

Edn. & P.H. (1946) Department.

Ms. SERIES.

G.O. No.

1089 Edn

Dated

3.6.46

[Despatch Abstract.]

Madras Educational subordinate Service - Manual Training
Instructors - Promotion as Junior Deputy Inspectors of Schools.
Age - Limit 40 raised to 45 - special rules - Amended.

Back Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Further Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	242	Ed					
	247	Ed	10.2.48				

2194

2194



To

The Secretary to Government,
Education & Public Health Department,
Fort St. George, Madras.

Through

The proper channel.

Most Honoured Sir,

The humble memorial of D. Seshadri,
Manual Training Instructor, Government Training School, Annalapur,
respectfully sheweth:-

(i) The memorialist feels highly grateful to the benign Government and to the Director of Public Instruction, Madras, for the orders issued in G.O. No. Ms. 242, Education, d/19-2-45 by including the cases of Muniz Manual Training Instructors also for the purpose of promotion to category 3 of Class 1 - Deputy Inspectors (Junior scale).

(ii) But the memorialist feels highly doubtful as to how far the concession allowed by the above Govt. Order can be really helpful and availed of by the Manual Training Instructors as a class. This at present consists of two classes of instructor-s (1) those trained under the old scheme which was in vogue prior to 1929 or 1930 for whom the possession of a T.T.C. of the Secy. Grade was essential and necessary for purpose of admission to the course and (2) those trained under the revised scheme which came into force sometime later in 1929 or 30, for whom no such qualifications (viz. Secondary T.T.C.) is necessary for admission to the course.

(a) As the memorialist understands, the rules appear to be quite clear that only teachers who have been duly trained and are well acquainted with the methods of teaching the several subjects under the Training School curriculum, are alone qualified for judging the work and progress in Elementary Schools and are therefore suitable for promotion to the Inspectorate. Manual Training Inspectors trained under the revised scheme have not got such an advantage, as in their case the possession of a T.T.C. of the Secondary Grade is not prescribed under the revised scheme as a necessary and essential qualification for admission to the Manual

This is only an advance copy sent to Govt. The original sent through the proper channel may be awaited till 12-7-45.

16.5.45
16.5.45

--2--

17.5.45

Training Instructor's course. It is therefore for their consideration as to which class of Manual Training Instructors are qualified for promotion to the cadre of Junior Deputy Inspectors and whether those Manual Training Instructors trained under the revised scheme with no T.T.C. qualification of the Secondary Grade though they may now be below the age of 40, can be deemed qualified for promotion to the Inspectorate. This seems to deserve clarification.

(b) But in the case of those trained under the old scheme which was in force prior to 1929 and who possess a T.T.C. of the Secondary Grade, the age limit of 40 prescribed in the G.O. of 19th Febr. 1945 quoted above will obviously be a bar to their promotion as Junior Deputy Inspectors inasmuch as these Manual Training Inspectors who were trained more than 16 years back and who have been in service for a considerably long time, cannot be expected to be now within the age of 40 prescribed in the Govt. Order.

It is, therefore, submitted in brief that the concession allowed by the above G.O. cannot, as it stands, possibly be availed of either by the Manual Training Instructors trained under the old scheme in view of the age limit prescribed therein, or by those trained under the revised scheme owing to their failure to possess the prescribed professional qualification (viz. T.T.C. of the Secondary Grade) required for the Inspectorate.

(iii) In these circumstances and in view of the fact that the concession allowed by the above G.O. appears to have no real benefit to the Manual Training Instructors as a class, the memorialist humbly prays that the G.O. No. 242, Education, dated 19-2-45 be suitably modified by removing the age limit of 40 prescribed therein, so that the concession may be availed of at least by a few of the deserving of the Manual Training Instructors trained under the old scheme, as the total number of such instructors may be after all only a handful (about a dozen) in the whole of the Presidency.

(iv) For this act of kindness and generosity, the memorialist humbly feels highly grateful to the benign Government and the Directors of the Public Instruction, Madras.

The memorialist begs to remain,
Most Honoured Sir,
Your obedient servant,

Memo 21944C/45-1 Edn., dated 12-7-45 (16)

Petition from Sri D. Seshadri, Manual Training Instructor, Govt. Training School, Amalapuram, dated 12.5.45.

