sympathetic consideration and favourable Orders,

~~I have the honour~~,
I beg to r
Sir
Your most obedi

Copy submiited in advance to the Secretary to Government, Edn.& P.H.Dept., Madras.

Copy

The petitions from pp 11-15 above are similar to
[illegible] and may be dealt with together. Since the pe
[illegible] thro the Se
[illegible] in advance copies

26

Ed & PH Deptt

Subject.

Stationery Office - Un passed clerk A.G. Dastagir Sahib - Requests for promotion to upper division post

Memo No 44941 B/45-2 Edn. d. 4.1.46.

In his petition, A.G. Dastagir Sahib, clerk, Staty Office, in support of his petition cites the cases of the two L.D. clerks of the Madras Taluk Office, viz, Munawar Sahib and Sri Chockalingam Chettiar who do not possess the required edl qualifns but have been allowed to cross the efficiency bar in their scale of pay. The S of S who was asked to offer ~~his remarks and~~ to quote the relevant papers ~~intimated that the matter~~ states that he has ~~been passed~~ addressed the ~~to matter~~ ~~with~~ the Collr of Madras ~~for report~~ to furnish the information direct to Govt. The Collr of Madras is requested to state when his ~~remarks~~ report may be expected ~~by Govt~~.

~~A p 3 ante~~

4/1/46

~~pp 7-8 ante~~

p 10 ante

27/12/45

2/1/46

2/1/46

Despd

KM

7-1-46

To The Collr of Madras

STATIONERY DEPARTMENT.

B/D.S

13

10

From
The Supdt. of Stationery
(Madras) at Guindy,
Saidapet P.O.,

To
The Secretary to Government,
Education & P.H. Department,
Madras.

Encl. 3.

906.

No. A-2-5203/45 dated 2nd January 1946.

Sir,

STATIONERY OFFICE - Unpassed Clerks - Removal of the bar - Petitions.

pp 15-25 infra

1 I forward herewith three petitions from the un-passed clerks of the office (1) R.M. Vasu (2) E. Paul and (3) J. Abdulla Khan, requesting the removal of the bar at Rs. 55/- in the ~~salary~~ scale of Rs. 40-40-1½-55-1-65 for such orders as Government may deem fit to pass.

2 The question of removing the restrictions in regard to the pay of the unpassed clerks of the Stamp & Stationery establishment either with military service or not to their credit was under the consideration of Government. In the case of E. Paul, it was definitely -- ordered in Memo. No. 13817-B/42-1 Edn., dated 6-4-1942 that there was no case for the removal of the restrictions regarding pay and promotion. It was also decided in G.O. No. 1274 Edn., dated 9-9-1942 that none of the unpassed clerks were deserving of promotion. The concession that the question of allowing the clerks to rise to the maximum of the

destroyed

Copy at p 33 infra

(C)

P.T.O

~~18~~ 14 -2- 24-1-46

the time-scale would be considered as and when they pass any of the tests prescribed for promotion to higher posts in the Revenue Department, was not extended to R.M.Vasu.

3 The purport of G.O.No.2929 Public (Services) Department -- dated 5-10-1944 was communicated to all the unpassed clerks. The petitioners have not chosen to apply for admission to the examination conducted by the Public Service Commission. It might be -- difficult for them to pass the examination as they have not attained that standard. Age also is another consideration.

4 I have no recommendations to make in their cases.

for SUPDT.OF STATIONERY.

3/1/46

[illegible]

The reply from the Collector of Madras to the Memo at f16 ante may be awaited till 24.1.46

11/1/46

8/1/46

9/1/46

~~(17)~~

R.M. Vasu.

Madras,
4th December 1945.

5-DEC 1945
5303

To
The Secretary to Government,
Education & P.H. Department,
Madras.

Thro'
The Supdt. of Stationery,
Madras.

11

Sir,

1 I most humbly and respectfully beg to submit the following few lines for your kind consideration and favourable orders.

2 I am now working as a Stock-clerk in the office of the Supdt.of Stationery, Madras. I have put in about 26 years of service. I belong to the Depressed and Scheduled class. I am now drawing a salary of Rs.55 in the grade of Rs.40-40-1½-55-1-65.

