172

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

1

Recd. } 1907. *Enclosures*
Regd. } *Spare copies*

G.O., No. 146, 18th March 1907.

32

Subsidies to Local Boards and Municipalities.

Informing the Director of Public Instruction that it is now too late to make any alteration in the civil budget estimates for 1907–1908 and that, should the provision for — be found insufficient, he may suggest reappropriations from other budget heads or apply for a special allotment.

1

Educational (1907) Department.

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O., No. 146

DATED 18-3-7.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Civil Budget Estimates for 1907-08

Informing the DPI that it is now too late to make any alteration in the — & that, should the provision for subsidies to Local Boards & Municipalities be found insufficient, he may suggest re-appropriation from other budget heads or to apply for a special allotment.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Recd. } 1907. *Enclosures*
Regd. *Spare copies*

G.O., No. 146, 18th March 1907.

Subsidies to Local Boards and Municipalities.

Informing the Director of Public Instruction that it is now too late to make any alteration in the civil budget estimates for 1907–1908 and that, should the provision for — be found insufficient, he may suggest reappropriations from other budget heads or apply for a special allotment.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following papers :—

I

Memorandum No. 131-2, Educational, dated 9th February 1907.

The Director of Public Instruction is requested to report in detail the amount of provincial grants, exclusive of the allotments made out of the special grant of six lakhs, provided in the revised estimate for 1906–1907 and the budget estimate for 1907–1908 for payment of subsidies to Local Boards and Municipal Councils on account of—

(1) Elementary schools maintained by Local Boards and Municipal Councils; and

(2) Grants to elementary schools aided by these bodies.

(By Order.)

C. A. Souter,
Asst. Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.

II

Letter—from the Hon'ble Mr. T. T. Logan, B.A., Acting Director of Public Instruction, Madras.
To—the Secretary to Government, Educational Department.
Dated—the 21st February 1907.
No.—Ref. on Cur. No. 961.

With reference to Memorandum No. 131-2, Educational, dated 9th instant, I have the honour to give below the amount of provincial grants provided in the revised estimates for 1906–1907 for payment of subsidies to Local Boards and Municipal Councils :—

District Boards.

	RS.
Subsidy on account of elementary schools maintained by Local Boards	1,48,270
Subsidy for grants to elementary schools aided by Local Boards.	4,28,730
Total ..	5,77,000

Municipalities.

Subsidy on account of elementary schools maintained by Municipal Councils	21,600
Subsidy on account of grants to elementary schools aided by Municipal Councils	40,000
Subsidy for repayment of grants already paid by Municipal Councils to aided schools	2,400
Total ..	64,000

This does not take into account the transfer of Rs. 2,880 from Municipalities to District Boards recommended in this office letter Ref. on Cur. No. 34/07, dated 13th instant.

2. In regard to the allotment for 1907–1908 I beg to state that the amount provided for subsidies to Local Boards in the budget estimate for next year is Rupees 5,64,000, while the contributions recommended in connection with the budget estimates of the various District Boards amount to Rs. 1,46,820 for schools maintained by them and Rs. 4,43,890 for grants to elementary schools aided by those bodies, or Rupees 5,90,710 in all and I beg to recommend that the allotment provided for the purpose may be raised to Rs. 5,91,000. Under contributions to Municipalities there is an allotment of Rs. 56,500, Rs. 50,000 under "22-I. Miscellaneous" and Rs. 6,500 under "22-E. Government schools—General—Payments in support of Municipal schools" which should also be transferred to the former head. The contributions required for next year, taking the current year's figures for the Palni, Gudiyáttam and Madras Municipalities, the budget estimates of which have not yet been received, aggregate Rs. 28,130 for schools maintained by them and Rs. 38,760 for grants to elementary schools payable through their funds, or Rs. 66,890 on the whole and I beg to recommend that an additional allotment of Rs. 10,500 be provided for the purpose.

Order—No. 146, Educational, dated 18th March 1907.

The Director of Public Instruction will be informed that it is now too late to make any alteration in the budget estimates for 1907–1908. Should, however, the provision made in the budget for subsidies to Local Boards and Municipalities be found wholly insufficient in the course of the year, it will be open to him to suggest reappropriations from other budget heads or to apply for a special allotment specifying clearly and fully the reasons for the increase in subsidy as compared with previous years. He must however understand that whether such reappropriations or reallotments will be sanctioned is always a matter of great uncertainty and he should not propose them except as a last resource.

(True Extract.)

H. D. Taylor,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.
" Financial Department.

Educational Department.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. 146, EDUCATIONAL, DATED 18th MARCH 1907.

[Subject.—*Requesting that certain alterations may be made in the allotments made in the Civil Budget estimate for* 1907–1908.]

Previous papers.

G.O., No.	452, *Finl.,*	*dated*	*21st*	*May*	1901.
,, ,,	308 ,,	,,	*10th*	*April*	1905.
,, ,,	282, *Edl.*	,,	*2nd*	*May*	,,
,, ,,	139 ,,	,,	*3rd*	*March*	1906.
,, ,,	274 *L.*	,,	*7th*	,,	,,
,, ,,	355, *Edl.*	,,	*21st*	*May*	,,
,, ,,	738 ,,	,,	*14th*	*November*	,,
,, ,,	58, *Finl.*	,,	*21st*	*January*	1907.
,, ,,	88 ,,	,,	*2nd*	*February*	,,
,, ,,	70, *Edl.*	,,	*9th*	,,	,,
,, ,,	71 ,,	,,	*9th*	,,	,,
,, ,,	94 ,,	,,	*26th*	,,	,,

From the Director of Public Instruction, dated 21st February 1907, *No.* 961.

