Put away

W

Sectt. II-27—39,004—24-10-44.

Education and Public Health (194 5 ) Department.

37

Mis. SERIES.

**G.O. No.** 1455 Education.

**Dated** 17th September, 1945.

order on pp 17-18 cf

---

[Despatch Abstract.]

Madras Educational Service - Verghese, Sri K.I., Principal, Government College, Mangalore - Representation regarding promotion - Orders passed.

|  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|---|
|  | 982 | Edn | 27.6.44 |
|  | 814 | " | 15.6.43 |
|  | 772 | " | 4.6.43 |
| S.C.'s | 621 | " | 4.5.42 |
| G.O. 1105 |  | P.(S) | 3.6.40 |
|  | 1264 | " | 24.9.30 |
|  | 1583 | " | 5-4-35 |
|  | 1796 | " | 24.10.39 |
|  | 42 | " | 2.1.36 |

**Further Pap**

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O |
|---|---|---|---|---|
|  | 1799<br>18.5.46 | Sri [illegible] Hr. as Principal Govt. Arts College [illegible] |  |  |

1876
28.9.46

1363
28.5.48

---

Print
19.1.45

(2)

From

Sri. K. I. Verghese, M.A(Oxon),
Principal, Government College,
Mangalore.

To

The Secretary to the Government,
Education Department,
Fort St. George, Madras.

Through
The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

Sir,

I beg to submit the following representation for your kind and sympathetic consideration.

2 On the recommendation of the then Appointment Committee, I was directly recruited as District Educational Officer on 9.10.1927. Two years later I was deemed to have completed the period of my probation satisfactorily in the Service, and was also confirmed as District Educational Officer with effect from 8.11.1934. After a period of ten years of service I was permitted by Government to cross the efficiency bar at Rs.500 in the scale of pay of Rs.250-25-500 E.B. 50-800, and I have been drawing the maximum pay of that scale since 27.10.1943. I continued to serve in Class II of the Service (i.e. in the Administrative Section) till 5.7.1943 when I was transferred to Class III (i.e. to the Collegiate Section) along with my permanent lien. Almost simultaneously, Khan Bahadur K. Mohammad Sahib Bahadur, a junior of mine in the Service was promoted from the District Educational officer's post to that of a Divisional Inspector of Schools. This was followed by the promotion of Sri. N. Sarangapani Ayyangar, who is by far my junior in the Madras Educational Service, as Divisional Inspector of Schools in the next vacancy. Thus I was superseded by

G.O. 814 Edn (V)
15-6-43

772 Edn (V)
4-6-43

M

not at all

my juniors on two occasions as a result of my transfer to the Collegiate Branch.

3. In this connection I beg to submit that during my long service of about 16 years in the Administrative Section I worked in various capacities as District Educational Officer, Personal Assistant to the Director of Public Instruction and Deputy Director of Public Instruction. But no adverse remarks in the shape of abstracts from my personal file were at any time communicated to me by any of the Directors under whom I served, and this together with the fact that I was permitted first to complete my probation and then confirmed and also allowed to cross the efficiency bar in the Madras Educational Service, gives me confidence that my superiors had been satisfied with my work through-out my long service of about 16 years as an Administrative Officer. The fact also that I was drafted into the Office of the Director of Public Instruction first as Personal Assistant to the Director of Public Instruction and later as Deputy Director of Public Instruction in 1937, gives me additional assurance that my work in the Administrative Section had been in no way inferior to that of my juniors who have now superseded me as Divisional Inspectors of Schools. Besides, my service as Deputy Director of Public Instruction in a higher category had given me an additional claim for appointment as a Divisional Inspector. When therefore, in the ordinary course of events, I was expecting promotion as a Divisional Inspector, that I should be suddenly transferred from the Administrative to the Collegiate Branch after a long service of about 16 years in the former followed by the transfer of even of my permanent lien, and that just on the eve of the promotion of two of my juniors as Divisional Inspectors, is a matter, which I humbly request you to

kindly consider. Besides, I was transferred together with my permanent lien from the Administrative Section to a post of Principal in the Collegiate Branch, the duties of which are also mainly administrative. Even during this period of my service of over a year and a half as Principal, no adverse remarks from my personal file have been at any time communicated to me. Therefore the promotion of two of my juniors as Divisional Inspectors and my transfer to the Collegiate Section could not have been ordered on the ground that my work as an Administrative Officer was found wanting. My transfer as Principal of this College was ordered perhaps in the exingencies of service. But I submit that this transfer, especially the transfer of my permanent lien to the College Branch just on the eve of the promotion of two of my juniors to higher category, has had an adverse effect on my prospects in the Service, in that it deprived me of my legitimate claims for promotion to higher posts to which they were appointed. I may also be permitted to mention here that as a District Educational Officer, I had always wholeheartedly and conscientiously helped the war efforts in various directions. In addition, I have made large contributions (Over Rs.15000/-) to the war loan. As regards my academic qualifications, it is needless for me to mention that I possess qualifications superior to those of officers who have now superseded me due to my transfer to the Collegiate Section.

Adverse remarks about his conduct & work as DEO have been communicated while [illegible]

4. In the above circumstances, I submit that the promotion of the two of my juniors as Divisional Inspectors has been detrimental to my normal prospects in the service. In this connection I beg leave to bring to your kind notice that I had served as Deputy Director of Public Instruction as early as in 1937. An extract from the Gazette notification is given hereunder:-

## Miscellaneous Notifications.

Part I-B of Fort St.George Gazettee dated 31st August, 1937.

---

## POSTINGS.

x x x

M.R.Ry K. I. Verghese Avargal, Officiating Personal Assistant to the Director of Public Instruction, on relief to officiate as Deputy Director of Public Instruction.
~~to~~

P 15 G.7

x x x

Madras, 24th August, 1937.

