Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

1

Recd. } 1907. *Enclosures*
Regd. } *Spare copies*

✓

G.O., No. 179, 26th March 1907.

16

Subsidy to District Boards and Municipalities.

Ordering that certain alterations be made in the amounts of subsidy to be paid to certain District Boards and Municipalities for teaching grants to aided elementary schools.

8. ———]

508—13-1-06.

Educational (1907) Department.

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O., No. 179

DATED 26-3-7

E

[Despatch Abstract.]

Subsidies to District Boards and Municipalities

Ordering that certain alteration be made in the amount of subsidies to be paid to certain District Boards & Municipalities for teachin grants to aided elementary Schools.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Recd.	1907.	*Enclosures*
Regd.		*Spare copies*

G.O., No. 179, 26th March 1907.

Subsidy to District Boards and Municipalities.

Ordering that certain alterations be made in the amounts of subsidy to be paid to certain District Boards and Municipalities for teaching grants to aided elementary schools.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following papers :—

I

G.O., No. 70, Educational, dated 9th February 1907.

Abstract.—Sanctioning the distribution of Rs. 5,74,200 among the various District Boards for educational purposes.

II

G.O., No. 71, Educational, dated 9th February 1907.

Abstract.—Sanctioning the distribution of Rs. 61,068 among the various Municipalities for educational purposes.

III

G.O., No. 94, Educational, dated 26th February 1907.

Abstract.—Altering, in modification of the orders already passed, the amounts of subsidies to be paid to certain District Boards and Municipalities.

IV

Letter—from the Hon'ble Mr. T. T. Logan, B.A., Acting Director of Public Instruction.
To—the Secretary to Government, Educational Department.
Dated—Madras, the 11th March 1907.
No.—Ref. on Cur. 34.

In the statements submitted with my letter C. No. 34, dated 4th January last, the subsidies noted against each were recommended in the case of the Local Boards and Municipalities noted in the margin with reference to the amounts then ascertained as required for payment of teaching grants to elementary schools to be aided by those bodies. It is now found that the amounts entered in column 3 of the statements are not sufficient and that additional allotments are required. The large discrepancy in the case of Trichinopoly Municipality is due to the fact that the calculation was based on the original estimate of the inspecting officer which left out of account schools previously aided by fixed grants; by an unfortunate mistake which I regret, a revised estimate taking these schools into account was lost sight of in this office. In the case of certain Boards and Municipalities the grants actually assigned fall short of the amounts allotted and

	RS.
Kurnool District Board	23,520
Cuddapah do.	20,780
Tanjore do.	20,210
South Canara do.	19,640
Trichinopoly Municipality	415

I have the honour to request that the revised amounts of contribution recommended below may be sanctioned in modification of those sanctioned in G.Os. Nos. 70 and 71, Educational, dated 9th February 1907, the savings indicated being utilized to meet a portion of the additional allotment required—

District Board or Municipality.	Amount of contribution originally sanctioned.	Revised amount now recommended.	Difference.
District Boards.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Kurnool	23,520	25,510	+ 1,990
Cuddapah	20,780	21,510	+ 730
Tanjore	20,210	25,590	+ 5,380
South Canara	19,640	23,640	+ 4,000
Ganjám	39,780	38,540	— 1,240
Anantapur	11,840	9,570	— 2,270
Tinnevelly	36,420	35,420	— 1,000
			+ 12,100 — 4,510
			7,590
Municipalities.			
Trichinopoly	415	5,085	+ 4,670
Ellore	1,985	1,475	— 510
Kurnool .. .. .. — ..	1,080	550	— 530
Bellary	1,944	1,314	— 630
Cuddapah	2,110	2,010	— 100
Salem	1,672	1,532	— 140
Tiruppattúr	750	620	— 130
			+ 4,670 — 2,040
			+ 2,630

2. For the Guntúr District Board, a subsidy of Rs. 26,540 was sanctioned; but this does not include the building grant of Rs. 2,500 sanctioned for the Board High school, Bapatla, in G.O., No. 272, Educational, dated 26th April 1905. As the building is now reported to be complete, I beg to request that the contribution already sanctioned for the board may be increased by this amount.