The D.P.G. is requested to state when the petition referred to above will be forwarded to Govt. with his remarks.

27.4.45 / 18/4

28/7/45-

12.7.45

69
137

10/7-2
17-7-45

To the D.P.G. ✓

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education & Public Health Department,
Fort St. George, Madras.

Through:

The Proper Channel.

Most Honoured Sir,

The humble memorial of *Darika Rama Rao*
Manual Training Instructor, Government Secondary Training School, *Guntur*
respectfully sheweth:

(1) The memorialist feels highly grateful to the benign Government and to the Director of Public Instruction, Madras, for the orders issued in G.O. No. Ms. 242, Education, d/19-2-1945 by including the cases of Manual Training Instructors also for the purpose of promotion to category 3 of Class I - Deputy Inspectors (Junior scale).

(ii) But the memorialist feels highly doubtful as to how far the concession allowed by the above Govt. Order can be really helpful and availed of by the Manual Training Instructors as a class. This at present consists of two classes of Instructors (1) those trained under the old scheme which was in vogue prior to 1929 or 1930 for whom the possession of a T.T.C. of the Secy. Grade was essential and necessary for purpose of admission to the course and (2) those trained under the revised scheme which came into force sometime later in 1929 or 1930, for whom no such qualification (viz. Secondary T.T.C) is necessary for admission to the course.

(a) As the memorialist understands, the rules appear to be quite clear that only teachers who have been duly trained and are well acquainted with the methods of teaching the several subjects under the Training School curriculum, are alone qualified for judging the work and progress in ^{and} elementary schools/are therefore suitable for promotion to the Inspectorate. Manual Training Instructors trained under the revised scheme have not got such an advantage, as in their case the possession of a T.T.C. of the Secondary Grade is not prescribed under the revised scheme as a necessary and essential qualification for admission to the Manual Training Instructor's course. It is therefore for their consideration as to which class of Manual Training Instru-



30654

Instructors are qualified for promotion to the cadre of Junior Deputy Inspectors and whether those Manual Training Instructors trained under the revised scheme with no T.T.C. qualification of the Secondary Grade, though they may now be below the age of 40, can be deemed qualified for promotion to the Inspectorate. This seems to deserve clarification.

(b) But in the case of those trained under the old scheme which was in force prior to 1929 and who possess a T.T.C. of the Secondary Grade, the age limit of 40 prescribed in the G.O. of 19th Febry. 1945 & quoted above will obviously be a bar to their promotion as Junior Deputy Inspectors inasmuch as these Manual Training Instructors who were trained more than 16 years back and who have been in service for a considerably long time, cannot be expected to be now within the age of 40 prescribed in the Govt. Order.

It is, therefore, submitted in brief that the concession allowed by the above G.O. cannot, as it stands, possibly be availed of either by the Manual Training Instructors trained under the old scheme in view of the age limit prescribed therein, or by those trained under the revised scheme owing to their failure to possess the prescribed professional qualification (viz., T.T.C. of the Secondary Grade) required for the Inspectorate.

(iii) In these circumstances and in view of the fact that the concession allowed by the above G.O., appears to have no real benefit to the Manual Training Instructors as a class, the memorialist humbly prays that the G.O. No. 242, Education, dated 19-2-45 be suitably modified by removing the age limit of 40 prescribed therein, so that the concession may be availed of at least by a few of the deserving of the Manual Training Instructors trained under the old scheme, as the total number of such Instructors may be after all only a handful (about a dozen) in the whole of the Presidency.

(iv) For this act of kindness and generosity, the memorialist humbly feels highly grateful to the benign Government and the Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

The memorialist begs to remain,
Most Honoured Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Desika Rama Rao.

Manual Training Instructor,
Govt. Secy. Training School, Guntur.

This is only an advance copy sent to Govt. The original sent thro' proper channel may be awaited till 15-8-45.

D/ 2-7-1945

Copy submitted direct to save delay.

Edn. & P.H. (C) Department.

Memo No. 30654.C/45-1 Edn., dated 21-8-1945.

Re: Petition from Sri Desika Rama Rao, Manual Training Instructor, Govt. Secondary Training School, Guntur. 2-7-45

Ref: Memorial from Sri Desika Rama Rao, dated 2-7-1945.

