Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Recd. } 1907. Enclosures

Regd. Spare copies

G.O., No. 395, 20th June 1907.

(16)

Special grant of six lakhs.

Distributing from the —, in aid of primary education, a sum of Rs. 1,24,447 among the District Boards of this Presidency in order to enable them to revise the establishments of their elementary schools with effect from 1st July 1907.

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Recd.	1907.	*Enclosures*
Regd.		*Spare copies*

G.O., No. 395, 20th June 1907.

R. P.

Special grant of six lakhs.

Distributing from the —, in aid of primary education, a sum of Rs. 1,24,447 among the District Boards of this Presidency in order to enable them to revise the establishments of their elementary schools with effect from 1st July 1907.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Order—No. 395, Educational, dated 20th June 1907.

A sum of Rs. 1,24,447 from the provision of five lakhs under "Adjusting heads —Contribution from Provincial to Local" will be allotted to the District Boards as shown below in order to enable them, with effect from 1st July or such later date as the establishments may be entertained, to revise the establishments of their elementary schools, other than those which have been newly opened with the help of the special grant of six lakhs, in accordance with rules 81 and 82 of the Educational Rules:—

	Rs.
Anantapur	1,663
Arcot, North	4,730
Arcot, South	5,653
Bellary	1,387
Canara, South	6,862
Chingleput	1,791
Coimbatore	3,387
Cuddapah	3,721
Ganjám	3,505
Gódávari	14,639
Guntúr	7,499
Kistna	17,755
Koraput	548
Kurnool	5,229
Madura	3,587
Malabar	9,741
Nellore	4,181
Nilgiris, The	861
Salem	14,835
Tanjore	2,473
Tinnevelly	4,462
Trichinopoly	2,363
Vizagapatam	3,575
Total ...	1,24,447

2. The Accountant-General is authorized to place these amounts immediately at the disposal of the local bodies concerned. These allotments will, however, be provisional and will be subject to adjustment with reference to the actual additional cost of the revision as finally sanctioned.

3. Further orders sanctioning the revision of establishments of Board elementary schools will issue in the Local and Municipal Department. It is, however, observed that the present grants are made in order to improve the efficiency of the Board schools, and it is not intended that the higher scale of pay should be given to untrained and unqualified teachers.

4. Separate orders will issue on the subject of grants which the Government may be prepared to make for the maintenance of rural schools newly opened in 1906–1907 and 1907–1908, for the equipment of new schools and for the completion of buildings

commenced in 1906–1907 and also, should funds be available, for the provision of new buildings for Board schools which at present possess no buildings of their own or for which the existing accommodation is defective.

5. The Director of Public Instruction is requested to expedite his proposals on these matters.

(True Extract.)

H. D. Taylor,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.
,, Accountant-General (through the Financial Department).
,, Local and Municipal Department.
,, Financial Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. 395, EDUCATIONAL, DATED 20TH JUNE 1907.

[SUBJECT.—*Distribution of the six lakhs grant.*]

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Please let me see the register regarding revision of school establishments under Local Boards and Municipalities. I must have this for ready reference. It must be kept and written up at Ooty office.

It is time a consolidated statement went to Director of Public Instruction at any rate in respect of Local Boards.

Before the six lakhs grant can be definitely allotted for other purposes, provision must be made for—

(*a*) revision of establishment of District Boards,

(*b*) revision of establishment of Municipal Councils,

(*c*) establishment of new schools under Local Boards.

H. D. TAYLOR—24-4-07.

Please get this register ready for despatch to Ootacamund *to-day*.

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—26-4-07.

Local.

The register below shows the financial effect of the revision of the establishments of Boards' elementary schools in accordance with rules 81 and 82 of the new Educational Rules.

STATEMENT showing the additional cost involved by the adoption of the revised scale of establishment for elementary schools recommended in the new Educational Rules.

Serial number.	Districts.		Cost according to the present scale of pay.	Cost according to the proposed scale of pay.	Savings under results stipends.	Capitation allowance.	Gain from school fees.	Loss from school fees.	Net increased cost.	Cost of the rural schools newly opened in 1906–1907 (if the original scale of establishment is continued).
1	2		3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
			RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
1	Anantapur ..	Elementary schools ..	8,760	13,956	2,590	621	733	..	2,494	..
		Rural ,, ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
2	Arcot, North ..	Elementary ,, ..	12,528	23,304	4,000	1,050	731	..	7,095	..
		Rural ,, ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	8,893	..
3	Arcot, South ..	Elementary ,, ..	19,956	37,488	5,870	908	4,091	..	8,479	..
		Rural ,, ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
4	Bellary ..	Elementary ,, ..	9,978	13,224	2,510	635	..	710	2,081	..
		Rural ,, ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	2,045
5	Canara, South ..	Elementary ,, ..	13,116	23,256	1,100	1,231	..	22	10,293	..
		Rural ,, ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
6	Chingleput ..	Elementary ,, ..	4,560	8,712	1,680	619	404	..	2,687	3,288
		Rural ,, ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
7	Coimbatore ..	Elementary ,, ..	18,696	27,168	3,950	533	148	173	5,080	..
		Rural ,, ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	10,815
8	Cuddapah ..	Elementary ,, ..	12,549	21,168	3,277	901	662	..	5,581	..
		Rural ,, ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
9	Ganjám ..	Elementary ,, ..	10,690	19,816	2,764	514	1,618	..	5,258	..
		Rural ,, ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
10	Gódávari ..	Elementary ,, ..	40,212	70,500	2,800	1,877	7,407	..	21,958	3,588
		Rural ,, ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
11	Guntúr ..	Elementary ,, ..	17,112	33,810	5,370	1,970	2,050	..	11,248	..
		Rural ,, ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
12	Kistna	Elementary ,, ..	39,240	75,136	4,880	2,042	6,425	..	26,633	..
		Rural ,, ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
13	Koraput ..	Elementary ,, ..	3,804	4,824	1,350	1,152	..	..	822	..
		Rural ,, ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
14	Kurnool ..	Elementary ,, ..	13,536	26,688	4,281	703	1,731	..	7,843	..
		Rural ,, ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	2,724
15	Madura ..	Elementary ,, ..	11,298	21,535	4,023	1,695	2,529	..	5,380	..
		Rural ,, ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
16	Malabar ..	Elementary ,, ..	19,200	33,684	8,280	3,106	..	302	14,612	..
		Rural ,, ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
17	Nellore	Elementary ,, ..	11,844	21,756	2,740	360	1,260	..	6,272	..
		Rural ,, ..	..	1,648	..	..	..	..	..	1,648
*18	Nilgiris, The ..	Elementary ,, ..	3,150	4,746	380	76	..	..	1,292	..
		Rural ,, ..	..	576	..	..	..	..	..	576
*19	Salem	Elementary ,, ..	27,240	49,248	5,140	2,837	1,437	..	21,844	5,376
		Atur Hindustani school.	..	..	..	..	..	..	408	..
		Rural schools ..	..	7,944	..	1,353	351	..	8,946	..
20	Tanjore ..	Elementary ,, ..	37,356	51,216	9,220	1,952	2,882	..	3,710	..
		Rural ,, ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
21	Tinnevelly ..	Elementary ,, ..	10,216	19,516	2,690	1,387	1,682	..	6,315	..
		Sivagiri school ..	552	1,080	130	..	20	..	378	..
		Rural schools ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	6,583
22	Trichinopoly ..	Elementary ,, ..	13,200	21,612	4,870	965	963	..	3,544	..
		Rural ,, ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
23	Vizagapatam ..	Elementary ,, ..	17,718	29,256	5,622	1,263	1,817	..	5,362	..
		Rural ,, ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Total ..	Elementary ,, ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	1,86,669	31,267
		Rural ,, ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	49,166	..

