

Educational (1907) Department.

ORDINARY MS. ⑥

G.O., No. 223

DATED 15-4-07

[Despatch Abstract.]

Utiya Education

Recording papers on the subject of the question asked in the Legislative Council held on 9-4-07 regarding the removal of Utiyas from the list of backward classes entitled to pay half rates of school fees.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Legislative Department.

2

Rajah
The Hon'ble V. Virabhadra Razu Bahadur.

Educational Department

VIII.(a) Is it a fact that under the New Education Rules the Uriyas have been omitted from the list of "backward" classes" entitled to pay half rates of school fees, while the Muhammadans have been retained under that class as usual ?

(b) Is it a fact that the Uriyas are not less backward than the Muhammadans and deserve to be continued under that category as hitherto ?

(c) If so, will Government be pleased to continue to treat them as a "backward class" as before.

Qu. VIII.

3

Urugas were not placed under the Category of "backward classes" given in Rule 22 of the Old Grant-in-aid Code - vide page 7 of the Code of 1905 perhaps. Rule 75 of the Educational Rules, 4th Edition, authorised the Govt. to fix from time to time for the Presidency or for any District, portion of a District or town therein the favourable rates at which

- = Children belonging to backward
- = or indigent races, tribes, classes
- = or Castes shall be admitted
- = to schools under public manage-
- = ment. Under the Corresponding rule which was in force in 1892, the Govt. declared by notification dated 10th August 1892, that Urugas fees shall be levied at half rates from Urugas in institutions under public management. ~~Under~~ In accordance with this notification, Urugas were ~~not~~ paying fees at half rates, until the issue of the New Educational Rules which made

Rules 84(ii) &
102 of Sol Rules
5th Edition -

made special mention of the
Concession to Muhammedans
and backward classes in the
matter of fees, but omitted
reference to Uryas. In Nov-

2. In November 1906, the
Urya Samaj sent in a repre-
sentation requesting among
other things that the Concession
previously enjoyed by Uryas of
paying half fees might be
restored. The Govt have, in
consultation with the D.P.D.
now resolved to restore the
Concession to Uryas of paying
fees at half rates in insti-
-tutions under public manage-
ment. Please see the papers
in the linked file.

3. The following draft
answer is suggested.

(a) (b) & (c). The Government
have now restored the Concession
enjoyed by Uryas of paying fees
at half the Standard rates in
~~some~~ institutions under public

management.

The draft in the linked file
is also for approval

HM

27.3.07

26.3.07

See 27.3.07

Copy to Legislature 10/2/08

Les indushtie
H

$$\frac{162}{281.217}$$

Leg. n.o., with copy of draft answer
in duplicate -

28-3-0

Educational DEPARTMENT.

Papers connected with Legislative question no VIII

READ AGAIN G.O., No., dated..... 190 .

” ” ” ” ” 190 .

READ ALSO:—

C. No.; From the, No., dated 190 ..

190

ORDER.

No. 223, dated 15-4-1907

mis

Recorded.

7.K.
11-4-07 all
12-4-7.

Sanitised

Paper submitted with original papers on 10

10/10/1910
10/10/1910
10/10/1910

When given for copying _____
When copied _____ } 190 .
When examined _____
When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, DATED _____
190 .

URGENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.