

Edn and P.H.

(194⁵) Department.

MS SERIES.

G.O. No.

442. B.T.

Dated

21. 3. 45.

Order up set.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Arrears claims - Pay - Raghunathan Sri, C. Dist. Edl. Offr. Investigation by the A.G. - authorised.

Back Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	D
Eno.	2388	Gen. Secy.	11-8-44				

Further Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.
Plans.	15464 A. 1. E. 2n.		17-7-45			
	781	CO				
	10-4-46					


OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION, M A D R A S.
Roc.No.213-C/45 dated 22-2-1945.

pay - Sri C. Raghunathan, District Educational Officer -
Refixation of pay on confirmation.

Ref: Petition dated 25-1-1945 from Sri C. Raghunathan,
District Educational Officer, on leave.

Submitted and recommended.

2. A copy of the remarks of the Accountant-General stating
that the request of the petitioner is admissible is enclosed.



M. R. Raghunathan
23.2.45

for Director of Public Instruction.
To The Secretary to Government, Education and Public Health
Department.

Copy of letter No.G.A.IV.Edn.1313 from the Accountant-General, Madras
to the Director of public Instruction dated 14th February 1945.

Sub: Sri C. Raghunathan, District Educational Officer, Refixation
of pay on confirmation in the Madras Educational Service.
Ref: D.P.I.'s Roc.No.213-C/45 dated 26-1-1945.

The request of Sri C. Raghunathan to have his substantive pay
refixed in the scale of Rs.190-25/2-240-30/2 -480-40/2-560 with effect
from 16-1-1940, the date from which he was confirmed in the Madras,
Educational Service, is admissible with reference to Audit Instruction
1-A, under F.R.22 on page 21 of "The Madras Audit Manual" introduced
by C.S.No.9(I List) dated 10-6-1937.

As the refixation of his pay with retrospective effect from

-2-
from 16-1-1940, would involve investigation of claims over one year old it requires orders of Government with reference to article 54 of the Madras Financial Code Volume I.

25/1/45
Dated 25th January 1945. 3

From

Sri C. Raghunathan,
District Educational Officer (On leave),
"Robin House", 14, Thirumalai Pillai Road,
Thyagarayanagar, Madras.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department.

Through the Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

Sir,

In enclosing herewith a copy of the letter No. G.A. IV Edn. 1187 dated 18th January 1945 from the Accountant-General, Madras I submit the following facts for favour of your consideration and orders.

When I was first promoted as Officiating District Educational Officer, Guntur in the Madras Educational Service on 15th October 1938 in the scale of 190-25/2-240--30/2--480 40/2--560, I drew a pay of Rs. 240/- p.m. my substantive pay in the S.E.S. being Rs. 230/- from 14-5-1938 exclusive of the special pay of Rs. 25/- per mensem, I was drawing as the Headmaster of the Model High School, Teachers' College, Saidapet. My substantive pay has been raised from Rs. 230/- to Rs. 240/- on 14-5-1939 and to Rs. 250/- on 14th May 1940.

In G.O. No. Ms. 1580 Education dated 17th October 1941 I was confirmed in the M.E.S. as District Educational Officer, with effect from 16th January 1940. In consequence of the confirmation my pay in the M.E.S. should have been fixed at Rs. 270/- per mensem from 16th January 1940 with reference to Audit Instruction I.A. under Fundamental Rule 22.

Immediately on receipt of orders confirming me in the M.E.S. the Accountant-General, Madras should have issued a revised pay slip which unfortunately he did not issue. If this had been done, my pay should have been Rs. 270/- per mensem from 16th January 1940, Rs. 300/- from 16th January 1942 and Rs. 340/- from 16th January 1944, whereas I drew a pay of Rs. 270/- only up to 14th October 1942, Rs. 300/- up to 14th October 1944 and Rs. 340/- only from 15th October 1944.

P.T.O.

It will thus be seen that I have been very adversely affected by my financially and also by way of increments, prospects and ~~prospects~~ pension.

I regret I did not discover this omission till recently as I had no time to look into this aspect engrossed in my work as I was and as I had also believed that the Accountant-General would issue pay slips automatically according to rules.

