

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

28

*Recd.*

*Reqd.*

} 1907.

*Enclosures*

*Spare copies*

---

G.O., No. 221, 15th April 1907.

---

**Public Service Notification.**

Making certain alterations in the — so as to render the Précis-writing test a qualification for appointments carrying a salary of not less than Rs. 60 per mensem, progressive salaries being calculated at the maximum pay of the appointment.



2  
Educational (1907) Department.

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O., No. 221

DATED 15-4-07

V.E.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Public Service Notification

Making certain alterations in the — to the effect that the Precise-Writing Test should be made a qualification for appointments to which a salary of not less than Rs 60 per mensem is attached & that in the case of an appointment to which an incremental scale of pay is attached, the maximum salary should be adopted as its value for the purposes of this notification. progressive salaries being calculated at the maximum pay of the app't.



# BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |      |     |             |       |

# FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |      |     |             |       |

## Government of Madras.

### EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

*Resd.*

*Regd.*

} 1907.

*Enclosures*

*Spare copies*

G.O., No. 221, 15th April 1907.

### Public Service Notification.

Making certain alterations in the — so as to render the Précis-writing test a qualification for appointments carrying a salary of not less than Rs. 60 per mensem, progressive salaries being calculated at the maximum pay of the appointment.



## GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

## EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

## Order—No. 221, Educational, dated 15th April 1907.

Under clause A of the table appended to the Public Service Notification published on pages 402 to 409 of Part I-B of the *Fort St. George Gazette*, dated 3rd July 1906, the Précis-writing test is prescribed as a qualification for all ministerial officers who are in receipt of salaries amounting to not less than Rs. 60 *per mensem*. Note (3) printed at the beginning of this notification says that "appointments carrying an incremental pay are, for the purposes of this notification, treated as appointments carrying a fixed pay equal to the average pay calculated in accordance with article 150 of the Civil Service Regulations (Fourth Edition)".

2. It is, however, considered desirable that the Précis-writing test should be made a qualification for *appointments* to which a salary of not less than Rs. 60 *per mensem* is attached, instead of for the receipt of *salaries* of Rs. 60 and above and that in the case of an appointment to which an incremental scale of pay is attached, the maximum salary should be adopted as its value for the purposes of this notification instead of the average salary as is now done.

3. The following notification will be published in the *Fort St. George Gazette* :—

## NOTIFICATION.

In notification No. 73, dated 3rd July 1906, published on pages 402 to 409 of Part I-B of the *Fort St. George Gazette*, dated 3rd July 1906 :—

(1) for note 3, substitute the following :—

"For the purposes of this notification progressive salaries shall be calculated at the maximum pay of the appointment".

(2) for the words "and who are in receipt of salaries amounting to not less than Rupees 60 *per mensem*" in the first column of the table appended to article 3 under clause A relating to the Précis-writing test, substitute the words "the maximum pay of which is not less than Rs. 60 *per mensem*".

(True Extract.)

H. D. TAYLOR,  
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Departments of the Secretariat.  
" Director of Public Instruction.  
" Commissioner for Government Examinations.  
" Superintendent, Government Museum.  
Gazette.

## EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. 221, EDUCATIONAL,  
DATED 15TH APRIL 1907.

[SUBJECT.—*Exemption from Examination Rules.*]

EXTRACT FROM THE NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. 36, EDUCATIONAL, DATED  
19TH JANUARY 1907.

Under the Public Service Notification "all ministerial officers (except Registrars and Deputy and Assistant Registrars of the High Court) who hold any office not falling within the scope of article 2 of the Public Service Notification (which exempts certain persons and appointments) and who are in receipt of salaries amounting to not less than Rs. 60 *per mensem*" have to pass the précis-writing test. The term used is "salaries". When a clerk on, say, Rs. 45 acts on Rs. 60 his salary will be only Rs. 57 and it is doubtful whether he should pass the précis-writing test.

H. D. TAYLOR. But not to pay the full acting allowance so as to make the salary less than Rs. 60 seems to be clearly an evasion of the rules and the Public Works Department may be informed accordingly.

[The Record office is unable to trace an exact precedent.]

P. T. THARYAN—24-12-06.

The Public Works Department may be informed accordingly.

C. G. MACKAY—1-1-07.

The action of the Superintending Engineer, V Circle, appears to amount to a clear evasion of the spirit of the rules. The G. S. F[ORBES]. test is really requisite for the post, and should have been passed by the person appointed to the post whether permanently or temporarily, whatever the rate of salary and allowances actually drawn by him. G. S. F[ORBES].