In paragraph 2 of his letter No. 961, dated 21st February 1907, the Director of Public Instruction requests that the following alterations may be made in the Civil Budget estimate for 1907–1908:—

(1) that the sum of Rs. 5,64,000 * provided under adjusting heads may be raised to Rs. 5,91,000; and

(2) that the sum of Rs. 6,500 provided under "22-E. Government schools—General—Payments in support of Municipal schools" may be transferred to "22-I. Miscellaneous" and that the total provision under the latter head be raised by Rs. 10,500.

Page 138 *ibid.*
Page 145 *ibid.*

2. It is submitted that the above requests of the Director of Public Instruction may be remitted to the Financial Department for consideration.

K. Rangaswami Aiyangar—26-2-07.

C. A. Souter—27-2-07.

To the Financial Department.

Financial Department.

Revised estimate for 1906–1907.—The revised estimate for 1906–1907 under "Adjusting heads—Contributions from Provincial to Local—For education" as shown in the Preliminary issue of the Civil Budget estimate for 1907–1908, stood at 11·15 lakhs (six-lakhs grant for Primary education + 5·15 lakhs for subsidies). The subsidies sanctioned to the several District Boards by G.O., No. 70, Educational, dated the 9th February 1907, amount to 5·74 lakhs, so that the revised estimate for 1906–1907 should be raised by (5·74 — 5·15 =) ·59 lakh. The higher figure has been † adoped in the 2nd edition of the budget notes.

Page 218, Civil Budget estimate, 1907–1908.

† Total revised estimate under Contributions from Provincial to Local according to the Civil Budget estimate	27·52
Total revised estimate under Contributions from Provincial to Local according to 2nd edition of budget notes	28·11
	+ 59

---

* Page 218 of the Preliminary issue of the Civil Budget estimates.

2. The revised estimate under 22-I. EDUCATION—MISCELLANEOUS—"Contributions to Municipal funds" was placed by the Accountant-General in the Preliminary issue of the Civil Budget estimate for 1906-1907 at ·43 lakh. The payments sanctioned to the several Municipalities by G.O., No. 71, Educational, dated the 9th February 1907, amount, however, to ·61 lakh, so that the revised estimate under this head should now be raised by ·18 lakh. The higher figure has been adopted * in the 2nd edition of the budget notes.

Page 145, Civil Budget estimate, 1907–1908.

* Total revised estimate under 22. EDUCATION—Provincial according to the Civil Budget estimate	22·43
Total revised estimate under 22-EDUCATION—Provincial according to 2nd edition of budget notes ..	22·61
	+ ·18

3. With reference to G.O., No. 94, Educational, dated 26th February 1907, the revised estimate under 22. EDUCATION should be reduced by ·03 lakh and that under CONTRIBUTIONS FROM PROVINCIAL TO LOCAL should be raised by ·03. This the Accountant-General who has had a copy of the Government Order will arrange to effect in the final estimate.

4. The Director of Public Instruction requests that the budget estimate for 1907–1908 under the heads 22. EDUCATION and ADJUSTING HEADS—CONTRIBUTIONS FROM PROVINCIAL TO LOCAL should be raised by ·11 lakh and ·27 lakh, respectively, in order to provide for the payment of enhanced subsidies to Local Boards and Municipal Councils, respectively. The Educational Department have remitted the Director's requests to the Financial Department for consideration. The Government of India have not made any alteration in the budget estimate for 1907–1908 proposed under 22. EDUCATION—Provincial in the 2nd edition of budget notes received to-day. The effect of the adoption of the Director's suggestion will be to reduce the Provincial balance for 1907–1908 which stands at 86·04 lakhs in the 2nd edition of budget notes by ·38 lakh. If the Director's suggestions are approved a telephonic message may go to the Accountant-General.

5. The Director of Public Instruction has also suggested that the provision of Rs. 6,500 for "Payments in support of Municipal schools" entered under the head 22-E. EDUCATION—GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS—GENERAL should be transferred to the head 22-I. EDUCATION—MISCELLANEOUS—"Contributions to Municipal funds". This transfer will not affect the budget and can be carried out by the Accountant-General in the final issue of the Civil budget estimate.

Ibid Page 138. Civil Budget estimate.
Page 145 Civil Budget estimate.

6. The following telephonic message is submitted for approval:—

From the Chief Secretary to Government, Financial Department, to the Accountant-General.

"Please arrange for the inclusion in the budget estimate for 1907–1908 of ·11 lakh under the "head 22-I. EDUCATION—MISCELLANEOUS and of ·27 lakh under the head ADJUSTING HEADS—"CONTRIBUTION FROM PROVINCIAL TO LOCAL in order to provide for the payment of enhanced "subsidies to Municipalities and Local Boards, respectively."

"2 In the final issue of the Civil Budget estimate please transfer to the MINOR HEAD—"22-I. EDUCATION—MISCELLANEOUS—Contributions to Municipal funds, the provision (Rs. 6,500) "for payments in support of Municipal schools entered under the head 22-E. EDUCATION—"GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS—GENERAL".

V.G.—28-2-07.

T.H.—28-2-07.

As regards the budget estimate for 1907–1908 the request amounts to a demand for an increase of the grants for elementary education by (·11 lakh to *Municipal* and ·27 lakh to *Local* =) ·38 lakh. It is submitted that these grants should be made a charge on the special ear-marked grant of 6 lakhs for primary education—please see in this connection the notes in Financial Department, dated 10th February 1906 and 14th February 1906, written last year and the notes on pages 7 *et seq.* of K.W. 88, Financial, dated 2nd February 1907. In the budget estimate for 1907–1908, the 6 lakhs grant has been distributed as follows:—

(*a*) Five lakhs under *Local.*

(*b*) One lakh under *Provincial.*

2. The 5 lakhs under *Local* is in addition to grants-in-aid to District Boards of 5·64 * lakhs. Of the one lakh under *Provincial* ·15 has been allotted under 22. EDUCATION for the scheme for reorganization of training schools, ·02 as a contribution to the Municipal Council of Karur for the construction of a building to be used as an educational museum and the balance of ·83 lakh has been entered as a lump provision mainly for the improvement of the scale of salaries of school mistresses in Muhammadan Primary Girls' schools and for the provincialization of the establishment of supervisors of primary schools.