2. In G.O., No. 1144 L., dated 18th September 1906, all District Boards were requested to submit a statement showing their proposals for the revision of establishments in Boards' elementary schools, in accordance with the new Educational Rules with detailed information as to the financial effect of such proposals. The statements have been received from all District Boards except Koraput, and the information contained therein has been noted in the register. The President of the Koraput District Board was reminded by telegram on the 5th April 1907 and another telegram has been despatched to him this day for the statement due from him.

Office might have put up a statement showing the existing scale of establishment in schools under Koraput District Board.

The rates in Koraput are, I think, higher than the standard scale or will have to be retained.

H. D. TAYLOR—3-5-07.

3. In G.O., No. 239 L., dated 1st March 1907, all Presidents of District Boards were told that the statements called for in G.O., No. 1144 L., dated 18th September 1906, should include proposals in regard to establishments for the rural schools newly opened in 1906–1907; that, if such proposals had been included in the statements already submitted, the fact should be reported for the information of Government; and that in other cases, a supplemental statement should be forwarded at once. The Presidents of the Salem and the Nilgiri District Boards have reported that the statements submitted by them in response to G.O., No. 1144 L., dated 18th September 1906, included proposals relating to the rural schools also, and information on this head has accordingly been separately entered in the register. The Presidents of the Gódávari and Vizagapatam Boards having misapprehended the nature of the information required from them, they have been informed in separate memoranda as to what was required of them. The Presidents of the Bellary, South Canara, Coimbatore, Nellore and Trichinopoly Boards have sent in their replies and these have either been referred to the Director of Public Instruction for remarks or are under disposal. The remaining 14 Presidents from whom replies are still due have been reminded this day.

I *cannot* undertand this most unnecessary delay on the part of District Boards. It should have been possible to answer the references off-hand. Even now information is not furnished for the establishments of schools *built* out of the allotment made in 1906–1907.

H. D. TAYLOR—3-5-07.

4. In G.O., No. 47 L., dated 11th January 1907, all District Boards were requested to submit through the Director of Public Instruction proposition statements in regard to the establishments required for the rural schools actually opened in 1906–1907. Twelve Presidents have submitted the statements called for. Orders have been issued on three of these statements; further information has been called for in regard to four others; two are under reference to the Director of Public Instruction and the remaining three are still under disposal.

5. A copy of the register may, it is submitted, be forwarded u o. to the Educational Department for the issue of orders on the question of the distribution of the special grant of six lakhs in aid of primary education.

N.C.—27-4-07.

Municipal.

A statement showing the financial effect of adopting the new Educational Rules in the case of elementary schools maintained by the Municipal Councils is placed below.

Statement.

Name of district.	Serial number.	Name of municipality.	Number of elementary schools.	Present cost of establishment alone.	Cost of revision of establishment alone.	Savings from abolition of result stipends.	Capitation allowance.	Gain (+) or loss (−) in school fees.	Net result according to Director of Public Instruction's recommendation.		Net result as per orders of Government.		Remarks.
									Increase.	Decrease.	Increase.	Decrease.	
1		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
				Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
1. Anantapur ..	1	Anantapur ..	2	324	576	120	..	+ 48	84	..	84	..	G.O., 667 M., dated 2nd April 1907.
2. Bellary ..	2	Adóni	5	1,440	1,632	500	103	+ 116	..	321	..	321	,, 875 M. ,, 25th ,, ,,
	3	Bellary	..	..	..	..	..	..	304	..	..	..	....
3. Chingleput ..	4	Chingleput ..	2	288	432	70	..	+ 6	68	..	68	..	....
	5	Conjeeveram ..	4	912	1,392	480	27	+ 150	..	..	..	123	G.O., 1256 M., dated 15th June 1907.
	6	Coimbatore ..	7	1,488	2,208	500	20	+ 235	240	..	5	..	,, 254 M. ,, 7th February 1907.
4. Coimbatore ..	7	Erode	2	1,902	2,280	100	..	— 142	..	..	420	..	....
	8	Karur	2					Newly opened.					....
5. Cuddapah ..	9	Cuddapah ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	....
6. Ganjám ..	10	Berhampur ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	....
	11	Chicacole ..	3	1,083	1,038	..	70	12	37	..	37	..	G.O., 207 M., dated 2nd February 1907.
	12	Parlakimedi ..	3	1,260	2,100	..	..	..	..	..	840	..	....
7. Gódávari ..	13	Cocanada ..	13	4,800	5,556	..	259	350	556	..	1,365	..	G.O., 446 M., dated 4th March 1907.
	14	Rajahmundry ..	9	1,536	3,324	400	..	10	632	..	1,398	..	....
8. Guntúr ..	15	Guntur	6	936	912	..	200	75	..	..	251	..	G.O., 886 M., dated 25th April 1907.
	16	Ongole	..	..	..	..	..	No schools.	..	..	..	..	....
	17	Bezwada	8	2,016	2,004	..	85	50	159	..	123	..	G.O., 1208 M., dated 8th June 1907.
9. Kistna	18	Ellore	7	780	912	200	196	38	166	..	166	..	,, 757 M. ,, 9th April ,,
	19	Masulipatam ..	8	1,092	1,452	400	208	..	168	..	168	..	,, 774 M. ,, 10th ,, ,,
	20	Kurnool	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	....
10. Kurnool ..	21	Nandyál	2	528	636	130	30	— 17	25	..	25	..	G.O., 730 M., dated 5th April 1907.
	22	Dindigul	2	888	1,080	..	..	— 8	8	..	200	..	,, 660 M. ,, 28th March 1907.
	23	Kodaikanal ..	..	..	..	..	..	No schools.	..	..	..	..	....
	24	Madura	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	....
11. Madura ..	25	Palni	2	1,140	1,116	..	88	60	124	..	124	..	G.Os., 133 M., dated 23rd January 1907, and 456 M., dated 5th March 1907.
	26	Periyakulam ..	..	..	..	..	..	No schools.	..	..	..	..	....
	27	Calicut	10	2,640	4,884	750	199	— 180	1,494	..	1,873	..	....
	28	Cannanore ..	13	2,088	5,352	1,360	..	+ 570	1,334	..	1,334	..	....
12. Malabar ..	29	Cochin	2	960	1,212	100	..	..	Not sent to Director of Public Instruction.		152	..	G.O., 151 M., dated 25th January 1907 and 462 M., dated 5th March 1907.
	30	Palghat	8	1,620	2,040	926	414	108	560	..	416	..	G.O., 715 M., dated 4th April 1907.
	31	Tellicherry ..	7	1,080	1,944	550	200	..	514	..	514	..	,, 179 M. ,, 30th January 1907
13. Nellore ..	32	Nellore	3	1,380	1,416	400	50	— 2	..	..	..	312	....
14. Nilgiris ..	33	Coonoor	..	..	..	..	..	No schools.	..	..	..	..	....
	34	Ootacamund ..	..					No alterations.					G.O., 244 M., dated 6th February 1907.
	35	Gudiyattam ..	7	1,704	2,244	300	291	+ 1	120	..	530	..	,, 323 M. ,, 19th ,, ,,
	36	Tirupati	3	792	996	512	100	143	..	65	..	65	,, 193 M. ,, 1st ,, ,,
15. North Arcot ..	37	Vellore	7	1,452	3,636	550	50	+ 120	1,564	..	1,564	..	,, 197 M. ,, 1st ,, ,,
	38	Walajapet ..	4	984	1,344	228	324	— 222	..	..	678	..	....