2. It would perhaps be better to amend the Public Service Notification relating to the Précis test by substituting the words "the maximum pay of which is not less than Rs. 60" for the words "and who are in receipt of salaries amounting to not less than Rs. 60." The qualification will then be attached to the post, not to the salary, and will be necessary for posts in an incremental scale the minimum of which may be below Rs. 60. Note 3 on page 1 of the Public Service Notification would appear also to need amendment by the adoption of the maximum instead of the average rate of pay in an incremental scale.

H. D. TAYLOR—3-1-07.

The practice which it was attempted to justify is a clear evasion of the rules. We have repeatedly had to remark on the practice in Public Works Department of employing unpassed men and on the ill consequences to the department as well as on the injustice to men who have qualified that result from the practice.

I agree to the Secretary's proposals.

G. S. F[ORBES]—5-1-07.



Please draft and print notes sharp. The proposed modification will then be circulated to all departments for acceptance.

H. D. TAYLOR—7-1-07.

A draft is placed below for approval.

T.K.—10-1-07.

After the draft is approved by the Assistant Secretary, it will be printed and circulated, with a copy of the notes, to all departments of the Secretariat.

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—10-1-07.

C. G. MACKAY—12-1-07.

A The general question should be dealt with separately. Order should now issue on the specific case. See revised draft.

H. D. TAYLOR—13-1-07.

To the Public Works Department for acceptance.

#### PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

The draft order may be accepted in the Public Works Department.

R. PARSONS—17-1-07.

Accepted in Public Works Department.

A. G. ROMILLY—17-1-07.

To the Educational Department.

#### EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Issue.

H. D. TAYLOR—19-1-07.

[G.O., No. 36, Educational, dated 19th January 1907.]

A draft order dealing with the general question is submitted below for approval. If approved, it will be put in type and sent to Departments of the Secretariat for acceptance.

P.R.—8-2-07.

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—9-2-07.

C. A. SOUTER—9-2-07.

Approved.

H. D. TAYLOR—10-2-07.

To the Departments of the Secretariat.

#### LEGISLATIVE DEPARTMENT.

Submitted that the draft order may be accepted in the Legislative Department.

C.S.—28-2-07.

C.R.V.—28-2-07.

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—28-2-07.

Yes.

C. A. SOUTER—1-3-07.

#### PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Accepted.

A. G. ROMILLY—1-3-07.

#### PENSION DEPARTMENT.

The draft order may be accepted in the Pension Department.

K. SRIKANTA AIYAR—28-2-07.

Please put up the Public Service Notification.

A. GALLETTI—1-3-07.

Put up.

K. SRIKANTA AIYAR—1-3-07.

Accepted.

A. GALLETTI—1-3-07.

#### LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

It is submitted that the draft order may be accepted in this Department.

N. CHENGAL RAO—28-2-07.

P. T. THARYAN—1-3-07.

Yes.

H. D. TAYLOR—2-3-07.

#### MARINE DEPARTMENT.

Submitted that the draft order may be accepted in this Department.

M.V.V.—28-2-07.

C. S. SIMPSON—2-3-07.

Accepted.

A. GALLETTI—2-3-07.

#### POLITICAL DEPARTMENT.

Draft may be accepted in this Department.

H.K.G.—2-3-07.

J. A. DE ROZARIO—2-3-07.

Accepted.

A. GALLETTI—2-3-07.

#### JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

For orders.

There appears to be no objection to accepting the draft put up by Educational.

V.S.H.—28-2-07.

Yes.

C. A. SOUTER—1-3-07.

H. BRADLEY—3-3-07.



## ECCLESIASTICAL DEPARTMENT.

Draft may be accepted in this Department.

E.K.G.—2-3-07.

J. A. DE ROZARIO—3-3-07.

Accepted.

A. GALLETTI—4-3-07.

## PUBLIC WORKS (RAILWAYS) DEPARTMENT.

The examination rules are not applicable to the appointments in the offices of the Consulting Engineer for Railways and the Examiner, Guaranteed Railway Accounts, Madras. Please see last item under column B of the table—article 2 of the Public Service Notification.

M.A.G.—2-3-07.

V.K.—2-3-07.

Yes : the Government Order does not apply to us.

C. D. WILSON—4-3-07.

## PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

The draft may be accepted in Public.

C. S. SIMPSON—2-3-07.

A. GALLETTI—2-3-07.

H. BRADLEY—4-3-07.

## REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

There seems to be no objection to the proposed draft. Submitted that it may be accepted in Revenue.

C.R.V.—1-3-07.

C.R.—1-3-07.

P.E.—1-3-07.

M.S.—1-3-07.

V.K.—1-3-07.

D. T. CHADWICK—4-3-07.

A. G. CARDEW—4-3-07.

## PUBLIC WORKS (IRRIGATION) DEPARTMENT.

Submitted that the draft order of the Educational Department may be accepted.