----

In view of the facts that the posts of Deputy Director of Public Instruction and Divisional Inspector of Schools are in the same scale of pay and are included in the same Class, and that I had started my probation as Deputy Director of Public Instruction as early in 1937, I request that I may be considered to be senior to Khan Bahadur K. Mohammad Saheb Bahadur and Sri. N. Sarangapani in the higher category. Besides, by virtue of my service as Deputy Director of Public Instruction and consequent commencement of probation in that post in 1937 I have acquired a preferential claim for appointment to the post of Deputy Director of Public Instruction. No junior of mine has superseded me in that post so far. One of the posts of Deputy Director of Public Instruction will fall vacant consequent on the retirement of Khan Bahadur Mohammad Sahib Bahadur in October, 1945. In the above circumstances I request you to kindly consider my claims for appointment as Deputy Director of Public Instruction in that vacancy.

I beg to remain,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

K. I. Verghese

Mangalore,
17-1--1945.

CONFIDENTIAL

SECRETARY, EDN. AND P. H. DEPT. GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

O F F I C E of the Director of Public Instruction, MADRAS.
Cl.No.42/44 Dated 28.2.1945.

MADRAS EDUCATIONAL SERVICE - Sri K.I. Verghese, Principal, Government College, Mangalore - Claims for promotion to higher posts.

--

Ref: Petition dated 17.1.1945 from Sri K.I. Verghese, Principal, Government college, Mangalore.

--------

08616

Submitted.

2. Sri K.I. Verghese, Principal, Government college, Mangalore, has appealed against the orders of Government appointing two of his juniors, viz., Khan Bahadur K. Muhammad Sahib Bahadur and Sri N. Sarngapani, as Divisional Inspectors of schools. In G.O.No.Ms.772 Education dated 4.6.1943, Khan Bahadur K. Muhammad Sahib Bahadur was appointed to officiate as Divisional Inspector of schools, Madura division with effect from 1.7.1943, when Sri K.I. Verghese was serving as District Educational Officer, Chittoor. Had he any grounds for appeal against the supersession of his claims he should have preferred his appeal long ago. It is not known why he did not do so all these 18 months. In G.O.No.Ms.982 Education dated 27.6.'44 Sri N. Sarngapani was promoted as Divisional Inspector, Guntur division with effect from 2.7.1944 and the petitioner seems to have thought of representing his case after a lapse of six months after the promotion of Sri N. Sarngapani.

3. As to the merits of his claims and as regards the points raised by him in his petition the following remarks are submitted: --

(i) Paragraph 2 of the petition: -- The petitioner states that as a result of his transfer to the collegiate branch he was superseded by his juniors. So far as the promotion of Khan Bahadur K. Muhammad Sahib Bahadur is concerned, the attention of Government is invited to the late Sir Meveral Statham's recommendation in R.R.Cl.12/43 dated 22.5.1943. It may be observed therefrom that Sri K.I. Verghese was then in the Administrative section and that his claims were duly considered along with those of the other officers. At the time of Sri N. Sarngapani's promotion, however, Sri K.I. Verghese was not in the Administrative section, and therefore, consideration of his claims for promotion as Divisional Inspector did not arise.

(ii) Paragraph 3 of the petition: -- Sri K.I. Verghese served as Deputy Director of Public Instruction (Finance) for a short period during 1937. He states that his service as Deputy Director of Public Instruction has given him an additional claim for appointment as a Divisional Inspector. In this connection it is submitted that prior to April 1942 the posts of Deputy Directors of Public Instruction in the Madras Educational Service were on the same scale of pay as those of the Personal Assistant and the District Educational Officers, whereas the posts of Divisional Inspectors of schools were on a higher scale of pay. It was usual to transfer District Educational Officers as Deputy Directors of Public Instruction and such transfers did not mean that the officer had been promoted to a higher post nor did that officer acquire any statutory right for appointment as Deputy Director of Public Instruction in future vacancies. It was only from April 1942, that the posts of Deputy Directors of Public Instruction were placed on a higher scale of pay and on the sam footing with the Divisional Inspectors of schools -- vide G.O. 621 Education dated 4.5.1942. Promotions to the posts of

Deputy Directors of Public Instruction have now to be made on grounds of merit and ability. In the above circumstances, the fact that Sri K.I. Verghese served as Deputy Director of Public Instruction for a short period in 1937 does not confer on him any Statutory rights for being re-appointed to the posts of Deputy Director of Public Instruction especially when the scale of pay for these posts has now been raised and promotion to the posts have to be made in accordance with Rule 2(a)(ii) of the Special Rules for the Madras Educational Service.

A

Page 24-7, Bk No 113, Services Manual Volume II

(3) As regards his transfer from the Administrative to the collegiate branch of the Madras Educational Service the petitioner states that perpahs the transfer was ordered in the exigencies of service". This presumption on his part is not correct. As a senior officer aspiring for promotion to higher posts in the service he cannot now plead that he was altogether unaware of the reasons for his transfer from the Administrative to the Collegiate section, especially when he knew that by such a transfer his claims for promotions in the Administrative section were likelyto be jeopardised. In fact he did not even make a formal protest against his transfer as Principal, even after Sri N. Sarngapani's promotion as Divisional Inspector This is a sufficient proof that he was fully aware of the reasons for his transfer. It may here be stated that while he was District Educational Officer, Chittoor a number of allegations of corruption and other irregularities were levelled against him. The Divisional Inspector of schools, Anantapur division informed him more than once both personally and in writing about his unsatisfactory work and conduct. From the records in this office it is seen that charges were not framed against the petitioner owing to the fact that he was already transferred to the Collegiate section and it was felt that it would be difficult to prove the charges against him.

A

B

4. Even as Principal, Government college, Mangalore, Sri K.I. Verghese has given room for complaints both from the students of the college and the public of Mangalore.

K

5. In the above circumstances the request of the petitioner does not deserve any consideration.

Muhammad
1.3.45

for Director of Public Instruction

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education & Public Health Department.

G.A.W./1.3.1945.

E & P.H. Dept.

G.Os required for this file are put up in the file ~~relating to~~ (bearing C.No. 53531/A/44 petition from Sri C.D.S. Chetti) sent in circulation linked with the file relating to the D.P.I. The return of the file may be awaited till 20.3.45.

10.3.45

9/3/45

9.3.45

---

8616 A/45

E & P.H. Dept.

Confdl.

M.E.S. – Verghese Sri K.I. Principal, Govt. College, Mangalore – Claims for promotion – Refce. from the D.P.I. C.L. No. 42/44 d 28/2/45.

pp9-10 C.F.