3. I beg the favour of early orders as the bills for teaching grants for the excess amounts, if sanctioned, have to be cashed before the close of this month.

Order—No. 179, Educational, dated 26th March 1907.

In modification of the Government orders read again above, the Government are pleased to direct that the amounts of subsidy to be paid to the undermentioned District Boards and Municipalities for teaching grants to aided elementary schools shall be as given below :—

	Amount already sanctioned.	Altered amount now sanctioned.
	RS.	RS.
District Boards—		
Kurnool	23,520	25,510
Cuddapah	20,780	21,510
Tanjore	20,210	25,590
South Canara	19,640	23,640
Ganjám	39,780	38,540
Anantapur	11,840	9,570
Tinnevelly	36,420	35,420
Guntúr	26,540	29,040
Total ..	1,98,730	2,08,820

	Amount already sanctioned.	Altered amount now sanctioned.
Municipalities—		
Trichinopoly	415	5,085
Ellore	1,985	1,475
Kurnool	1,080	550
Bellary	1,944	1,314
Cuddapah	2,110	2,010
Salem	1,672	1,532
Tiruppattúr	750	620
Total	9,956	12,586

(True Extract.)

H. D. TAYLOR,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.
,, Accountant-General.
,, Financial Department.
,, Local and Municipal Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. 179, EDUCATIONAL, DATED 26TH MARCH 1907.

[SUBJECT.—*Further alterations in the amount, of subsidy to be paid to District Boards and Municipalities.*]

From the Director of Public Instruction, dated 11th March 1907, No. 34.

The Director of Public Instruction states that the subsidies recommended to be paid to the District Boards of Kurnool, Cuddapah, Tanjore and South Canara and to the Trichinopoly Municipality in his letter No. 34, dated 4th January 1907 (printed with G.O., No. 88, Financial, dated 2nd February 1907), as required for payment of teaching grants to elementary schools are found to be insufficient and that they have to be raised.

2. He further states that in the case of the District Boards of Ganjám, Anantapur and Tinnevelly and the Municipalities of Ellore, Kurnool, Bellary, Cuddapah, Salem and Tiruppattúr, the subsidies sanctioned are found to be too large and that they may be reduced.

3. The Director also states that the subsidy of Rs. 26,540 already sanctioned for the District Board of Guntúr does not include the building grant of Rs. 2,500 sanctioned for the Board high school, Bápatla, in G.O., No. 272, Educational, dated 26th April 1905, and requests that, as the building is now reported to be complete, the subsidy already sanctioned for the District Board may be increased by Rs. 2,500.

4. The Director of Public Instruction's proposals involve an additional expenditure of Rs. 12,720 (Rs. 19,270 — Rs. 6,550). He does not state whether this can be met from the funds at his disposal.

The net result of the proposals is an increase of Rs. 12,720 under "Subsidies".

5. A draft order sanctioning the payment of the altered subsidies recommended by the Director of Public Instruction is placed below for approval. If approved, it may issue with the concurrence of the Financial Department.

T. KANAKASUNDARAM PILLAI—13-3-07.

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—14-3-07.

C. A. SOUTER—14-3-07.

To the Financial Department.

Financial Department.

