

Section (1945) Department.

Ans. SERIES. ①

G.O. No. 53 Education

Dated 12.1.45

[Despatch Abstract.]

University. Annamalai. Senate. Further
nominations. Notified

Back Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Further Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.



2-212/44. ②

Government House,
Guindy,
10th January 1945.

01591

My dear Subramanian,

Further to my D.O.No.2-212/44 dated 5th January, His Excellency the Chancellor has been pleased to nominate, under section 15, Class III(5) of the Annamalai University Act, the following persons to the Senate of the Annamalai University with effect from 6th December 1944:-

1. Rao Sahib Dr.B.V.Narayanaswami Naidu, Principal, Pachaiyappa's College, Madras.
2. Sri E.Asirvadham, Reader in Politics and Public Administration, Madras University, Madras.

Would you kindly arrange to issue the usual notification in the next issue of the Fort St.George Gazette?

Yours sincerely,

3/15 AGP/lat

M.V.Subramanian Esq., ICS,
Secretary to Government, Education & Public Health
Department.

Copy to the Vice-Chancellor, Annamalai University.

(3)

University - Annamalai - Senate - Further nominations - Notified.

G.O.No. Ms. 53 Edn., dated 12-1-45

The following notification will be published in the Fort St. George Gazette:-

NOTIFICATION.

Under section 15, Class III (5) of the Annamalai University Act, 1928 (Madras Act I of 1929) H.E. the Chancellor has nominated the following persons as members of the Senate of the University with effect from the 6th December 1944:-

1. Rao Sahib Dr. B.V. Narayanaswami Naidu, Principal, Pachaiyappa's College, Madras.
2. Sri E. Asirvadham, Reader in Politics and Public Administration, Madras University, Madras.

To the Registrar,
Annamalai University.
To the D.P.I.
To the S.G.P. for pubn.
of notification in the
next issue of the Gazette.

12.1.45
12.1.45

12.1.45

12.1.45

donation to the War Fund.

(3) At this stage for the fourth time notice of a resolution that Rs. 5000 out of the Municipal Fund should be donated to the Governor's War Fund was given by a councillor and it came up for discussion at the ordinary meeting of the council held on 18-4-41. It is significant that the councillor who gave notice of the resolution was the selfsame councillor who brought forward similar resolution previously and then that resolution was defeated, only two voting for it. Again it is still more significant to note that the selfsame councillor at the time of giving notice of the resolution which came up for discussion at the meeting of 18th April last has ceased to be a councillor as per a G. O. of the Local Government as he is in default in the payment of the taxes due by him to the Municipality and consideration of the steps to be taken to collect the taxes from him forms the subject matter of subject No. 25 at the same meeting.

(4) The Objection that the said councillor is disqualified to act as a Municipal Councillor and therefore to give notice of or to move any resolution was raised at the meeting. But as some other councillor did not accept the position, a resolution for adjourning the consideration of the subject pending the opinion of council lawyer to whom the question was proposed to be referred was brought forward and declared lost by majority of 11 against 10.

(5) Then very strangely enough and quite contrary to rules governing the procedure to be adopted in moving resolution and thus quite illegally, another and a totally different councillor who had not given notice of moving any resolution suddenly moved the same and it was declared carried by a majority of 13 against 10. All the time the councillor who gave notice of resolution was present and was not unwilling to move the same but on the other hand has even voted for it.