Name of district.	Serial number.	Name of municipality.	Number of elementary schools.	Present cost of establishment alone.	Cost of revision of establishment alone.	Savings from abolition of result stipends.	Capitation allowance.	Gain (+) or loss (−) in school fees.	Net result according to Director of Public Instruction's recommendation.		Net result as per orders of Government.		Remarks.
									Increase.	Decrease.	Increase.	Decrease.	
1		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
				Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
	39	Salem	13	3,600	5,820	950	255	80	..	..	1,605	..	....
16. Salem ..	40	Tiruppattúr ..	4	1,776	1,932	150	26	235	..	..	267	..	....
	41	Vániyambádi ..	6	1,272	2,028	250	250	..	34	..	188	..	G.O., 1354 M., dated 2nd June 1907.
	42	Chidambaram ..	1	204	216	45	45	— 11	Not sent to Director of Public Instruction.		23	..	G.O., 744 M., dated 6th April 1907.
	43	Cuddalore ..	8	1,632	2,100	758	130	+ 140	300	..	300	..	....
17. South Arcot ..	44	Tiruvannámalai	2	252	576	70	..	+ 30	Not referred to Director of Public Instruction.		224	..	....
18. South Canara ..	45	Mangalore ..	7	1,248	1,740	400	..	..	92	..	92	..	....
	46	Kumbakónam ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	102	....
	47	Mannárgudi ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	New scale not adopted.		..	..	....
19. Tanjore ..	48	Máyavaram ..	6	1,776	2,892	700	121	+ 108	128	..	429	..	G.O., 544 M., dated 15th March 1907.
	49	Negapatam ..	4	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	G.O., 237 M., dated 5th February 1907.
	50	Tanjore	5	732	1,392	200	100	+ 50	72	..	510	..	G.O., 591 M., dated 21st March 1907.
	51	Palamcottah ..	2	552	756	110	..	+ 60	34	..	34	..	G.O., 478 M., dated 6th March 1907.
	52	Srivilliputtúr ..	2	573	726	200	..	+ 60	Not sent to Director of Public Instruction.		..	116	G.O., 756 M., dated 9th April 1907.
20. Tinnevelly ..	53	Tinnevelly ..	1	264	360	70	..	..	Do.		26	..	G.O., 129 M., dated 23rd January 1907.
	54	Tuticorin ..	2	312	312	60	76	..	16	..	16	..	G.O., 527 M., dated 13th March 1907.
	55	Srirangam ..	3	540	708	240	180	— 23	131	..	131	..	G.O., 592 M., dated 21st March 1907.
21. Trichinopoly ..	56	Trichinopoly ..	10	2,700	4,332	1,000	230	— 87	410	..	943	..	....
	57	Anaikápalle ..	2	312	312	20	30	..	10	..	10	..	G.O., 209 M., dated 2nd March 1907.
	58	Bimlipatam ..	4	708	708	..	20	+ 36	..	16	..	16	G.O., 163 M., dated 28th February 1907.
22. Vizagapatam ..	59	Vizagapatam ..	4	780	1,092	180	50	+ 50	132	..	132	..	....
	60	Vizianagram ..	3	780	828	..	..	..	48	..	48	..	G.O., 261 M., dated 8th February 1907.

2. There are no elementary schools in the Municipalities of Ongole, Kodaikánal, Periyakulam and Coonoor. In Karúr, the two old elementary schools have been amalgamated with the existing high school and two others have been opened instead. The proposals from Bellary have just been received and are under disposal. The proposals from the Cuddalore Council have not been submitted by the Collector to whom they appear to have been sent by the Chairman. The Collector has been reminded by a telegram yesterday. The proposals in the remaining cases have been examined. In three cases* the papers have simply been recorded as no alterations were proposed in the existing establishment. Proposition statements have been sanctioned in the case of the 16 Municipalities† noted in the margin. In other cases, proposition statements or revised proposals have been called for or the files are lying over pending orders on the six lakhs grant.

G.O., No. 69 M., dated 17th January 1907.

* Tuticorin, Anakápalle and Bimlipatam.

† Anantapur, Adóni, Chicacole, Guntúr, Ellore, Masulipatam, Nandyal, Palni, Cochin, Palghat, Chidambaram, Máyavaram, Palamcottah, Srívilliputtúr, Tinnevelly, and Srírangam.

3. The net increase of cost to Municipal Councils so far as known is Rs. 17,921.

4. G.Os., No. 715 M., dated 4th April 1907, and No. 756 M., dated 9th April 1907, were available from the Press only to-day.

K.V.V.—1-5-07.

K. Rangaswami Aiyangar—1-5-07.

I do not quite understand what distinction it is intended to draw between elementary and *rural* schools. Both classes are elementary schools. It is presumed that by the term *rural* schools it is intended to indicate schools newly started in *fresh* localities at which buildings have been erected out of the six lakhs grant.

The Presidents of District Boards are extremely slack in furnishing the details asked for and it is not clear even now whether the information will embody the needs of schools for which buildings have been erected at new centres in 1906-1907.

Nor do I quite understand the figures in column 10 against Salem.

Rural schools are shown in column 9 as Rs. 8,946, but a figure Rs. 5,376 is entered in column 10 against this district. What does Rs. 5,376 represent?

H. D. Taylor—6-5-07.

By *rural* schools is meant the schools started in villages in which buildings have been newly provided out of the six lakhs grant.

2. The figures in column 10 of the statement indicate the annual cost of the rural schools started in 1906-1907 if the scale of establishment originally employed therein is continued. This column was opened in accordance with the Secretary's orders in one of the files that this information should be entered in the statement in a separate column. The heading of this column has now been amplified to make this clear.

3. The figures in column 9 represent the annual cost of the rural schools if the scale of establishment recommended in rules 81 and 82 of the new Educational Rules is adopted.

N.C.—8-5-07.

The figure Rs. 5,376 shown against Salem in column 10 represents the cost of the establishment of the new rural schools, as originally employed, while Rs. 8,946 is the cost of this establishment as it would stand, if revised with reference to the Educational Rules.

K. Rangaswami Aiyangar—8-5-07.

The proposals from Koraput District Board have now been received and accepted by the Director of Public Instruction. There are no new schools in that district. Revised figures have been received in respect of the North Arcot district, and some of the District Boards and Municipalities.

2. It will take some time before details of the staff required for rural schools is received, and as, pending issue of orders on the subject of allotments from the special grants, final orders cannot issue on the proposition statements of local bodies in respect of the revision of existing establishments of the old elementary schools, it seems better to take up the question of the grant to be made to Municipalities and District Boards in respect of the revision of these old establishments at once and to leave the question of grants to meet the staff of new schools to a later date.

A

3. After that has been considered, any balance available out of the grant can be allocated for the equipment of schools, for maintenance of schools, which would otherwise have to be abolished for want of funds in certain districts, and for grant in aid to private schools through the District Boards.

4. The majority of Municipal Councils have already engaged the staff required under the revised rules, the proposition statements having been sanctioned as involving little extra expense; but in a few cases where considerable grants are required, final orders have not yet issued. The District Boards will not be able to start work with establishments on the revised scale until funds have been allotted, or say prior to 1st July, so that the actual expenditure on revised establishments (apart from new schools) will amount to eight months' charges only.