The D.P.I. is requested to *say whether the petition cited above has been read: in his office and if so when it will be forwarded to Govt with his remarks.*

School, Guntur, without delay.

To the D.P.I.,

15/8/45

18/8/45

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education & Public Health Department,
Fort St. George, MADRAS.

Through

The Proper Channel.

Most Honoured Sir,

The Humble memorial of
Manual Training Instructor, Government *Bimilipatam* School,
respectfully sheweth.

A. Ramakrishnasastri,
Training School,



(1) The Memorialist feels highly grateful to the benign Government and the Director of public Instruction, Madras, for the orders issued in G.O.No. Ms. 242, Education, d/19-2-45 by including the cases of Manual Training Instructors also for the purpose of promotion to category 3 of Class 1- Deputy Inspectors (Junior scale).

(11) But the memorialist feels highly doubtful as to how far the concession allowed by the above Govt. Order can be really helpful and availed of by the Manual Training Instructors as a Class. This at present consists of two classes of Instructors (i) those trained under the 1st scheme which was in vogue prior to 1929 or 1930 for whom the possession of a T.T.C. of the Secy. Grade was essential and necessary for purpose of admission to the course and (2) those trained under the revised scheme which came into force sometime later in 1929 or 1930, for whom no such qualification (viz. Secondary T.T.) is necessary for admission to the course.

(a) As the memorialist understands, the rules appear to be quite clear that only teachers who have been duly trained and are well acquainted with the methods of teaching the several subjects under the Training School curriculum, are alone qualified for judging the work and progress in Elementary Schools and are therefore suitable for promotion to the Inspectorate. Manual Training Instructors trained under the revised scheme have not got such an advantage, as in the case the possession of a T.T.C. of the Secondary Grade is not prescribed under the revised scheme as a necessary and essential qualification for admission to the Manual Training Instructor's course. It is therefore for their

MADRAS : PRINTED BY THE SUPERINTENDENT, GOVERNMENT PRESS.

Same as p. 2 aut
(2) 6.10.255A/45
their consideration as to which class of Manual Training Instructors are qualified for promotion to the cadre of Junior Deputy Inspectors and whether those Manual Training Instructors trained under the revised scheme with No. T.T.C. qualification of the Secondary Grade, though they may now be below the age of 40, can be deemed qualified for promotion to the Inspectorate. This seems to deserve clarification.

(b) But in the case of those trained under the old scheme which was in force prior to 1929 and who possess a T.T.C. of the Secondary Grade, the age limit of 40 prescribed in the G.O. of 19th Feb. 1945 quoted above will obviously be a bar to their promotion as Junior Deputy Inspectors inasmuch as these Manual Training Instructors who were trained more than 16 years back and who have been in service for a considerably long time, cannot be expected to be now within the age of 40 prescribed in the Govt. Order.

It is, therefore, submitted in brief that the concession allowed by the above G.O. cannot, as it stands, possibly be availed of either by the Manual Training Instructors trained under the old scheme in view of the age limit prescribed therein, or by those trained under the revised scheme owing to their failure to possess the prescribed professional qualification (viz, T.T.C. of the Secondary Grade) required for the Inspectorate.

(III) In these circumstances and in view of the fact that the concession allowed by the above G.O. appears to have no real benefit to the Manual Training Instructors as a class, the memorialist humbly prays that the G.O. No. 242, Education, dated 19-2-45 be suitably modified by removing the age limit of 40 prescribed therein, so that the concession may be availed of at least by a few of the deserving of the Manual Training Instructors trained under the old scheme, as the total number of such Instructors may be after all only a handful (about a dozen) in the whole of the Presidency.

(IV) For this act of kindness and generosity, the memorialist humbly feels highly grateful to the benign Government and the Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

A. Ramakrishna Sastri
30.6.45
The Memorialist begs to remain,
Most Honoured Sir,
Your most obedient servant.

.....
D/

R.C.No.1237-C/45



Office of the Director of Public Instruction, Madras, dated 23-7-45.

From

Dr. B. B. Dey, F.R.I.C. 33732
Offg. Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education & Public Health Department, Madras.

