[B.]
[illegible]00—12-8-04.

Read 6/6/07

Educational (1907) Department.

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O., No. 327

DATED 29. 5. 07

76

[Despatch Abstract.]

College of Engineering, Madras

Recording with remarks the report of the Board of Examiners — on the final examination held in December 1906.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Recd. } 1907. *Enclosures*
Regd. *Spare copies*

G.O., No. 327, 29th May 1907.

College of Engineering, Madras.

Recording, with remarks, the report of the Board of Examiners of the — on the final examinations held in December 1906.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT

Read—the following paper :—

Letter—from Major W. C. Smyth, R.E., President, Board of Examiners, College of Engineering, Madras.
To—the Secretary to Government, Educational Department (through the Director of Public Instruction).
Dated—Secunderabad, the 30th March 1907.
No.—22.

I have the honour to submit the report of the Board of Examiners, College of Engineering, Madras, on the final examinations held in December 1906.

Enclosure.

Report of the Board of Examiners, College of Engineering, Madras, on the final examinations held in December 1906.

1. *Results*—(*a*) *Senior Engineer Class.*—Nineteen candidates, 18 college students and one "independent" candidate appeared for the examination—

Students.—Of these, 15 passed in the second class ; and the rest failed —*all* in the Board's total only.

The one "independent" candidate failed in Engineering as well as in the Board's total.

(*b*) *Senior Engineer Subordinate class.*—Forty-one candidates were examined, 27 of whom were college students and the rest "independent" candidates—

Students.—Twenty-three out of 27 passed with, however, none in the first class. All the remaining four students failed in the Board's total with one in Mathematics as well.

"*Independent*" *candidates.*—Only four out of 14 passed in the second class. Almost all the remaining candidates failed in the Board's total, three of them failing also in certain individual subjects with one in the college total as well.

(*c*) *Senior Sub-overseer and Surveyor class.*—There were 48 candidates, one-half of the number being "independent" candidates—

Students.—Of the 24 candidates, 6 *failed*—all in the Board's total only.

"*Independent*" *candidates.*—Fourteen out of 24 failed—all in the Board's total with five in drawing as well, and one in drawing and the college total as well.

(*d*) *Senior Draftsman class.*—Fifty-four candidates presented themselves for the examination, of whom no fewer than 33 were "independent" candidates—

Students.—Thirteen out of 21 passed with two in the first class. One of the eleven that passed in the second class was a "casual" student. Of those that failed, five failed in the Board's total only, one in estimating only, one in the Board's total and in estimating, and one in the Board's total as well as in certain individual subjects.

"*Independent*" *candidates.*—Only five out of 33 passed. Nine failed in the Board's total only, and the rest in the Board's total as well as in one or more subjects.

2. *General remarks.*—From the comparative statement of the results given below, it will be seen that the percentage of passes was generally lower than in 1905 except in the case of the Draftsman class in which there was a slight increase.

Class.	Number examined.		Number passed.		Percentage of "passed" to "examined".	
	1905.	1906.	1905.	1906.	1905.	1906.
Engineer class	16	19	14	15	87·5	78 9
Engineer subordinate class	44	41	31	27	70·4	65·8
Sub-overseer and Surveyor class	52	48	31	28	59·6	58·3
Draftsman class	43	54	13	18	30·2	33·3

Not one candidate passed in the first class in the college classes or in the Sub-overseer and Surveyor class ; it is, however, gratifying to note that two students of the Draftsman class passed in the first class this year.

In last year's report, the Board remarked "The worst subject was 'drawing', which appears to require more attention." In the year under report the drawing of all classes, though showing a considerable improvement on last year, is still a weak subject. In surveying and levelling the results in all classes were very good. The poor results in the case of the Sub-overseer and Surveyor and Draftsman classes appear to be mainly due to the low standard of education of the candidates and, consequently, to their imperfect knowledge of English.

N. Ref. on Cur. No. 2378, *dated* 7*th May* 1907.

Submitted to Government.

T. T. Logan,
Ag. Director of Public Instruction.

Order—No. 327, Educational, dated 29th May 1907.

Recorded with the remark that the Government regret that the results are not more satisfactory. The Director of Public Instruction is requested to see in special that greater attention is paid to the adequate teaching of drawing.

(True Extract.)

H. D. Taylor,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Recd.	1907.	*Enclosures*
Regd.		*Spare copies*

G.O., No. 327, 29th May 1907.

College of Engineering, Madras.

Recording, with remarks, the report of the Board of Examiners of the — on the final examinations held in December 1906.

. FOR PUT UP PAPERS.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following paper:—

Letter—from Major W. C. Smyth, R.E., President, Board of Examiners, College of Engineering, Madras.
To—the Secretary to Government, Educational Department (through the Director of Public Instruction).
Dated—Secunderabad, the 30th March 1907.
No.—22.