M.G.—4-3-07.

T. W. S. SMYTH—5-3-07.

## FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

It would perhaps be preferable if, instead of the amendment proposed by the Educational Department, the following were substituted :—

AMENDMENT (1)—for the words “and who are in receipt of salaries amounting to not less than Rs. 60 per mensem” substitute the words “the pay or maximum of which is Rs. 60 or more”.

AMENDMENT (2)—substitute the words “the maximum pay” for the last 21 words.

2. The above portions of the notification, as they now stand, as proposed to be amended in Educational and in Financial read as follows :—

| As they now stand.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | As proposed in Educational.                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | As proposed in Financial.                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <i>Amendment (1) of draft order.</i>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| all ministerial officers (except Deputy and Assistant Registrars of the High Court) who hold any office not falling within the scope of article 2 of the Public Service Notification, and who are in receipt of salaries amounting to not less than Rs. 60 per mensem. | all ministerial officers (except Deputy and Assistant Registrars of the High Court) who hold any office not falling within the scope of article 2 of the Public Service Notification, the maximum pay of which is not less than Rs. 60 per mensem. | all ministerial officers (except Deputy and Assistant Registrars of the High Court) who hold any office not falling within the scope of article 2 of the Public Service Notification, the pay or maximum pay of which is Rs. 60 or more. |
| <i>Amendment (2) in draft order.</i>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| Appointments carrying incremental pay are, for the purposes of this notification, treated as appointments carrying a fixed pay equal to the average pay calculated in accordance with article 150 of the Civil Service Regulations (Fourth edition).                   | Appointments carrying incremental pay are, for the purposes of this notification, treated as appointments carrying a fixed pay equal to the maximum pay.                                                                                           | Appointments carrying incremental pay are, for the purposes of this notification, treated as appointments carrying the maximum pay.                                                                                                      |

V.G.K.—12-3-07.

C. M. SCHMIDT—12-3-07.

C It appears to me that the second portion of the draft notification should come first because it refers to the very beginning of the notification.

With regard to the first portion of the draft, it seems to me that all the three drafts are awkward and bad English. I would revise the whole thing thus :

“For the purposes of this notification progressive salaries shall be calculated at the maximum pay of the appointment”.

Adopt the term progressive pay.

H. D. TAYLOR—16-3-07.

Progressive pay is the phrase used in the Civil Service Regulations. Incremental pay is a barbarous expression.

H. BRADLEY—13-3-07.

To the Educational Department.

## EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

The draft has been accepted in all the departments of the Secretariat except Financial. The Chief Secretary (the Hon'ble Mr. Bradley) suggests that the following may be substituted for the draft as it now stands :—

“For the purposes of this notification progressive salaries shall be calculated at the maximum pay of the appointment.”

H. D. TAYLOR.



[G.O., No. 221, Educational, dated 15th April 1907.]

# NOTES.

Handwritten:  
 221  
 15407



02  
Please see secretary's  
remark at A on page  
2 supra.

PD  
Please have this printed  
and get copies cheap.

PD  
11-2-07

No 458-1251  
27-2-7

U.C. Dept & all depts  
of the Secret  
27. 2. 07

3  
838  
The draft placed below is submitted for approval.  
If approved, it will be put in type & sent to Department of the  
Secretariat for acceptance.

M  
2/2/07  
PD  
9-2-07

Old  
9.2.07

Ammy  
to  
Department. U.C.

To the

Print notes & the draft short  
Please  
in  
11/2/07



EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. , EDUCATIONAL, DATED  
FEBRUARY 1907.

[SUBJECT.—*Exemption from Examination Rules.*]

EXTRACT FROM THE NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. 36, EDUCATIONAL, DATED  
19TH JANUARY 1907.

\* \* \* \* \*

Under the Public Service Notification "all ministerial officers (except Registrars and Deputy and Assistant Registrars of the High Court) who hold any office not falling within the scope of article 2 of the Public Service Notification (which exempts certain persons and appointments) and who are in receipt of salaries amounting to not less than Rs. 60 per mensem" have to pass the précis-writing test. The term used is "salaries". When a clerk on, say, Rs. 45 acts on Rs. 60 his salary will be only Rs. 57 and it is doubtful whether he should pass the précis-writing test.

H. D. TAYLOR. | But not to pay the full acting allowance  
| so as to make the salary less than Rs. 60  
seems to be clearly an evasion of the rules and the Public Works Department may be informed accordingly.

[The Record office is unable to trace an exact precedent.]

P. T. THARYAN—24-12-06.

The Public Works Department may be informed accordingly.