The following statement shows the amounts of subsidy payable in 1906–1907 to District Boards and Municipalities according to the latest proposals of the Director of Public Instruction :—

Subsidies to District Boards.		*Subsidies to Municipalities.*	
CONTRIBUTIONS FROM PROVINCIAL TO LOCAL—To Local Funds (Act V of 1884)—For Education. (Page 218, Civil Budget Estimate for 1907–1908.)		22-I. EDUCATION—MISCELLANEOUS—"Contribution to Municipal Funds." (Page 145, Civil Budget Estimate for 1907–1908).	
Authority.	Amount.	Authority.	Amount.
1	2	3	4
	RS.		RS.
G.O., No. 70, Educational, dated 9th February 1907.	5,74,200	G.O., No. 71, Educational, dated 9th February 1907.	61,068
G.O., No. 94, Educational, dated 26th February 1907.	+ 2,880	G.O., No. 94, Educational, dated 26th February 1907.	— 4,880
Director of Public Instruction's letter Ref. on C. No. 34, dated 11th March 1907.	+ 10,090	Director of Public Instruction's letter Ref. on C. No. 34, dated 11th March 1907.	+ 2,630
Total subsidy to District Boards ..	5,87,170	Total subsidy to Municipalities ..	58,818

2. It is submitted that the additional allotments of Rs. 6,500 for subsidy to District Boards and of Rs. 4,500 for subsidy to Municipalities proposed in the linked * file appear to cover the excess amounts of the subsidies proposed in this case.

* Please see Director's note, dated 9th March 1907, on page 6 of notes and paragraphs 3 and 4 of draft order therein.

Better ask Director of Public Instruction.

H. D. TAYLOR.

3. The position in 1906–1907 in regard to these subsidies is summarised in the following statement :—

Head.	Budget grant, 1906–1907.	Altered grant, 1906–1907.	Revised estimate, 1906–1907.	Difference between columns 3 and 4.
	LAKHS.	LAKHS.	LAKHS.	LAKHS.
(1) 22-I. EDUCATION—MISCELLANEOUS—Contribution to Municipal funds.	..	..	·64	+ ·64
(2) Total of the minor head	·24	.25	·77	+ ·52
(3) Total of the major head 22. EDUCATION	30·03	22·77	22·54	— ·23
(4) CONTRIBUTION FROM PROVINCIAL TO LOCAL—To Local Funds (Act V of 1884)—For Education.	3·72	11·77	11·74	— ·03
(5) Total for the major head	20·18	28·23	28·11	— ·12

4. In another case, which is now in the Educational Department, the Director of Public Instruction has proposed a reappropriation for the sum of ·64 lakh under (1) Contributions to Municipal funds. The amount required is now placed at ·59 lakh.

5. As for grants to District Boards, the amount actually required is—

	LAKHS.
(1) According to column 2 of the statement in paragraph 1	5·87
(2) From the 6 lakhs grant for primary education (6—·18 proposed in the draft order in the linked file)	5·82
	11·69

against which there is a total grant of 11·77 lakhs.

---

6. The draft order in this case may, it is submitted, be accepted.

T. HARI ROW—14-3-07.

C. M. SCHMIDT—15-3-07.

H. BRADLEY—16-3-07.

To the Educational Department.

Educational Department.

May the draft order, which has been accepted by Financial, issue please ?

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—23-3-07.

Yes, if it is now correct and has not been superseded by the other G.O.

H. D. TAYLOR—24-3-07

This Government Order is now correct and has not been suspended by the other Government Order despatched to-day.

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—25-3-07.

[G.O., No. 179, Educational, dated 26th March 1907.]

[E. / m.]
1,500—12-12-

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

6

CURRENT FILE.

CONTENTS.

From		Number.	Date.	Pages.
[599]	The D.P.I.	34	11-3-07	1 to 3.

[P. T. O. FOR PUT UP PAPERS.

8

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No.

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number.	Date.	Original (=O) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers as have been removed for copying, printing, &c., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of Referencer with date in token of return to Reference department.
272	26-4-05	SC		
477	12-7-05	SC		
70	9-2-07	SC		
71	9-2-07	SC		
94	26-2-06	SC		

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number.	Date.	Original (=O) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Despatcher to note the return of the papers to the departments concerned.
Finl	88	2-2-07	SC	

---

1 C-No. 599 Edl.

Stock Form No. 135
3,700—17-6-05.