Sir,

Sub: Memorial to Government - Sri D. Soshadry - Manual Training Instructor - Govt. Training School, Amalapuram - Proposal for amending the G.O. No. Ms. 242, Education, dated 19-2-45.

Ref: Govt. Memo. No. 21944-C/45-1, Education, dated 12-7-45.

I have the honour to state that the matter is under correspondence with the Divisional Inspector of Schools, Guntur Division. I shall submit my reply immediately on receipt of remarks from him.

E + P. H. Dept (C)

Please see the reply of the D.P.D. above.
This may lie over till 20-8-45

SR
26/7/45
TRB. 24/7

Reminded on
22.8.45

28-7-45

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

for Director of Public Instruction.

Secondary School-Training Certificates

PUBLIC EXAMINATION, 1943.

Register Number 5717

Subject English Second Paper

School at which the Examination is held

MUNICIPAL HIGH SCHOOL

PALAKOL

School of which candidate is a pupil

MUNICIPAL HIGH SCHOOL

PALAKOL

R.C.NO. 1237-C/45.

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF P. INSTRUCTION, MADRAS, DATED 13-5.

From

Dr. B.S. Day, D.Sc. (Lond.), F.R.I.C.
Offg. Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department.

Sir,

SUBJECT: - Petitions to Government - Manual Training
Instructors - Removal of age limit for
promotion as Junior Deputy Inspectors of
Schools. ***

With reference to Government Memorandum No. 21944-C/45-1, Edn., dated 12-7-1945, and No. 30654-C/45-1, Edn., dated 21-8-45, I have the honour to submit herewith the petitions received from Sri D. Seshadri, Manual Training Instructor, Government Training School, Amalapur, Sri D. Rama Rao, Manual Training Instructor, Government Secondary and Training School, Guntur, and Sri A. Ramakrishna Sastri, Manual Training Instructor, Government Training School, Bimlipatan, and to state as follows:

Before the issue of the amendment with G.O. Ms. No. 242, Edn., dated 19-2-45, Manual Training Instructors were not eligible, under the rules, for promotion as Junior Deputy Inspectors of Schools. Under the above amendment, Manual Training Instructors are now eligible for promotion as Junior Deputy Inspectors of Schools, provided that they do not complete the age of 40 years. The present request of the petitioners is to remove that age-limit. In this connection, the petitioners raise the following two points for the consideration of Government. One is that Manual Training Instructors trained under the Old Scheme, do not get the benefit of the amendment, because they are not now within the prescribed limit of 40 years of age, even though they possess the other necessary qualifications for promotion as Junior Deputy Inspectors of Schools. The second is that Manual Training Instructors trained under the new scheme, are not eligible for promotion as Junior Deputy Inspectors of Schools, because they do not possess a Trained Teachers' Certificate of the Secondary Grade. The petitioners therefore plead that the said amendment serves no useful purpose, since manual training Instructors may not actually benefit by it.

With regard to the first point, I may mention that the intention in prescribing the age-limit of 40 years is to enable Junior Deputy Inspectors of Schools promoted from the category of Manual Training Instructors, to adapt themselves to the change-over from their purely technical teaching to the executive line, and also to accustom themselves to the rigours of an executive life. Please see my letter to Government Rc. No. 3211-C/44, dated 22-1-45. I therefore consider that in view of this reason underlying the prescription of the said age-limit non-eligibility of Manual Training Instructors trained under the old scheme, for promotion as Junior Deputy Inspectors of Schools, on the ground of age, is no sufficient justification to rescind the prescribed age-limit.

As to the second point, I may state that under the old scheme, as existed prior to the year 1929, Manual Training Instructors were required to possess three qualifications: namely, completed S.S.L.C. 2) Trained Teachers' Certificate of the Secondary Grade; and 3) a certificate in Manual Training. Under the old scheme, Secondary Grade Training was one of the qualifications prescribed for admission to the Manual Training Course. Manual Training Instructors trained in pursuance of the revised scheme introduced by G.O. No. 1024, Ms. Edn., dated 5-5-1928 would possess only two qualifications, namely, completed S.S.L.C. and 2) a certificate in Manual Training. The reason being that Secondary Grade Training Prescribed as

P.T.C.

