

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Recd.

Regd.

} 1907.

Enclosures

Spare copies

G.O., No. 301, 18th May 1907.

Public Service Notification.

Allowing the promotion of persons who have passed the Middle School examination in the first class and have been confirmed in any post in the superior service carrying a pay of Rs. 15 or more subsequent to the 30th June 1894 and requesting the Commissioner for Government Examinations to submit a draft of the necessary alterations to be made in the —.

Educational
Legislative (1907) Department.

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O., No. 301

DATED 18. 5. 7

(3)

[Despatch Abstract.]

Public Service notification

allowing the promotion of persons who have passed the middle school examination in the first class and have been confirmed in any post in the Superior Service carrying a pay of Rs 15 or more. Subsequent to the 30th June 1894 and requesting the Commissioner for Government Examinations to submit a draft of the necessary alterations to be made in the ———

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Recd.

Regd.

} 1907.

Enclosures

Spare copies

G.O., No. 301, 18th May 1907.

Public Service Notification.

Allowing the promotion of persons who have passed the Middle School examination in the first class and have been confirmed in any post in the superior service carrying a pay of Rs. 15 or more subsequent to the 30th June 1894 and requesting the Commissioner for Government Examinations to submit a draft of the necessary alterations to be made in the —.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper :—

Reference from the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), No. 934/07, dated 16th March 1907.

Read—the following paper :—

Letter—from Khan Sahib M. AZIZ-UD-DIN Sahib Bahadur, Collector of South Canara.
To—the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, Madras.
Dated—the 15th February 1907.
No.—Ref. on P.R. 1990-Ver/06.

I have the honour to request the favour of the Board's orders as to whether, under the present rules, a person who has passed the Middle School examination *in the first class* and who was confirmed as clerk on Rs. 15 subsequent to 1st July 1894 under note 1 to the Public Service Notification is eligible for *promotion to Rs. 20*.

2. The above question has actually arisen before me in the case of a clerk of the Udipi Taluk office, who has passed the Middle School examination in the first class and who was confirmed as clerk on Rs. 15 in 1896. His Divisional officers, Messrs. Kershasp and Lancashire, have held that he was eligible only for confirmation on Rs. 15 and is not eligible for promotion to Rs. 20 under note 1 to the Public Service Notification. Mr. Kershasp's reason for his opinion is that so far as the persons who have passed the Middle School examination in the first class are concerned, the note makes a distinction between those who held permanent appointments in the superior service prior to 1st July 1894 and those who were officiating in the superior appointments of Rs. 15 on that date, and that while the first class of men are not affected as regards promotion, the second class of men are eligible only for confirmation under the said note. Mr. Reilly, however, differing from Mr. Kershasp, has promoted a clerk of the Coondapoor Taluk office similarly circumstanced to Rs. 20. It seems to me that Mr. Reilly's view is correct. Once an acting clerk is confirmed I think his status becomes the same as that of a clerk who held a permanent appointment prior to 1st July 1894 as regards promotion too. I do not think the second part of the proviso necessarily means that an acting clerk can only be confirmed but not promoted afterwards. It is also to be observed that note (6) to paragraph 4 of the Special Test notification (printed at page 309 of the *Fort St. George Gazette*, Part I-B, dated 30th April 1901), permitted till 1st January 1902 the first-class middle school men, who held permanent appointments of Rs. 15 and upwards on 1st January 1897 and not merely those who held such posts on 1st July 1894, to appear for the Special Test examinations except the Revenue Test, Higher grade, and the Criminal Test, Higher and Lower grades. If men who were confirmed subsequent to 1st July 1894 could not be promoted there was no use in allowing them to appear for any Special Test examination. As the point is, however, not free from doubt, I solicit the favour of Board's instructions.

Reference—No. 934/07, dated 16th March 1907.

Submitted for the orders of Government.

2. The Board agrees with the Collector in considering that persons who have passed the Middle School examination in the first class and were confirmed as clerks on Rs. 15 subsequent to 1st July 1894 are eligible for promotion.

F. B. EVANS,
Ag. Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Educational Department.

Order—No. 301, Educational, dated 18th May 1907.

With reference to the provision of note (1) to the Public Service Notification, the Government are pleased to allow the promotion of persons who have passed the Middle School examination in the first class and have been confirmed in any post in the superior service carrying a pay of Rs. 15 or more subsequent to the 30th June 1894, provided that otherwise they are deemed fit for such further promotion.