In the above circumstances I request that Government may be pleased to authorise the Accountant-General to investigate the claim, refix my pay and order payment of arrears due to me.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Ragunathan

District Educational Officer (On leave)

Copy of letter No.G.A. IV Edn. 1187 dated 18th January 1945 from the Accountant-General, Madras to Sri C. Ragunathan, District Educational Officer (On leave).

Subject: Refixation of your pay at the time of your confirmation as District Educational Officer.

Reference: Your letter dated 8th January 1945.

As the refixation of your pay now with retrospective effect from 16th January 1940, would involve investigation of a claim over one year old, Orders of Government authorising this office to investigate it are necessary, Vide Article 54 of the Madras Financial Code Vol.I. The Government may therefore be addressed in the matter.

CM. 7889/45.

40

21/3/45

(4)

28P.H. Sept.

Arrear claims - Pay - Ragunathan Sri C., S.E.O.
Investigation by the A.G. - Authorised -

Regu from the D.P.S. Ros. no. 213-C/45 d 22-2-45.
G.O. No. 442 22n. dates. 21. 3. 45

The A. G. is authorised to investigate the claims of Sri C. Ragunathan, S.E.O., for arrears of pay and increment from 8-1-1943. The arrears for which he is due to him should be paid in full. as to pay him the arrears

17-3-45. if found admissible for two years immediately preceding 25-1-1945, the date on which his underpayment was brought to the notice of the D.P.S.

17.3.45

18.3.45

by J. S.

To the D.P.S.
A.G. (with
copy of pgs. 1-2 & 3-4)

D.P. of with
and
22.3.



ROBIN HOUSE,
No. 14, Thirumalai Paillai Rd.,
THEAGARAYANAGAR, Madras.
April 2nd, 1945.

From Sri C. Raghunathan, B.A., L.T., T.D. (Lond.), M.R.S.T.,
District Educational Officer (ON LEAVE).

To The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department, Madras,
through The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

Sir,

Sub:- Fixation of pay.

Ref:- G.O. Ms. 442 Education, dated 21-3-1945.

I am grateful to Government for setting right the omission made by the Accountant General, but I regret I have not been given the full benefit to which I am eligible. Though the Order has given room for a period of 2 years, in effect it means an arrear of pay only for 9 months whereas the dues are for about 27 months.

I submit that it is rather unfortunate that I for no fault of mine except that I was unmindful of my own interests, should be penalised materially.

I may also state that the matter was brought to the notice of the Accountant General on 8-1-1945, vide his reply copy enclosed in my original petition. This I was able to do when I got relieved from the Civil Defence Department where I was naturally very busy for 2 years.

In the special circumstances of the case and in the light of this representation I request you to be good enough to modify your Orders and order the Accountant General to pay my arrear claims from the date on which they fell due.

Raghu Man

District Educational Officer,
(On leave)

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION, MADRAS.
Rec.No.213 C/45, dated 14-4-1945.

Sub: Fixation of pay on confirmation Sri C.Raghunathan,
District Educational Officer on leave.
Ref: Petition dated 2-4-45 from Sri C.Raghunathan,
District Educational Officer, on leave.
@@@@@

15469



SUBMITTED to Government with reference to their order
No. 42, Education, dated 21-3-1945 and recommended for
favourable consideration.

p.s ante

M. Raghunathan

16.4.45

TRB.16/4
To

for Director of Public Instruction.
The Secretary to Government, Education & Public Health
Department, Madras.

Sol
16/4

$$\frac{15}{264}$$

丁林

2

Mc G. S. p. 2. v.

berp
K.M
26.4.4

Exp. H. Dept

⑦

Arrear claims - Pay - Sic. Rejuvenation.

Repa. from Re. D.P.I. Res. no. 213C/45d14-4-45

~~In the petition dated 2.4.45 from~~

Mr C. Raghunathan forwards this to D.P. East.

~~referred to above, it~~ ^{has} ~~is~~ ^{in his petition 82-6-45} states ~~that~~ ^{that} ~~through~~ ^{the} ~~1985~~
in G.O. 442 ^{p. 5 ante} Edn. dated 21-3-45 gives ~~him~~ ^{him} ~~in~~ ⁱⁿ.