C. G. MACKAY—1-1-07.

The action of the Superintending Engineer, V Circle, appears to amount to a clear evasion of the spirit of the rules. The test is really requisite for the post, and should have been passed by the person appointed to the post whether permanently or temporarily, whatever the rate of salary and allowances actually drawn by him.

G. S. F[ORBES].

G. S. F[ORBES].

2. It would perhaps be better to amend the Public Service Notification relating to the Précis test by substituting the words "the maximum pay of which is not less than Rs. 60" for the words "and who are in receipt of salaries amounting to not less than Rs. 60." The qualification will then be attached to the post, not to the salary, and will be necessary for posts in an incremental scale the minimum of which may be below Rs. 60. Note 3 on page 1 of the Public Service Notification would appear also to need amendment by the adoption of the maximum instead of the average rate of pay in an incremental scale.

H. D. TAYLOR—3-1-07.

The practice which it was attempted to justify is a clear evasion of the rules. We have repeatedly had to remark on the practice in Public Works Department of employing unpassed men and on the ill consequences to the department as well as on the injustice to men who have qualified that result from the practice.

I agree to the Secretary's proposals.

G. S. F[ORBES]—5-1-07.



3

2

Please draft and print notes sharp. The proposed modification will then be circulated to all departments for acceptance.

H. D. TAYLOR—7-1-07.

A draft is placed below for approval.

T.K.—10-1-07.

After the draft is approved by the Assistant Secretary, it will be printed and circulated, with a copy of the notes, to all departments of the Secretariat.

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—10-1-07.

C. G. MACKAY—12-1-07.

A The general question should be dealt with separately. Order should now issue on the specific case. See revised draft.

H. D. TAYLOR—13-1-07.

To the Public Works Department for acceptance.

# PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

The draft order may be accepted in the Public Works Department.

R. PARSONS—17-1-07.

Accepted in Public Works Department.

A. G. ROMILLY—17-1-07.

To the Educational Department.

# EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Issue.

H. D. TAYLOR—19-1-07.

[G.O., No. 36, Educational, dated 19th January 1907.]

A draft order dealing with the general question is submitted below for approval. If approved, it will be put in type and sent to Departments of the Secretariat for acceptance.

P.R.—8-2-07.

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—9-2-07.

C. A. SOUTER—9-2-07.

Approved.

H. D. TAYLOR—10-2-07.

To the Legislative Department u.o.

# Legislative Department

Submitted that the draft order may be accepted in the Legislative Department.

28-2-07  
28-2-07  
28-2-07

Est uo

1.3.7.

4

4

10 48

Public Works Dept.

P

Accepted.

(Sd) A. G. R.

1.3.07.



EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. , EDUCATIONAL, DATED  
FEBRUARY 1907.

[SUBJECT.—*Exemption from Examination Rules.*]

EXTRACT FROM THE NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. 36, EDUCATIONAL, DATED  
19TH JANUARY 1907.

\* \* \* \* \*

Under the Public Service Notification "all ministerial officers (except Registrars and Deputy and Assistant Registrars of the High Court) who hold any office not falling within the scope of article 2 of the Public Service Notification (which exempts certain persons and appointments) and who are in receipt of salaries amounting to not less than Rs. 60 per mensem" have to pass the précis-writing test. The term used is "salaries". When a clerk on, say, Rs. 45 acts on Rs. 60 his salary will be only Rs. 57 and it is doubtful whether he should pass the précis-writing test.

H. D. TAYLOR. | But not to pay the full acting allowance  
| so as to make the salary less than Rs. 60  
seems to be clearly an evasion of the rules and the Public Works Department may be informed accordingly.

[The Record office is unable to trace an exact precedent.]

P. T. THARYAN—24-12-06.

The Public Works Department may be informed accordingly.

C. G. MACKAY—1-1-07.

The action of the Superintending Engineer, V Circle, appears to amount to a clear evasion of the spirit of the rules. The test is really requisite for the post, and should have been passed by the person appointed to the post whether permanently or temporarily, whatever the rate of salary and allowances actually drawn by him.

G. S. F[ORBES].

G. S. F[ORBES].

2. It would perhaps be better to amend the Public Service Notification relating to the Précis test by substituting the words "the maximum pay of which is not less than Rs. 60" for the words "and who are in receipt of salaries amounting to not less than Rs. 60." The qualification will then be attached to the post, not to the salary, and will be necessary for posts in an incremental scale the minimum of which may be below Rs. 60. Note 3 on page 1 of the Public Service Notification would appear also to need amendment by the adoption of the maximum instead of the average rate of pay in an incremental scale.

H. D. TAYLOR—3-1-07.

The practice which it was attempted to justify is a clear evasion of the rules. We have repeatedly had to remark on the practice in Public Works Department of employing unpassed men and on the ill consequences to the department as well as on the injustice to men who have qualified that result from the practice.