* Civil Budget Estimate, page 218—Contributions from Provincial to Local.

See page 12 of budget notes under 22. EDUCATION.

Page 128, Civil Budget Estimate.

Paragraph 15 of letter No. 59, Financial, dated 21st January 1907.

3. In addition to the grants mentioned above, there is an allotment of ·48 lakh for contributions to Municipalities for education under 22-I. EDUCATION—MISCELLANEOUS and a provision of Rs. 6,500 under 22-E. GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS—GENERAL for grants-in-aid of the Municipal High schools in the Ceded districts.

Page 145, Civil Budget Estimate.

Page 138, Civil Budget Estimate.

It seems to be doubtful whether further grants should be made next year.

C. M. SCHMIDT—2-3-07.

Let Educational have its say.

H. BRADLEY—2-3-07.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Please examine very urgently. There is not likely to be any falling off in actual net expenditure from Local and Municipal funds. The increase is probably required to meet ordinary expansion of grants to private elementary schools through the Agency of Local Bodies.

H. D. TAYLOR—2-3-07.

Year.	Provincial.	Local.	Municipal.	Adjusting heads.
	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
1903–1904	21,31,945	13,13,457	3,90,223	3,69,104
1904–1905	21,87,554	13,96,507	3,98,862	3,70,450
1905–1906	23,18,093	14,27,677	3,99,022	‡ 9,12,789
Estimates—				
1906–1907	22,43,000	16,26,000	4,12,560	§ 11,74,000
1907–1908	23,83,000	* 22,30,000 † 20,00,000	Not available.	10,64,000

* According to the District Board's budget as passed by Government.

† Do. Second Edition of the budget notes.

‡ Rs. 5,66,870 of this is shown under 45. CIVIL WORKS and does not come under Local here.

§ Rs. 3,20,960 of this represents allotment for school buildings which will appear under 45. CIVIL WORKS.

The statement above shows the progress of expenditure during the past few years under Provincial, Local and Municipal on account of education and the amounts paid to Local Boards through "Adjusting heads".

2. Of the amounts shown under "Adjusting heads" in 1905–1906 and 1906–1907, Rs. 5,66,870 and Rs. 3,20,960, respectively, represent the expenditure on school buildings which is shown under 45. Civil Works and is not included under Education—Local given in the statement *supra*. Excluding the allotments for school buildings, the net amounts of grants paid through adjusting heads to Local Boards on account of education were Rs. 3,45,919 in 1905–1906, and Rs. 8,53,040 in 1906–1907. Of the latter amount again, Rs. 1,27,452 represents cost of furnishing and equipping newly-opened schools. The actual expenditure incurred out of Local funds in 1904–1905 and the succeeding years, excluding the grants paid through the adjusting heads and the special assignments out of the 6 lakhs grant is as shown below:—

	RS.
1904–1905	10,26,157
1905–1906	10,81,758
1906–1907	10,52,000

3. In the Civil Budget Estimate for 1907–1908, a sum of Rs 20,00,000 is provided under "Education—Local" according to the second edition of the budget notes, but according to the budgets of the District Boards approved by the Local Government the allotment for education, is Rs. 22,30,000. In distributing the special grant of five lakhs on account of primary education, which is included under adjusting heads in 1907–1908, the Director of Public Instruction has been instructed to limit the allotment for school buildings to the provision of proper accommodation for schools already in existence, and to the completion of buildings already commenced; and it is not likely therefore that a large portion of this grant will be spent on school buildings. Assuming that no portion of it is so spent, then the allotment for education from *Local Funds* in 1907–1908 will be Rs. 11,66,000 according to the budgets of the District Boards.

RS.
22,30,000
10,64,000
11,66,000

4. It will thus be observed that while the allotments from Local funds on education have not decreased in 1907–1908, the whole of the five lakhs grant provided under "Adjusting heads" will perhaps be barely sufficient to furnish and equip the 1,057 new school houses which have recently been called into existence, to meet the cost of the establishment of the 427 new board schools to be started and to aid the District Boards and Municipal Councils to revise the establishments of the elementary schools maintained by them in accordance with the provisions of rules 81 and 82 of the Educational Rules. The Director has not yet furnished the details of the distribution of this grant of five lakhs, but his application for an additional allotment under subsidies is intended to make the allotment for subsidies under "adjusting heads" equal to the Provincial grants taken credit for under this head in the several District Board budgets. But in view of the fact that the provision of 22·3 lakhs made by the Local Government in the Civil Budget Estimate has been reduced by the Government of India to 20·0 lakhs in the second edition of the budget notes and of the fact that the same reduction at least will have to be made in the Provincial grants made to the several District Boards, it is for consideration whether it is necessary to press the Director of Public Instruction's request for an additional allotment under adjusting heads.

A

Vide Memorandum No. 589, dated 30th November 1906.

This will be necessary in order to ensure that the District Boards do not divert to other purposes any portion of the funds hitherto devoted to education, and that the grant of subsidies is not made a means to the end.

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—4-3-07.

B

5. As regards the increased allotment of Rs. 10,500 asked for by the Director of Public Instruction on account of subsidy to Municipal funds, this would appear to be necessary, as the balance of one lakh of the six lakhs grant has already been earmarked for meeting the cost of special schemes, and no savings can possibly be expected unless one or other of these schemes is unavoidably delayed.

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—4-3-07.