3 I have served on Field Service during the last Great War I and Kurdustan War Service. For my loyal and faithful service for the Crown in the above wars, the Government were pleased to award me the British War Medal, the Victory Medal and Kurdustan War Medal.

4 Now in this office, none except myself enlisted in the present War for service overseas in any part of the world. I was also found medically fit and signed the agreement for overseas service. Before I could be appointed, the War suddenly came to a successful end and I was left behind.

5 One Mr.Samuel and another Mr.Abdul Rahiman Saheb, both unpassed candidates in the Office of the Director of Public Health, Madras (both of them being War service candidates) were exempted from the operation of the necessary qualifications and allowed to rise up to Rs.65 in the grade and also to hold Upper-division posts - vide G.O.No.Ms.784 P.H., dated 17-8-1926 and G.O.No.Ms.1027 P.H., dated 20-3-1942. (F) Public (Y)

6 Again one Mr. Periaswami Mudaliar (an unpassed candidate) in the Registration Department was exempted to hold the post of a Sub Registrar - vide G.O.No.409 Public (Services) Dept., dated 12-5-1933. He is also a War service candidate. (I)

7 But, Mr. Md.Raza Hussain Saheb, Sri.A.Chickalingam Chetty and Mr. Md. Munawar Saheb - all belonging to the Madras Collector's establishment - unpassed candidates have been exempted and allowed to rise up to Rs.65 in the lower grade and the first named held the Upper division post, reached the maximum and retired. But these three are not War service candidates.

8 Further, as my poor ill-luck would have it, I am drawing only Rs.55/- in the lower division scale, not even allowed to rise up to the maximum of Rs.65/-

9 I therefore humbly request that you will be good enough to consider my long, faithful and War service and allow me to rise up to the maximum of Rs.65. As I have put in a service of more than 25 years, I am likely to retire shortly and therefore request the Government will be pleased to accord to me their benevolence so that I may rise up at least to a maximum in the lower division.

10 For which act of kindness, I shall ever feel grateful,

I beg to remain,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

R.M. Vasu

Clerk, Station

Madras.
20th December 1945.

E. Paul,

To The Secretary to Government,
Education & P.H. Department, Madras,

Thro'
The Supdt. of Stationery, Madras.

20 DEC 45

12

Sir,

1 I most humbly and respectfully beg to submit the following few lines for your kind consideration and favourable orders.

2 I am now working as a Stock-clerk in the Office of the Supdt. of Stationery, Madras. I have put in about 24 years of service. I belong to the Indian Christian community. I am now drawing a salary of Rs. 55 in the grade of 40-40-1½-55-1-65 havingnot crossed the efficiency bar for want of educational qualification.

3 I am an Ex-army man of the 1914 War having served overseas in Mespotamia for two years as Stores Clerk. I was recruited by Major O.H. Hormsby, Officer Commanding, Overseas Training School, Saidapet, whose name appear in the printed list, (Chief Auditor, S.I.Ry).

4 Several Government orders have been issued for giving preference to men trained by the Overseas Training School at Saidapet and to grant them all the facilities in service.

5 One Mr. Samuel and another Mr. Abdul Rahman Saheb both unpassed candidates in the office of the Director of Public Health, Madras (both of them being War service candidates) were exempted from the operation of the necessary qualifications and allowed to rise up to Rs. 65 in the grade and also to hold upper division posts - vide - G.O.No.Ms.784 (P.H.) Public, dated 17-8-1926 and G.O.No.Ms.1027 (F) dated 20-3-1942.

6 Again one Mr. Periaswami Mudaliar (an unpassed candidate) in the Registration Department was exempted to hold the post of a Sub Registrar - vide G.O.No.409 Public (Services) Dept., dated 12-5-1933. He is also a war service candidate.

7 But Mr. Raza Hussain Saheb, Sri A. Chockalingam Chetty and Mr. Md. Munawar Saheb all belonging to the Madras Collectorate - and unpassed candidates - have been exempted to rise up to Rs. 65 and the first named held the Upper division post, reached the maximum and retired. But these three are not war service candidates.

8 Further to my ill-luck though I am a war service candidate I am not allowed to rise up crossing the efficiency bar.

9 I therefore humbly beg to request that you will be kind enough to consider my long and faithful service both here and in the War and allow me to rise up to the maximum in the lower grade.