I agree to the Secretary's proposals.

G. S. F[ORBES]—5-1-07.



Please draft and print notes sharp. The proposed modification will then be circulated to all departments for acceptance.

H. D. TAYLOR—7-1-07.

A draft is placed below for approval.

T.K.—10-1-07.

After the draft is approved by the Assistant Secretary, it will be printed and circulated, with a copy of the notes, to all departments of the Secretariat.

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—10-1-07.

C. G. MACKAY—12-1-07.

A The general question should be dealt with separately. Order should now issue on the specific case. See revised draft.

H. D. TAYLOR—13-1-07.

To the Public Works Department for acceptance.

#### PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

The draft order may be accepted in the Public Works Department.

R. PARSONS—17-1-07.

Accepted in Public Works Department.

A. G. ROMILLY—17-1-07.

To the Educational Department.

#### EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Issue.

H. D. TAYLOR—19-1-07.

[G.O., No. 36, Educational, dated 19th January 1907.]

A draft order dealing with the general question is submitted below for approval. If approved, it will be put in type and sent to Departments of the Secretariat for acceptance.

P.R.—8-2-07.

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—9-2-07.

C. A. SOUTER—9-2-07.

Approved.

H. D. TAYLOR—10-2-07.

To the Pension Department u.o.

CURRENT NO.  
0161  
PENSION

Pension Dept Rep 27 2/07

To the Pension Dept

The draft order may be accepted in the Pension Dept. Please put up the P.S. Note

101  
28-2-07

Accepted by 24/2/07

76 12 68  
Local & Munl Dept.

This submitted that the draft order may be accepted in this dept.

He  
28-2-07

Py  
11/3/7

Yes.  
2-3-07

Local u.D. No 922-1  
4/3/07  
Dep  
2/3/7

PKm  
4/3/7

Letter to Munl Dept

No. 458-1 286



To the Pension Dept

The draft order may be accepted in the Pension Dept. Please put up the P.S. Note

101  
28-2-07

Accepted by 24/2/07



*Handwritten notes on the left page, including "2.11.07" and "11/11".*

87 13 Maine Dept

For the U.S.

submitted that the draft order may be accepted in this dept. accepted.

Maine No. 289-1  
2.3.07

~~2.11.07~~  
~~28.2.07~~

4237 Edith

On  
2.3.07

160  
537

PR  
5/3/07





3  
 1108 1109  
 in respect to pay. I have to say  
 that the draft is not correct. It  
 is not correct in any way. It is  
 not correct in any way. It is not  
 correct in any way. It is not correct  
 in any way. It is not correct in any  
 way. It is not correct in any way.

1108  
 1109

C. No. \_\_\_\_\_, From the \_\_\_\_\_, No. \_\_\_\_\_, Dated \_\_\_\_\_, 190 .

DEPARTMENT.

[ 3 ]  
 G.  
 10,000-11-05

98 3/14/08  
 Poll Dept

Draft may be accepted in  
 this Dept

Comm 899A-12.3.07  
 2.3.07

Accepted.  
 12.3.07

1108

pm  
 5.3.07





13.307



C. No. \_\_\_\_\_, From the \_\_\_\_\_, No. \_\_\_\_\_, Dated \_\_\_\_\_, 190

DEPARTMENT.

5,000-11-3-95.

[ G. 3 ]



10 11 3

Recd Dept

Draft may be accepted in this  
Sept

Accepted

~~PKM~~  
2.3.07

Edl  
3.3.07

Edl  
4.3.07  
To the red & no sept

✓ Eccl. no 71-1 Edl. dept. (U.O.)  
4.3.07

~~PKM~~  
5.3.07

~~PKM~~  
5.3.07





Flag P

Returned to Educational  
5-3-07

plus  
6/3/07

The examination rules are not applicable to the appointments in the office of the Consulting Engineer for Railways and the Examiner, Guaranteed Railways accounts, madras. Please see last item under Column B of the table Article 2 of the Public Service notification

Recd  
2/3/07

Yes - the G.O. does not apply to us -

Can  
4/3/07

To.

The Educational Dept (200)

C. No. \_\_\_\_\_, From the \_\_\_\_\_, Dated \_\_\_\_\_, 1907.

DEPARTMENT.



13

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. , EDUCATIONAL, DATED  
FEBRUARY 1907.

[SUBJECT.—*Exemption from Examination Rules.*]

EXTRACT FROM THE NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. 36, EDUCATIONAL, DATED  
19TH JANUARY 1907.