C. A. SOUTER—5-3-07.

We have not yet the Director's proposals as to the distribution of the 5 lakhs out of the 6 lakhs grant left in the local section for 1907–1908, and until we receive that and have noted out details for reorganization of establishments in accordance with the Educational rules, it is not possible to say exactly in what form and for what purpose that 5 lakhs will be allotted. But it does not seem probable that any very large portion will be available for expenditure on buildings, or if a reduction is to be made it will have to be made under the allotment from the 5 lakhs for school buildings. India have cut our provision for education in the local section by some 2 lakhs, and it seems doubtful how exactly this can be met. It can probably be met only by reducing the subsidy given by the Director of Public Instruction under adjusting heads or by reduction of the allotment under the 5 lakhs.

2. While local bodies should not, without special reasons, be allowed to reduce their net expenditure on education (though in some instances a reduction in such expenditure has been found necessary), they certainly are not in a position financially to increase that expenditure.

3. Provision for subsidies has, however, been made in the budgets of local bodies with reference to the figures furnished by the Director of Public Instruction, but on the other hand India have reduced the provision for education in the local section. It will cause considerable confusion in the Local and Municipal budgets if material alterations in the subsidies recommended by the Director of Public Instruction are found necessary. But really matters are in a transition stage and we cannot be quite certain of our figures, and if it is deemed undesirable to press India now to enhance the figures to those recommended by Director of Public Instruction, perhaps Financial could apply for an additional allotment later on. The Director has probably based his figures on the report of inspecting officers, and this department has no means of verifying his figures which should ordinarily be accepted.

H. D. TAYLOR—5-3-07.

To the Financial Department.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

Educational apparently agree that the Director's request for an enhancement of the budget allotment for 1907–1908 should be refused. But these notes—please see especially the portions marked **A** and **B** on pages 4 and 5 *supra* seem to indicate that the question of the distribution of the special earmarked grant of 6 lakhs requires to be carefully handled. The distribution of this grant has not yet been finally settled, but it seems to be necessary to point out that the grant is for primary education *generally* and though earmarked in this general manner is not earmarked for particular schools. Attention is respectfully invited to the notes in—

(*a*) G.O., No. 139, Educational, dated 3rd March 1906.
(*b*) Page 3 of K.W. 355, dated 21st May 1906.
(*c*) Pages 9 to 12 of the budget notes under 22. EDUCATION.

It does not seem to be unlikely that in the absence of some approximate forecast the financing of primary education will become in course of time a matter of great difficulty and a severe tax on Provincial funds. As matters stand at present, this department cannot, it is submitted, agree to any contention which would involve the special earmarking of any portion of the 6 lakhs' grant other than its earmarking for primary education generally.

C. M. SCHMIDT—6-3-07.

It is not quite clear what Educational agree to, but perhaps Mr. Schmidt is right in supposing that the department agrees to refuse any enhancement of the budget allotment for 1907–1908. For the present any increase may be refused—*vide* note of 2nd instant (pages 2 and 3 *supra*).

2. Educational fully understand the meaning of the earmarked grant, and I do not think they contemplate marking it for any particular class of schools.

H. BRADLEY—6-3-07.

I fear the Educational office has not ascertained precisely what the Director of Public Instruction's justification for the enhancements is with reference to the present budget estimates. A talk with Mr. Middlemast would have enabled Mr. Taylor to get on surer ground. It is too late now to prosecute inquiries.

2. The Educational Department apparently took India's reduction to 20 lakhs as irrevocable! The very object of sending us the budget notes is to enable us to prove that the reduction is not justified, which can be done only by showing that there are *actual* schemes requiring the money or *actual* necessities to meet. If they find that estimates of previous years are never worked up, they are justified in cutting and we have the heavy onus of showing that the next year has essential differences.

These were the points to tackle.

3. As it is I think we must reject the Director of Public Instruction's proposed alterations at this late date.

G. S. F[ORBES]—7-3-07.

To the Educational Department.

H. BRADLEY—7-3-07.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

The Director of Public Instruction may be informed accordingly. If in the Civil Budget Estimate, as finally issued, the provision for subsidies is found to be insufficient, it will be necessary for him to suggest reappropriations, if necessary, from other heads. It was not my intention in my note of 5th March 1907 *to refuse* to recommend the further allotment asked for. I pointed out possible difficulties in the local section through which the amount would eventually be paid.

2. There is no intention in Local and Municipal to earmark grants from the 5 lakhs to special schools except in consultation with Financial. The only item so marked is that in the Provincial budget, viz., for the improvement of Muhammadan girls' schools and Financial itself suggested this earmarking. Grants out of the 6 lakhs will as, heretofore, be made to local bodies in the lump, and not for particular schools, though it is necessary before the allotments are made to examine into the resources of the various local bodies or the additional burden in connection with primary education that they will be called upon to bear in connection with the changes in system or establishments recently introduced.

3. Strong representations were made to India this year about the reduction under Education in the local section.

4. Last year when we protested against reduction on the Provincial side India reduced our allotment still further.

H. D. TAYLOR—9-3-07.

A draft is placed below for approval.

T. K.—8-3-07.

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—8-3-07.

C. A. SOUTER—9-3-07.

To the Financial Department for acceptance.

H. D. TAYLOR—9-3-07.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

It is respectfully submitted that the second sentence of the draft order "should, however, the provision made . . . previous years" is not based on any orders of the Honourable Member in this case. It is an indirect invitation to the Director of Public Instruction to exceed his budget allotment.

But he cannot exceed it without sanction.
G. S. F[ORBES].

C. M. SCHMIDT—11-3-07.

Is this not exactly what the Government of India has itself invited. But it is not exactly in accordance with the Honourable Member's orders. It may perhaps be modified.

H. BRADLEY—12-3-07.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Vide page 57 of the budget notes, second edition.