5. Taking roughly the figures now available, the following additional charges will roughly be incurred on account of the revision of establishments as approved:—

Municipalities	Rs.	18,000 approximately.
Local Boards	,,	1,86,669
Total	Rs.	2,04,669

6. As to new rural schools in regard to which proposals involving an expenditure of Rs. 49,106 have been received at present only from eleven districts, the extra cost may probably amount to not less than $1\frac{1}{4}$ lakhs. The consideration of the grant in aid of new rural schools for which buildings have been constructed out of the six lakhs grant may be deferred until a complete statement of requirements is received.

7. The Statement on page 2 *supra* shows the following to be the needs of the various districts as regards the revision of old elementary establishments:—

	Rs.
1. Anantapur	2,494
2. Arcot, North	7,095
3. Arcot, South	8,479
4. Bellary	2,081
5. Canara, South	10,293
6. Chingleput	2,687
7. Coimbatore	5,080
8. Cuddapah	5,581
9. Ganjám	5,258
10. Gódávari	21,958
11. Guntúr	11,248
12. Kistna	26,633
13. Koraput	822
14. Kurnool	7,843
15. Madura	5,380
16. Malabar	14,612
17. Nellore	6,272
18. Nilgiris, The	1,292
19. Salem	22,252
20. Tanjore	3,710
21. Tinnevelly	6,693
22. Trichinopoly	3,544
23. Vizagapatam	5,362
Total	1,86,669

Taking two-thirds of this as the amount required for the current year, the amount to be given to District Boards on this account would be Rs. 1,24,446 in the current and Rs. 1,86,669 in future years.

8. *District Municipalities.*—Some of the Municipalities have no elementary schools of their own—in a few, some six in number, the revision actually results in a slight saving. The following are the cases involving the largest additional expenditure which the revision will throw upon the Councils :—

	Rs.	Percentage † of expenditure on Education in 1906–1907.
Vellore	1,564	17·5
Salem	1,605	12·6
Calicut	1,537	8·8
Rajahmundry	1,398	12·4
Cocanada	1,365	7·
Cannanore	1,334	6·1
Trichinopoly	943	9·2
Parlákimedi	840	12·3
Vániyambádi	756	12·3
Walajapet	678	16·9
* Gudiyáttam	530	16·3
* Tiruppattúr	579	18·7
Tellicherry	514	4·3
Tanjore	510	10·3
* Palghat	416	3·1
* Erode	420	12·1
* Máyavaram	429	12·0
Bellary	304	12·2
Guntúr	251	9·9
Tiruvannámalai	224	11·5
Dindigul	200	11·4
And the rest under Rs. 200		

† Average for all Municipalities 10 per cent.

Final proposals have not yet been received from the following Councils, but the figures as recommended under the rules are as noted against each :—

	Rs.	Percentage.
Negapatam	+ 748	12·1
Kurnool	— 56	11·7
Madura	270	6·3
Cuddapah	4	13·3
Berhampur	187	10·0
Karúr	*Nil*	

Of these Kurnool, Madura (which at present spends very little on elementary education) and Karúr which has elementary schools only as a feeder to the high school will require no special grant. Only Negapatam and Berhampur will have to be considered.

9. The file will now be transferred u.o. to the Educational Department with the request that early orders may be issued, after such consultation with the Director of Public Instruction, as may be necessary as to the allotment of a portion of the six lakhs grant by way of aid to local bodies to meet the cost of revision of establishments in accordance with the revised Educational Rules. Until these orders are received, it will not be possible to pass orders on the proposition statements submitted by the various Local Boards or to employ the revised establishments.

H. D. Taylor—28-5-07.

To the Educational Department.

Educational Department.

Out of the special assignment of six lakhs for Primary Education, ·83 lakh will be required mainly for the improvement of the scale of salaries for schoolmistresses in Muhammadan primary girls' schools and for the provincialization of the establishment of supervisors of primary schools, ·15

Vide paragraph 15 of letter to India, No. 58, Financial, dated 21st January 1907.

lakh has been allotted for the scheme for the reorganization of training schools, and ·02 lakh has been provided as a contribution to the Municipal Council of Karúr towards the cost of constructing a building for an Educational Museum intended for elementary pupils. The balance of five lakhs was allotted in the Civil Budget Estimate for 1907–1908 under "Adjusting Heads—Contributions from Provincial to Local." In paragraph 15 of letter No. 58, Financial, dated 21st January 1907, forwarding the Civil Budget Estimate to the Government of India, it was stated that the above allocation of the six lakhs grant was adopted pending the final settlement of the distribution of the grant and that if it was found necessary to retain a further portion of this grant on the Provincial side, this could be effected without difficulty.

2. Following the allocation made in the Civil Budget Estimate, the Director of Public Instruction has been asked, in Memorandum No. 338-2, dated 19th February 1907, in modification of Memorandum No. 589, Educational, dated 30th November 1906, to submit proposals for the distribution to Local Boards of five lakhs only of the six lakhs grant.

3. The principles on which this distribution should be made are laid down in Memorandum No. 589, Educational, dated 30th November 1906. These are—

(1) that grants for building purposes should be restricted to what is needed for the completion of buildings already commenced or for providing buildings for existing schools which are unsuitably housed ;

Vide Memorandum No. 589, Educational, dated 30th November 1906.

(2) that the distribution should be made with special reference to the needs of the Local Boards ;

Ibid.

(3) that provision should be made for aiding District Boards to the extent necessary to enable them to revise their establishments in elementary schools so far as funds admit, in accordance with rules 81 and 82 of the new Educational Rules ; and

Ibid.

(4) that provision should also be made for special lump assignments to the more necessitous District Boards, such as those of the Ceded districts, Chingleput, Nellore, Gódávari, Koraput and the Nilgiris.

Ibid.

4. From the Local and Municipal note above, it is observed that the amount of aid which will be necessary to enable the District Boards to revise the establishments in their existing elementary schools will be Rs. 1,24,446 in the current year and Rs. 1,86,669 in future years. As regards "rural schools" or schools newly established for which buildings have been provided out of the special grant of six lakhs the cost of providing them with establishments on the standard scale is put down at $1\frac{1}{4}$ lakhs. It is observed that in 1905–1906, 427 new schools were brought into existence at a cost, for construction, of Rs. 1,78,676, which gives an average of Rs. 418 for each school. For the maintenance of these new schools, a sum of Rs. 77,355 has been allotted in 1906–1907 out of the six lakhs grant. A sum of Rs. 2,43,976 has also been allotted for new buildings in 1906–1907, and assuming that the average cost of each building will be about the same as that of the buildings constructed in 1905–1906, as many as 584 buildings would have been erected in 1906–1907 out of the grant provided for the purpose ; and on the basis of the maintenance charges allotted for the new schools in 1906–1907, the cost of maintaining the schools constructed in 1906–1907 will come to about one lakh so that the aggregate cost of maintenance of all the new schools will be roughly 1·75 lakhs.

Vide the statement on page 7 of G.O., No. 738, Educational, dated 14th November 1906.

Rs. 77,355 $\times \frac{584}{427}$ or roughly Rs. 77,355 × 1·37 = Rs. 1,05,976.

5. At the place marked **A** on page 7 *supra*, it is proposed to leave the consideration of the question of grants to meet the cost of the staff of new schools to a later date. It is submitted that if the distribution of the five lakhs grant among Local Boards is made only with reference to the requirements of these bodies for their existing schools, it may happen that many of the new schools constructed out of the

special grant will go without any provision. It seems therefore to be desirable in distributing the five lakhs among Local Boards to make a lump allotment towards the cost of these new schools, the distribution of the amount among the various District Boards being deferred until detailed information is received as to the requirements of each Board. Perhaps the Director of Public Instruction may be able to determine how much money should be reserved for this purpose.