\* \* \* \* \*

Under the Public Service Notification "all ministerial officers (except Registrars and Deputy and Assistant Registrars of the High Court) who hold any office not falling within the scope of article 2 of the Public Service Notification (which exempts certain persons and appointments) and who are in receipt of salaries amounting to not less than Rs. 60 per mensem" have to pass the précis-writing test. The term used is "salaries". When a clerk on, say, Rs. 45 acts on Rs. 60 his salary will be only Rs. 57 and it is doubtful whether he should pass the précis-writing test.

H. D. TAYLOR. But not to pay the full acting allowance so as to make the salary less than Rs. 60 seems to be clearly an evasion of the rules and the Public Works Department may be informed accordingly.

[The Record office is unable to trace an exact precedent.]

P. T. THARYAN—24-12-06.

The Public Works Department may be informed accordingly.

C. G. MAACKAY—1-1-07.

The action of the Superintending Engineer, V Circle, appears to amount to a clear evasion of the spirit of the rules. The test is really requisite for the post, and should have been passed by the person appointed to the post whether permanently or temporarily, whatever the rate of salary and allowances actually drawn by him.

G. S. F[ORBES].

G. S. F[ORBES].

2. It would perhaps be better to amend the Public Service Notification relating to the Précis test by substituting the words "the maximum pay of which is not less than Rs. 60" for the words "and who are in receipt of salaries amounting to not less than Rs. 60." The qualification will then be attached to the post, not to the salary, and will be necessary for posts in an incremental scale the minimum of which may be below Rs. 60. Note 3 on page 1 of the Public Service Notification would appear also to need amendment by the adoption of the maximum instead of the average rate of pay in an incremental scale.

H. D. TAYLOR—3-1-07.

The practice which it was attempted to justify is a clear evasion of the rules. We have repeatedly had to remark on the practice in Public Works Department of employing unpassed men and on the ill consequences to the department as well as on the injustice to men who have qualified that result from the practice.

I agree to the Secretary's proposals.

G. S. F[ORBES]—5-1-07.





Please draft and print notes sharp. The proposed modification will then be circulated to all departments for acceptance.

H. D. TAYLOR—7-1-07.

A draft is placed below for approval.

T.K.—10-1-07.

After the draft is approved by the Assistant Secretary, it will be printed and circulated, with a copy of the notes, to all departments of the Secretariat.

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—10-1-07.

C. G. MACKAY—12-1-07.

A The general question should be dealt with separately. Order should now issue on the specific case. See revised draft.

H. D. TAYLOR—13-1-07.

To the Public Works Department for acceptance.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

The draft order may be accepted in the Public Works Department.

R. PARSONS—17-1-07.

Accepted in Public Works Department.

A. G. ROMILLY—17-1-07.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Issue.

H. D. TAYLOR—19-1-07.

[G.O., No. 36, Educational, dated 19th January 1907.]

A draft order dealing with the general question is submitted below for approval. If approved, it will be put in type and sent to Departments of the Secretariat for acceptance.

P.R.—8-2-07.


K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—9-2-07.

C. A. SOUTER—9-2-07.

Approved.

H. D. TAYLOR—10-2-07.

To the Public Department u.o.



Registered 27.2.07  
PUBLIC DEPARTMENT



For the Secy.

The draft may be accepted in Public.

Public No. 865-1

5-3-07

Cm 2-7-07

44 2-30 7

44 4-30 7

3 15 7 13

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

18 13P

To Under Secy.

There seems to be no objection to the proposed draft - Submitted that it may be accepted in Revenue

(thru all sections)

CRV 1-3-07

Sec. 1-3-07

St 5/3

1-3-07

1-3-07

1-3-07

Rel 4 3-07

Rev. no 458 2/07-1 6-3-07

Rev. no 458 2/07-1 6-3-07

Rev. no 458 2/07-1 6-3-07

plm 7-3-07





To Under Secy  
 DI  
 Submitted that the draft order of the Educl  
 Department may be accepted.

4.3.07  
 5/3/07  
 4/17/07  
 The Educl Depart U.O.

6/3/07



16

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.  
 (Irrigation Branch)

19

DI

Submitted that the draft order of the Educl  
 Department may be accepted.

4.3.07

5/3/07

To

The Educl Depart (u.o.)

6/3



17/5 20/5

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

CHIEF SECRETARY.

It would perhaps be preferable, if instead of the amendment proposed by the Educational Department the following were substituted:-

Amendment (1) for the words "and who are in receipt of salaries amounting to not less than Rs.60 per mensem" substitute the words "the pay or maximum of which is Rs.60 or more".

Amendment (2) substitute the words "the maximum pay" for the last 21 words.

2. The above portions of the notification, as they now stand, as proposed to be amended in Educational, and in Financial read as follows:-

As they now stand.