2. The Commissioner for Government Examinations will be requested to submit a draft of the necessary alterations to be made in the Public Service Notification.

(True Extract.)

H. D. TAYLOR,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue).
" Departments of the Secretariat.
" Director of Public Instruction.
" Commissioner for Government Examinations.
" Superintendent, Government Museum.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. 301, EDUCATIONAL, DATED
18TH MAY 1907.

[SUBJECT.—Enquiring whether a person who has passed the Middle School Examination in the first class and was confirmed on Rs. 15 subsequent to 1st July 1894 is eligible for promotion.]

Previous papers.

G.O., Nos. 351, 352, Educational, dated 20th June	1889.
„ No. 745 „ „ 14th October	1892.
„ „ 818 „ „ 8th November	1893.
„ „ 67 „ „ 2nd February	1894.
„ „ 584 „ „ 21st September	1896.

from the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), No. 934, dated 16th March 1907.

The question raised by the Collector of South Canara (Khan Sahib M. Aziz-ud-din Sahib Bahadur) is as to whether, under the present rules, a person who has passed the Middle School examination in the first class and who was confirmed as clerk on Rs. 15 subsequent to 1st July 1894, under note 1 to the Public Service Notification, is eligible for promotion? The Collector and the Board answer the question in the affirmative, but as the point is not free from doubt they have referred the question for the decision of Government.

2. Note 1 to the Public Service Notification runs thus:—

“The rules contained in this notification shall in nowise affect the promotion of persons who held permanent appointments before they first came into force on the 1st July 1894, provided that their promotion was not already barred by any rules previously in force, nor shall they apply to persons acting in appointments for which, though qualified under the rules in force on the 30th June 1894, they may not be qualified under the present notification, such persons being eligible for confirmation in the appointments in which they are officiating if they fall permanently vacant before termination of the acting incumbency.”

3. The question turns on the interpretation of the note quoted above. One view

Vide paragraph 2 of the Collector's letter. is that the note makes a distinction between those who held permanent appointments in the superior service prior to 1st July 1894 and those who were officiating in the superior appointments on Rs. 15 on that date; and that while the former class of men are not affected as regards promotion, the latter are eligible only for confirmation. The other view is that once an acting clerk is confirmed, his status becomes the same as that of a clerk who held a permanent appointment prior to 1st July 1894 as regards promotion also.

4. Note 6 to paragraph 4 of the Special Test Notification of 1901 permitted first-class Middle School men who held permanent appointments of Rs. 15 and upwards on 1st January 1897 to appear for all the Special Test examinations except the Revenue Test, Higher grade, and the Criminal Test, Higher and Lower grades, until 1st January 1902. The Collector observes in this connection that if men who were confirmed subsequently to 1st July 1894 could not be promoted there was no use in allowing them to appear for any Special Test examinations.

5. Submitted that the view taken by the Collector (Aziz-ud-din Sahib Bahadur) which is supported by the Board may be concurred in.

P.K.M.—23-3-07.

Submitted for orders. The notification seems certainly to make a distinction between first-class Middle School men who held permanent posts in the superior service previous to 1st July 1894 and those who were acting in such posts on the above date and were subsequently confirmed. In the case of the men of the latter class, the note clearly says that the notification will not be a bar to their confirmation "in the appointments in which they are officiating if they fall permanently vacant before termination of the acting incumbency" and does not seem to go any farther. It does not appear that note (6) to paragraph 4 of the Special Test Notifications, which permits Middle School men who on the 1st January 1897 held appointments carrying a salary of Rs. 15 per mensem and upwards, to appear for some of the Special Test examinations was intended to modify the rule in note (1) of the Public Service Notification, so as to permit Middle School men who were confirmed on Rs. 15 posts subsequent to 1st July 1894 to appear for the Special Tests above referred to.

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—27-3-07.

C. A. SOUTER—27-3-07.

The original notification to which reference should be made is that which issued with G.O., No. 317, Educational, dated 9th May 1894. Please see remarks in paragraph 2 on page 4 of that Government Order which refers to that note.

Please put up G.Os., No. 818, Educational, dated 8th November 1893, and No. 67, Educational, dated 2nd February 1904, which should make the matter clear.