A India have stated that the reduction made by them merely represents "probable savings", but that they do not desire to curtail expenditure. We are now in a transition stage the exact results of which cannot be clearly foreseen. It is submitted, therefore, that it is not desirable to tie down the Director of Public Instruction rigidly to the estimates should necessity arise for his applying for further funds. He ought to know early in the year that applications for additional funds, and if the necessity be clearly proved and can be supported by actual figures, will be duly considered.

2. I submit that the draft may issue in its present form.

H. D. TAYLOR—15-3-07.

May be issued with the additional sentence I have written.

G. S. F[ORBES]—17-3-07.

Issue.

2. Financial to see after issue.

H. D. TAYLOR—18-3-05.

[G.O., No. 146, Educational, dated 18th March 1907.]

The Government order has issued; the file may be sent U.O., to Financial for perusal with reference to the Secretary's orders above.

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—18-3-07.

C. A. SOUTER—19-3-07.

To the Financial Department.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

The case may be returned to the Educational department with thanks.

T.H.R.—21-3-07.

C. M. SCHMIDT—21-3-07.

H. BRADLEY—22-3-07.

To the Educational Department.

Rew

[G.
1-A.]
500 -17-4-04.

Fiji DEPARTMENT.

8

CURRENT FILE.

CONTENTS.

	From	Number.	Date.	Pages.
~~[1051]~~	~~Fiji Ed. Dept.~~	~~94.~~	~~26.2.07~~	~~1-2~~
	II			
	Memo to the D. O. S.	131–2 Ed.	9-2-07	1
	III			
[Fiji C no 1049]	From the D. O. S.	Ref to C no 961/1907	21-2.07	2-3
	IV			
[C no 1051]	From the Educational Dr	Ed. 94	26.2.07	4

[P. T. O. FOR PUT UP PAPERS.

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No. 1051.

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number.	Date.	Original (=O) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers have been removed for copying, printing, etc., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of Referencer with date in token of return to Reference department.
Jo 123	20.2.07	O.		
Edn 517-1	4-2.07	O		
Jo 1052	21-5-01	Sc		

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number.	Date.	Original (=O.) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Initials with date of (1) Referencer of the Chief Secretariat department acknowledging returns of the paper concerned or (2) Despatcher to denote the return of the papers to departments outside the Chief Secretariat.
Educational	71	9-2-07	O	
	70	9-2.07	O	

---

6 Rew

1

~~9~~ Put up file with it
7/2
9.2.07

Desp today
9/2

Despd
12.2.07

1

9

Educational departt.

Memo. 131-2 Edn d 9-2-7

The Director of Public Instruction is requested to report in detail the amount exclusive of the allotments made out of the special grant of six lakhs of Provincial grants provided in the Revised estimate for 1906-07 and the Budget estimate for 1907-08 for payment of Subsidies to Local Boards and Municipal Councils on account of

(1) Elementary Schools maintained by Local Boards and Municipal Councils; and

(2) Grants to Elementary Schools aided by these bodies.

8.2.07

Obs
9.2.07

20

D.P.I.

Rd 1-30 pm 9/2/7

Educational Department
Madras

The Director of Public Instruction is requested to report in detail the amount of Provincial grants provided in the Revised estimate for 1906-07 and the Budget estimate for 1907-08 for payment of subsidies to local Boards and Municipal Councils on account of elementary schools maintained by local Boards and Municipal Councils and grants to elementary schools aided by these bodies.

5-2-07

Rev

Cur No 410 Edn

2

Stock Form No. 135
8,700—17-6-05.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

10

Received LOCAL & MUNICIPAL 22 FEB 1907 SECRETARIAT 190 , Registered 22-2-1907.

Subject.

Reporting in detail the amount of provincial grants provided in the Revised Estimate for 1906-1907 and the Budget Estimate for 1907-1908 for subsidies to local boards and Municipalities.

ENCLOSURES.
No.
Spare copies

Ref on Cur No. 961/07

Station Madras, *Dated the* 21st February 1907

From (Name) The Hon'ble Mr. T.T. Logan, B.A.,
(Designation) Ag: Director of Public Instruction
Madras.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Educational Department.

Sir,

With reference to Memorandum No. 131-2 Educational, dated 9th instant, I have the honour to give below the amount of provincial^x grants provided in the Revised Estimates for 1906-07 for payment of subsidies to local boards and Municipal Councils.

x Exclusive of the allotment made out of the five lakhs grant.

District Boards:

Subsidy on account of Elementary Schools maintained by local boards.	1,48,270
Subsidy for grants to elementary schools aided by local boards.	4,28,730
	5,77,000

Municipalities:

Subsidy on account of elementary schools maintained by Municipal Councils.	21,600
Subsidy on account of grants to elementary schools aided by Municipal councils.	40,000
	61,600

Subsidy for repayment of grants already paid my Municipal Councils to aided schools.	2,400

This does not take into account the transfer of Rupees 2,880 from Municipalities to district boards recommended in this office letter Ref on Cur No. 34/07 dated 13th instant.

Page 218, CBE. for 1907-08 (Pink)
3.72
1.92
5.64

2 In regard to the allotment for 1907-1908 I beg to state that the amount provided for subsidies to local boards in the Budget Estimate for next year is Rs 5,64,000/-; while the constributions recommended in connection with the Budget estimates of the various district boards amount to Rs 146820 for schools maintained by them and Rs 4,43,890/- for grants to elementary schools aided by those bodies, or Rs 5,90,710/- in all and I beg to recommend that the allotment provided for the purpose may be raised to Rs 5,91,000/-. Under contributions to Municipalities there is an allotment of Rs 56,500/-, Rs 50,000/- under "22I-Miscellaneous " and Rs 6500/- under "22E, Government Schools, General, Payments in support of Municipal Schools" which should also be transferred to the former head. The contributions required for next year, taking the current year's figures for the Palni, Gudiyattam and Madras Municipalities the budget estimates of which have not yet been received aggregate Rs 28,130/- for schools maintained by them and Rs 38,760/- for grants to elementary schools payable through their funds, or Rs 66,890/- on the whole and I beg to recommend that an additional allotment of Rs 10,500/- be provided for the purpose.