-2-
a qualification under the old scheme for admission to Manual Training Course, was dispensed with, under the new scheme. For promotion as Junior Deputy Inspector of Schools, the qualification prescribed in the Statutory Service Rules is a completed Trained Teachers Certificate of the Secondary Grade. If Manual Training Instructors trained under the new scheme, wish to be promoted as Junior Deputy Inspectors of Schools, they should acquire a Trained Teachers' Certificate of the Secondary Grade. The amendment in question simply make provision in the Rules for Manual Training Instructors being promoted as Junior Deputy Inspectors of Schools provided that they possess the prescribed qualifications for such promotion and they are found suitable.

In the circumstances, I am of opinion that the petitions do not deserve any consideration.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant;

M. S. Channarayana
15.9.45
for Director of Public Instruction.

KP. 14-9.

8/3/45 P.B.P.
⑨ -13 E + P.H. Deptt
Memo: 21944 C/45-2 Edn., dated 2-10-45

Subj: Madras Educational Subordinate Service.
Manual Training Instructors - Removal of
age limit for promotion as Junior Deputy
Inspector of Schools.

Ref:- From the D.P.S., R.C. No. 1237-C/45 dated
13.9.45.

In his letter cited above the D.P.S. has
stated that manual training instructors who
want to be promoted as Junior Deputy Inspector of
Schools should acquire a Trained Teachers'
Certificate of the Secondary Grade. The Director

is requested to state how those Instructors who
are already in service will be able to acquire
the qualification.

SR
1.10.45

DM
2/10/45

Depd

KM
3-10-45

✓ 10 to D.P.S.

R.C.No.1237 C/45.

Office of the Director of Public
Instruction, Madras, dated 13-10-45.

From

Dr. B. B. Dey, D.Sc.(Lond.), F.R.I.C.
Offg. Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education & Public Health Department, Madras.



Sir,

Sub: Madras Educational Subordinate Service - Manual
Training Instructors - Removal of age limit for
promotion as Junior Deputy Inspector of Schools.

With reference to Government Memo.No.2194-C/45-2, Education,
dated 2-10-1945 I have the honour to state that Manual Training
Instructors in service who desire to be promoted as Junior
Deputy Inspector of Schools, should successfully complete the
prescribed course of training with a view to obtaining T.S.L.C.
of the Secondary Grade and for the purpose of undergoing the
said course, they may take leave to the extent necessary. They
may however be permitted to undergo the course only if Public
Interests do not thereby suffer.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

M. S. Srinivasan

for Director of Public Instruction.

TRB.17/10

Sol
17/x

16
Edn. & P.H. Deptt. (C).

Please see the reply from the D.P.I.
On 10.10.45 a revised draft memo is
submitted for approval

5/15

17
17/11
The D.P.I. is informed
that the orders in G.O. No.
242 Edn. D. 19.2.45 were
primarily passed with
reference to the cases of
Manual Trg. instructors
trained under the old
scheme. When the question
of age bar was considered
it was not realised that
the imposition of the bar
would shut out this
class completely.

17
Edn. & P.H. Deptt. (C).

Memo. 21944 C/45.3 Edn., dated 10-11-45

Sub:- Madras Educational Subordinate Service -
Manual Training Instructors - Removal
of age limit for promotion as Junior
Deputy Inspectors of Schools.

Ref:- From the D.P.I., R.C.No.1237 C/45 dated
13-10-45.

Appointments to posts of Junior Deputy
Inspectors are made by Divisional Inspectors,
presumably from a list prepared by the D.P.I. with
reference to rule 3(b) of the Special Rules relating
to the M.E.S.S. The Director can apparently exclude
'over-age' instructors, where necessary, from
such list without there being a rule absolutely
barring the promotion of such instructors - particularly
as under the rules promotions to the posts of
Junior Deputy Inspectors are to be made on the basis
of merit and ability, seniority being considered only

where merit and ability are approximately equal. The Director is, therefore, requested to ~~consider~~ ^{re-examine} further the necessity for an age bar in the case of Manual Training Instructors for ^{the Manual Training Instructors} promotion as Junior Deputy Inspectors in the light of the above remarks.