URGENT.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

1

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL SECRETARIAT. 12 MAR 1907

Received 190 , Registered 12 · 3 · 1907.

Subject.

Recommending that in the circumstances explained certain revised amounts of contribution from Provincial Funds to Local Boards and Municipalities may be sanctioned in modification of those sanctioned in G.Os. Nos. 70 and 71, Educational, dated 9th February 1907.

ENCLOSURES.	
No.	
Spare copies	

Reference No. 34/07

Station Madras, Dated the 11th March 1907.

From (Name) The Honble Mr. T.T. LOGAN, B.A.,

(Designation) Ag. Director of Public Instruction.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Educational Department.

Sir,

G.O. 88 Fin
2.2.07
O

In the statements submitted with my letter C. No. 34, dated 4th January last the subsidies noted against each were recommended in the case of the local boards and municipalities noted in the margin with reference to the amounts then ascertained as required for payment of teaching grants to elementary schools to be aided by those bodies. It is now found that the amounts entered in col. 3 of the statements are not sufficient and that additional allotments are required. The large

	Rs.
Kurnool district board	23520 ✓
Cuddapah ,,	20780 ✓
Tanjore ,,	20210 ✓
S. Canara ,,	19640 ✓
Trichinopoly Municipality	415 ✓

today

IK.
12/3/07.

discrepancy

2P 8

discrepancy in the case of Trichinopoly Municipality is due to the fact that the calculation was based on the original estimate of the inspecting officer which left out of account schools previously aided by fixed grants; by an unfortunate mistake which I regret, a revised estimate taking these schools into account was lost sight of in this office. In the case of certain boards and municipalities the grants actually assigned fall short of the amounts allotted and I have the honour to request that the revised amounts of contribution recommended below may be sanctioned in modification of those sanctioned in G.Os. Nos. 70 and 71, Educational, dated 9th February 1907, the savings indicated being utilized to meet a portion of the additional allotment required.

× W

District board or municipality.	Amount of contribution originally sanctioned.	Revised amount now recommended.	Difference.
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
District Boards.			
Kurnool ..	23520 ✓	25510	plus 1990 ✓
Cuddapah ..	20780 ✓	21510	plus 730 ✓
Tanjore ..	20210 ✓	25590	plus 5380 ✓
S. Canara ..	19640 ✓	23640	plus 4000 ✓
Ganjam ..	39780 ✓	38540	minus 1240 ✓
Anantapur ..	11840 ✓	9570	minus 2270 ✓
Tinnevelly ..	36420 ✓	35420	minus 1000 ✓
			plus 12100 ✓
			minus 4510 ✓
			7590
Municipalities.			
Trichinopoly..	415 ✓	5085	plus 4670 ✓
Ellore ..	1985 ✓	1475	minus 510 ✓
+Kurnool ..	1080 ✓	550	minus 530 ✓
Bellary ..	1944 ✓	1314	minus 630 ✓
Cuddapah ..	2110 ✓	2010	minus 100 ✓
Salem ..	1672 ✓	1532	minus 140 ✓
Tiruppattur ..	750 ✓	620	minus 130 ✓
			plus 4670 ✓
			minus 2040 ✓
			plus 2630 ✓

Guntur 7590
2500
+ 10090

+ Go no 94
26.2.07 N

2. For the Guntur district board, a subsidy of Rs. 26540 ✓ was sanctioned: but this does not include the

building

building grant of Rs. 2500 sanctioned for the Board High School, Bapatla in G.O. No. 272, Educational, dated 26th April 1905. As the building is now reported to be complete, I beg to request that the contribution already sanctioned for the board may be increased by this amount.

3. I beg the favour of early orders as the bills for teaching grants for the excess amounts, if sanctioned, have to be cashed before the close of this month.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

EWMiddlemast
For Director of Public Instruction.