**Memo. No. 8616A/45-1 Edn., d/ 26/3/45**

G.O. 1822 Edn. 27-6-44 in C.No. 53531/A/44

The D.P.I. is requested to submit to Govt. the papers ~~relating to the allegations of corruption & other irregularities~~ levelled against Sri K.I. Verghese when he was the D.E.O. Chittoor and the remarks of the Divisional Inspector, Anantapur about the work & conduct of the officer and also the papers ~~in which~~ relating to the framing of charges against him.. referred to in the concluding portion of para 3 of his endt. read above.

A in p10 C.F.

To the D.P.I.

23/3/45

23.3.45

24.3.45

26/3

26/3/45

Note The personal file of the officer is in this office. The papers referred to at 'A' on p10 CF may be called for. Draft memo submitted.

23/3/45 23.3.45

A 8 **12661**

**CONFIDENTIAL:** Education Department.

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.
30 MAR 1945

From
Dr. B.B. Dey, D.Sc.(Lond.), F.R.I.C.,
Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

To
The Secretary to Government,
Education & Public Health Department,
Madras.

CL. No. 42/44, Dated 28th March, 1945.

Sir,

Subject:- Madras Educational Service - Sri K.I. Verghese, Principal, Government College, Mangalore - Claims for promotion.

***

Reference:- Government Education & Public Health Confidential Memorandum No.8616 A/45-1 Edn., dated 26-3-1945.

---

I have the honour to forward herewith for perusal and return the Confidential Disposal file Cl. No. 45/43 containing the papers called for in the Government Memorandum referred to above.

27.3.45

For DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

NB/29-3.

30/3

12

14

8618

E & P.H. Deptt.

papers required for this file are put up in the file (bearing C.M. 53531 A/44) & relating to Sri C.D.S. Chetti. This may L.O. till the papers are available or till 15/4/45.

M. 7/4/45.

E 4.4.45

W 16.4.45

Box

& sent on 16/4/45.

The file referred to above is in circulation A.A. 2 & H.E. The return of the file may be awaited till 22/4/45.

E 17.4.45

W 16.4.45

The main file referred to above ~~for orders as to~~ is submitted separately for orders as to circulation. This may L.O. till the G.Os are available or till ~~[illegible]~~ 5-5-45

M. 25.4.45.

---

15/9

(C) Post copy of the Telephone Message dated 15-5-45.

**

From

The Superintendent,
'C' Section, Office of the Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

To

The Superintendent,
'A' Section, E. & P.H. Department,
Fort St. George, Madras.

--

Your Telephone Message of 7th instant re: K.I. Verghese's petition for promotion. ---

Sri K.I. Verghese was posted as Deputy Director of Public Instruction in the Director's Proceedings R.C.No. 2460-C/37, dated 24-8-1937 (Copy enclosed)

Pl. see below

M. a. D. [illegible]
Superintendent.
'C' Section.

kp. 15-5.

Copy of Director's Proceedings referred to above.

Sd/ 15/5

---

The following postings are ordered:

--

Postings.

Notifications.

I

M.R.Ry. C.V. Govindan Nambiar Avl. Officiating Superintendent of Sanskrit Schools, on relief to officiate as Personal Assistant to the Director of Public Instruction.

II.

M.R.Ry. K.I. Verghese Avl. Officiating Personal Assistant to the Director of Public Instruction on relief to officiate as Deputy Director of Public Instruction.

III.

The posting of Mr. C.V. Govindan Nambiar, Officiating District Educational Officer, South Kanara ordered in Proceedings Roc. 2460-C/37, dated 19-8-1937 is cancelled.

----

C.8616. 83/17/9

Education & P.H. Department.

M.E.S. - Verghese, Sri K.I., Principal, Govt. College, Mangalore - Representation regarding promotion - Orders passed.

**Read**: From Sri K.I. Verghese, dated 17-1-1945. pp.1-7 CF
From the D.P.I., Cl.No.42/44 dated 28-2-1945. pp.9-10 CF

...

ORDER (Ms) No. 1455 Edn. dated 17.9.45

...

The Government agree that Mr.K.I.Verghese's posting as Deputy Director of Public Instruction for a short time in 1937, ordered by the D.P.I., does not make him a probationer in the category of Deputy Directors of Public Instruction, as that category, on the pay now applicable to it, came into being only in 1942.

2. Under rule 2 (b)(ii) of the rules relating to the M.E.S., promotion as Deputy D.P.I. or Divl.Inspector is made on grounds of merit and ability, seniority being considered only where merit and ability are approximately

p 24 of Bk.113.

p.t.o.

11

18

equal. It is not, therefore, correct to assume that Mr. Verghese would have been promoted if he had not been transferred to the collegiate section.

3. The D.P.I. is requested to inform Mr. Verghese in terms of the foregoing orders.

9.9.45

Disp'd with a sealed cover KN 19-9-45

To the D.P.I. (with encl).

kept in sealed cover
Per-file d.
Dir's office
file Cl. No.
45/47

---

Received on 19/8/46.

11

19

Dated 10 th August, 1946.

To

His Excellency
The Governor of Madras.

Humble Memorial of:

Sri K.I.Verghese,M.A.(Oxon)
Principal, Government College,
Mangalore.

Through

The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

May it please your Excellency

The memorialist begs to place his case before your Excellency for a sympathetic consideration in the circumstance stated below.

2. The memorialist was directly recruited to the Madras Educational service as District Educational Officer on 9-10-1927. He successfully completed the prescribed period of probation of two years and was confirmed with effect from 8-11-1934. The memorialist crossed the efficiency bar in due time and rose to the maximum pay of the post in 1943. In tha year, however, the memorialist was transferred to Class III (Collegiate Section) and no lien was reserved on the post from which the transfer was made. This order, apparently casual, however cost the memorialist two supersessions when Khan Bahadur K.Muhammad Saheb Bahdur and Sri N. Sarangapani Ayyangar both juniors to the memorialist were promoted to the post of Divisional Inspector, the memorialist having been sid tracked shortly before to the Collegiate section. This happened in spite of the fact that the memorialist had satisfactorily served as a Personal Assistant to the Director of Public Instruction and later as Deputy Director of Public Instruction, the latter post being similar in grade to that of a Divisional Inspector.