2. I take the real force of the note to lie in closing words: which I take to mean that if the men who are acting continue to act, without interruption, in the same post until they are confirmed, they will be treated for all purposes as if they had actually been confirmed on 1st July 1894. I do not think it restricts them merely to "confirmation" or does not allow subsequent promotion.

H. D. TAYLOR—30-3-07.

The originals of G.Os., No. 818, Educational, dated 8th November 1893, and No. 67, Educational, dated 2nd February 1894, are put up.

2. In his letter No. 2954, dated 20th September 1893, disposed of in G.O., No. 818, Educational, dated 8th November 1893, the Commissioner for Government Examinations submitted for the approval of Government the draft of a revised Public Service Notification. In this draft, the note to the preamble to the notification ran as follows:—

"Note.—The rules contained in this notification shall in nowise affect the promotion of persons who held permanent appointments before they first came into force in 1891, but persons who held only acting appointments at the time, and who hold such appointments still, shall not be eligible for confirmation in the appointments in which they act unless they qualify according to these rules."

3. The drafts submitted by the Commissioner for Government Examinations were referred to all departments of the Secretariat and to all heads of departments and to the Honourable the Judges of the High Court for remarks.

4. In paragraph 2 (1) of G.O., No. 818, Educational, dated 8th November 1893, the Government proposed to substitute the following for the draft note to the preamble to the Public Service Notification:—

"Note.—The rules contained in this notification shall in nowise affect the promotion of persons who hold permanent appointments on the 1st January 1894, but persons who hold only acting appointments on that date shall not be eligible for confirmation in the appointments in which they are acting unless they qualify according to these rules."

5. In his letter No. 606, dated 2nd November 1893, disposed of in G.O., No. 67, Educational, dated 2nd February 1894, the Surgeon-General suggested that the latter portion of the note extracted above might be revised so as to admit of men acting before the 1st January 1894, in an appointment being confirmed in the same appointment should it fall permanently vacant before their acting tenure was brought to a close. The following extracts from the notes of the then Under Secretary Mr. Butterworth will be found instructive:—

"The first question to be settled is whether the confirmation of acting men who have not qualified under the new rules should be allowed or whether the *note* to the preamble should be retained in its present form. In C. No. 1144 the Surgeon-General gives his opinion that men acting in an appointment before the 1st January 1894 should be declared eligible for confirmation in the same appointment should it fall permanently vacant before the acting appointment comes to a close even though they have not qualified under the new rules which come into force from the beginning of this year.

"In C. No. 1293 the Chief Presidency Magistrate expresses a similar view with special reference to the cases of two of his clerks.

"The Commissary-General also alludes to the matter (*vide* C. No. 1288).

"The concession recommended by the Surgeon-General is a *small* one which will prevent the rules from working harshly in a few instances and it is submitted that it may be granted. If Honourable Members agree the note to the preamble will be amended."

Honourable Members agreed.

C. A. SOUTER—11-4-07.

It will thus be observed that Government did not then contemplate the further

H. D. TAYLOR.

promotion of men who held acting appointments on the 1st January 1894. As remarked by Mr. Butterworth, the concession was "a small one" and related, it is submitted, only to the confirmation of acting men in the appointments in which they were officiating, should they fall permanently vacant before the acting incumbency came to a close.

P.K.M.—10-4-07.

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—10-4-07.

C. A. SOUTER—11-4-07.

The above seems correct. Whatever view the Government may now hold as to the expediency of the promotion of men of the class referred to in paragraph 1 of the office-note on page 1 *supra*, it seems clear that, at the time when the notification of 1894 issued, the intention was that unqualified men should be confirmed in their posts, should they fall vacant before they ceased to act, but that they would not be qualified for further promotion.

H. D. TAYLOR—11-4-07.

When was the Middle School examination abolished? Would the acceptance of the Board's view whether strictly supported by the correct construction of the correspondence or not, have, so far as the department knows, any substantially detrimental effect upon the Public Service? I doubt whether many men would at this date benefit much, especially as selection comes in to secure promotion?

G. S. F. ORBES—25-4-07.

H. D. TAYLOR—26-4-07.

The Middle School examination was abolished in paragraph 10 of G.O., No. 351, Educational, dated 24th June 1889. It was last held in December 1890—*vide* paragraph 12 (iii) of the Commissioner's report on page 14 of G.O., No. 745, Educational, dated 14th October 1892. At that examination 4,675 men passed.