As proposed in Educational

As proposed in Financial

AMENDMENT (1) OF DRAFT ORDER.

..... all ministerial officers (except Deputy & Assistant Registrars of the High Court) who hold any office not falling within the scope of article 2 of the Public Service Notification, and who are in receipt of salaries amounting to not less than Rs.60 per mensem.

..... all ministerial officers (except Deputy & Assistant Registrars of the High Court) who hold any office not falling within the scope of article 2 of the Public Service Notification, the maximum pay of which is not less than Rs.60 per mensem.

..... all ministerial officers (except Deputy & Assistant Registrars of the High Court) who hold any office not falling within the scope of article 2 of the Public Service Notification, the pay or maximum pay of which is Rs.60 or more.

AMENDMENT (2) IN DRAFT ORDER.

Appointments carrying incremental pay are, for the purposes of this notification treated as appointments carrying a fixed pay equal to the average pay calculated in accordance with article 150 of the Civil Service Regulations (fourth edition)

Appointments carrying incremental pay are, for the purposes of this notification treated as appointments carrying a fixed pay equal to the maximum pay.

Appointments carrying incremental pay are, for the purposes of this notification treated as appointments carrying the maximum pay.

V.G.K.  
12.3.07.



C.

It appears to me that the 2nd portion of the draft - but "should come first because it refers to the very beginning of the list"

With regard to the first portion of the draft it seems to me that all the three drafts are awkward and bad English. I would revise the whole thing thus

"For the purposes of this list" the pay of an appointment - the pay of which is ~~Progressive~~ shall be the maximum  
"Progressive salaries shall be calculated at the maximum pay of the appointment"


Progressive pay is the phrase used in the CS Reg<sup>ns</sup> - Incremental pay is a better expression

103  
13307

as on the line  
Progressive pay

1637

Fin no 1084-1  
13. 3. 07.



Revised draft accordingly  
16/3

103  
13307



Educational Dept.

The draft has been accepted in all the departments of the Secretariat except Financial. The Chief Secretary, <sup>(the Honble Mr. Bradley)</sup> suggests that the following may be substituted for the draft as it now stands:—

1. For the purposes of this notification proper salaries shall be calculated at the maximum pay of the appointment.
2. The draft suggested by the C.S. may, if submitted be adopted in the place of Clause (2) of the draft notification; but it appears to be necessary to retain also the first clause of the notification which embodies the decision contained in para 2 of the draft order, to make the Precise Writing test a qualification for appointments to which a salary of not less than Rs 60 per mensem is attached, instead of for the receipt of salaries of Rs 60 & above.
3. The draft notification may, if it is submitted, be altered as shown below:—

Notification

In notification no. 73, dated 3<sup>rd</sup> July 1906, published on pages 402 to 409 of Part I. B. of the Fort. St. George's Gazette, dated 3<sup>rd</sup> July 1906, in the first column of the table appended to Article 3 under clause A relating to the Precise Writing test:—

(1) for the words "and who are in receipt of salaries amounting to not less than Rupees

Page 16. note file

*[Faint, mostly illegible handwritten text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]*

C. No. \_\_\_\_\_, From the \_\_\_\_\_, Dated \_\_\_\_\_ 190



Rupees 60 per mensem, substitute the words  
"the maximum pay of which is not less  
than Rupees 60 per mensem."

(2) For note 3 to the above notification sub-  
stitute the following: -

"For the purposes of this notification  
progressive salaries shall be calcu-  
lated at the maximum pay of the  
appointment."

|        |        |
|--------|--------|
| Pro    | Sec    |
| 1.4.07 | 2.4.7. |
| 2.4.07 |        |
| 1.4.07 |        |

I think the above call right of success  
leave no objection

No. 806-1 Edl.  
3.4.07



CURRENT NO.  
1774  
FINANCIAL

Recd 4.4.07

Attention may be made to portion C of  
Pay 14 Ch. no 713. 3.07. Amnages



Dr. 1774-1  
6.4.07  
To Encl. 1/1

Certified - Perhaps Educational  
will change the order 1735-407



21 79

24 19

Educational Dept.

In the portion marked C of the C.S.'s note on page 16 of the notes it is suggested that "the second portion" of the draft notification should "come first because it refers to the very beginning of the notification." The draft notification has been accordingly revised and a revised draft order is placed below for approval.

Fhr  
11.4.07.

RA  
11.4.07

Wsal  
Oct  
11.7.



*221 Cell*

*in the bottom marked C of the paper is the water it is supposed that the second portion of the draft notification should be first because it refers to the "very beginning of the notification" the draft notification has been a very good one and a revised draft is placed below for approval.*

[L. & M.]  
8,000-30-11-03.