V/3-4 GE

X/5-6
XX/15 GE

3. These supersessions came to the memorialist as a

shock and a humiliation because whether in the Executive post of District Educational Officer or Deputy Director or Personal Assistant or in that of Principal of the College where the memorialist's duties are largely administrative , the memorialist has given no room for adverse comment. The memorialist moved Government for a reconsideration of these appointments but without success. He begs to submit the appeal preferred by him and a copy of G.O.No.Mis.1455 dated 17-9-45 passed thereon . He will now content himself with submitting that the petitioner having given satisfaction in posts of the same character as that of Divisional Inspector, the question of special merit should not have weighed against him and that seniority alone which was in his favour should have counted. Even as regards merit and ability the memorialist has he trusts given proof of it as set out in para 4 below. The memorialist's qualifications were moreover definitely superior to those of th two officers who superseded him. For ready reference the Memorialist is submitting below the qualifications of himself as well as those of the two officers who overstepped him.

H/1-5cf

dd.4-7 infra

|  |  |
|---|---|
| K.I.Verghese. .. .. | Q.- M.A.(phil) (Second Class) (Mad), B.A.(Hons)(Hist) (Oxford),Diploma in Education (Oxford) Ac.Test. |
| Khan Bahadur K.Muhammad Saheb. .. .. | Q.- M.A.(Mental and Moral Science)(Third Class) Diploma in Education(Lond)., Ac.Test. |
| N.Sarangapani Ayyangar. .. .. | Q.- M.A.(Hist)(Second Class L.T., D.I.T..(Clerical) Holder of a group certificat in Book-keeping, Co-operatio and Auditing(First Class) Ac.Test(First Class) Second Class language test in Tel. |

4. The Memorialist now humbly begs to approach your Excellency with a modest request which will be some solace for what he has suffered. The post of the Principal of the Teacher's College, Saidapet, is to fall vacant when the present incumbant retires in october next. The memorialist prays that he may be transferred to that place. The qualifications required for that post are (1) experience in the administrati

section as District Educational Officer and (2) A Diploma in Education. The memorialist has a service of about 15 years as District Educational Officer and possesses a Diploma in Education from the University of Oxford. The memorialist has also gathered experience of College administration by having been a Principal of the Government College, Mangalore, since July, 1943. The memorialist's administrative capacity and tact were proved when during his tenure here he was able to keep the College out of Students' strikes which were in evidence on all sides during this period. The College too rose to a higher pitch of efficiency during the memorialists time as would be seen from the tone, general discipline and examination results. These points the memorialist urges in proof of merit and ability contemplated in Rule 2(b)(ii) of the rules relating to the Madras Educational Service. At the same time the memorialist has an unblemished record of service right through and during this fairly long period of 19 years' service (all in the gazetted rank) he has always been entrusted with responsible posts such as District Educational Officer, Personal Assistant to the Director of Public Instruction, Deputy Director (Finance) and Principal. Further the memorialist may be permitted to invite the special attention of His Excellency to the fact that at no time during all these years of his service was he ever communicated with copies of any adverse remarks from his confidential or personal file.

BK. 113

5. The memorialist now seeks the post of Principal of the Teachers' College, Saidapet, as he would personally prefer it since the duties of the post are suited to his inclination and as he has all the required qualifications for it in addition to seniority. The memorialist humbly prays in conclusion that as a gracious consideration of the facts submitted he may be appointed to the place in question when it falls vacant.

The Memorialist begs to remain,
Your Excellency's obedient servant

Copy submitted to the Private Secretary
To His Excellency the Governor of Madras.

K. I. V.
10/8/46.

14 14 4/22

From

Sri K.I.Verghese, M. A.(Oxon)
Principal, Government College,
Mangalore.

To

The Secretary to the Government,
Education Department, Fort St.George,
Madras.

Through

The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

Sir,

I beg to submit the following representation for your kind and sympathetic consideration.

On the recommendation of the then Appointment Committee, I was directly recruited as District Educational Officer on 9-10-1927. Two years later, I was deemed to have completed the period of my probation satisfactorily in the Service, and was also confirmed as District Educational Officer with effect from 8-11-34. After a period of ten years of service I was permitted by Government to cross the efficiency bar at Rs 500 in the scale of pay of Rs 250-25-500-E.B. 50-800, and I have been drawing the maximum pay of that scale since 27-10-1943. I continued to serve in Class II of the service (i.e. in the Adminsitrative section) til 5-7-1943 when I was transferred to Class III (i.e to the Collegiate Section) along with my permanent lien. Almost simultaneously, Khan Bahadur K.Muhammad Sahib Bahadur, a junior of mine in the service was promoted from the District Educational officer's post to that of a Divisional Inspector of Schools. This was followed by the promotion of Sri N.Sarangapani Ayyangar, who is by far my junior in the Madras Educational service, as Divisional Inspecto of Schools in the next vacancy. Thus I was superseded by my Juniors on two occasions as a result of my transfer to the Collegiate Branch.

V/3-4 CF.

X/5-6

XX/5 CF.