K.P.D.

T.P.
11-3-07

Note & GO No: 1793 Edl.
26-3-07.

10/P

[—E.—
2.]
1,000—29-5-06.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 599 From the D.P.I. No. 34, dated 11-3-1907.

SUBJECT.

Further alterations in the amounts of Subsidy to be paid to District Boards and municipalities.

The D.P.I. states that the subsidies recommended to be paid to the District Boards of Kurnool, Cuddapah, Tanjore and and South Canara and to the Trichinopoly municipality in his letter no 34 dated 4th January 1907 (printed with G.O. no. 88 Finl dated 2nd Feb. 1907) as required for payment of teaching grants to elementary Schools are found to be insufficient and that they have to be raised.

3. He further states that in the case of the District Boards of Ganjām, Anantapur and Tinnevelly and the municipalities of Ellore, Kurnool, Bellary, Cuddapah, Salem and Tirupatur, the subsidies sanctioned are found to be too large and that they may be reduced.

4. The Director also states that the subsidy of Rs 26,540 already sanctioned for the District Board of Guntur does not include the building grant of Rs 2,500

5 11 28

M

Sanctioned for the Board High School, Bapatla in G.O. no. 272, Educational, dated 26th April 1905, and requests that, as the building is now reported to be complete, the subsidy already sanctioned for the District Board may be increased by Rs 2,500.

5. The D.P.I.'s proposals involve an additional expenditure of Rs 12,720 (Rs 19,270 – Rs 6,550). He does not state whether this can be met from the funds at his disposal.

The net result of the proposals is an increase of Rs 12,720, under 'Subsidies'

6. A draft order sanctioning the payment of the altered subsidies recommended by the D.P.I. is placed below for approval. If approved, it may issue with the concurrence of the Financial Dept.

T.K.
13.3.07

Chs
14.3.7

Dp
14/3

no 599-1
14.3.7

RD
14.3.07

Fin. no

Rd 14.3.07

CURRENT NO.
1395
FINANCIAL

Recd 14.3.07

L7s
14/3/07

3.45
T.W.R.
14.3.07

The following statement shows in 1906-07 the amounts of subsidy payable to district boards and municipalities according to the latest proposals of the Director of Public Instruction:—

PTO

Subsidies to district boards. Contributions from Prov. to Local — To Local Funds (Act V of 1884). For Education Page 218 C. B.E. for 1907-08		Subsidies to municipalities 22. I Education — Miscellaneous — "Contribution to municipal funds" Page 145. C.B.E. for 1907-08	
authority	amount	authority	amount
1	2	3	4
	Rs		Rs
G.O. 70 Educ. / 9.2.07 ✕	5,74,200	G.O. 71 Edn / 9.2.07 w	61,068
G.O. 94 Edn / 26.2.07 N	+ 2,880	G.O. 94 Edn N / 26.2.07	— 4,880
D.P.I.'s letter Ref. on c no 348 11.3.07	+ 10,090	D.P.I.'s letter Ref. on c no 348 11.3.07	+ 2.630
Total subsidy to Dt. boards	5,87,170	Total subsidy to municipalities	58,818.

2. It is submitted that the additional allotments of Rs 6,500 for subsidy to district boards and of Rs 4,500 for subsidy to municipalities proposed in the linked file, appear to be to cover the excess amounts of the subsidies proposed in this case.

✕ Please see Director's note, 9-3-07 on page 6 of notes & para 3 & 4 of draft order thereon

3 The position in 1906-07 in regard to these subsidies is summarised in the following statement:—

Head	Budget grant, 1906-07	Allotted grant 1906-07	Revised estimate 1906-07	Difference between Col 3 & 4
1	2	3	4	5
	Lakhs	Lakhs	Lakhs	Lakhs
(1) 22. I Education – Miscellaneous – Contribution to municipal funds	..	..	.64	+.64
2 Total of the minor head	.24	.25	.77	+.52
3 Total of the major head 22 Education	30.03	22.77	22.54	–.23
4 Contribution from Provincial to Local – 20 Local funds (Act V of 1884) for Education	3.72	11.77	11.74	–.03
5 " Total for the major head	20.18	28.23	28.11	–.12

4 In another case, which is now in the Educational Dept, the D. P. I. has proposed ~~to~~ a reappropriation for the sum of .64 lakh under (1) Contributions to municipal funds. The amount required is now placed at .59 lakh.