~~Repayment~~ The benefit of appears ^{of 1.9} ~~in 2 years it means in effect appears~~ ^{only} ~~of 2 years~~

for 9 months ~~where as the dues are for about~~
27 months. Please let me know ^{say please} the ~~correctness~~ ^{correctness}

Statement is correct & if so how it has been calculated.

24/4/45 505. 4. 4. 5

24-445-

3. e. l. Vereniging Krijgsvrienden.

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION, M A D R A S.
R.O.C.NO.213-C/45, dated 21-5-45.

SUBJECT:- Pay - Arrear Claims - Sri C.Raghunathan, District Educational Officer, North Arcot.
Ref:-- Your Telephone message, dated 24-4-45.

A copy of the letter dated 17-5-45 from Sri C.Raghunathan, District Educational Officer explaining how he actually gets arrears of pay for only 9 months instead of two years as allowed in G.O. 442, En., dated 21-3-1945, is enclosed.

23407

for Director of Public Instruction.



The Assistant Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department.

Copy of the letter referred to above.

*Arrears of pay - Ref: Pros. Re.213-C/45, dated 15-5-45
of the Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

I submit herewith a tabular statement regarding the arrears of pay due to me:

Permanent pay
as Headmaster.

Officiating
pay in M.E.S.,

Pay after confirma-
tion in M.E.S.,

150--10--250.

190-25/2-240-30/2-480-40/2-560.

14-5-38 230 plus
25 spl. pay.

15-10-38 .. 240

14-5-39 240

15-10-40 .. 270 16-1-40 .. 270

14-5-40 250

15-10-42 .. 300 16-1-42 .. 300

15-10-44 .. 340 16-1-44 .. 340

The period of 2 years before 25-1-45 will take me to 25-1-43 on which date I was drawing Rs.300/-. The increment as per confirmation order will raise my pay to Rs.300/- even from 16-1-42.

The period from 25-1-43 upto date covers only the arrears due from 16-1-44 to 15-10-44 - a period of nine months. The period of 2 years does not confer me anything more.

I may also incidentally submit that while my pay ought to be Rs.250/- in my substantive post on 14-5-40, my officiating pay in a higher post was only Rs.240. All these factors may kindly be taken to grant my request for full arrears from 16-1-40.

SD. x. x. x.
District Educational Officer,
N. Arcot.

kp.23-5.

15469
13/

10483

24 P.H.(A)

48
17.7.

Memo No. 15469 A-1 dated 17-7-45

Pay - Arrear claims - Sri C. Raghunathan,

D. S. O. N. Aroor

Ref: From the D.P.O. R.O. No. 2134/45 of 14.4.45 and 21.5.45

The D.P.O. is requested to inform

Sri C. Raghunathan that the orders in
G.O. No. 442 Edn. of 21-3-45 will not
be altered.

2
The D.P.O.

Perpet
14
18.7.45

13.7.45

by
17.7.45

No. G.A.IV. Edn. 323

Office of the Accountant General,
Madras dated 30th June 1945.

The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
and Public Health Department,



30538

Subject: Madras Education Service - Sri C. Raghunathan
Ayvandi - District Educational Officer - Pay-
G.O. No. 442 Edn. dated 21-3-45.

I have the honour to state that the claim for arrears
of pay at Sri C. Raghunathan was investigated as directed
in G.O. No. 442 Edn. dated 21-3-45 and he was allowed to
draw arrears of pay for the period 16-1-44 to 28-5-44 at
Rs. 40/- per mensem, vide this office letter No. G.A.IV. Edn.
210 dated 24-5-44 (copy enclosed). From 29-5-44 he has not
been permitted to draw any arrears as in Government memorandum
Public (C.D.) Department No. 45033/44-2 dated 18-9-44, it
has been stated that the additional pay of Rs. 75/- sanctioned

15
in G.O. No. 2358 Public (C.D.) dated 11-8-44 should be classed as additional pay which will be absorbed in future increments so that his total emoluments do not exceed Rs. 500/- as detailed in Government memorandum No. 45033/44-2 Public (Civil Defence) Department dated 18-9-44.