In this connection, I beg to submit that during my long service of about 16 years in the Administrative Section I worked i various capacities as District Educational Officer, Personal Assis ant to the Director of Public Instruction and Deputy Director of Public Instruction. But no adverse remarks in the shape of abstracts from my personal file were at any time communicated to m by any of the Directors under whom I served, and this together with the fact that I was permitted first to complete my probation and then confirmed and also allowed to cross the efficiency bar in the Madras Educational Service, gives me confidence that my superiors had been satisfied with my work through out my long servi of about 16 years as an Administrative Officer. The fact also that I was drafted into the office of the Director of Public Instruction first as Personal Assistant to the Director of Public Instruction and later as Deputy Director of Public Instruction in 1937, gives me additional assurance that my work in the Administrative Section had been in no way inferior to that of my Juniors who have now superseded me as Divisional Inspectors of Schools. Besodes, my service as Deputy Director of Public Instruction in a higher category had given me an additional claim for appointment as a Divisional Inspector. When therefore, in the ordinary course of events, I was expecting promotion as a Divisional Inspector, that I should be suddenly transferred from the Administrative to the Collegiate Branch after a long service of about 16 years in the former followed by the transfer of even of my permanent lien, and that just on the eve of the promotion of two of my juniors as Divisional Inspectors, is a matter , which I humbly request you to kindly consider. Besides, I was transferred together with my permanent lien from the Adminsitarive Section to a post of Principal in the Collegiate Branch, the duties of which are also mainly administrative. Even during this period of my service of over a year and a half as Principal, no adverse remarks from my personal file have been at any time communicated to me. Therefore the promotion of two of my juniors as Divisional Inspectors and my transfer to the Collegiate section could not have been ordered on the ground that my work as an administrative officer was found wanting. My transfer as Principal of this College was ordered perhaps in the exigencies of service. But I submit that this transfer, especially the transfer of my permanent lien to the College branch on the eve of the promotion of two of my Juniors ho higher category has had an adverse effect on my prospects in the service, in that it deprived me of my legitimate claims for promotion to higher posts to which they were appointed. I may also be permitted to mention here that as a District Educational Officer, I had always whole heartedly and conscientiously helped the war efforts in various directions. In addition, I have made large contributions (over Rs 15,000/-) to the war loan. As regards my academic qualifications, it is needless for me to mention that I possess qualifications superior to those of officers who have now superseded me due to my transfer to the Collegiate Section.

In the above circumstances, I submit that the promotion of the two of my juniors as Divisional Inspectors has been detrimental to my normal prospects in the service. In this connection I beg leave to bring to your kind notice that I had served as Deputy Director of Public Instruction as early as in 1937. An extract from the Gazette notification is given hereunder:-

**Miscellaneous notification.**

Part I-B of Fort St.George Gazette dated 31st August, 1937

...

**POSTING.**

x x x

M.R.Ry.K.I.Verghese Avergal, Officiating Personal Assistant to the Director of Public Instruction, on relief to officiate as Deputy Director of Public Instruction.

x x x

Madras, 24th August, 1937

....

In view of the facts that the posts of Deputy Director of Public Instruction and Divisional Inspector of Schools are in the same scale of pay and are included in the same Class, and that I had started my probation as Deputy Director of Public Instruction as early ~~as~~ in 1937, I request that I may be considered to be senior to Khan Bahadur K.Muhammad Saheb Bahadur and Sri N.Satangapani in the higher category. Besides, by virtue of my service as Deputy Director of public Instruction and consequent commencement of probation in that post in 1937 I have acquired a preferential claim for appointment to the post of Deputy Director of Public Instruction. No junior of mine has superseded me in that post so far. One of the posts of Deputy Director of Public Instruction will fall vacant consequent on the retirement of Khan Bahadur Mohammed Sahib Bahadur in October, 1945- In the above circumstances I request you to kindly consider my claims for appointment as Deputy Director of Public Instruction in that vacancy.

Mangalore.
Dated 17-1-1945

Orgl. at (11)/17 c.f.

**CONFIDENTIAL.**

Copy of Proceedings Cl.No.42/44 dated 21-9-1945 of the Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

...

Madras Educational Service- Sri K.I.Verghese- Principal Government College, Mangalore-- representation regarding promotion.-- orders passed.
READ; Petition dated 17-1-1945 from Sri K.I.Verghese, Principal, Government College,Mangalore, addressed to the Secretary to Government, Education & Public Health Dept.

....

G.O.No.Mis.1455 Education dated 17-9-1945.

...

Sri K.I.Verghese is informed that the Government consider that his posting as Deputy Director of Public Instruction for a short time in 1937 ordered by the Director of Public Instruction in Proceedings Roc.No.2460-C/37 dated 24-8-1937, does not make him a probationer in the category of Deputy Director of Public Instruction, as that category, on the pay now applicable to it, come into being only in 1942.

Under Rule 2(b) (ii) of the rules relating to the Madras Educational service, promotion as Deputy Director of Public Instruction or Divisional Inspector is made on grounds of merit and ability, seniority being considered only where merit and ability are approximately equal. It is not, therefore, correct to assume that Mr.Verghese would have been promoted if he had not been transferred to the collegiate section.

.....

"CONFIDENTIAL"

R.CL.No.42/44.

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
19 SEP 1946
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.

OFFICE OF THE
DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,
MADRAS, DATED 18TH SEPTR.,1946.

Subject:- Madras Educational Service - Sri K.I. Verghese - Request for promotion - Memorial to His Excellency the Governor - Submitted with remarks.

Reference:- Memorial dated 10th August 1946 from Sri K.I. Verghese, Principal, Government College, Mangalore, addressed to H.E. the Governor of Madras.

AS II

55194

pp.1-3 ante

***

Submitted.

H/9-10 cf

2. The attention of Government is invited to the Director's Endorsement CL. No. 42/44, dated 28th February 1945 disposed of in G.O. Ms. No. 1455 Education, dated 17th September 1945, in regard to the Memorialist's previous representation for promotion. The following remarks are submitted on the various points raised in the present memorial.

H/17 cf.

p1 ante

3. Paragraph 1 of the Memorial:- No remarks are called for.

do

x/143

4. Paragraph 2: The Memorialist refers to the supersession of his claims by Khan Bahadur K. Muhammad Sahib Bahadur and Sri N. Sarngapani Ayyangar for promotion as Divisional Inspector of Schools. The statement that because he was transferred to the Collegiate Section then, he was superseded by his juniors,is incorrect, inasmuch as at the time the promotion of Khan Bahadur K. Muhammad Sahib Bahadur to the post of Divisional Inspector of Schools was ordered, the Memorialist was serving as District Educational Officer, Chittoor and his claims for promotion were also taken into consideration. The statement that his transfer to the Collegiate Section was a casual one is also not correct as the transfer was ordered by the Government on the recommendation of the then Director, the late Sir Meverel Statham, as the Memorialist's work in the Administrative Section had been unsatisfactory for a long time.--Vide G.O. No. Ms.814 Edn., dated 15th June 1943.