Page 145, CBE
Page 138, CBE

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
E.W. Middlemast
For Director of Public Instruction.

E.P.
21-2-07

M.S.S.

[12. / 2.]
1,000—29-5-06.

Notes with G.O. No. 1464
III 18.3.07

12 / P

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 410 From the D. P. I. No. 961, dated 21. 2. 1907.

G.O. 71 Edl. d/ 9-2-07
" 70 " d/ 9-2-07
" 88 Fin'l d/ 2-2-07
" 58 Fin'l d/ 21-1-07
" 738 Edl. d/ 14-11-06
" 139 Edl. d/ 3-3-06
" 274 L. d/ 7-3-06
" 282 Edl. 2-5-05
" 308 Fin'l d/ 10-4-05
" 452 Fin'l d/ 21-5-07

SUBJECT.

Requesting that certain alterations may be made in the allotments made in the C.B.E. for 1907-08. ~~under 22 Education~~

In paragraph 2 of his letter No. 961, dated 21st February 1907, the D. P. I. requests that the following alterations may be made in the C. B. E. for 1907-08:—

× Page 218 of the Preliminary issue of the C.B.E.

+ · 27

(1) that the sum of Rs 5,64,000 provided under Adjusting heads may be raised to Rs 5,91,000; and

Page 138 ibid

(2) that the sum of Rs 6,500 provided under "22. E. Govt. Schools, General, Payments in support of municipal schools" may be transferred to the "22. I. Miscellaneous" and that the total provision under the latter head be raised by Rs 10,500.

Page 145 ibid

+ · 11

2. It is submitted that the above requests of the D. P. I. may be remitted to the Financial Department for consideration.

D.P.
27/2

R.P.
26. 2. 07

Fin. W.

27. 2. 7.

CURRENT NO.
1049
FINANCIAL

Regd 27.2.07

Final

No. 410-I Edl.
27.2.7

CHIEF SECRETARIAT
27 FEB 1907
MADRAS

IV

Financial Dt.
5-30 PM

[C. No. 1051] From the Edn Dt. No. 94 27.2.07

5 2 13 2 P

Financial Department.

R. E. for 1906-07.

The revised estimate for 1906-07 under "Adjusting Heads - Contributions from Provincial to Local - For Education" as shown in the Preliminary issue of the C.B.E. for 1907-08 stood at 11.15 lakhs (6 lakhs grant for Primary education + 5.15 lakhs for subsidies). The subsidies sanctioned to the several district boards by G.O. 70, Educational, dated the 9th February 1907, amount to 5.74 lakhs, so that the revised estimate for 1906-07 should be raised by (5.74 - 5.15 =).59 lakh. The higher figure has been (a) adopted in the 2nd edition of the budget notes.

Page 218 C.B.E. 07-08

(a)	Total R.E. under Contributions from Provincial to Local according to the C.B.E.	27.52
	,, according to 2nd Edition of budget notes.	28.11
		+ .59

2. The revised estimate under 22 I EDUCATION - MISCELLANEOUS, "Contributions to Municipal funds" was placed by the Accountant~~s~~-General in the Preliminary issue of the C.B.E. for 1906-07 at .43 lakh. The payments sanctioned to the several municipalities by G.O. 71 Educational, dated the 9th February 1907 amount, however to .61 lakh, so that the revised estimate under this head should now be raised by .18 lakh. The higher figure has been (b) adopted in the 2nd edition of the budget notes.

Page 145 C.B.E. 07-08

(b)	Total revised estimate under 22 EDUCATION - Provincial according to the C.B.E.	22.43
	,, 2nd edition of budget notes.	22.61
		+ .18

Page 4 C.F.

3. With reference to G.O. No. 94 Educational dated 26th February 1907 the revised estimate under 22 EDUCATION should be reduced by .03 lakh and that

Para 2 of letter ref on cur. 961 of 07, 21-2-07. Page 3.CF

The Govt. of India have not made any alteration in the budget estimate for 1907-08 proposed under 22 Education, Provincial, in the second edition of budget notes received today.

,, ,,

Page 138. C.B.E.

Page 145 ,,

under CONTRIBUTIONS FROM PROVINCIAL TO LOCAL should be raised by .03. This the A.G. who has had a copy of the G.O. will arrange to effect in the final estimate.

4. The D.P.I. requests that the B.E. for 1907-1908 under the heads 22 EDUCATION and ADJUSTING HEADS CONTRIBUTIONS FROM PROVINCIAL TO LOCAL should be raised by .11 lakh and .27 lakh respectively in order to provide for the payment of enhanced subsidies to Local Boards and Municipal Councils respectively. The Educational Department have remitted to the Financial Deptt. the Director's requests for consideration. The effect of the adoption of the Director's suggestion will be to reduce the Provincial balance for 1907-08 which stands at 86.04 lakhs in the 2nd edition of budget notes by .38 lakh. If the Director's suggestions are approved a telephonic message may go to the A.G.

5. The D.P.I. has also suggested that the provision of Rs. 6,500 for "payments in suppo~~sed~~rt of Municipal Schools" entered under the head 22 E EDUCATION - GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS, GENERAL, should be transferred to the head 22 I EDUCATION — MISCELLANEOUS, "Contributions to Municipal funds. This transfer will not affect the budget and can be carried out by the A.G. in the final issue of the C.B.E.

7 4 15 4P

6. The following telephonic message is submitted for approval.

From

The Chief Secretary to Govt. of
Madras, Financial Department

To

The Accountant-General.