It may be added that as the house allowance admissible to him under the rules was only Rs. 13-1-0 he was drawing only Rs. 513-1-0 as shown below against the limit of Rs. 550/- ordered by Government. I request to be informed if the view taken by this office is correct.

Substantive Pay	Rs. 300/-	340 --
Additional pay	75/-	75 --
Special	50/-	50 --
Conveyance allowance	75/-	13-1-0
House rent allowance	13-1-0	513-1-0
Total	513-1-0	553-1-0

P. Ven. Katanamachy
Assistant Accounts Officer.

Copy of this Office letter No. G.A. IV. Edn. 210 dated 24-5-45 to Sri C. Raghunathan, District Educational Officer, North Arcot, Vellore.

Arrear claims-Investigation of claim.

When you were confirmed as District Educational Officer on 16-1-40, your pay in the nongazetted post was Rs. 240/- hence you were eligible for pay as District Educational Officer as follows:-

From 16-1-40	Rs. 270 in the 1938 scale
" 16-1-42	Rs. 300
" 1-9-43	Rs. 300 in the 1933 scale
" 16-1-44	Rs. 340

In G.O. No. 442 M.S. Edn. dated 21-3-45, Government have ordered that arrears are payable only for 2 years prior to 25-1-45. During the period you actually drew pay as follows:-

From 25-1-43	Rs. 300 plus Rs. 50/- Special pay
From 29-5-44	Rs. 375 including additional pay.

Hence you are now eligible to draw difference of pay at Rs.40/- from 16-1-44 to 28-5-44. This may be drawn in a supplemental bill quoting this letter as authority.

(True copy)

Superintendent.

E. J. N. Depth.

G.O.s. required for this file are put up in the file in which a further representation from Sri C. Raghunathan for the grant of arrears of pay for a long period is dealt with - file with Asst. Secretary. This will be reimbursed when the file is returned & the frs are available.


40m. 15469A/45.

10.7.45.



Madras,

30th October, 1945.



From

C. Raghunathan, B.A., L.T.,
Special Officer for Post War Reconstruction (Edn)
Office of the D. P. I., Madras.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department,
Fort St. George, Madras.

Through

The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

Sir,

REF: G.O. No. 442 Edn. dated 21-3-1945 and
Govt. Memo. 15469-A.1.Edn. dated 17-7-45. Re: arrear claims.

I regret very much that I am constrained to request you to reconsider your orders passed in your memo. dated 17-7-1945.

I have very clearly explained as to how I have suffered materially for no great fault of mine. I have also pointed out that the fault was with the Accountant General mainly. I was eligible to get about Rs.960/- as arrears but as a matter of fact I have got only Rs.176-13-0. I submit that this penalty is far too much for an officer who has done some good service in this Department and in the Civil Defence Department. I had already explained that I was unaware of the Audit instructions under Fundamental Rules and I had entirely reposed faith in the Accountant General who is in charge of all the service and pay conditions of every Gazetted Officer.

I am enclosing herewith a copy of the D.O. from the Accountant General to whom I have addressed on the matter. I shall feel grateful if Government would very kindly sympathise with my position and reconsider their orders and allow me to claim the balance that may be due to me as per Accountant-General's instructions.

I beg to remain,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Signature

Copy of D.O.G.A.IV-Edn. dated 9-10-1945 from the Accountant-General, Madras.

Will you kindly refer to your D.O. dated Sept.45 regarding the fixation of your pay?

P.T.O.

I have carefully gone through your case, but to my infinite regret I am unable to initiate any action at this end. The omission in my office to issue a revised pay slip when orders of Government were received in October 1941 confirming you in the post of District Educational Officer was regrettable, and it is a pity that you did not also bring this omission to notice at the time. When orders were issued by Government in March 1945 authorising the investigation of the claim for arrears of pay due to you, it was open to them to have directed the payment of the entire arrears due from 16th January 1940, but Government ordered the payment ~~of the~~ only from 26th January 1943. Again, as explained in Mr. Krishnamachariar's D.O. reply dated 11th August 1945, the reason for the view was taken by this office regarding your eligibility for the arrears from 29th May 1944 was that Government had fixed a maximum limit to your emoluments in their Memorandum No. 45033/44-2 dated the 18th September 1944 and this office was not in a position to authorise the arrears without a reference to Government.