B

This means shelving the question of reorganization indefinitely. The first necessity is to bring existing schools up to the standard of the Educational Rules. Until proposition statements are sanctioned, the staff cannot be revised.

Then we have to provide for staffing new schools. The establishments have to be sanctioned in Local and Municipal and this cannot be done till funds are provided. District Boards cannot provide more for Education at present from general funds.

If schools have been built, which cannot be met from the grant, they must wait until funds are available.

H. D. Taylor.
G. S. F[orbes].

6. As regards Municipalities, the extra sum required by them to meet the cost of the revision of their educational establishments is estimated at Rs. 18,000 approximately. Perhaps this sum may come out of the *83 lakh reserved mainly for the reorganization of the salaries of teachers in Muhammadan girls' schools, and for the provincialization of the establishment of supervisors of primary schools.

7. It is submitted that the file may be forwarded u.o. to the Director of Public Instruction with the request that he will submit at a very early date proposals for the distribution of the grant of five lakhs.

K. Rangaswami Aiyangar—28-5-07.

* *Note.*—These schemes are not likely to require the whole of the *83 lakh, even if they should be sanctioned in the course of the year, which is doubtful.

C. A. Soutter—29-5-07.

I do not agree with the office-note at '**B**' above. Local and Municipal have been at considerable pains to ascertain the cost of bringing the establishment of existing elementary schools up to the standard scale and until proposition statements have been formally sanctioned the revised scale of establishment cannot, for want of funds, be sanctioned in Local and Municipal. The District Boards cannot meet the extra cost from their own revenues.

2. The proposal for allotting funds to District Boards for this purpose may be considered by themselves in the first instance; otherwise all reforms will be hung up. The balance of the five lakhs in the Educational budget can then be utilized by Director of Public Instruction on the following objects in order :—

G. S. F[orbes].

(*a*) providing cost of establishments of new rural schools started in the last two years (details of cost are not likely to be available for some time);

(*b*) equipment of the schools;

(*c*) lump contributions to the more necessitous Boards to enable them to continue existing elementary schools which must otherwise be closed;

(*d*) contribution for completion of buildings already commenced or for providing buildings for existing schools in which the accomodation is defective.

3. The revision of establishments is the *first* need. Detailed statements have been called for and examined in Local and Municipal in consultation with the Educational Department and Director of Public Instruction, but Local and Municipal can do no more till funds are allotted.

It does not seem necessary that Director of Public Instruction's proposals for allocation of the five lakhs in his budget should all be received at the same time. The revision of existing establishment must be dealt with first.

4. The file may now go to the Director of Public Instruction u.o. for remarks as to the allotments to be made to District Boards and Municipalities in the first instance for this purpose. The amount to be paid to *Municipalities* may be met from the sum of Rs. 1 lakh reserved in the current year on the Provincial side.

5. Orders may issue separately on the allotments to be made from the special grant for staffing new schools as soon as full details of requirements are available. When final orders have been passed on the grants (*a*) for revision of existing establishments, (*b*) for staffing rural schools, the Director of Public Instruction will be in a position to say what balance will remain available for the other purposes indicated, in accordance with the actual requirements of the District Board. In the past it has not been always the most necessitous District Boards that have received the largest grants for building purposes.

H. D. Taylor—29-5-07.

Will Secretary kindly speak when convenient?

G. S. F[orbes]—30-5-07.

I agree with Mr. Taylor.

G. S. F[orbes]—1-6-07.

The file will be forwarded to the Director of Public Instruction for favour of very urgent remarks as to whether the allotments suggested for the revision of existing Board school establishments (other than rural schools) and for revision of establishment of Municipal schools may be made.

2. The allotments will be a charge against the 5 lakhs out of the 6 lakhs grant retained in the Local section.

3. The proposition statements for revision of establishments submitted by the various District Boards cannot be sanctioned until the allotment is made. The statements have been checked and are in accordance with the orders passed thereon by Government and a grant is necessary in order to admit of the revision being brought into effect.

4. As to *Municipal* schools the proposition statements, where they do not involve any large recurring charges and where the Councils can bear the additional charge, have already been sanctioned, but many others are lying over until the requisite grant can be made. This grant will have to come from the Rs. 1 lakh reserved on the Provincial side.

5. Information is not available here in connection with the relief which the respective Municipalities will obtain by the withdrawal of grant in aid of secondary institutions from the purview of their finances, but apart from this there are only one or two Municipalities, *e.g.*, Palghat (which has since established a girls' school), Cannanore and Cocanada, of those referred to in my note in paragraph 8 which can afford some additional expenditure on elementary education from their own resources.

Cannanore and Cocanada might each be given, say, Rs. 1,000 and Calicut Rs. 1,300. Tellicherry spends a very small amount on education, but its resources are at present depleted owing to plague expenditure, and it will require the full grant.

6. Of the Municipalities not referred to in paragraph 8 of my previous note, in regard to which the additional charge involved in the revision is less, as shown in statement XV-A, the following are very poor and require a grant of the full cost involved :—

	Rs.
Anantapur	84
Chingleput	68
Chicacole	37
Ellore	166
Nandyal	25
Palni	124
Mangalore	92
Srirangam	131
Vizagapatam	132
Vizianagram	48

I have starred the most indigent Municipalities in the statement referred to.

7. If the consideration of the needs of the Municipalities cannot be dealt with offhand, will the Director of Public Instruction please submit his remarks and suggestions in the first instance with reference to the grants proposed for the revision of Board school establishments.

8. The distribution of the balance of the Rs. 5 lakhs will be considered afterwards, the following needs being considered in order of emergency :—

(*a*) Provision of establishment for *new* rural schools started out of the six lakhs grant in the past two years.

(*b*) Equipment of these schools.
(*c*) Completion of incomplete buildings.
(*d*) Grants to necessitous District Boards for general purposes of education.
(*e*) Grants for new buildings.

H. D. TAYLOR—1-6-07.

To the Director of Public Instruction.

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

On receipt of Memorandum No. 587, Educational, dated 30th November 1906, I issued a circular letter to the Presidents of District Boards (a copy enclosed) requesting them to furnish certain particulars for the distribution of the special six lakhs grant and I have received replies from all but two District Boards—Ganjám and Guntúr. The figures which they have furnished as representing the additional cost required for the revision of the existing board schools, excluding the rural schools for which buildings have been constructed, are shown in a statement (A) annexed, from which it will be seen that they (2·54 lakhs) differ much from the figures (1·87 lakhs) entered on page 9 of the Local and Municipal note. I presume, however, that the latter figures are correct and that they include the contributions required under Educational Rules 83 and 84.

They are the figures as accepted by Government. The District Boards' proposals have required considerable modification and details were called for in Local and Municipal to save time.

H. D. TAYLOR.

2. I notice that it is proposed to pay to each District Board all the additional expenditure required for the revision and that the same procedure is proposed to be adopted with the Municipalities excepting those where the increased expenditure is trifling or which at present spend very little on elementary education. A distribution after a consideration of the net expenditure on elementary education incurred by each Local Board or Municipality, giving the grant in full where the whole is absolutely wanted and in part where less would suffice, would set free a larger surplus to be spent on the other objects of the grant. If, however, the whole of what is required for the revision is to be given away, the allotment to the District Boards may be made in the manner proposed in the Local and Municipal note. This would take off from the 5 lakhs roughly $1\frac{1}{4}$ lakhs for the current year.