Ⓥ

3-4 cf

p2 ante

cf. H/11-12 cf

5. Paragraph 3: As regards his statement that he has given no room for adverse comment, this again is far from correct as a large number of petitions were received against the work and conduct of Mr. K.I. Verghese. Before passing orders in G.O. Ms. No. 1455 Edn., dated 17th September 1945,the Government also perused the Director's Office file containing various allegations against Sri K.I. Verghese while he was serving as District Educational Officer, Chittoor.

pp2-3 ante

Approved
G.O.No. 1870 Edn.
28.9.46

6. Paragraph 4: As regards his request for being promoted as Principal of the Teachers' College, Saidapet, it is submitted that proposals have already been submitted to Government in my R.R. CL. No. 51/46, dated 6th September 1946 recommending the promotion of Sri T.V.Apparsundara Mudaliar for the post. The candidate recommended for promotion is senior to the Memorialist taking the Madras Educational Service as a whole. The claims of the memorialist have also been discussed in the above R.R. It has been submitted therein that the Memorialist will be suitable for the Principalship of a Training

College

29

College in the Malayalam area, if and when such a College is started. Since the mother-tongue of the Memorialist is Malayalam, it is not desirable to post him to the Teachers' College, Saidapet, in preference to a senior Officer who is a Tamilian.

18.9.46
For DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education & Public Health Department.

NB/18-9.

---

19

Edn. and P.H. Dept.(A).

--

MEMO. No. No. 55794-A-1 Edn, d/ 8.10.46. 28

Principal, Govt.College, Mangalore
M.E.S. - Sri K.I.Verghese/- Request for promotion.

Ref:- From Sri K.I.Verghese, memorial to H.E. the Govr., dated 10-8-1946.
From the D.P.I., R.C1.No.42/44, dated 18-9-46.

--

The D.P.I. is requested to inform Sri K.I.Verghese that his memorial to H.E. the Governor referred to above is rejected.

To the D.P.I.

5.10.46

7.x.46

7/10/46

20

See
Spl.

To

His Excellency The Governor of Madras,
Fort St. George, MADRAS.

Humble Memorial of K. I. Verghese, Principal,
Government College, Mangalore.

Dated 19th October, 1946.

Through
The Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

I/19-21 cf.

The Memorialist solicits a kind perusal of his memorial dated 10-9-1946 of which he begs to attach a copy for ready reference. In that memorial he explained how he had been superseded by two of his juniors in the selection to the post of Divisional Inspector and how no argument of any kind could be urged in favour of either to justify the supersession The memorialist brought to notice an impending vacancy of the Principal of the Teacher's College so that in case it were not possible to redress a grievance which was then a *fait accompli* he might at least be given this vacancy for which he was qualified in every way as set out in his memorial. pp. 3-6

V/5

2. The memorialist is grieved to see from the Fort St. George Gazette of 1-10-1946 that Sri. T. V. AppaSundara Mudaliar has been appointed to officiate in the vacancy in question. The memorialist fears that his case was not before Government when the appointment was made. He hastens to urge his claim in time before a permanent appointment is made.

Please see below page 2 of the enclosure

3. The memorialist whose qualifications are set out in the margin was recruited direct as a District Educational Officer in 1927 whereas Sri. Mudaliar joined as a Non-gazetted Officer and was only a Head master when the memorialist entered service. He does not possess the memorialist's qualifications

p. 4 infra - bottom

Dated 10--8--1946.

To

His Excellency the Governor of Madras.

Humble Memorial of Sri. K. I. Verghese, M.A(Oxon),
Principal, Government College, Mangalore.

Through

The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

May it please your Excellency

The memorialist begs to place his case before your Excellency for a sympathetic consideration in the circumstances stated below.

2. The memorialist was directly recruited to the Madras Educational Service as District Educational Officer on 9-10-1927. He successfully completed the prescribed period of probation of two years and was confirmed with effect from 8-11-1934. The memorialist crossed the efficiency bar in due time and rose to the maximum pay of the post in 1943. In that year, however, the memorialist was transferred to Class III (Collegiate Section) and no lien was reserved on the post from which the transfer was made. This order, apparently casual, however cost the memorialist two supersession when Khan Bahadur K. Muhammad Saheb Bahadur and Sri. N. Sarangapani Ayyangar both juniors to the memorialist were promoted to the posts of Divisional Inspectors, the memorialist having been side-tracked shortly before to the Collegiate section. This happened in spite of the fact that the memorialist had satisfactorily served as a Personal Assistant to the Director of Public Instruction, ~~the~~ and later ~~post~~ as Deputy Director of Public Instruction, the latter post being similar in grade to that of a Divisional Inspector.

paper
not put up

X 1/5-6
b/5 cf.

The memorialist humbly prays in conclusion that as a gracious consideration of the facts submitted he may be appointed to the place in question when it falls vacant.

Them memorialist begs to remain,
Your Excellency's obedient servant,
(sd) K. I. VERGHESE.

- COPY -

K. I. V

2 A 25 35

CONFIDENTIAL.

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION, MADRAS.
R.Cl.No.51/46, dated 30-10-46.

----

Sub: Madras Educational Service - Post of Principal, Teachers' College, Saidapet - Appointment of Sri T.V. Apparsundara Mudaliar - Memorial from Sri K.I. Verghese - submitted.

66832 pp. 1-

Ref: Memorial dated 19-10-46 from Mr. K.I. Verghese, Principal, Govt. College, Mangalore addressed to H.E. the Governor of Madras.

--

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
4 NOV 1946
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.

S U B M I T T E D.

I/28 cf

2. Kind attention is invited to Govt. Memorandum No. 55194 Ms. Education, dated 8th October 1946 and also to the Director's R.R.Cl.No.51/46, dated 6th September, 1946 disposed of in G.O. No. Ms.1870, Education, dated 28-9-46. It may also be submitted that the Memorialist is junior to Sri T.V. Apparsundara Mudaliar in the Madras Educational Service.

r/3 cf
r/5 cf

1-11-46.

for Director of Public Instruction.

TRB.31/10

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education & Public Health Department, Madras.

Sd
31/10

26 26 Edn. and P.H. Dept.(A). ~~8~~ 36

17/18/

MEMO.No.66832-A-1, dt/ 18-11-46.

15/11

Memorial to H.E. the Govr. against appt. of
Sri T.M.Apparasundara Mudr. as Principal
of the Teachers' Collge, Saidapet.