SR
7/11/45
1/11/45

SRM
9/11/45

30/12/45
Memo 21944C/45-4 Edn., dated 18/12/45
Sub:- M.E.S.S - Manual Training Instructors - Removal of age limit for promotion as Junior Dy. Inspectors of schools
Ref:- Govt. Memo. No. 21944C/45-5 Edn., dated 10-11-45

The D.P.O is requested to expedite

his reply to the memo cited above.

SR
14/12/45
1/11/45

SRM
18/12/45

To the D.P.I.
The D.P.I. is requested to expedite his reply to the memo cited above.

To the D.P.O,

C.F. 32.

In reply, please quote number, date and short title.



22424

Educational DEPARTMENT.

Received Registered 12

From (Name) Dr. S.R.U. Savor, M.A., D.Sc., I.E.S.,
(Designation) Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

Post Box _____, (STATION) _____,

Telegraphic Address _____

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department, Madras

R.O.C. No. 1237-C/45, dated 24th April 1946.

Sir,

Subject:- Madras Educational Subordinate service - Manual Training Instructors - Removal of age limit for promotion as Junior Deputy Inspectors.
Reference:- Government Memo No. 21944-C/45-3 Education dated 10-11-45.

ENCLOSURES

SPARE COPIES

Manual Training Instructors are entirely free from the teaching of class subjects in training or High schools. At present there are about 20 Manual Training Instructors in the Department who have been trained under the old scheme probably two decades ago. Since then they have not taught a single school subject nor have they been in touch with Secondary or elementary education. As Junior Deputy Inspectors their knowledge of manual training will be practically valueless because as inspectors of lower elementary schools there is no manual training or pre-vocational training to be inspected. The inspection of Higher elementary schools is generally in the hands of Senior Deputy Inspectors only. Even in regard to handicraft, in lower elementary schools, the Manual Training Instructors under reference are in no way better than ordinary laymen for purposes of improvement in handicrafts.

Even under the concession that was first agreed to, namely, that those who were under 40 might be considered eligible for promotion, it would have served no purpose at all if any of these men had been promoted before they put in some work as teachers in the ordinary schools. These men get an allowance in addition to their pay. Hence there is difficulty in posting them as Secondary grade teachers in training schools or high schools. Without their doing some work atleast for a year or two as teachers in schools, it would not be desirable to send them as Junior Deputy Inspectors.

As the principle of promotion of Manual Training Instructors as Junior Deputy Inspectors has been agreed to the age limit for such promotion may be 45 instead of 40. This concession will probably help about 6% of the 20 Manual Training Instructors trained under the old scheme as shown below: -

P.T.O

M.E.S.S. - Manual Trg. Instructors - Promotion
as Dy. Insps. of Schools - Age-limit of 40 raised
to 45 - Special rules - Amended. (13)

B/8-9 of Read:- G.O.No. 242-Edn., dated 19-2-45.
From the D.P.I., R.O.C.No. 123/7-C/45,
dated 24-4-46.

G.O. (MS.) No. 1089 Edn., dated 3/6/46

The follg. notfn. will be published in the
F.S.G. Gazette:- NOTIFICATION.

In exercise of the powers conferred by
paragraph (b) of sub-section (2) of section 241 of the
Government of India Act, 1935, H.E. the Governor of
Madras hereby makes the following amendment to the
Special Rules for the Madras Educational Subordinate
Service, which form ^S section 3 of Part III-B of the
service rules in Volume III of the Madras Services
Manual, 1942:-

Amendment.

In the table under ~~sub rule (a)~~ of rule 2 of
the ~~said rules~~, under the heading "Men's Branch", in
the entries relating to category 3 of Class I - Deputy
Inspectors of Schools (Junior scale), for the ~~expression~~
~~and figures~~ "Promotion from among Secondary Manual Training
Instructors who have not completed 40 years of age,
Secondary Grade Teachers, Demonstrators and Tutors",
the ~~expression~~ ^{words and figures} "Promotion from among Manual Training
Instructors who have not completed 45 years of age,
Secondary Grade Teachers, Demonstrators and Tutors"
shall be substituted.

To the D.P.I.
M.P.S.C.
Pub. (Ser.) Dept.
S.G.P. (for pubn. of
notfn. and issue of
amendments to Service
Manual).