The Director of Public Instruction does not appreciate the difficulty. Till funds are forthcoming, the proposition statements for the revision cannot be sanctioned.

H. D. TAYLOR.

3. The next object in order of importance is the maintenance of schools opened in 1906–1907 and for which school-houses have been built. From the statement (B) appended, it would appear that including the two districts mentioned above the cost of their maintenance may be about another $1\frac{1}{4}$ lakhs.

4. Then comes the case of schools for which school-houses were built in 1906–1907 and where schools have to be opened in the current year. The cost of furnishing and equipping these schools may be set down at $\frac{1}{2}$ lakh and of staffing them at $\frac{3}{4}$ lakh—in all $1\frac{1}{4}$ lakhs.

5. The next important object is the completion in the current year of buildings left incomplete. This would take up about $\frac{1}{2}$ lakh and thus we shall have left only $\frac{3}{4}$ lakh out of the 5 lakhs for new buildings, for existing schools that require them and for special lump grants in aid of elementary education that may be required for certain districts. The additional expenditure required for the revision of the municipal schools may be met as proposed out of the 1 lakh reserved for Muhammadan schools for girls, the provincialization of supervisors and other objects.

This must suffice. We cannot allot for *new* buildings till we see what balance of funds is available for the purpose.

H. D. TAYLOR.

6. A statement will soon be forwarded showing the amount of grant till now paid by each Municipality for secondary education which would be set free under the new Grant-in-Aid Code.

7. The matter is very urgent and necessitates the passing of very early orders.

T. T. LOGAN—6-6-07.

ENCLOSURES.

STATEMENTS.

A

District.	Amount reported to Government as necessary to revise the establishments in accordance with rules 81 and 82.	The extra amount above the normal expenditure of the Board which will have to be incurred by the payment of capitation grants under Educational rule 83.	The amount required to make up the loss in fee-income caused by Educational rule 84.	Total.
1	2	3 *	4 *	5
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Ganjám	..	..	..	..
Vizagapatam	5,362	1,560	..	6,922
Koraput	..	822	..	822
Gódávari	26,399	1,460	30,723 †	58,582
Kistna	26,380	2,042	— 6,425	21,997
Guntúr	..	..	..	..
Kurnool	..	7,627	..	7,627
Bellary	3,246	1,585	710	5,541
Anantapur	5,076	— 621	— 733	3,722
Cuddapah	4,480	900	200	5,580
Nellore	6,450	2,150	1,330	9,930
Chingleput	4,152	— 1,061	— 404	2,687
North Arcot	12,224	2,519	731	14,012
South Arcot	11,542	908	4,091	8,359
Tanjore	2,436	1,952	..	4,388
Trichinopoly	5,731	1,125	169	7,025
Madura	10,070	..	..	10,070
Tinnevelly	7,056	849	120	8,025
Coimbatore	5,080	905	640	6,625
Salem	22,008	— 950	1,788	22,846
Nilgiris, The	1,200	300	..	1,500
Malabar	13,176	— 480	402	13,098
South Canara	10,021	..	..	10,021
Total ..	1,82,097	..	..	2,29,379

* The figures in columns 3 and 4 have been already taken into consideration in arriving at the figures given on page 7 *supra*.
H.D.TAYLOR.
† These figures seem open to doubt.
H.D.TAYLOR.

B

District.	Cost of maintenance of schools newly opened or taken over during 1906–1907.	Cost of maintenance of schools to be opened or taken over during 1907–1908.	Cost of furnishing and equipping schools during 1907–1908.	Amount that may be required to complete buildings commenced in 1906–1907 and which are likely to remain incomplete at the end of the year.
1	2	3	4	5
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Ganjám	..	..	..	..
Vizagapatam	15,834	2,310	9,917	2,130
Koraput	..	1,192	360	..
Gódávari	4,319	2,657	2,480	4,910
Kistna	9,564	2,561	1,926	..
Guntúr	..	..	..	..
Kurnool	1,894	2,378	..	1,300
Bellary	2,784	2,190	960	3,000
Anantapur	362	1,857	500	2,897
Cuddapah	6,070	..	3,865	4,090
Nellore	1,090	1,210	750	5,200
Chingleput	4,722	1,495	3,275	2,850
North Arcot	4,866	4,613	1,290	1,907
South Arcot	5,310	5,205	..	..
Tanjore	16,612	2,260	1,940	5,000
Trichinopoly	10,530	3,163	..	5,802
Madura	6,125	5,728	2,865	200
Tinnevelly	5,880	5,980	2,275	2,200
Coimbatore	8,064	3,250	5,570	502
Salem	2,344	1,488	2,965	210
Nilgiris, The	..	3,254	..	..
Malabar	5,118	3,244	1,319	..
South Canara	384	12,291	..	..
Total ..	1,18,872	69,326	49,257	42,198

395 Ed).—4

Letter—from the Hon'ble Mr. T. T. Logan, B.A., Acting Director of Public Instruction, Madras.
To—the Presidents of District Boards.
Dated—Old College, the 6th December 1906.
No.—Ref. on C. 8961 of 1906.

"As Government have called for proposals for the distribution of the special grant "of six lakhs for 1907–1908, I have the honour to request that you will be so good as "to furnish me at an early date with particulars as detailed in the accompanying forms "in regard to the requirements of your district.

"2. The distribution has to be effected under the following heads:—

"(*a*) The maintenance of schools newly opened and schools under private "management taken over by the Board during 1906–1907;

"(*b*) The equipment and maintenance of schools to be opened or taken over by "the Board during 1907–1908;

"(*c*) The completion of buildings commenced in 1906–1907;

"(*d*) Additional allotment required for the revision of the staff of existing "board schools in accordance with the recommendations of Educational Rules 81 "and 82;

"(*e*) Contribution required to meet the provisions of Educational Rules 83 and "84 for the existing Board lower primary schools; and

"(*f*) The construction of buildings for existing schools which are now un-"suitably housed.

"In South Canara, Gódávari and Cuddapah special lump grants have to be pro-"vided for in addition to all the charges as enumerated above for the revision or "upkeep of existing board schools under G.Os., No. 409, Educational, dated 13th June "1906; No. 327, Educational, dated 9th May 1906; and No. 540 L., dated 5th May "1906, respectively. In the case of the marginally-noted districts * provision has "also to be made for lump grants in aid of "primary education sanctioned in G.O., "No. 738, Educational, dated 14th Novem-"ber 1906. But the necessity for the continuance of these grants should be fully "explained as Government consider that such liberal contributions may not be necessary "in future.

* Koraput.	Bellary.
Nellore.	Anantapur.
Gódávari.	The Nilgiris.
Kurnool.	Cuddapah.

"3. As to the head (*a*) given above, it is to be noted that the provision already "sanctioned for the current year covers only a portion of the year as the schools were "generally opened some time after the commencement of the year and that the provision "for the next year must be made for the whole of that year independently of the figures "for the current year.

"Similarly, as to the head (*b*), the cases of schools for which buildings may not "be ready by the commencement of the next year will have to be considered in fixing "the provision, though it is hoped that such cases will be very few.

"I understand that Government have called for details as to the revision of the "staff of existing Board schools in accordance with the provisions of Educational Rules "81 and 82; and these details will be communicated to me by Government. But I "should like to be furnished with the information as to the contribution required to "meet the provisions of Educational Rules 83 and 84 for the existing Board lower "primary schools including rural schools. As to existing schools for which buildings "are proposed to be erected in 1907–1908, it is requested that their names be given "in the statement in the order of urgency with respect to accommodation required as "it is desirable that those schools should be given buildings first which are most in "need of them. The estimated cost of these buildings may be fixed with reference "to the cost of buildings already constructed for schools similarly constituted.