I have the honour to submit the report of the Board of Examiners, College of Engineering, Madras, on the final examinations held in December 1906.

Enclosure.

Report of the Board of Examiners, College of Engineering, Madras, on the final examinations held in December 1906.

1. *Results*—(*a*) *Senior Engineer Class.*—Nineteen candidates, 18 college students and one "independent" candidate appeared for the examination—

Students.—Of these, 15 passed in the second class; and the rest failed—*all* in the Board's total only.

The one "independent" candidate failed in Engineering as well as in the Board's total.

(*b*) *Senior Engineer Subordinate class.*—Forty-one candidates were examined, 27 of whom were college students and the rest "independent" candidates—

Students.—Twenty-three out of 27 passed with, however, none in the first class. All the remaining four students failed in the Board's total with one in Mathematics as well.

"*Independent*" *candidates.*—Only four out of 14 passed in the second class. Almost all the remaining candidates failed in the Board's total, three of them failing also in certain individual subjects with one in the college total as well.

(*c*) *Senior Sub-overseer and Surveyor class.*—There were 48 candidates, one-half of the number being "independent" candidates—

Students.—Of the 24 candidates, 6 *failed*—all in the Board's total only.

"*Independent*" *candidates.*—Fourteen out of 24 failed—all in the Board's total with five in drawing as well, and one in drawing and the college total as well.

(*d*) *Senior Draftsman class.*—Fifty-four candidates presented themselves for the examination, of whom no fewer than 33 were "independent" candidates—

Students.—Thirteen out of 21 passed with two in the first class. One of the eleven that passed in the second class was a "casual" student. Of those that failed, five failed in the Board's total only, one in estimating only, one in the Board's total and in estimating, and one in the Board's total as well as in certain individual subjects.

"*Independent*" *candidates.*—Only five out of 33 passed. Nine failed in the Board's total only, and the rest in the Board's total as well as in one or more subjects.

2. *General remarks.*—From the comparative statement of the results given below, it will be seen that the percentage of passes was generally lower than in 1905 except in the case of the Draftsman class in which there was a slight increase.

Class.	Number examined.		Number passed.		Percentage of "passed" to "examined".	
	1905.	1906.	1905.	1906.	1905.	1906.
Engineer class	16	19	14	15	87·5	78 9
Engineer subordinate class	44	41	31	27	70·4	65·8
Sub-overseer and Surveyor class	52	48	31	28	59·6	58·3
Draftsman class	43	54	13	18	30·2	33·3

Not one candidate passed in the first class in the college classes or in the Sub-overseer and Surveyor class; it is, however, gratifying to note that two students of the Draftsman class passed in the first class this year.

In last year's report, the Board remarked "The worst subject was 'drawing', which appears to require more attention." In the year under report the drawing of all classes, though showing a considerable improvement on last year, is still a weak subject. In surveying and levelling the results in all classes were very good. The poor results in the case of the Sub-overseer and Surveyor and Draftsman classes appear to be mainly due to the low standard of education of the candidates and, consequently, to their imperfect knowledge of English.

N. Ref. on Cur. No. 2378, *dated* 7*th May* 1907.

Submitted to Government.

T. T. Logan,
Ag. Director of Public Instruction.

Order—No. 327, Educational, dated 29th May 1907.

Recorded with the remark that the Government regret that the results are not more satisfactory. The Director of Public Instruction is requested to see in special that greater attention is paid to the adequate teaching of drawing.

(True Extract.)

H. D. Taylor,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.

[P. T. O. for put up papers.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. 327, EDUCATIONAL, DATED 29TH MAY 1907.

[SUBJECT.—*Report of the Board of Examiners, College of Engineering.*]

Previous papers.

G.O., No.	243,	*Educational,*	*dated*	*12th April*	1905.
,, ,,	655	,,	,,	*3rd October*	,,
,, ,,	278	,,	,,	*19th April*	1906.
,, ,,	388	,,	,,	*4th June*	,,
,, ,,	657	,,	,,	*10th October*	,,
,, ,,	11	,,	,,	*9th January*	1907.
,, ,,	159	,,	,,	*20th March*	,,

From the Director of Public Instruction, dated 7th May 1907, No. 2378.

The report appears to be more satisfactory than last year's—*vide* G.O., No. 278, Educational, dated 19th April 1906. The Board state that in surveying and levelling the results in all classes were very good and that drawing which was characterized to be the worst subject in the last year's report showed considerable improvement. They, however, observe that drawing is still a weak subject.

2. The poor results in the case of the Sub-Overseer and Surveyor and Draftsman classes are attributed to the low standard of education of the candidates and to their consequent imperfect knowledge of English. Under rule 37 of the revised rules for the working of this college sanctioned in G.O., No. 159, Educational, dated 20th March 1907, none but Matriculates will be admitted to the Lower Subordinate class (which corresponds to the old Sub-Overseer and Surveyor and Draftsman classes) and this will, it is hoped, remove one of the main causes of the poor results of these classes.