227

25

Educational  
LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in Proceedings :-

Again G. O., No. , dated 190 .  
 Again G. O., No. 36 , dated 19-1-1907.  
 Current No. From the , No. , dated 190  
 " " " " " "  
 " " " " " "

P.S.  
 ORDER. No. , dated 190

*Put up below.*

Under clause A of the table appended to the Public Service Notification published on pages 402 to 409 of Part I-B of the Fort Saint George Gazette dated 3rd July 1906, the Precis Writing Test is prescribed as a qualification for all ministerial officers who are in receipt of salaries amounting to not less than Rs. 60 per mensem. Note (3) printed at the beginning of this notification says that "appointments carrying an incremental pay are, for the purposes of this notification, treated as appointments carrying a fixed pay equal to the average pay calculated in accordance with article 150 of the Civil Service Regulations (Fourth Edition)."

2. It is, however, considered desirable that the Precis Writing Test should be made a qualification for appointments to which a salary



23

26 2P

2.

of not less than Rs.60 per mensem is attached, instead of for the receipt of salaries of Rs.60 and above and that in the case of an appointment to which an incremental scale of pay is attached, the maximum salary should be adopted as its value for the purpose of this notification instead of the average salary as is now done.

3. The following notification will be published in the Fort Saint George Gazette:-

Notification.

In notification No.73 dated 3rd July 1906, published on pages 402 to 409 of Part I-B of the Fort Saint George Gazette dated 3rd July 1906, in the first column of the table appended to Article 3 under clause A relating to the Précis Writing Test:-

(1) ~~for~~ for the words "and who are in receipt of salaries amounting to not less than Rs.60 per mensem" substitute the words "the maximum pay of which is not less than Rs.60 per mensem".

(2) ~~In~~ In note (3) to the above notification substitute the words "equal to the maximum pay" for the last eighteen words.

*Handwritten:* 9.2.07

*Handwritten:* 9.2.07

*Handwritten:* 10.2.07

To  
The Departments of the Secretariat.  
,, D.P.I.  
,, C.G.E.  
,, S.G.M.



Draft

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Order—No. 22, Educational, dated 15<sup>th</sup> April 1907.

Under clause A of the table appended to the Public Service Notification published on pages 402 to 409 of Part I-B of the *Fort St. George Gazette*, dated 3rd July 1906, the Précis-writing test is prescribed as a qualification for all ministerial officers who are in receipt of salaries amounting to not less than Rs. 60 *per mensem*. Note (3) printed at the beginning of this notification says that "appointments carrying an incremental pay are, for the purposes of this notification, treated as appointments carrying a fixed pay equal to the average pay calculated in accordance with article 150 of the Civil Service Regulations (Fourth Edition)".

2. It is, however, considered desirable that the Précis-writing test should be made a qualification for appointments to which a salary of not less than Rs. 60 *per mensem* is attached, instead of for the receipt of salaries of Rs. 60 and above and that in the case of an appointment to which an incremental scale of pay is attached, the maximum salary should be adopted as its value for the purposes of this notification instead of the average salary as is now done.

3. The following notification will be published in the *Fort St. George Gazette* :—

## NOTIFICATION.

In notification No. 73, dated 3rd July 1906, published on pages 402 to 409 of Part I-B of the *Fort St. George Gazette*, dated 3rd July 1906, in the first column of the table appended to article 3 under clause A relating to the Précis-writing test :—

(1) for note 3, substitute the following :—

"For the purposes of this notification progressive  
"salaries shall be calculated at the maximum  
"pay of the appointment."

(2) for the words "and who are in receipt of salaries  
"amounting to not less than Rupees 60 per mensem"  
in the first column of the table appended to  
Article 3 under clause A relating to the Précis  
Writing test, substitute the words "the maximum  
"pay of which is not less than Rupees 60 per  
"mensem."

PKOT  
12-4-07

To, The Department of the Secretariat

" D. S. I. A. C. W. S. P.

" C. G. E. C. W. S. P.

" S. G. E. C. W. S. P.

" C. G. E. C. W. S. P.

12/4/7

12/4/7

12/4/7

12/4/7

12/4/7

12/4/7



**Government of Madras.**

**EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.**

Recd.

} 1907.

Enclosures

Regd.

Spare copies

G.O., No. , February 1907.

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched in print.

READ AGAIN. G.O., No.  
190

, dated

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER TO GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPER NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH  
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Print in full.  
B = Not to be printed.  
P = Print abstract only.  
Q = Print heading only.

NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED. { Order complete. 250 copies  
Letter only.  
Order complete with Letter.

28

28  
Notes 1-1907

Keep matter standing

A - V.E. - to issue

Shaw - per 1/2

also of notes