Ref:- From the D.P.I., No.51/46, dated 30-10-46.

cf 7

The D.P.I. is requested to ascertain and report whether Sri K.I.Verghese subd. his memorial dated 19-10-46 addressed to H.E. the Governor after receipt by him of Govt.Memo.No.55194-A-1-Edn., dated 8-10-46.

I/28 cf

17/11/46

✓

To the D.P.I.

15-11-46

15-11-46

27

37 ~~9~~

R.Cl.No.51/46.

Office of the Director of Public
Instruction, Madras, dated 27-11-46.

From

Dr. S.R.U. Savoor, M.A., D.Sc., I.E.S.
Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education & Public Health Department, Madras.

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
73250
29 NOV 1946
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.

Sub: Madras Educational Service - Sri K.I. Verghese - Memorial to His Excellency the Governor against appointment of Sri T.V. Apparsundara Mudaliar as Principal, Teachers' College, Saidapet.

Ref: Govt. Education & Public Health Department Memo. No. 66832 A1, dated 18-11-46.

---

p.8 ante

I/28 cf.

With reference to the Govt. Memo. cited above, I have the honour to state that the Govt. Memo. No. 55194 A.1, Education, dated 8-10-46 was communicated to Sri K.I. Verghese only with my Pros. dated 21-10-46. As such the present Memorial dated 19-10-46 from Sri K.I. Verghese was submitted by him before the receipt of the Govt. Memo. No. 55194-A-1, dated 8-10-46.

pp.1-2 ante

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

for Director of Public Instruction.

TRB. 28/11 Sd. 28/11

62
23/1

28 Edn. and P.H. Dept.(A). 38

---

MEMO.No. 66832 A-2, d/ 27.1.47.

Memorial to H.E. the Govr. - Sri K.I.Verghese, Principal and Lecturer, Govt. ~~Arts~~ College, Mangalore.

Ref:- From the D.P.I., No.51/46, d/- 30-10-46 and 27-11-46.

---

pp.7+9 cf.

pp.1-2 cf.

The D.P.I. is requested to inform Sri K.I.Verghese that his memorial dated 19-10-46 to H.E. the Governor against the appt. of Sri T.V.Apparasundara Mudr. as Principal, Teachers' College, Saidapet, is ~~[illegible]~~ rejected.

To the D.P.I.

P. G.
21.1.47

21/1/47

22/1/47

29 38 E.P.H.(A)

[C No. 8616] p 1 CF From Sri K. I. Verghese, petn. dated 17.1.45.
p 1 CF .. the D.P.I. Cl. No. 42/44 of 28.2.45

M.E.S. – Sri K. I. Verghese – Representation ~~re~~ promotion.

The CF. is a representation from Mr. K. I. Verghese, now Principal, Govt. College, Mangalore, a post included in Class III – Collegiate section – of the M.E.S. (Men's branch). His main points are

p 1 CF (1) Having been originally recruited (1927) to occupy a post of D.E.O. (Class II – Administrative Section (Men's branch), he was transferred at a very late stage (June 43) to the Collegiate section and thereby lost his chances of promotion as Dl. Inspr. and two of his juniors, Khan Bahadur K. Muhammad and Sri N. Sarngapani, were so promoted.

p 1 CF A/ (2) In consideration of his having commenced probation as Dy. D.P.I. in 1937 his claims for appt. to that post, when it falls vacant, due to the expected retirement of Khan Bahadur Maulavi Muhammad Sahib Bahadur, may be considered, treating him in the process as senior to the two officers mentioned above in virtue of the probationary right claimed at 'A' above.

rule 2(b)(ii) on p24 of the Service Manual Vol II – Bk. No. 113

2. (1) above. Promotion to the post of Dl. Inspr. shd., under the rules, be made on grounds of merit and ability, seniority being considered only where merit and ability are approximately equal, and promotion should normally be from among officers in any category in Class II (other than Inspress. of European Schools and Supdt. of Sanskrit Schools).

rule 2(c), p21 ibid

At the time of K. Muhammad's promotion as Dl. Inspr., he and Mr. Verghese were actually holding posts in Class II, ~~his rank~~ and the latter's claim was specifically considered and on the basis of personal files, the Khan Bahadur was adjudged superior – G.O. 772 Edn. of 4.6.43.

Ⓙ

Mr. Verghese's complaint that he lost his promotion on that ~~occasion~~ because of his shift to the Collegiate ~~branch~~ section is not, therefore, strictly accurate and the shift in point of fact came a bit later — G.O. 814 Edn. of 15-6-43. (V)

p 3 c/f

There is a 'lamentation' in the petn. that his transfer to the Collegiate section was undeserved, that if it had been on grounds of inefficiency, he never received copies of any adverse remarks about him. A perusal of the personal file shows that Sri Maxwell had a rather poor estimate of his work, particularly from about the end of 1941. In finally recommending his transfer to the Collegiate section, the Director adverted to his unsatisfactory work for a long time and added "..he is temperamentally unsuited for the varied work and responsibilities of a D.E.O. .." V/1. The orders in regard to communication of adverse remarks generally contemplate that remediable defects shd. be pointed out. It is not always practicable, and probably not profitable either, to communicate general impressions which heads of depts. record.

below

G.O. 1796 Pub
9/1 2-4-40. 39
W/

Mr. Verghese is technically correct when he says that ~~Sri Sarngapani~~ his ~~last~~ claims for promotion were not considered at the time of Sri Sarngapani's promotion as Dt. Inspr., owing probably to the intervention of his transfer to the Collegiate section. Having regard to the circumstances, as explained above, of the ~~transfer~~ shift to the Collegiate section, ordered in June 43, it was really not incumbent on the Govt. to take into account the provision in rule 2(c) which authorises the Govt. to make transfers between the two sections and to consider the question of transferring back Mr. Verghese from the Collegiate to the Administrative section. The connected G.O. is 982 Edn. 27.6.44 (in

p 24
W/

(2) on plank. All the requests here hinge on

whether Mr. Verghese's posting for a short time as Dy. D.P.I. in 1937, made by the D.P.I. himself, makes him a probationer in the category of Dy. D.P.I.s and Dt. Insprs. with the enhanced scale of pay introduced for them by G.O. 621 Edn. of 4.5.42. The D.P.I. has answered that point, and rightly too, in the negative.