This is a fatal blot.
G. S. F[ORBES].

3. The draft placed below is for approval.

T. KANAKASUNDARAM PILLAI—23-5-07.

Judged from the percentage of passes, the results of the Board examinations do not show an improvement on the previous year; but the Board state that in surveying and levelling the results in all the classes were "good" and that drawing showed "a considerable improvement" on last year. "Drawing" seems, however, to require greater attention.

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—23-5-07.

C. A. SOUTER—24-5-07.

H. D. TAYLOR—26-5-07.

The results are still far from satisfactory.

G. S. F[ORBES]—28-5-07.

Issue.

H. D. TAYLOR—29-5-07.

[G.O., No. 327, Educational. dated 2 7.]

[E.]
1,000—[illegible]-06.

EDUCATIONAL DEPART

CURRENT FILE.

CONTENTS.

From		Number.	Date.	Pages.
1107.	D.P.I.	2378	7-5-07	1 to 6

[P. T. O. FOR PUT UP PAPERS.

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No.

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number.	Date.	Original (=O) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers as have been removed for copying, printing, etc., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of Referencer with date in token of return to Reference department.
159	20-3-07	SC		
11-	9-1-07	O		
388	4-6-06	SC		
278.	19-4-06	SC		
243.	12-4-05	SC		

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number.	Date.	Original (=O.) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Despatcher to note the return of the papers to the departments concerned.

Fo 159/07 ✓
11/07 Ms ✓

Ed C No. 1107
8

Stock Form No. 135.
4,000—27-4-06.

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL
9 - MAY 1907
SECRETARIAT.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Fo 278: 19/4/06 ✓
" 243-12-4-05

Received .... 190 , Registered 10-5-1907.

Subject.

Submitting report of the Board of Examiners of the College of Engineering, Madras on the final examinations held in December 1906.

ENCLOSURES.
No.
Spare copies

N. Ref on Cur No. 2378/07

Station Madras, *Dated the* 7th May 1907.

From (Name) The Hon'ble Mr. T.T. Logan, B.A.

(Designation) Ag: Director of Public Instruction

To the Secretary to the Government of Madras, Madras.
Educational Department

Sir,

9 58

N. Ref on Cur No. 2378/07 dated 7 May 1907.

Submitted to Government.

For Director of Public Instruction.

N.V.S. B.P. 6-6-07

No. 22

Secunderabad,
30th March 1907.

From

Major W. Carew Smyth, R.E.,
President, Board of Examiners,
College of Engineering,
Madras.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Educational Department,

(Through the Director of Public Instruction, Madras).

Sir,

I have the honour to submit the report of the Board of Examiners, College of Engineering, Madras, on the final Examinations held in December 1906.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
W Carew Smyth Major, R.E.,
President.

2 S.P

Report of the Board of Examiners, College of Engineering, Madras, on the final Examinations held in December 1906.

N.B - The pencil entries represent the figures for the

1. Results.

(a) Senior Engineer Class.- 16 Nineteen candidates- 15 18 College Students and one one "Independent" Candidate- appeared for the Examination.

Students.- Of these, 15 passed in the Second Class; and the rest failed- all in the Board's total only.

The one "Independent" Candidate failed in Engineering as well as in the Board's total.

(b) Senior Engineer Subordinate Class.- 44 Forty one Candidates were examined, 36 27 of whom were College students and the rest "Independent" candidates.

Students. Twenty three out of 27 passed- with, however, none in the First Class. All the remaining four students failed in the Board's total with one in Mathematics as well.

"Independent" Candidates.- Only four out of 14 passed - in the second class. Almost all the remaining candidates failed in the Board's total, three of them failing also in certain individual subjects with one in the College total as well.

52 (c) Senior Sub-Overseer and Surveyor Class.- There 41 of whom were college students were 48 candidates, one half of the number being "Independent" candidates.

Students.- Of the 24 Candidates, 6 failed- all in the Board's total only.

"Independent" Candidates.- Fourteen out of 24 failed- all in the Board's total with 5 in Drawing as well, and one in Drawing and the College total as well.

55

8

43)12 33.8

(d) Senior Draftsman Class.- Fifty-four candidates presented themselves for the Examination, of whom no fewer than 15 33 were "Independent" Candidates.

Students.- Thirteen out of 21 passed with two in the First Class. One of the eleven that passed in the Second Class was a "Casual" Student. Of those that failed, five - - failed in the Board's total only, one in Estimating only, one in the Board's total and in Estimating, and one in the Board's total as well as in certain individual subjects.

"Independent" Candidates.- Only 5 out of 33 passed. 9 failed in the Board's total only, and the rest in the Board's total as well as in one or more subjects.