Audit officers are definitely precluded from re-opening past cases with Government unless Government themselves direct the Audit officers to do so. I note however from your letter dated the 8th June 1945 that you have already addressed Government to reconsider their orders regarding the time limit of two years, and I have no doubt that they will pass suitable orders.

(True Copy)

R.O.C. No. 4315-C/45, Office of the Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

dated 9-11-1945.

submitted to Government with reference to their Memorandum No. 15469-A-1 Education dated 17-7-1945.

In the circumstances reported in the Accountant General's letter No. G.A.IV Education dated 2-10-1945, the representation of Sri C. Raghunathan, for the payment of arrear claims in full is recommended for favourable consideration.

To The Secretary to Government, Education and Public Health Department.

BR, 10-11-45

sol
10/11

12 E. & P. H. Dept. (A) 51350
Memo. No. 51350-A-2-Edn., d/ 11.12.45
Pay - Arrear claims -
Sri C. Raghunathan, Spl. Officer for Post-war Reconstruction.
Ref: - From Sri C. Raghunathan, d/ 30-10-45
From the D.O.I., Roc. No. 4315-C/45, d/ 9-11-45.
The Govt. decline to re-consider the orders in G.O. No. 442-Edn., d/ 21-3-45. The D.O.I. is requested to inform Sri C. Raghunathan accordingly.
Note: - Please see the notes and orders in circulation on pp. 1-4 N.F. of G.O. 442-Edn., d/ 21-3-45. The draft Memo. above

22.11.45
 follows the previous decision. It is for order
 whether in view of the A.G.'s statement
 at 'A' on p. 2 of the matter will
 be reconsidered and the request
 for the bill of salary supported
 if so, the file may be sent to Finance
 for remarks.

23.11.45
 The point has been taken into account - please see p. 1 of the file. I am
 of the view that there is a case for reconsideration.

24.11.45
 Fin. (C. I.)
 This dept. may agree with the views of E. P. H. Secretary alone.

29.11.45
 55221 & 7.7.
 3.12.45

8 - DEC 1945
 ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
 EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.

55066

29 NOV 1945
 ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
 FINANCE DEPARTMENT

55221

13 E.P.H. Sept. (A).
 Fin. agree with Secy's view. The draft
 already subd. on p. 1 ante is for approval.

6/12/45
 6/12/45
 6/12/45

11 DEC 1945
 SECRETARY, EDU. AND P.H.

6/12/45
 6/12/45
 6/12/45

6/12/45
 6/12/45
 6/12/45

(14)

C.No.7889. From the D.P.I., ROC.No.213-C/45, dated 22-2-1945.

Sri C.Raghunathan, D.E.O. - Pay - Arrear claims.

Sri C.Raghunathan was confirmed as D.E.O. in G.O.No.

1550-Edn., dated 7-10-1941, with effect from 16-1-1940. On

8-1-1945 he addressed the A.G. to refix his pay in the scale applicable to D.E.O.'s from 16-1-1940 with refce. to substantive pay of Rs.240 which he was drawing from 14-5-1939. At the

instance of the A.G., the sanction of Govt. has now been applied for by the D.P.I. As the claim is prima facie reasonable, the A.G. may be requested to investigate the arrear claim.

Article 54
pays 26279
Bk No 34

2. The next point is from which date the arrears of pay due to the officer should be paid, if found due. The arrear claim arose on 16-10-1941, the date of the G.O. and it was brought to notice by Sri Raghunathan only on 8-1-1945 after a lapse of nearly four years. Any arrear claim which has remained in abeyance over a year when the Govt. servant concerned offers no satisfactory explanation for the delay is liable to be rejected or reduced on grounds of contributory negligence. In the present case, the explanation of the D.E.O. is that he was engrossed in his work and had therefore no time to look into this question. It is for consideration whether this explanation may be accepted as satisfactory and the arrear pay admissible from 16-1-1940 onwards may be sanctioned in full.

3. May be sent to Finance u.o. for remarks.



7889A1
22-2-45.

There has been contributory negligence & the explanation is not satisfactory; hence is not admissible 5.3.45
hence in the statement that the officer expected to take action - though this can be taken as explanation only a portion of the delay, at the initial stage. In the circumstances I would suggest to pay arrears by administrative up to the limit for a period of two years.