"4. With reference to G.O., No. 1079 L., dated 5th September 1906, I further "request to be furnished with your proposals for the utilisation of the amount required "to be re-allotted in the budget for 1907–1908 in case such a requisition applies to "your district.

"5. I enclose spare copies of this letter and of the forms accompanying it for the "Presidents of Taluk Boards.

"STATEMENT I.

"Summary of contributions required during 1907–1908 out of the special grant of six lakhs.

Item.	Amount. Rs.	A.	P.
"1. Cost of maintenance of schools newly opened or taken over during 1906–1907			
"2. Cost of maintenance of schools to be opened or taken over during 1907–1908			
"3. Cost of furnishing and equipping schools during 1906–1907			
"4. Amount that may be required to complete buildings commenced in 1906–1907 and which are "likely to remain incomplete at the end of the year			
"5. Amount reported to Government as necessary to revise the establishments in accordance with "rules 81 and 82			
"6. The extra amount above the normal expenditure of the Board which will have to be incurred by "the payment of capitation grants under Educational Rule 83			
"7. The amount required to make up the loss in fee-income caused by Educational Rule 84			
"8. Amount proposed to be spent on new buildings (1) out of the special grant			
(2) with reference to the reallotment to be made in accordance with G.O., No. 1079 L., dated 5th September 1906			
"9. Special grant for maintenance or revision of board schools sanctioned for the District Boards "Gódávari, Cuddapah and South Canara			
"10. Special lump grants-in-aid of elementary education sanctioned for certain Boards			
Total			

"STATEMENT II.

"Schools newly opened or existing schools taken over under the management of the Board "during 1906–1907.

Taluk.	Village.	Number of teachers.	Rate of pay. Rs.	A.	P.	Expenditure on salaries for 1907–1908. Rs.	A.	P.	Contingencies. Rs.	A.	P.	Total. Rs.	A.	P.

"STATEMENT III.

"Schools to be newly opened and schools with new buildings to be taken over under the "management of the Board during 1907–1908 (the latter to be entered in red ink).

Taluk.	Village.	Number of pupils.	Number of standards.	Number of teachers.	Amount required during 1907–1908 for: Salaries up to and including February 1908 Rs. A. P.	Contingencies. Rs. A. P.	Furniture and equipment. Rs. A. P.	Compound wall or fence. Rs. A. P.	Well. Rs. A. P.	Any other object (to be specified). Rs. A. P.	Total.	Remarks.

"STATEMENT IV.

"BUILDINGS under construction in 1906–1907.

Taluk.	Village.	Amount of estimate.	Whether building will be completed before 31st March 1907.	If building is not likely to be completed before 31st March 1907, amount of estimate that may remain to be carried out during 1907–1908.
		RS. A. P.		
(a) For previously existing Board schools.				
1				
2				
3				
4				
Etc.				
	Total ..			
(b) For previously existing schools under private management to be taken over under Board management.				
1				
2				
3				
4				
Etc.				
	Total ..			
(c) For schools to be opened in villages without schools.				
1				
2				
3				
4				
Etc.				
	Total ..			
	Grand Total ..			

"STATEMENT V.

"CONSTRUCTION of new buildings for existing schools during 1907–1908.

Taluk.	Village.	Present management.	Strength in each standard.	Probable estimate based, if possible, on estimate of buildings constructed for schools of similar standing.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT

The figures given by the Director of Public Instruction give the demands of Local Boards on statements which have not been scrutinized. The Local and Municipal figures give details as accepted in Local and Municipal after consultation with the Educational department. *They should therefore be adopted.*

2. The figures may perhaps require some modification and adjustment with reference to proposition statements as finally sanctioned, but in order to admit of orders being passed on those proposition statements, the sum of Rs. 1,86,669 × $\frac{2}{3}$ = Rs. 1,24,446 may at once be allotted provisionally to District Boards for revision of establishments of the old elementary schools.

3. The allotments for new rural schools opened in 1906–1907 and 1907–1908 will be considered separately.

4. Orders on grant required for equipment of new buildings and completion of buildings under construction may issue separately after Director of Public Instruction has received details from Ganjám and Guntúr which he may be asked to expedite.

5. Allotments for new buildings must remain till the end.

Please draft accordingly making a provisional allotment of Rs. 1,24,446 on the basis of the proposals approved by Government on the understanding that the revised establishments will be brought into force on 1st July allowing for $\frac{2}{3}$ of the estimated annual expenditure. Financial must accept.

H. D. TAYLOR—7–6–07.

A draft is placed below for approval; if approved, it may be sent to the Financial department for acceptance.

T.K.—8–6–07.

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—8–6–07.

C. A. SOUTER—8–6–07.

To the Financial Department.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

The annual special recurring grant of 6 lakhs was to be ulilized in increasing the normal allotment for primary education, inclusive of expenditure on buildings, and outlay incurred through the agency of Local Boards. The increase in the normal outlay incurred through Local Boards on account of primary education is thus one of the items and not the sole item on which the six lakhs should be utilized.

Government of India, Finance and Commerce, letter No. 1763-A, dated 23rd March 1905, on page 2 of G.O., No. 282, Educational, dated 2nd May 1905.

Financial office-note, dated 22nd December 1906, on page 11 of Budget notes for 1907–1908, under 22. Education.

Home department letter No. 907, dated the 3rd December 1906, on pages 2 and 3 of letter No. 21, Educational, dated 11th January 1907.

2. The Government of India contemplate the improvement of primary education by :—

(*a*) increasing the number of schools,
(*b*) improving the school buildings and equipment,
(*c*) increasing the average pay of teachers,
(*d*) increasing (in some parts) the number of training or normal schools,
(*e*) better teaching in training schools so as to improve the quality of teachers, and
(*f*) increase of the inspecting staff.

3. As regards the supply of the deficiency of trained teachers and the increase of the inspecting staff, the Government of India considered that a portion of the expenditure on these two objects should be regarded as pertaining to secondary education and requested to be furnished with information showing what proportion of the teachers trained in normal schools are employed in primary schools and what proportion of the work done by the inspectors could be said to be undertaken in the interests of primary education. This information was required in order to enable India to fix a percentage which would represent approximately the expenditure on these objects which may properly be charged to the special grant of 6 lakhs.

Home department letter No. 907, dated 3rd December 1906, on pages 2 and 3 of G.O., Nos. 21, 22, Educational, dated 11th January 1907.

This Government's reply is contained in letter No. 22, Educational, dated the 11th January 1907.

4. Attention is invited to the notes written in May 1906, when the distribution of the six lakhs grant for 1906–1907 was under consideration.

Page 3 of K.W., 355, Educational, dated 21st May 1906.

5. Among the schemes to be carried out and financed from the six lakhs grant there are so far in contemplation :—

(*a*) the reorganization of training schools for primary teachers costing Rs. 30,000 *per annum* approximately ; and

(*b*) the improvement of the scale of salaries for school mistresses in Muhammadan primary girls' schools the cost of which is not known.

Paragraph 5 of letter No. 496, Educational, dated 25th July 1906.

This department is not aware whether there are any other schemes in contemplation in the Educational department.

6. The scheme for the provincialization of the agency of supervisors of primary schools, which involves an extra cost of about 1·43 lakhs, may be left out, as the extra charge will be met by the grant of reduced subsidy (paragraph 10 of this Government's letter to the Government of India).