5 As for ~~allotments~~ grants to district boards, the amount actually required is

(1) ~~[illegible]~~ According to Col 2 of the Statement

8 14 5 P

in paragraph 1 — Lakhs 5.87

(2) from the 6 lakhs grant for primary education (6.18 proposed in the draft order) in the limited field — 5.82

11.69

against which there is a total grant of 11.77 lakhs.

6. The draft order in this case may, it is submitted, be accepted.

T.H.G. 14.3.07

C.M.S.
15.3.07

H.B.
16.3.07

Chief Secretary.

Fin No. D 1395-1 / 16-3-07 — 20/ Educational Dept. (20)

Educational ~~FINANCIAL~~ DEPARTMENT.

May the draft order, which has been accepted by Financial, issue please?

A.R.
23-3-07

Yes. If it is now correct. Has it been ~~modified~~ superseded by the other G.O.

H.D.
24.3.07

This G.O. is now correct & has not been superseded by the other G.O. Despatch today.

A.R.
25.3.07

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL
16 MAR 1907
SECRETARIAT.

9 15

[—G.O.—]
1,000—10-11-06.

Educational DEPARTMENT.

17 Feb/07

READ AGAIN G.O, No. 70 Ed. dated 9 — 2 — 1907.
" " " " 71 Ed " " 9 — 2 — 1907.
94 Ed — 26 — 2 — 1907

READ ALSO:–

C. No. 599 From the D.P.I. , No. 34 , dated 11 – 3 – 1907.

" " , " " , " " 190

" " , " " , " " 190

58

ORDER.
P.S.

No 179, dated 26 - 3 - 1907

In modification of the G.O.s read again above ~~at the head of these Proceedings~~, the Govt are pleased to direct that the amounts of subsidy to be paid to the under-mentioned District Boards and Municipalities (for teachers grant sanctioned already) shall be as given below:—

District Boards.	amount already sanctioned Rs.	altered amount now sanctioned Rs.
Kurnool	23,520 /	25,510 /
Cuddapah	20,780 /	21,510 /
Tanjore	20,210 /	25,590 /
South Canara	19,640 /	23,640 /
Ganjam	39,780 /	38,540 /
Anantapur	11,840 /	9,570 /

10 16 6.S.C

District Boards	amount already sanctioned Rs	altered amount now sanctioned. Rs
Tinnevelly	36,420	35,420
Guntur	26,540	29,040
	198,730	208,820
Municipalities		
Trichinopoly	415	5,085
Ellore	1,985	1,475
Kurnool	1,080	550
Bellary	1,944	1,314
Cuddapah	2,110	2,010
Salem	1,672	1,532
Tirupattur	750	620
	9956	12586
	218686	221.406

T.K.
13.3-07

R.D.
14.3.07

Ch
16.3.7

B
24/7

To
The D.P.I.
" A.G.
" Finl Deptt
" L&M Deptt

a+o to be issued in Mss. first.

Despd 26/3/7

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched in print.

NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED.
- Order complete. 60
- Letter only.
- Order complete with Letter.

READ AGAIN G.O., No. , DATED
190 7

Print & Press proof
12/4/07

...RRENT No.

...NCLOSURES TO C. No.

7 p
Ints 1-5 p

...ER OF GOVERNMENT.

...ER TO GOVERNMENT.

...TEMENT WITH DITTO.

...RERS NOT FILED ON, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.