Secl. Library - 1 copy
P.C. to H.T. (Edn.) 4 copy

2 8/5

* Age.	No. of persons.
53.	4.
52.	1.
51.	3.
50.	2.
49.	3.
47.	1.
44.	2. 1
43.	1. 1 6.
41.	3. 1

20

M. Muhammad
24.4.46
For Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

AN/24/4/

sd/
24/4

E.P.H.(C)2

(14)

Memo

Sri. Madras Educational Subordinate
Service - Manual Training Instructors
Removal of age limit for promotion as
Junior Deputy Inspectors of Schools.

Regd also:- G.O. 242 Edn., dated 19-2-45

From Sri D. Seshadri, Manual Training Instructor,
Govt. Training School, Andalapur, petition dtd 12.5.45

From Sri Desika Rama Rao, Manual Training Instructor,
Govt. Secondary Training School, Guntur,
petition, dated 2.7.45

From Sri A. Ramakrishna Sastri, Manual Training
Instructor, Government Secondary Training School,
Bimlipalam, petition, dated 30.6.45

From the D.P.O., R.C.No. 1237/45 d. 13.9.45

The D.P.O. is requested to inform

21944 19/10

Nat. Sec.

(B)

M-2 of

M-5 of

M-9 of

M-11 of

21944 19/10

the petitioners that the Govt see no reason to comply with their request. To the D.P.G.

SR
24/9
27/9/45
JRM
27/9/45

Note:- In the circumstances stated by the D.P.G. on pp. 11-12 of the petitioners' request may be negatived. The draft memo above is for approval. After issue the papers may be filed with G.O. 242 Edn. dtd 19.2.45

SR
24/9
27/9/45
The intention was to promote only those Manual Training Instructors who possess the prescribed qualifications - vide p 2 N.F. 4-5-CP

q(13) JRM 27/9/45 S A' 12 - Mr. with 12 individuals. values

With reference to Secretary's query ^(on p. 2 ante) a draft Memo to the D.P.G. is submitted for approval. (15)

SR
1-10-45
JRM
27/10/45

113.14cf [Issued as Memo. 21944C/45-2 Edn., dated 2-10-45]

1515 G.C. no 46617, From the D.P.G., R.C. no 1237C/45 Edn. d. 13-10-45.

Please see the reply from the D.P.G., on p. 15 cf.

~~In the circumstances stated there seems to be no case for the removal of age limit prescribed in G.O. 242 Edn. dated 19-2-45. A draft order is submitted for approval. It may be sent to Finance for acceptance.~~

SR
27/10/45
The position is this. Manual Training Instructors under the old scheme cannot be ^{promoted} as Junior Deputy inspectors as all of them are over 40 years of age. Manual Trg. Instructors under the ~~new~~ ^{from 1945} scheme will not be eligible for ~~appointment~~ ^{promotion} as Junior

111cf

Deputy Inspectors because they would not have ^{undergone} ~~undergone~~ ^{teachers'} secy. grade training. The Director says that Manual
Tg. instructors under the new scheme can take leave p 15 of
and undergo secy. grade training. At the same time
he says that they may be permitted to undergo the training
only if public interests do not thereby suffer. The Director
has not defined what he means by public interests.
In short under the existing conditions the amendments
issued in G.O. No. 242 Bdn of 19.2.45 remain a dead letter. For orders whether the age restriction may be removed.
~~It cannot be said that age people above the age of 40~~
~~it is open to the appointing authority to select only those~~
cannot stand the rigours of an executive life and it
is open to the appointing authority to select only those
that satisfy this condition.

By patti the expression 'public interests
do not suffer thereby' the Director
evidently means that the instructors
will be granted leave to undergo


secondary grade training only if
it will not dislocate work in the institution concerned and not as a
matter of course. Attention is directed
to the note on pp 3-4 N.F. of (B). The
point whether an age limit was
necessary was specifically raised
and the Secretary considered
that a definite age-bar was
desirable.

For orders.

2/11

11/11/45 S. As in (B) we

have principal with life and in long of
terms in B and in the future - 'P' at B/1 of
the in relation of an 'age-bar' was
considered at B/3-4 of 11/11/45. It is
to be in the interest of the service that we
should have a complete 9 years term is a
condition in the future of the age-bar was
D.P. 11/11/45. It is suggested on the point
S. A. 11/11/45. B/3 of 11/11/45.