10 For which act of benevolence and charity, I shall ever remain grateful.

I beg to remain,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
E. Paul.
Clerk, Stationery Office.

20

From
J. Abdullah Khan,
Clerk,
Stationery Office,
Madras @ Guindy.

To
The ~~Chief~~ Secretary,
Education and Public Health Dept.,
Government of Madras,
MADRAS.
THRO' THE SUPDT.OF STATIONERY, MADRAS.

Sir,

1 I beg to state that I am one of the clerks in the Stationery office, Guindy, having put in a permanent service of about 27 years with satisfaction and I have also served during the late Great War, 1914, for about 3 years at Mesopatomia and all my fore-fathers have rendered meritorious services in the Military with great zeal and honour (copy of the geneology list and copies of the true testimonials are enclosed for your kind perusal.)

pp 21-25 infra

2 I was exempted in the grade of 40 to 65, but the increment was stopped at Rs.55/- in the year 1935, The Government in their several G.Os. have ordered to consider and grant increments to persons who have served during the late Great war. But no consideration was given to me up till now though I was the only clerk who proceeded to the late Great War, foregoing the peace and luxury of the civil life, from this office, while all the other clerks of this office did not leave their seats and they have been promoted to higher posts.

There were other clerks also vide pp 15 & 17 ante

3 Now I have to point out that one Mr. Samuel and another Mr. Abdul Rahaman Sahib, both unpassed candidates in the office of the Director of Public Health, Madras, both having war service, were ~~promoted~~ exempted from possessing the necessary qualification and were allowed to rise to the maximum of Rs.65/- in the grade and also allowed to hold upper division posts. (Vide G.O.Ms.784, P.H. dated 16-8-1926 and G.O.No. Ms. 1027 P.H. dated 20-3-42. One Mr. Pariaswamy Mudaliar (an unpassed candidate) in the Registration Department, has also been exempted to hold the post of Sub Registrar. (Vide G.O. No.409 Public (Services) Department, dated 12-5-1933. He is also a War Service Candidate.

Public

(Y) 17

(F)

(I)

4 One Mr. Md.Raza Hussain and Sri A. Chokkalinga Chetty and Mr. Md. Munawar who were working in the Collector's and Stationery offices (unpassed men) have been exempted and allowed to rise up to Rs.65/- in the lower grade and the first named personel held up the Upper Divn. post and reached the maximum and retired. These three persons are not War Service candidates and still they were promoted.

5 Under the above represented facts, I pray that the Government will be pleased to consider my War Service and allow me to reach the maximum of Rs.65/- as I am in the retiring stage ~~being~~ having put in a service of above 27 years.

6 Praying for sympathetic consideration and favourable Orders,

~~I have the honour to be,~~
I beg to remain,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
J Abdullakhan

Encl: 3

No. 5, Mount Road,
Madras.

Abdulla Khan belongs to a respectable military family being the son of the late Subadar Jamal Khan Sahib. He is an intelligent young man and from the testimonial given to him by the Store-keeper of the Government Stationery Stores, Madras, where he served for about 2 years as a temporary hand I understand that he proved himself "extremely useful" to the Department. Since there are very few members of the military class in the clerical Department of Government Service, I hope Abdulla Khan will succeed in obtaining some permanent footing in a Government Office.

Sd/- Mir Asadali,

24 - 4 - 1916.

Member of the Imperial Legislative
Council, India.

GENEOLOGY LIST.

Father.	Jamal Khan, Subadar, 36th M.N.I.
G.Father.	Yakub Khan, Subadar Major, Sirdar Bahadur, 13th Alkab.
G.G.Father.	Burhanuddin, Subadar, 13th Alkab.
PETERNAL UNCLES.	
Khadar Khan.	Subadar Major, 36th M.N.I.
Akbar Khan.	Subadar, 36th M.N.I.
Jahangir Khan.	Subadar, 36th M.N.I.
MATERNAL Father.	Rahim Baig, Subadar Major Bahadur, 13th Al
G.Father.	Ibrahim Baig Palkiwala Subadar Major, 13th Alkab.