1/2 hrs. 1/2

Finance (EI)

The case is set out in para 1 on p. 1 above. (Please see in this connection the remarks of Finance Secretary and the orders in circulation at 'C' and 'D' on pp.1-2 of (N))

The recommendation of E&P.H. Secretary on p. 1 above to limit the arrear claim ~~for 4 years~~ to two years instead of 4 years may be accepted.

14.3.45

14.3.45

EXPH


Two CSR
14/3/45

With reference to Art. 55 of M.P. Code of Civil Procedure.
It is presumed that the arrears due to Sri C. Raghunathan should be allowed for a period of two years prior to the date of his representation to the A.G. The arrears should be paid for the period from 8.1.43. A draft order is submitted.

The claim for arrears was brought to the notice of the D.P.O. in the petition of 25.1.45.

The arrears due for two years may be ordered for the period preceding this date. The draft below is for approval.

17.3.45



17.3.45
A2
20/3/45

Article 54 of the Madras Civil Code

10345

[Order No. 442-Edn, d/21.3.45.]

10345

From the D.P.O., Ret. No. 2130/45 of 14.4.45 & 21.5.45

Pay - Arrear claim - Sri C. Raghunathan, D.E.O., N. Arcot

Ref

15/5/50
G.O. 442 Edn. of 21.3.45 authorised the payment to him of arrears of salary for 2 years immediately preceding 28.1.45. He actually got arrear pay only for 9 months. The reason for that is that the current time scale applicable to him (and Govt. servants generally) carries only biennial increments and in the peto's case it happens that during the two-year period in question, his pay had stood reduced only for 9 months and naturally that alone could have been made good by virtue of the order - please

These calculations at p. 7 CF. The passage A in p. 6 CF is, therefore, more a debating point and has no substance. If Govt. ~~were to accept the point~~ ^{accept the point} 'debating point' he would ~~go not to the~~ ^{go not to the} 'Contributory negligence' referred to at 1/67 where it was definitely ~~intended~~ ^{decided} that the negligence shd. carry a penalty. In the circumstance, the p. 6 CF may be rejected, Draft on p. 8 CF is for ^{p. 56 CF} approval.

The intention of the Govt. is to give a penalty of two years in a summary case a period of two years. That has been done. In present value.

It may be rejected.

Issued as Memo. No. 15469-A-1, 4/17-7-45] p. 13 CF



[CRO-30588 Afus] ^{p. 14 CF} From the A. G. No. G.A. IV Em. 323 of 30.6.45
Pay - Arrears - Sri C. Raghunathan, D.E.O.
In memo. No. 45033/44-2 P. 6 (C.D.)

J/11 CF of 18.9.44, it was ordered that the total emolument of Sri Raghunathan, as Asst. D.C.D. should not exceed Rs. 550 p.m. ^{In arriving at this figure, the pay of the officer (in the M.E.S.) was taken as Rs. 300/- p.m. on 29.5.44, the date from which his emolument was fixed as stated above the order mentioned above were given effect to.} It will be seen from G.O. 442 Em. of 21.3.45, that the officer's pay in the Em. Sept. should have been Rs. 340 p.m. on 29.5.44. It is, therefore, equitable that the maximum limit

17. Dec. P. m. p.
#3 CF and #12 CF
in LF
IS

laid down in the memo at J/11 CF should be raised to Rs. 590 p.m. so as to enable him to draw the arrears of pay due to him, under the orders in (V) from 29.5.44. [It may be noted here that even though he has been sanctioned arrears of pay for a period of two years from 25.1.43, he is actually eligible for arrears only for 9 months during that period and it will be hard to reduce that period further by ^{about} 5 months].

The file may be sent to Pub (C.D.) for necessary action through Fin.

But in ^{basic} he will not get the full Rs 590.

J/11 CF 7 would have to be modified in order to refer to absorption of Rs 75 in future increments. X which A.B. appears to have interpreted liberally.



According to the order in memo 45033/44-2 Pub CD dated 18.9.44, the total emoluments of Sri Ranghanatha Ayyangar D.2.0 employed as Assistant D.C.D. should not exceed Rs 550 p.m. as under.