"Please arrange for the inclusion in the B.E. for 1907-1908 of .11 lakh under the head 22-I EDUCATION - MISCELLANEOUS and of .27 lakh under the head ADJUSTING HEADS - CONTRIBUTIONS FROM PROVINCIAL TO LOCAL in order to provide for the payment of enhanced subsidies to Municipalities and Local Boards respectively.

"2 In the final issue of the C.B.E. please transfer to the MINOR HEAD 22-I EDUCATION - MISCELLANEOUS - Contributions to Municipal funds, the provision (Rs 6,500) for payments in support of municipal schools entered under the head 22 E. EDUCATION GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS GENERAL."

Post copy should go to the A.G

6ga/2029

T. H.A 28/2/07

C.S.

As regards the B.E. for 1907-08 the request amounts to a demand for an increase of the grants for elementary education by by (·11 lakh to Municipal & ·27 lakh to Local =) ·38 lakhs – It is subd. that these grants should be made a charge on the special ear-marked grant of 6 lakhs for primary education – please see in this connection the notes in Finl Dept of 10. 2. 06

Flag W

– 14-2-06 written last year & the notes on pages 7 et seq

" V

of K. W. 88 Finl of 2. 2. 07 – In the B.E. for 1907-08, the six lakhs grant has been distributed as follows:–

(a) 5 lakhs under Local

(b) 1 lakh under Provincial –

⊕ C. B. E. page 218 – Contributions from Provl. to Local.

The 5 lakhs under Local is in addition to grants-in-aid to district boards of 5·64 ⊗ lakhs. Of the 1 lakh under Provincial

See page 12 of budget notes under 22 Education – flag 18.

·15 has been allotted to under 22 Education for the scheme for reorganization of training schools, ·02 as a contribution to the Municipal Council of Karur for the construction of a building to be used as an educational museum & the balance of ·83 lakhs has been entered as a lump provision mainly for the improvement of the scale of salaries of Schoolmistresses in Muhammadan primary girls' schools & for the provincialization of the establishment of supervisors of primary schools.

Page 128 C.B.E.

Para 15 of letter 59. Fin. of 21.1.07 Hay H.

In addn. to the grants mentioned above there is an allotment of ·48 lakhs for contributions to municipalities for Education under 22 I. Edn. – Miscellaneous – & a provision of Rs 6500 under 22 E. Govt. Schools. General for grants-in-aid of the Municipal high schools in ~~B.~~ the Ceded districts

Page 145 C.B.E.

Page 138 C.B.E.

It seems to be doubtful whether further grants should be made next year.

Cecil
2.3.07

Fin. U.O.D. 1049-1 / 2.3.07

Int Educational [illegible]
Edl. W.O.

H.B.
2307

P.T.O

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL
2-MAR 1907
SECRETARIAT.

10/7

18 7P

Please examine very urgently.
There is not likely to be any falling off in actual net expenditure ~~from~~ Local & Municipal funds. The increase is probably required to meet ordinary expansion of grants to private elementary schools through the agency of Local Bodies.

H.S.
2-3-07

Year	Provincial	Local	Muni-cipal	Adjusting heads.	
	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	
1903-4					
1903-04	21,31,945	13,13,457	3,90,223	3,69,104	
1904-05	21,87,554	13,96,507	3,98,862	3,70,350	(a) Rs 566870 of this is shown under 45. Civil works & does not come under Local here.
1905-06.	23,18,093	14,27,677	3,99,022	(a) 9,12,789	
Estimates 1906-07	22,43,000	16,26,000	4,12,560	(b) 11,74,000	(b) Rs 320960 of this represents allotment for School buildings which will appear under 45. Civil works
Estimates 1907-08	23,83,000	(1) 22,30,000 (2) 20,00,000	Not available	10,64,000	

(1) according to the Dt. Boards' Budgets as passed by last
(2) " to the Second Edition of the budget notes.

The statement above ~~is~~ shows the progress of expenditure during the past few years under Provincial, Local & Municipal on account of Education and the amounts paid to Local Boards through "Adjusting heads".

2. Of the amounts shown under "Adjusting heads" in 1905-06 & 1906-07, Rs 5,66,870 and Rs 3,20,960 respectively represent the expenditure

the expenditure on school buildings which is shown under 45. Civil works and is not included under Education - Local given in the statement on the previous page - Excluding the allotments for school buildings, the net amounts of grants paid through Adjusting heads to Local Boards on account of Education were Rs 3,45,919 in 1905-06, and Rs 8,53,040 in 1906-07. Of the latter amount again, Rs 1,27,452 represent cost of ~~school~~ furnishing & equipping newly opened schools. The actual expenditure incurred out of Local funds in 1904-05 and the succeeding years, excluding the Grants paid through the adjusting head and the Special assignments out of the Six lakhs grant is as shown below:-

Rs
1904-05 - 10,26,157
1905-06 - 10,81,758
1906-07 - 10,52,000

3. In the Civil Budget Estimate for 1907-08, a sum of Rs 20,00,000 is provided under Local Education according to the second edition of the budget notes, but according to the budgets ~~originally~~ ~~[illegible]~~ of the Dt. Boards ~~as~~ approved by the Local Govt. the allotment for Education is Rs 22,30,000. In distributing the special grant of five lakhs on account of primary education, which is included under adjusting heads in 1907-08, the D.P.I. has been instructed to limit the allotment for school buildings to the

the provision of proper accommodation for schools already in existence, and to the completion of buildings already commenced; and ~~that~~ ~~for~~ it is not likely therefore that a large portion of this grant will be spent on school buildings. Assuming that no portion of it is so spent, then the allotment for Education from Local Funds in 1907-08 will be Rs 11,66,000 according to the budgets of the Dt Boards.