28

Cm 906 - from the S of S, letter No A2. 5203/45 d. 2.1.46

9446 Ed PH Dept
C2

p13 ante

Subject

Stationery Office - certain unpassed clerks - Exemption to go up to the maximum of their time scale of pay.

Memo No. 44941 C2/45 - 5 Edn d. 23-3-1946

The S of S is requested to ~~forward~~ send a copy of Govt Memo No 13817 B/42-1 Edn d. 6-4-42 referred to in his letter read above as it ~~has~~ is not readily traceable. He is also requested to forward the ~~[illegible]~~ personal files of ~~the~~ petitioners Messrs R.M. Vasu, E. Paul and J. Abdulla Khan for reference.

25/3

dp 23/3

referred to on p13 ante

21/3/46

22.3.46

23.3.46

sent to The S of S
23.3.46

Regd

C2

16

29

C.F. 32 (a).

STATIONERY Department

Received .... Registered ....

12 APR 1946

From (Name) S. Duncan, Esq.,

Designation Assistant Superintendent of Stationery,

Station (Madras) at Guindy.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Departmen
MADRAS.

20240
15/4

Enclosures: one sealed cover

No. A.2.5303/45 dated 1st April 1946.

Sir,

Stationery Office - Certain unpassed clerks - Exemption to go up to the maximum of their time scale of pay - Govt. Memo.No.44941-C2/45-5 Edn dated 23-3-'46. — p28 ante — at read

---

I furnish herewith a copy of Government Memo.No.13817-B/42-1-Edn. dated 6-4-1942. The personal files of Messrs. R.M. Vasu, E.Paul and Abdulla Khan called for are sent herewith for reference and return.

S. Duncan
for Superintendent.

Retained for me
12-iv

SAS-4-4.

Enclos.

30

29/2/4 ~~7-5-46~~

T. Rly Post

22-4-46

Ed PH Dept
C2

From,
The Dy Secy to Govt, Ed PH Dept,

To, The Supdt, ✓ despd 5/24.4.46

p29 ante

Ref. your letter No A2 5303/45 d. 1-4-46 Re: exemption of certain unpassed clerks in the Stationery Office to go up to the maximum of their scale of pay.

The copy of Govt Memo No ~~44941-C2/45-5 Edn~~ 13817 B/42-1 Edn ~~dated 23-3-46~~ d. 6-4-42 said to have been furnished with your letter has not been received. Please furnish the same at an early date.

P.C. to go.

18.4.46

16/4/46

18.4.46

31

C2

FROM
The Superintendent of Stationery,
Madras at Guindy,
Saidapet P.O.

TO
The Deputy Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department, Madras.

No. A2-5303/45 dated 1-5-46.

Sir,

24767

STATIONERY office - certain unpassed clerks - Exemption to go up to the maximum of their time scale of pay - Govt.Memo. No.13817-B/42-1 Edn. dated 6-4-42 - copy furnished.-Govt.Memo.No. 44941-C2/45-5 Edn. dated 23-3-46.

p28 ante

I furnish herewith a copy of Govt.Memo.No.13817-B/42-1 Edn. dated 6-4-42.

p33 infra

9 - MAY 1946

for Superintendent.

Encl: ONE.
SAS-3.5.

32

33

18

Education & Public Health Dept.

Memorandum No.13817 B/42-1 dated 6th April 1942.

From the Superintendent of Stationery No.A.2-3478 dated 25-7-1941.

- do - dated 22nd December 1941.

Public services - Madras Ministerial Service - Unpassed ex military clerks - Removal of restrictions.

The Superintendent of Stationery is informed that Government consider there is no case for the removal of the restrictions regarding pay and promotion contained in B.P.R.353 MS (Sep.Rev.) dated 18-12-1925 in the case of ex-military clerks M.A.Hussain and E.Paul.

V.V.Subrahmanyam,
Deputy Secretary to Government.

To The Superintendent of Stationery.

Forwarded

(By order)

Sd. xx x x
Superintendent.

/True copy/

Statement showing particulars ~~of~~ in respect of certain unpassed clerks of the Stationery Office who have petitioned for exemption to rise to the maximum of their scale of pay.