7. From the papers in the present case the expenditure to be incurred by local bodies which will have to be met from the six lakhs grant is—

	Items.	Recurring cost *per annum*.	*Non*-recurring.
		RS.	RS.
Paragraph 4 of Educational office-note, dated 28th May 1907, on page 9 *supra* paragraph 6 on page 10 *supra*.	1. Revision of establishments of old (*i.e.*, not newly started) elementary schools, inclusive of the contributions required under Educational rules 83 and 84.	About 1,86,669 (Local Boards) About 18,000 (Municipalities)	...
Paragraphs 3 and 4 of Director of Public Instruction's note on page 12 and statement on page 13 *supra*.	2. Maintenance of new schools opened in 1906–1907 and to be opened in 1907–1908.	About 2,00,000	...
	3. Equipment of new schools ...	...	About 50,000
Paragraph 4 of Director of Public Instruction's note on page 12.	4. Completion of the school buildings started in 1906–1907.	...	,, 50,000
Paragraph 5 *ibid.*	5. Construction of new buildings ...	...	...
	About ...	4,04,669	1,00,000

8. It is now proposed to sanction grants aggregating Rs. 1,24,447 (being 8 months' charges) to the several district boards for expenditure during the current year on the revision of establishments of *old* elementary schools. The allotments will be provisional and will be subject to adjustment with reference to the actual additional cost of the revision as finally sanctioned.

Attention is solicited to paragraph 2 of the Director of Public Instruction's note, dated 6th June 1907, on page 12 *supra* and to the Educational Secretary's marginal

remarks on page 10 *supra*. It appears from the Educational department note that it is the intention that grants aggregating 1·87 lakhs, which is the total extra cost *per annum* on account of the revision of establishments of old elementary schools, should be sanctioned as a *permanent arrangement*, but the draft order does not make the point clear.

9. Orders are now issuing in Local and Municipal to the effect that district boards can only look *for help* from Provincial funds towards the cost of the maintenance of elementary schools newly started and that they cannot depend on Provincial funds for meeting the entire cost of the schools.

G.O., No. 670 L., dated 24th May 1907.

10. The effect of the proposed order will be to assign 4 lakhs of the 6 lakhs grant definitely to local bodies. Financial may, it is thought, accept the draft.

C. M. SCHMIDT—11-6-07.

The proposals now put forward by Educational for the use of this ear-marked grant may be accepted.

H. BRADLEY—11-6-07.

I have spoken with Mr. Taylor about this order. I am satisfied that this draft may be passed, but I find it very difficult to understand what burden Provincial is undertaking in regard to primary education. In the Civil Budget Estimate, page 145, the grants are mixed up for primary and secondary schools, but it is plain that in this year's budget there is a large increase in the joint head. Will Financial please let me see the Budget notes relating to the Educational Budget as soon as possible.

1906–1907.	1905–1906.
RS.	RS.
1,20,000	1,00,000
65,000	60,000
4,26,800	3,60,800
6,11,800	5,20,800

G. S[TOKES]—17-6-07.

Please send to Honourable Member after issue.

H. BRADLEY—18-6-07.

Educational u.o. with the request that these notes may be returned to Financial as early as practicable so that Honourable Member's request may be complied with.

C. M. SCHMIDT—18-6-07.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

This important draft is intimately connected with Local and Municipal and relates to Local and Municipal file. It should have come to the Secretary before being sent on to Financial. Local and Municipal should accept.

H. D. TAYLOR—19-6-07.

Local and Municipal Department.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

The order should make it clear that the grant for the current year is not the *full cost* for a whole year of the revision of the establishments of old Board schools, but only the cost of such establishments from 1st July.

Paragraph 3 should be omitted. It is a matter on which orders will issue in Local and Municipal, who will communicate the order to Local Boards with subsidiary instructions. In paragraph 1, the word "with effect from 1st July" should be inserted.

Paragraph 4 as worded will lead Boards to *assume* that they are *entitled* to grants for all new schools, and also that they may be given unlimited funds for new *buildings*. The latter must be strictly limited to existing Board schools. We cannot run up claims for establishments grants *ad lib*.

H. D. Taylor—19-6-07.

To the Educational Department.

Educational Department.

Please retype page 2 of the draft as revised to which the Chief Secretary has no objection.

H. D. Taylor—19-6-07.

Page 2 of the draft order has been retyped and the file is resubmitted.

K. Rangaswami Aiyangar—19-6-07.

For approval.

H. D. Taylor—19-6-07.

It is not likely that the new establishments can in all cases, or indeed in many cases, be employed on 1st July, so I have added that the later date of actual employment is to be taken. Has it been made clear anywhere that the money is not to be spent merely in giving higher pay to teachers now employed unless they are thoroughly qualified? If not, perhaps some addition should be made to make this point clear? Draft approved otherwise.

This will be done in communicating the order in Local and Municipal. I have, however, added a paragraph to the effect in the Educational order.

H. D. Taylor.

G. S. F[orbes]—19-6-07.

Noted.

H. D. Taylor.

P.S.—In settling the final distribution of the grant, we must see what we can do to give higher grants to aided schools from it.

G. S. F[orbes].

Now print and issue sharp please.

H. D. Taylor—20-6-07.

[G.O., No. 395, Educational, dated 20th June 1907.]

14

Educational Department.

Ds

Grant Copy

ORDER:-- 395 Edl dt/ 20th June 1907.
P.S.

17

Last effect from 1st July or such later date as the estab to may be entertained

A sum of Rs.1,24,447 from the provision of five lakhs under "Adjusting Heads-Contribution from Provincial to Local" will be allotted to the District Boards as shown below, in order to enable them to revise the establishments of their elementary schools, other than those which have been newly opened with the help of the special grant of six lakhs, in accordance with rules 81 and 82 of the Educational Rules:--

	Rs.
Anantapur	1,663
Arcot, North	4,750
Arcot, South	5,653
Bellary	1,587
Canara, South	6,862
Chingleput	1,791
Coimbatore	3,387
Cuddapah	3,721
Ganjam	3,505
Godavari	14,659
Guntur	7,499
Kistna	17,755
Koraput	548
Kurnool	5,229
Madura	3,587
Malabar	9,741
Nellore	4,181

C.O.

	Rs.
B.F.	95,878
The Nilgiris.	861
Salem.	14,835
Tanjore.	2,473
Tinnevelly.	4,462
Trichinopoly.	2,363
Vizagapatam.	3,575
Total	1,24,447

2. The Accountant General is authorised to place these amounts immediately at the disposal of the local bodies concerned. These allotments will however be provisional and will be subject to adjustment with reference to the actual additional cost of the revision as finally sanctioned.

3. Further orders sanctioning the revision of establishments of Board elementary schools will issue in the Local and Municipal Department.

4. Separate orders will issue on the subject of grants which the Government may be prepared to make for the maintenance of rural schools newly opened in 1906-1907 and 1907-08 for the equipment of new schools and for the completion of buildings commenced in 1906-1907 and also, should funds be available, for the provision of new buildings for Board schools which at present possess no buildings of their own or for which the existing accommodation is deficient.

It is however observed that the present grants are made in order to improve the efficiency of the Board schools, and it is not intended that the higher scale of pay should be given to any untrained and ungraduated teachers.

16

5. The Director of Public Instruction is requested to expedite his proposals on these matters.

C.A.S.
8-6-07.

H.D.T.
19-6-07.

GOVERNMENT

To

The D.P.I.

The Accountant-General. thro' the Financial Deptt.

The Local and Municipal Department.

The Financial Department.