2. General remarks.- From the comparative statement of the results given below, it will be seen that the percentage of passes was generally lower than in 1905 except in the case of the Draftsman Class, in which there was a slight increase.

Class.	Number examined.		Number passed.		Percentage of "passed" to "Examined"	
	1905.	1906.	1905.	1906.	1905.	1906.
Engineer class	16	19	14	15	87.5	78.9
Engineer Subordinate class	44	41	31	27	70.4	65.8
Sub-Overseer and Surveyor class.	52	48	31	28	59.6	58.3
Draftsman class	43	54	13	18	30.2	33.3

29 4 4 4 4 4 4

Not one candidate passed in the first class in the College classes or in the Sub-Overseer and Surveyor class; it is, however, gratifying to note that two students of the Draftsman class passed in the first class this year.

In last year's report, the Board remarked "The worst subject was "Drawing", which appears to require more attention." In the year under report the drawing of all classes, though showing a considerable improvement on last year, is still a weak subject. In Surveying and Levelling the results in all classes were very good. The poor results in the case of the Sub-Overseer and Surveyor and Draftsman classes appear to be mainly due to the low standard of education of the candidates and, consequently, to their imperfect knowledge of English.

Major R.E., President,
R. Ll. Jones M.A., F.R.A.S., Member,
A. J. Keeling A.M.I.C.E., Member.

Board of Examiners, College of Engineering, Madras.

DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION
3rd APR 1907
C.2378/7
MADRAS.

NOTES.

Rw

[E. / 2.]
1,000—29-5-06.

Not t g.o. no. 327 Edl. 29-5-07.

G.O. no. 159 of 20/3/07
" 11 of 9-1-07
" 657 of 10-10-06
" 388 of 4-6-06
" 278 of 19-4-06
" 655 of 3-10-05
" 243 of 12-4-05

7 14 18

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 1107 From the D.P.I. No. 2378, dated 7th May 1907.

SUBJECT. Report of the Board of Examiners, College of Engineering.

E

Page 6 of C.f.

The report appears to be more satisfactory than last year's – vide G.O. no. 278 Edl. dated 19th April 1906. The Board state that in Surveying and Levelling the results in all classes were very good and that Drawing which was characterized to be the worst subject in the last year's report showed considerable improvement. They however observe ~~say~~ that Drawing is still a weak subject.

Next is a partial [illegible]

M. page 10

2. The poor results in the case of the Sub-Overseer and Surveyor and Draftsman classes are attributed to the low standard of education of the Candidates and consequently to their imperfect knowledge of English. Under rule 37 of the revised rules for the working of this College sanctioned in G.O. no. 159 Edl dated 20th March 1907, none but matriculates will be admitted to the Lower Subordinate Class (which corresponds to the old Sub-overseer and Surveyor and Draftsman classes) and this will it is hoped, remove one the main causes of the poor results of these classes ~~will then disappear~~.

3. The draft placed below is for approval.

T.K.
23.5.07

Judged from the percentage of passes, the results of the Board Examinations

d-

Recd

do not show an 'improvement on the previous year; but the Board state that in Surveying and levelling the results in all the Classes were 'good' and that drawing showed "a considerable improvement" on last year. 'Drawing' seems however to require greater attention

AQ
23.5.07

See
Cls
24.5.7.

HB
26.5.07

HM

The results are still far from satisfactory.

SIF
28/5

Wong
HB 29.5.7

327 Ed.

9

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

[E./12.]
1,000—6-12-06.

16

6 P

Print the prints

READ AGAIN G.O., No. ...., dated .... 190 .
" " " " ...., " .... 190 .

READ ALSO :—
C. No. 1107, From the D. P. I., No. 2378, dated 7th may 1907.
" " ...., " " ...., " " 190
" " ...., " " ...., " " 190

in Special

ORDER.

No. 327, dated 29-5-1907.

P. S.

Desp 29/5

Recorded ~~with the remark that~~ The D. P. I. is requested to see that greater attention ~~should~~ is ~~be~~ paid to the adequate teaching of drawing.

T.H.
23.5.07

R.D.
23.5.07

CDS
24.5.7.

26.5.07

with the remark that Govt regret that the results are not more satisfactory.

[Recd 2.45 on 31.5.7]

5 Open Desp
19/6/7

Despd 1/6/7

To
The D. P. I.

st copy sent to Press.

urned for signature.

spatched in print.

NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED.
- Order complete. 40
- Letter only.
- Order complete with Letter.

D AGAIN G.O., No. , DATED
190 .

7P
Notes 1-2 P

EENT No. A

Press

Please show proof
CS
1.6.07

LOSURES TO C. No.

ER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. B

Notes A

ER OF GOVERNMENT.

EMENT WITH DITTO.

ERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.