Name of Petitioner ~~and~~ post held & pay (1)	Request. (2)	Total Service (3)	Military Service (4)	Whether recruited before or after the Armistice (5)	Whether trained in an [illegible] Training School (6)	Whether prevented from acquiring minimum genl Edl qualification by war service (7)	Whether request for exemption considered before & with what result (8)	Whether passed any or all of the tests prescribed for promotion to higher posts (9)	Whether appeared for the genl Edl test of the S.S.L.C. standard of the commission (10)	Recent cases of exemption and their criteria. (11)	Remarks. (12)
	8	yrs	yrs								
1) A.G. Dastagir Sahib. (Godown clerk) Rs 55/- in the scale of pay of Rs 40-40-1½-55-~~65~~ (vide G.O. 1082 Pub 23.12.26) (G) p11	Appointment as Asst. Storekeeper or as Upper Division clerk at least for the duration of the war. p44	23	3 p11 (C)	After the Armistice p11 (C)	No p75 (D)	No p26 (D) not put up vide p254 (D)	(1) Permission to cross efficiency bar refused 1937 vide in G.O. 91 Edn d. 15-1-37. (2) Again refused in G.O. 1274 Edn dated 9-9-1942. (C)	No p20 (C)	No (J) (M)	(1) Sri P. Subramania Ayyar clerk, College of Engineering Guindy — (vide G.O. 1068 Edn d. 17-7-44). (V) (2) Sri Ch. Annaswamy Rao clerk office of the D.P.I. (vide G.O. 1551 Edn d. 26-10-44). Too old to pass any test and good reports about their work. No (2) above strongly recommended by the D.P.I.	Too old to pass any test. Supdt of Staty does not recommend her request. p54 p11 (C) In 1941, the S of S considered him as fit only for the work of Head Packer. Personal file of clerk may be perused
2) R. M. Vasu (Stock clerk) Rs 55/- — limit of exemption	Permission to cross the efficiency bar and rise up to the maximum of Rs 65/-	26	3 (nearly) p11 (C)	Before Armistice (26.3.1918) p11 (C)	No	No p26 (D)	Permission to cross the efficiency bar refused in 1942 — (vide G.O. 1274 Edn d. 9.9.42) (C)	No	No	-do- p144	Too old to pass any test. S of S has no recommendation to make. p11 (C) In 1941, the S of S reported his work as "not satisfactory on the whole" and considered him as fit only for the work of Head Packer. Personal file may be perused
3) E. Paul (Stock clerk) Rs 55/- — limit of exemption	-do-	24	2 p11 (C)	-do- p7 (C)	Yes. 24.9.1918 (but no records to prove the status of the petitioner)	No p26 (D)	Permission to cross the efficiency bar refused in 1942 (vide Memo 13817 Pb/42-1 d. 6.4.42) Copy at p334	No	No	-do- p144	Too old to pass any test. S of S has no recommendation to make. p11 (C) In 1941 the S of S reported him as "slow and inefficient" and considered him as fit only for the work of Head Packer. Personal file may be perused
4) J. Abdulla Khan clerk Rs 55/- limit of exemption.	-do-	27	2 years 4 months nearly. p11 (C)	After Armistice	No	No p26 (D)	Permission to cross the efficiency bar refused (1) 1[illegible]7 — vide G.O. 278 Edn d. 8-2-37 (P) (2) 1[illegible]8 — vide G.O. 1023 Edn d 3.5.38 (K) vide G.O. 1274	No	No	-do- p149	Too old to pass any test. S of S has no recommendation to make. p11 (C) In 1941, the S of S reported his work as "satisfactory". Personal file may be perused.

Ⓒ

Read: Memo 13817 B/42-1 Edn. d. 6.4.1942
G.O. 1274 Edn. d. 9.9.1942

p 33 cf [illegible] Dept
37 / C2
19

p 5 cf C. No. 44941 C2/45 - From the S of S, No. D. Dis. 4415/45 d. 3.10.45
pp 1-4 cf From Sri A. G. Dastagir Sahib, petition d. 2.7.45
71/18/6
pp 9-10 cf " 54215/45 - From the S of S, letter No. A2. 4881/45 d. 21.11.45
pp 13-14 cf " 906/46 - do - A2. 5203/45 d. 2.1.46
pp 15-25 cf Petitions from clerks R. M. Vasu, E. Paul and J. Abdulla Khan
p 27 cf " 13476 - From the Collr of Madras, letter No. A.4.10123/45 d. 6.3.46
pp 31-33 cf " 24767. From the S of S, letter No. A2.5303/45 d. 1.5.46

Subject.