11/11/45

6 A draft memo to the D.P.I. is submitted for approval.

SR 7/11/45
OSM 9/11/45

Issued as Memo. 21944C/45-3 Edu., dated 10-11-45
From the D.P.I., ROC.No.1237-C/46, dated 24-4-46.

*Notes from p.3 ante may be read. The D.P.I. considers that it is not desirable to promote even those Manual Trg. Instructors who have been trained under the old scheme (probably 2 decades ago) and who possess the Secy. grade teacher's certificate, for the reason that since their trg. they have not been in touch with secy. or ele. edn. and that they lack teaching expce. in schools. Therefore he does not seem to favour the removal of the age-bar altogether. But he would have the age-limit fixed at 45 instead of at 40 - in which ^{case} he says about 6 of the ²⁰ instructors trained under the old scheme will be benefited. For orders whether this age-limit may be ^{accepted} fixed and the rule amended accordingly.

But attention is invited in this connection to ^{pg. 5} portion marked 'P' in p.1 of (B) wherein it is stated that some manual training instructors are handling general subjects also and on this basis they are appointed as Asst. Examiners for Public Examinations.

(17)
It may be pointed out in this connection that the age limit ^{proposed} for recruitment by transfer to the Administrative Section of the M.E.S. is 50. If the same age limit is fixed for appointment to the posts of Dy. Inspr. (junior scale) from the category of Manual Training Instructors, ten out of the twenty persons mentioned by the D.P.I. will become eligible for promotion; if for any reason any of these ten persons are not fit for such promotion the D.P.I. may exclude him. For orders whether in the circumstances the age limit may be fixed at 50 instead of 45 as suggested by the D.P.I.
OSM J. Balakrishna Menon Asst. Secy.
4/5/46 S/HM (Edu.)
Plan for up. of Manual Trg. in a column.

rule 2(a), 1928
p.22, B.R.114
B/8-9

The special rules for the M.E.S.S. provide for the promotion of Secy. grade teachers, among others, as Dy. Insprs. of Schools (junior scale). In G.O.242-Edn., dated 19-2-46, the rule was amended enabling the promotion of Manual Trg. Instructors who have not completed 40 yrs. of age as Junior Dy. Insprs.

2. There are two classes of Manual Trg. Instructors - viz., (a) those trained under the old scheme who possess the Trained Teacher's Certificate of the Secy. Grade; and (b) those trained under the scheme introduced in 1928 who do not possess this qualfn. The amendment does not benefit those trained under the old scheme [(a) above] because they are all over 40 yrs. of age. It does not also benefit those trained under the revised scheme as they can be appointed only after they successfully undergo the Secy. Grade trg; their deputation for the trg. depends on the exigencies of service. The Dir. is not in favour of removing the age-bar altogether. He has, however, proposed that the

The orders in (B) were framed with a view to providing benefit to type of teachers;

age-limit may be raised from 40 to 45. If this is done, 6 Manual

Trg. Instructors under the category (a) will become eligible for

promotion. For orders whether the Dir.'s proposal may be approved.

J. B. Balakrishna Menon
11/5/46 Asst. Secy



1/25/46

14-5-46

(Cm.)

A draft order is subd. It may be sent to

Pub.(Ser.) for perusal and Legal for scrutiny. Consultation with
the Commn. is not necessary - pl. see M.P.S.C.Regulation 18(b).

14, 15, 16

22/5/46 Pub (Ser)
Legal

Pub (Ser) Dept.

The draft order below may
be passed and the file passed on
to Legal. [The Commission need

not be consulted on principle
to be followed in making
promotions within a service

- see sec. 266 (3) (b) of the
Govt. of India Act, 1925.



Pub (Ser) Dept.

1380/46
27/5/46

27/5/46 Legal

LEGAL DEPARTMENT

ASSISTANT SECRETARY

28 MAY 1946

LEGAL DEPARTMENT.

The alterations indicated in red ink on the
draft may be made. This Dept. agrees that Consultation
with the Madras Public Service Commission is not necessary
by virtue of s. 266 (3) of the G. of I. Act read with s. 3 (4)
of Part B of Annexure I to the Madras Public Service Regulations,
1927.

21/5/46

The draft order may issue.



Legal no 36471

29/5/46