2. What effect the Board's proposal will have it is hard to say. It depends on the number of Middle School first-class men in the service, and I have no means of ascertaining this. It further depends on the fitness of such men for higher posts. Generally it will be found that Middle School men are in Taluk offices, where they are most useful because much of the correspondence therein is in the vernacular. It would not be often that such men could get appointments where a good education is

H. D. TAYLOR. essential. Perhaps therefore the adoption of the Board's proposal would not have any very extended effect.

C. A. SOUTER—6-5-07.

H. D. TAYLOR—7-5-07.

I should allow it.

G. S. F[ORBES]—9-5-07.

Under Standing Orders the proposal to amend the Public Service Notification as interpreted by the notes with G.O., No. 67, Educational, dated 2nd February 1894, so as to admit of the further promotion of Middle School men who were confirmed in their posts under the terms of the Public Service Notification of 1894 requires the approval of a second Honourable Member. The file is accordingly circulated to the Hon'ble Mr. Hammick prior to drafting.

H. D. TAYLOR—10-5-07.

I agree.

M. H[AMMICK]—11-5-07

Draft please.

H. D. TAYLOR—13-5-07.

A draft is placed below for approval.

T. KANAKASUNDRAM PILLAI—14-5-07.

C. A. SOUTER—15-5-07.

There is no *modification* of the notification itself—only a modification of its interpretation.

2. The words "and to further subsequent promotion" may perhaps be added to the note when the notification is next revised, in order to make the intention clear.

Note this.

H. D. TAYLOR—18-5-07.

H. D. TAYLOR—16-5-07.

G. S. F[ORBES]—17-5-07.

Issue.

H. D. TAYLOR—18-5-07.

[G.O., No. 301, Educational, dated 18th May 1907.]

CURRENT FILE.

CONTENTS.

From	Number.	Date.	Pages.
[658] The Board of Revenue Land Revenue	934	16.3.07	1-3.

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No. _____

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number.	Date.	Original (=O) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers as have been removed for copying, printing, etc., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of Referencer with date in token of return to Reference department.

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number.	Date.	Original (=O.) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Despatcher to note the return of the papers to the departments concerned.

Educational

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.



CURRENT No. 658 Est

Received

190

, Registered

19 3 1907

Subject.

Public Service Notification

Submitting for the orders of Government letter from the Collector of South Canara enquiring whether a person who has passed the Middle School Examination in the 1st class and was confirmed on Rs.15 subsequent to 1st July 1894 is eligible for promotion.

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Reference No. 934/07.

Spare copies

Station Madras, Dated the 16th March 1907

From

The Board of Revenue, Land Revenue

The Honourable Mr. C. J. Weir
(Commissioner of Land Revenue)

Read the following :-

Letter - from Khan Sahib M. Aziz-ud-din Sahib Bahadur, Collector of S.Canara.
To - the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, Madras.
Dated - the 15th February 1907.
No. - Ref.on P.R. 1990/Ver/06.

I have the honour to request the favour of the Board's orders as to whether, under the present rules, a person who has passed the Middle School Examination in the First class and who was confirmed as clerk on Rs.15 subsequent to 1st July 1894 un-

der

Plu
19/3

2

under Note 1 to the Public Service Notification is eligible for promotion to Rs.20.

2. The above question has actually arisen before me in the case of a clerk of the Udipi Taluk office, who has passed the Middle School Examination in the First Class and who was confirmed as clerk on Rs.15 in 1896. His Divisional Officers, Messrs. Kershasp and Lancashire, have held that he was eligible only for confirmation on Rs.15 and is not eligible for promotion to Rs.20 under Note 1 to the Public Service Notification. Mr. Kershasp's reason for his opinion is that so far as the persons who have passed the Middle School Examination in the First Class are concerned, the Note makes a distinction between those who held permanent appointments in the superior service prior to 1st July 1894 and those who were officiating in the superior appointments of Rs.15 on that date, and that while the 1st class of men are not affected as regards promotion, the 2nd class of men are eligible only for confirmation under the said note. Mr. Reilly, however, differing from Mr. Kershasp has promoted a clerk of the Coondapoor Taluk office similarly circumstanced to Rs.20. It seems to me that Mr. Reilly's view is correct. Once an acting clerk is confirmed I think his status becomes the same as that of a clerk who held a permanent appointment prior to 1st July 1894 as regards promotion too. I do not think the 2nd part of the proviso necessarily means that an acting clerk can only be confirmed but not promoted afterwards. It is also to be observed that Note (6) to para 4 of the Special Test Notification (printed at page 309 of the Fort Saint George Gazette Part I-B, dated 30th April 1901), permitted till 1st January 1902 the First Class Middle School men, who held permanent appointments of Rs.15 and upwards on 1st January 1897 and not merely those who held such posts on 1st July 1894, to appear for the Special Test Examinations except the Revenue Test, Higher Grade, and the Criminal Test, Higher and Lower Grades. If men who were confirmed subsequent to 1st July 1894 could not be promoted there was no use in