DJ 17/6

Stationery Office – Unpassed clerks – Exemption to rise ~~up~~ to the maximum of their scales of pay – Declined

G.O. Ms 1204 Edn. dated 18-6-46.

The S of S is requested to inform the petitioners that their requests cannot be complied with.

2. The personal files of the petitioners are ~~herewith~~ returned. Their receipt may be acknowledged.

SNK
17.6.46

Desp'd with a sed cover vy RP
DJ 17-6-46

14/6/46

CVA
15.6.46

To,
The S of S (with a sealed cover containing personal files)

SC

in Section

38

cr 20 39

From
The Superintendent of Stationery
(Madras) at Guindy,
Saidapet P.O.

To
The Deputy Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department,
M a d r a s.

SRK

No. Dis-4881/45 Dated June '46.

DEPUTY SECRETARY EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH
35038

Sir,

Stationery Office - unpassed clerks - Exemption to rise to the maximum of their scales of pay - Declined - personal files - returned - Receipt - Acknowledgement of - G.O.Ms.No.1204 Education dated 18.6.46.

I acknowledge receipt of the personal files of M/s. A.G. Dastagir, R.M. Vasu, E. Paul and J. Abdulla Khan sent with the G.O. cited above in a sealed cover.

The petitioners have been informed of the orders of the Government.

for Superintendent of Stationery.

PK.27.6.

p 40

E&PH Dept
C2

May be filed with Go1204 Edn d. 18.6.46

3/7/46

SRK
4.7.46

E&PH Dept
C2

p5 cf C No 44946 C2/45 – From the S. of S. No Adm. 4415/45 d. 3.10.45
From Sri A.G. Dastagir Sahib, petition d. 2.7.45
pp1-4 cf " 54215/45 – From the S. of S. letter No A.2.4881/45 d. 21.11.45
" 908/46 – -do- A.2.5203/45 d. 2.1.46
pp15-19 cf Petitions from clerks R.M.Vasu, E Paul and J. Abdulla Khan
pp15-25 cf
p27 cf " 13476 – From the Collr of Madras, letter No A.4.10123/45 d. 6-3.46
p29 cf " 20240 – From the S. of S. letter No A.2 5303/45 d. 1.4.46
pp31-33 cf – " 24767 – -do- -do- 1.5.46.

2

Subject

Stationery Office – Unpassed clerks – Exemption to rise up to the maximum of their scale of pay – Petitions.

The case relates to petitions received from four unpassed and exempted clerks of the Stationery Office, Viz, ~~Sri~~ A.G. Dastagir Sahib, R.M.Vasu, E.Paul and J.Abdulla Khan

p4 cf requesting ~~[illegible]~~ promotion to upper division in the case of the first named

clerk and exemption to rise up to the maximum of Rs 65/- in their

pp15-19 cf scale of pay in the case of the other three clerks. The pay of all the petitioners has been limited to Rs 55/- in the scale of pay of Rs 40-40-1½-55-1-65. The request for promotion to upper division involves ~~[illegible]~~ exemption ~~[illegible]~~ for rising up to the maximum of Rs 65/- and the passing of the genl Edl test of the S.S.L.C. Standard conducted by the Commission – vide (J).

p5 cf The S of S does not recommend this request for promotion to upper division and has no recommendations

p14 cf to make in respect of the other three petitioners.