Pay	Rs. 300
Additional pay	75 to be absorbed in future increment
Special pay	50
Conaryama Allowance	75
M.H.A. not exceeding	50
	<u>550</u>

In fixing the limit of Rs 550 p.m., the late A, definitely ordered that it is inclusive of all items. It was also noted by this Dept in that connection and that increments, if any, earned as D.2.0 while holding the post of asst. D.C.D. should be absorbed in the additional pay of Rs 75 p.m. In the circumstances, if L & P.H. press their proposal, they will have to obtain the orders of A, in the matter.

2/K on page 2 above: If it is decided to raise the total emoluments to Rs 590, inclusive of a pay of Rs 340 p.m., the question of absorption of increments in the add'l pay will not arise as the C.D. arrangements have been closed down, and the officer has been reverted to his parent Dept.

32720 E.T. 2
15/7/45



33719
3053812
4.8.45

The case may be sent to Public (C.D.) for action on the basis of the proposal made by this Dept. (M.H.A. be prima facie).



Please see the letter from the Acct. General on pp. 1-2 C.F. and the notes of E. & P.H. and Finance from p. 1 ante. Mr. C. Raghunathan, Asst. DCD (Training), Madras, was granted an additional pay of Rs 75/- p.m. so that his total emoluments would not exceed Rs 550 p.m. and that the additional pay would be absorbed in his future increments. The enhanced pay was fixed with reference to his responsibilities which were as great as those of other heads of services who were in receipt of much higher pay and in equity to bring it up somewhere about that level.

In fixing Mr. Raghunathan's pay at Rs 550/- his basic pay was taken as Rs 300/- which correctly should have been Rs 340/-. Finance say that his pay would not have been fixed higher than Rs 550/- even if it were known that Rs 340/- was his basic pay. In this connection attention is invited to Mr. Southorn's note on pp. 10-11 N.F. of G.O. No. 2388 Pub. (C.D.) dated 11-8-1944 at (J). It would appear that the other heads of services were drawing Rs 600 and more and that the intention was to give him pay somewhere about the same level. As Rs 590/- is within that limit, it is not now clear whether Rs 590/- would have been fixed inclusive of all allowances.

Submitted that E & P.H. may be requested to obtain orders of Government in circulation.



P.L. (C.D.) 100
966/10-1
9/8/45


SPH. Sept.

The case is set out in the Public (C.D.) note above. It may be circulated for orders as to whether the order in Public (C.D.) Memo. No. 45033/44-2 dated 18.9.1944 may be modified raising the maximum emoluments of Sri Raghunathan as Rs 590/- p.m. instead of Rs 550/- p.m. in view of his basic pay then having been Rs 340/- p.m. & not Rs 300/- p.m.

pp. 11-12 CF 3 (J)
This may be approved
11.8.45

18
E & P. H.

The meeting at 10-11 N.F., the propriety of bringing Mr. Raghunathan's pay to somewhere about Rs 600, to which attention has been drawn at P on previous page, took place at a stage before orders were taken in view at 11 N.F. which placed the outside figure at Rs 550. It is just as likely that if it had been known that the officer's basic pay in the A.S. was Rs 360, instead of Rs 300 as then, the pay of Rs 75 would have been pitched at Rs 35 & emoluments and yet preserving the outside limit of Rs 550 only. In that view, Finance's interpretation at p. 3 ante may perhaps be accepted and the A.S. told, with reference to C.F. 2, that the course of action taken by him was correct.



A Draft Memo. to the A.G. is submitted below for approval.

yes. After issue, the paper may be filed with G.O. 442 Edn. dated 21.3.45.

15-8-45
18/8/45
18/8/45

90 22/8 Memo No. 30538 A-3 dated 21.8.45.

H.S.S. - Sri C. Raghunathan, S.S.O. - pay - Rs. 590/- p.m. in view of his basic pay then having been Rs 340/- p.m. & not Rs 300/- p.m.

The A.G. is informed that the course of action taken by him is correct.

To the A.G.

Deepd
11/8/45
18/8/45

19.8.45

Handwritten notes on lined paper, including the date "M. 2. 2" and other illegible text.