allowing

3

8

28

allowing them to appear for any Special Test Examination. As the point is, however, not free from doubt, I solicit the favour of Board's instructions.

Reference No.934/07, dated 16th March 1907.

Submitted for the orders of Government.

2. The Board agrees with the Collector in considering that persons who have passed the Middle School Examination in the 1st class and were confirmed as clerks on Rs.15 subsequent to 1st July 1894 are eligible for promotion.

J. S. E. A. T.
18.3.07.

Ag. Secretary.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Educational Department.

R.H.
16-3-07

P. S. E. A. T.
16/3

P

NOTES.

for 357-52 Ed by 20.6.1889

" 745 Ed 14-10-1892

" 584 by 21-9-96

" 67 by 2-2-1894

818 by 8-11-93

Drawn
Remt. memo
Hapert from
afflowt Shub
Note to go to 30/12/07
18-5-07. 1P
Educational DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 658 From the Board of Revenue No. 934, dated 16.3.1907
Land Revenue

SUBJECT.

Enquiring whether a person who has passed the Middle School Examination in the first class + was confirmed on Rs 15 subsequently to 1st July 1894 is eligible for promotion.

C The question raised by the Collector of S. Canara (Khan Sahib. M. Aziz ud-din Sahib Bahadur), is as to whether under the present rules, a person who has passed the Middle School Examination in the first class + who was confirmed as clerk on Rs 15 subsequent to 1st July 1894, under Note 1 to the Public Service Notification is eligible for promotion? The Collector + the Board answer the question in the affirmative, but as the point is not free from doubt they have referred the question for the decision of Govt.

2. Note 1 to the Public Service Notification runs thus: —

" The rules contained in this notification
" shall in no wise affect the promotion
" of persons who held permanent appoint.
" ments before they first came into force
" on the 1st July 1894 provided ^{that} their

promotion was not already barred
 by any rules previously in force,
 nor shall they apply to persons
 acting in appointments for which,
 though qualified under the rules
 in force on the 30th June 1894, they
 may not be qualified under the
 present notification, such persons
 being eligible for confirmation in
 the appointments in which they
 are officiating if they fall per-
 manently vacant before ter-
 mination of the acting incum-
 bency.

82d

Vide para: 2 of the Collector's
 letter on page 2 of cf.

— do do —

promotion was not already barred
 by any rules previously in force,
 nor shall they apply to persons
 acting in appointments for which,
 though qualified under the rules
 in force on the 30th June 1894, they
 may not be qualified under the
 present notification, such persons
 being eligible for confirmation in
 the appointments in which they
 are officiating if they fall per-
 manently vacant before ter-
 mination of the acting incum-
 bency.

3. The question turns on the
 interpretation of the note
 quoted above. One view is, that
 the note makes a distinction between
 those who held permanent appoint-
 ments in the Superior Service prior
 to 1st July 1894 + those who were
 officiating in the superior appoint-
 ments on Rs 15 on that date; and
 that while the ^{former} class of men
 are not affected as regards promo-
 tion, the ^{latter} ~~2nd~~ class of men are
 eligible only for Confirmation.
 The other view is that once an acting
 clerk is confirmed, his status

become the same as that of
 clerk who held a permanent
 appointment prior to 1st July 1894
 in regard to promotion to
 higher posts and the
 Special Test Notification of 1891
 permitted for class. It is stated
 that held permanent appointments of
 Rs 15 and upwards on 1st January 1891
 to appear for the Special Test
 carrying with it the privilege of
 higher posts and the
 Special Test Notification of 1891
 collected names in this connection
 that if men were confirmed
 on 1st July 1894 and
 not the promotion there was no
 in allowing them to appear for
 Special Test Examination
 to be held that the
 taken by the collector
 in support of the board
 in connection with
 the Special Test
 1/10/94