2. The petitioners rely upon the following cases for promotion to upper division and exemption to rise up to the maximum of Rs 65/-:—

Go534 Edl / 18.5.1915 — Go624 (Cl) / 18.4.26 — (L) & (E)
(1) Muhammad Raza Hussain Royal of the Stationery Office

A' p3 cf
(2) Mr Munawar Sahib & Sri Chokkalingam Chettiar of the Madras Taluk Office

Go784 Rl / 17.8.26 — (Y)
(3) ~~Sri~~ Mr A. Samuel and Abdul Rahiman Sahib of the DPH Office

Go409 Pub(Ser) / 12.5.23 — (I)
(4) Sri R Periasami Mudaliar of the Registration Dept

The first named person was a Carnatic stipendiary and

(L) & (E) strongly recommended by the S of S for promotion to upper division.

post. spl. consideration was shown for his being a carnatic stipendiary

Records are not available in respect of Munawar Sahib & Chockalingam p27 of chettiar. A. Samuel & Abdul Rahiman Sahib were exempted to (Y) rise up to the maximum because of the recommendation of the DPH (F) (GO 1027 PH 20.3.42) and the first named was ~~even~~ exempted for promotion to upper div. Sri. R. Periasami Mudr of the Registration Dept was recruited (I) for mily service before the Armistice and underwent training in the Overseas Training School at Saidapet. His case was recommended by the Head of the Dept. for exemption to rise up to the maximum. Similar concession granted in a Police case at that time was also helpful. All the above cases were disposed off long before the case of any one of the petitioners came up for consideration, and could not now be cited in support of the requests in the petitions. The above cases also differ from those of the petitioners.

3. The statt at (A) p35 of shows in one view all the relevant particulars about the petitioners. The cases of all the petitioners were last considered in 1942. Sri. Rao Bahadur P.V. Subba Rao, Supdt of Staty, reported in July 1941, p26 (D) that the work and conduct of the petitioners ~~have~~ had not been outstanding. He expressed his inability to recommend the case of any one for exemption to rise up to the maximum. Again, Sri. Diwan Bahadur V.N. Viswanatha Rao, Supdt of Staty, in Sept 1941, made his recommendations against each petitioner p35 of vide p11 of (C) and extracts in the Remarks column of the statt at (A). Orders were thereupon passed in Memo No 13817 B/42-1 d. 6.4.42 and p33 of GO 1274 Edn d. 9.9.42 in respect of the petitioners. They were p20 of (C) not exempted to rise up to the maximum of their scale of ... exceptg R.M. Vasu

22

lay in their passing any of the tests prescribed for promotion p20 of (C) to higher posts in the Rev. Dept including the office of the Supdt of Staty. A further concession was granted to the unpassed men in service when the general educational test of the S.S.L.C. standard conducted by the Commission in October every year was thrown open to them for qualifying themselves for promotion to higher posts or transfer to other services (J) - vide GO No 2929 Pub (Ser) d. 5.10.44. The petitioners have not qualified themselves ~~so far~~ either way. Age is a consideration to be taken into account in the matter. p14 of *

4. Recently in 1944, Sri. P. Subramania Ayyar clerk, * (V) (GO 1068 Edn 1.7.44) (M) College of Engineering, Guindy and Sri. C.H. Annaswamy Rao, clerk, Office of the D.P.I., both unpassed men, were permitted to rise above Rs 50/- in the scale of Rs 35-60 and above Rs 60/- in the scale of Rs 40-80 and to draw Rs 80/-, respectively. Please see the minutes of A1 & A3 at X on p9 nf of GO No 1581 Edn (M) Removed d. 26.10.44. It is ~~therefore~~ doubtful whether the cases of the petitioners can be considered on the analogy of the two cases mentioned above. Moreover, the cases of the petitioners have not been ~~strongly~~ recommended by the Supdt of Staty on the strength of their work and ability. ~~Rather he did not recommend their cases at all~~ The personal files of the petitioners obtained from the S of S will show the nature of their records subsequent to 1941. Prima facie, there appears to be no case, unless their personal files warrant a favourable

view being taken as a special case. It may also be mentioned in this connection ~~that the~~ petitioners are stagnating on Rs 55/- for a pretty long time and will continue to do so till their retirement. ~~[illegible]~~. For orders.

5. Pnt (Ser) and Fi may see for remarks.

[illegible]
4/6/46

Cwa
7.6.46

No case on merits.
Reject. No need to trouble Depts.

SRK
14.6.46

Personal file of
Dastagir is put up.
Other 3 personal
files are with DS.

23

A draft order is submitted

[illegible]
14/6/46

Cwa
15.6.46

SRK
17/6/46.