(I)
pp 9 - cover W/

W/ In the result, the petn. may be rejected.

S
S 9.45

S/W
11/9/45

A2. H.E.

The draft may be approved. M. Verghese is not a very good officer.

14/9

Japee.
AH. 14/9/45

MADRAS GOVERNOR'S SECRETARIAT
18 SEP 1945
E 2792

SECRETARY, EDN. AND P.H. DEPT.
15 SEP 1945
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

(M)

Edn. and P.H. Dept.(A).

92 4

p.8 C.F. C.55194. From the D.P.I., R.Cl.No.42/44, dated 18-9-1946.

Petn. to H.E. - Sri K.I.Verghese.

Sri K.I.Verghese, Principal, Govt.College, Mangalore, has subd. the petn. at pp.1-3 C.F. to H.E. the Govr.

C.F. 1-2

2. The first 3 paragraphs of the petn. urge Sri Verghese's claims for promotion to the post of a Divl.Inspr. of Schools, in preference to two* other officers already apptd. to that category - one of whom is now an approved probationer. Sri Verghese previously sent a representation to Govt. on this qn., but it was not allowed - Vide papers at "H". As he has not now requested of His Excellency anything specifically ~~in~~ in regard to ~~that matter~~ that matter, the case (claims for the Divl.Inspectorate) need not, it seems, be brought under Sectt.Instrn.109.

*Khan Bahadur Md.Sahib Bahadur
Sri N.Sarangapani

p.57, Business Rules & Sectt. Instructions.

3. In paras 4 and 5 of the petn. is found the officer's prayer. It is that he may be promoted as Principal of the Teachers' College, Saidapet, wh. will fall vacant on 9-10-46. Sri Verghese has not approached the Govt. previously with this request and hence, the petn. does not comply with the rules and orders governing the subn. of petns. to H.E. [It may, however, be stated that the D.P.I. ~~has separately~~ recommended for the post Sri T.V.Apparasundara Mudr, D.E.O. (a senior to Sri Verghese, taking the M.E.S. as a whole). ~~H.M. (Edn.)~~ The Govt. have approved of the Dir.'s recommendation, ~~and the concerned file is in circn. to H.E.~~ and orders appointing Sri Mudaliar have issued. Attention is invited to para 6 of the Dir.'s endtt., C.F.8-9.]

See papers at (d)
G.O. 1870
28.5.46

4. May be sent to the Govr.'s Secy., w.r.t. para 3 above.

P.G.
26-9-46
27-9-46

1/10/46

1/10/46

Govr's Secy.

In Cover

HE has already agreed to the proposal to appoint Sri T.V. Apparasundara Mudaliar as acting Principal of the Teachers' College, Saidapet, after full consideration of the claims of the memorialist. HE directs that the memorial be rejected

3.10.46 CS

MADRAS GOVERNOR'S SECRETARIAT
F/3480
2 OCT 1946

SECRETARY, EDN. AND P.H. DEPT.
5 OCT 1946
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

43

Govr.'s Secy.'s note on previous page:

---

A draft Memo. is subd.

D.G.
5.10.46

B
7.x.46

OSM
7/10/46

---

(32)

32

Edn. and P.H. Dept.(A).

44

C.66832. From the D. P.I., No.51/46, dated 30-10-46.

---

Memorial to H.E. the Govr. against appt. of Sri T.V.Apparasundara Mudr. as Principal, Teachers' College.

---

p.7 C.F

* Rs.200-700

°Rs.800-1000

The memorial at C.F.1-2 is from Sri K.I. Verghese, Principal, Govt. II Gr. Arts College, Mangalore* (on leave), addressed to H.E. the Governor, against the appt. as Principal, Teachers° College, Saidapet, of Sri T.V. Apparasundara Mudr., made in the G.O. at "r"/5 C.F. The memorial does not comply with the rules and orders governing the subn. of memorials to H.E. the Governor, since the subject matter of it is one already disposed of by H.E. - vide Governor Secy.'s ~~notes~~ note at ~~xxx~~ p.4 N.F. of "I".

2. It will be seen from the D.P.I.'s letter at p.9 C.F. -p.282 I that the officer subd. the memorial before orders on his previous memorial to H.E. were communicated to him. It seems that, in view of this fact, ~~that~~ the memorial may be withheld and the officer informed accordingly with the reason. But rule (1) in Appendix III to the Business Rules and Sectt. Instructions is to the effect that no petn. addressed to H.E. shall be withheld by any authority. ~~xxxxx~~ Whether that rule will apply to clear cases of this sort is doubtful. Pub.(Gl.) may first be requested to ~~adxxx~~ advise.

p.87

P.G.
2.12.46

OSM
5/12/46

UNDER SECRETARY
5254
5

Pub. (Gel.)

EPH U.O. (B.O.C.)
No. 66832-A-2
6-12-46

Pub.(Genl-B) Deptt

Please see the notes of EPH above. Attention is invited to sub-rule (iii) to Rule (3) of Appendix III to the Business Rules and Sectt Instructions which state that a petition addressed to H.E. the Governor will be liable to summary rejection if the petition relates to a matter which has already been disposed of by H. E. the Governor. Since the memorial in question comes under that category, it need not be forwarded to H. E.

12/12/46

12.12.46

It cannot be witheld from H. E. — it is only liable to summary rejection by H. E.

MADRAS GOVERNOR'S SECRETARIAT
F. 86C
4 - JAN 1947

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.
77324
16 DEC 1946

Pub Gen-B
No. 52 54/46-1
14-12-46

13/12/46

~~A. C. S.~~ / E & P. H.
14/12/46

Edn. & P. H. Dept. (A)

The file may now be sent to the Govr.'s Secy. U.O., w.r.t. para 1, p. 1 ante.

P.G.
17. 12. 46

17/12/46.

19/12/46

S

21/12/46

Govr.'s Secy.

HE directs that the memorial be summarily rejected

J B Brown
16/1/47 GS

SECRETARIAT, EDN. AND P. H. DEPT.
17 JAN 1947
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

A draft Memo. is subd.

P.G.
1.1.47

21/1/47

22/1/47