Page 309

#7 B LP

previous to 1st July 1894 and those who were
 acting in such posts on the above date
 and were subsequently confirmed. In the
 Case of the men of the latter class, the
 Note clearly says that the notification will
 not be a bar to their Confirmation provided
 "in the appointments in which they are
 officiating if they fall permanently vacant
 before termination of the acting incumbency".
 and does not seem to go any farther. It does
 not appear that Note (b) to paragraph 4 of
 the Special Test notifications, which permit
 Middle School men, who on the 1st January
 1897, held appointments carrying a salary
 of Rs 15 p.m. and upwards to appear
 for some of the Special Test Examinations,
 was intended to modify the rule in
 the public Service Notification, so as
 to permit Middle School who were confirmed
 on Rs 15 posts subsequent to 1st July 1894
 to appear for the Special Tests above
 referred to.

P

Please put up the original
 of No. 544208 of 21.9.96.
 Put up.
 P. R. M.
 27.3.07.

Note (1) of

Rec.
 27.3.07
 27.3.07

The original notification which reference should
 be made is the flagged C1, which issued in
 No. T 317 of 26th May 1894. Please see remarks in

M. G. O. No. 818, Vol., dated 21st November 1893, the C. G. E., submitted for the approval of Govt., the draft of a revised Public Service Notification. In this draft, the note to the preamble to the Notification

20th 301201

69

68

ran as follow:

Page 5 of 8
818
8-11-1893

"note. The rules contained in
this Notification shall in no
wise affect the promotion of
persons who held permanent
appointments before they first
came into force in 1891, but persons
who held only acting appointments
at the time, and who hold
such appointments still, shall
not be eligible for confirmation
in the appointments in which
they act unless they qualify
according to these rules.

3. The drafts submitted by the C. & E.
were referred to all departments
of the Secretariat and to all Heads
of departments and to the Honble
the Judges of the High Court
for remarks.

4. In para 2(1) of G.O. no. 818 Eol, dated
8th November 1893, the Govt pro-
posed to substitute the following
for the draft note to the preamble
to the P. S. notification:-

"note. The rules contained in this
notification shall in no wise
affect the promotion of persons

" who hold permanent appointments
 " on the 1st January 1894, but
 " persons who hold only acting
 " appointments on that date
 " shall not be eligible for Con-
 " firmation in the appointments
 " in which they are acting unless
 " they qualify according to these
 " rules."

Page 808. 67 Ed. X
 2.294

5. In his letter no 606 dated
 21. 11. 1893, disposed of in G.
 X 67 Ed. d. 2nd February 1894, the
 Surgeon General suggested that
 the latter portion of the note
 extracted above might be revised
 so as to admit of ^{the confirmation of} men acting
 before the 1st January 1894, in
 an appointment ^{being} confirmed
 in the same appointment should
 it fall permanently vacant
 before their acting tenure was
 brought to a close. The following
 extracts from the notes of the
 then Under Secretary Mr. Butterworth
 will be found instructive:—

Vide the original of
 G. 67 Ed. X
 2.2.94

" The first question to be settled
 " is whether the confirmation of
 " acting men who have not qualified

...under the new rules should be
...allowed or whether the note
...to the preamble should be
...retained in its present form.
...In C no 1144 the Surgeon General
...gives his opinion that men acting
...in an appointment before the
...1st January 1894 should be de-
...clared eligible for confirmation
...in the same appointment should
...it fall permanently vacant
...before the acting appointment
...comes to a close even though
...they have not qualified under
...the new rules which come into
...force from the beginning of
...this year.
...In C no 1293 the Chief Presi-
...dency Magistrate expresses a
...similar view with special
...reference to the cases of two
...of his clerks.
...The Commissary General also
...alludes to the matter (vide C no
...1288)
...The Concession recommended
...by the Surgeon General is a
...small one which will prevent

Page 27 of 67
2.2.74

Page 28 of 67
2.2.74

Page 36 of 67
2.2.74

Page 39 of 67
2.2.74

811 88

Page 27 of 67
2.2.74

Page 36 of 67
2.2.74

Page 39 of 67
2.2.74

the rules from working harshly
in a few instances and it
is submitted that it may be
granted. If H. was agree the
note to the preamble will be
amended. It will thus be
observed that Govt did not
then contemplate the further pro-
motion of men who held acting
appointments on the 1st January
1894. As remarked by Mr Butler
worth, the concession was 'a
small one' and related, it
is submitted, only to the con-
firmation of acting men in
the appointments in which
they were officiating, should
they fall permanently vacant
before the acting incumbency
comes to a close.

Para 2 (1) of 8.
67 Col X
2.2.1894

" the rules from working harshly
" in a few instances and it
" is submitted that it may be
" granted. If H. was agree the
" note to the preamble will be
" amended". It will thus be
observed that Govt did not
then contemplate the further pro-
motion of men who held acting
appointments on the 1st January
1894. As remarked by Mr Butler
worth, the concession was 'a
small one' and related, it
is submitted, only to the con-
firmation of acting men in
the appointments in which
they were officiating, should
they fall permanently vacant
before the acting incumbency
comes to a close.

Pro
10.6.07
10.4.07
Sec.
11.4.7.

The above seems correct. That comes
view the fol. may now hold as to the
experience of the promotion of men
of the class referred to in para 1 of the

H. H. apud.
Col
11.4.7.

Para 2 (1) of 8.
67 Col X
2.2.1894

11/14
See. ✓ 11 P

W p 90

R

The Middle School examⁿ was abolished
in para 10 of C.P. 40351 Est. of 24.6.1889.
It was last held in December 1890
vide para 12 (iii) of the Commissioner's
report on p. 14 of C.P. 40745 Est. of 14.10.1892
At that exam 4,675 men passed.

What effect the Board's proposal
will have it is hard to say. It
depends on the number of Middle
School first class men in the
service, & I have no means of
ascertaining this. It further depends
on the fitness of such men for higher
posts. Generally it will be found
that Middle School men are in Sdalg
offices, ~~the~~ where they are most
useful because much of the corres-
pondence therein is in the Vernacular.
It would not be often that such
men could get appointments where
a good education is essential.

Perhaps therefore the adoption of the
Board's proposal would not have
any very extended effect.

Chs

6.5.7.

NO 7

7.5.7

NY

2nd allowit
8-9-5

Wp 11/14

12 15

12 P

Under standing over the proposal to
 amend the P.S. Notification as interpreted
 by the note of 8-0-62, so as to
 avoid of the further motion of needle
 O'clock here who were confined in
 their posts under the terms of the Public
 Service Notification of 1904 requires
 the approval of a second Hon. Member.
 The file is accordingly circulated to
 the Hon. R. Hannick prior to drafting

10.5.07
 The Hon. R. Hannick C19.024.

Jagoe
 10.5.07

Draft please
 10.5.07

A draft is placed below for approval.

7/11
 14.5.07
 Lic
 15.5.07

There is no modification of the notification itself - only
 a notification of its interpretation.

The word "and to further subsequent
 motion" may perhaps be added to the note

C.

73-16

138

when the notification is received, in order
to make the intention clear

6th
1050

44
— 5717/5

1000
102
1050

1000
102
1050

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, dated _____ 190_____

190

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 658, From the Bd of Rev. LR, No. 934, dated 16-3-1907.

ORDER.

P. S.

No. 307, dated 18/6/7 1907.

With reference to the provision
~~The modification of the provision~~

of Note (4) to the Public Service
notification, The Govt are pleased to
allow the promotion of persons who
have passed the middle school
examination in the first class
^{have been}
and were confirmed ~~as~~ in any post
in the Superior Service carrying a
pay of Rs 15 or more subsequent
to the 30th June 1894^{1/2}

2. The Commr. for Govt. Examiners will be requested to submit a draft of the necessary alterations to be made in the Public Service notification,

J.K.
14.5.07

Cal 1557 Ho 557

To
The Bd of Rev. & R. (pro) *sent*
.. Dept of the Secretariat.
.. D.P.O. *sent*
.. C. & E. *sent*
.. S. & M. *sent*

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched in print.

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
190

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Print in full.
- B = Not to be printed
- P = Print abstract only.
- Q = Print heading only.

NUMBER OF COPIES
REQUIRED.

Order complete. 200
Letter only.
Order complete with Letter.

4 P
21-13 P

VH

Press
Please show
proof
Q
22-507

658 551