2230000
10,64,000
11,66,000

4. It will thus be observed that while the allotments from Local Funds on Education has not decreased in 1907-08, the whole of the five lakhs grant provided under "Adjusting heads" will perhaps be barely sufficient to furnish and equip the 1,057 new school houses which have recently been called into existence, & to meet the cost of the establishment of the 427 new Board schools to be started and to aid the Dt Boards & Municipal Councils to revise their establishments of the elementary schools maintained by them in accordance with the provisions of rules 81 & 82 of the Educational Rules. The Director has not yet furnished the details of the distribution of this grant of five lakhs, but his application for an ~~[illegible]~~ additional allotment under subsidies is ~~based on the fact~~ intended to ~~bring~~ make the allotment for subsidies under "adjusting heads" equal

See ~~para of~~ India 909 of 3. Dec 1906 referred to in para 14 of Budget Note – H.B. p. 9. letter 26 the charge of training of teachers & portion of the Inspectorate against the 6 lakhs. Where is this to come from? Ciel

A

vide memo no 5895 30.11.06 – slip XIX in linked file.

Since detached.
R.D.
5.3.07

13 10

21 | 10 P

equal to the Provincial grants taken credit for under this head in the several Distt Boards Budgets. But in view of the fact that the provision of 22.3 lakhs made by the Local Govt in the C.B.E. has been reduced by the Govt of India to 20.0 lakhs in the second edition of the budget notes, and of the fact that some reduction at least will have to be made in the Provl grants made to the several Dt. Boards, it is for consideration whether it is necessary to press the D.P.I.'s request for an additional allotment under adjusting heads.

This will be necessary in order to ensure ~~that~~ the Dt. Boards do not divert any portion to other purposes of the funds hitherto devoted to education, and that the grant of subsidies is not made a means to this end.

R.D.
4-3-07

5. As regards the increased allotment of Rs 10,500 asked for by the D.P.I. on account of Subsidy to Municipal funds, this would appear to be necessary, as the balance of one lakh of the six lakhs grant has already been ear marked for ~~Special~~ meeting the cost of Special schemes, and no saving ~~may~~ can possibly be expected unless ~~some~~ one or other of these schemes is unavoidably delayed.

B.

It has been kept in the B.B. for 1907-08 in the Provl side for safety's sake – until the distribution of the which six lakhs has yet to be settled! C.W.S.

R.D.
4.3.07

Sec
Chf
5.3.7.

We have not yet the Directors' proposals as to the distribution of the 5 lakhs out of the 6 lakhs grant left in the local sections for 1907-8, and until we receive that, and have worked

Read

out details for reorganization of establishments in accordance with the Ed. rules, it is not possible to say exactly ~~for~~ in what form & for what purpose that 5 lakhs will be allotted. But it does not seem probable that any very large portion will be available for expenditure on buildings — & if a reduction is to be made it will have to be made under the allotment from the 5 lakhs for school buildings. Muns. have cut our provision ~~[illegible]~~ for Education in the Local section by some 2 lakhs; & it seems doubtful how exactly this can be met. It can probably be met only by reducing the subsidy given by DPI under appropriate heads, or by reduction of the allotments under the 5 lakhs.

While Local bodies ~~[illegible]~~ should not, without special reason, be allowed to reduce their net expenditure on education, (though in some instances a reduction in such expenditure has been found necessary) they certainly are not in a position financially to increase that expenditure.

Provision for subsidies has however been made in the Budget of Local Bodies with reference to the figures furnished by the DPI — but on the other hand Muns. have

15 12

23/128

Read

reduces the provision for Education in the Local section. It will cause considerable confusion in the L. F. Budget if material alterations in the subsidies recommended by the DPI are found necessary. But really matters are in a transition stage & we cannot be quite certain of our figures. & if ~~necessary~~ it is deemed undesirable to press India now to enhance the figures to those recommended by DPI, perhaps Financial could apply for an additional allotment later on. The Director has probably based his figures on the reports of Inspecting officers, & the Dept. has no means of verifying his figures which should ordinarily be accepted

5-3-09.

Fin. u.o.

Dp
no 410.2 Edn
5-3-7

CHIEF SECRETARIAT MADRAS

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

CS

Ed. apparently agrees that the Director's request for an enhancement of the budget allotment for 1907.08 should be refused. But these notes – please see especially the portions marked A & B on paras 9 & 10 – seem to indicate that the question of the distribution of

Rept.

the special ear-marked grant of 6 lakhs requires to be carefully handled. ~~Rds~~ The distribution of this grant has not yet been finally settled, but it seems to be necessary to point out that the grant is for primary education generally & though ear-marked in this general manner is not ear-marked for particular schools. Attention is respectfully invited to the notes ~~on~~ on

May 20. (a) G.O. 139 Edn. of 3. March 1906.
May 15 (b) Page 3 of K. W. 355 of 21. May 1906
May 18 (c) Pages 9-12 of the budget notes under
22 Education

It does not seem to be unlikely that ~~if~~ in the absence of ~~unless~~ some approximate forecast the financing of primary education will become in course of time a matter of great difficulty & a ~~very~~ severe tax on Provincial funds. As matters stand at present, this Dept ~~Govt~~ cannot, it is subd, agree to ~~the~~ any ~~other~~ contention which would involve the special ear-marking of any portion of the six-lakhs grant other than its ear-marking for primary education generally

Cwl
6.3.07

BOX No. 14

Recd
c 6.3.07
R 7.3.07

The Hon Mr Forbes

It is not quite clear what Educational agree to, but perhaps Mr Schmidt is right in supposing that the Dept agrees to refuse any enhancement of the budget allotment for 1907-08. For the present any increase may be refused vide note of 3rd inst (pages 4 to 6 of the notes).

Educational fully understand the meaning of the earmarked grant, and I do not think they contemplate marking it for any particular class of schools

H B S
6.3.07

I fear the Educatl. Office has not ascertained precisely what the D.P.I.'s justification for the enhancements is, with reference to the present budget estimates. A talk with Mr Middlemass would have enabled Mr Taylor to get on surer ground. It is too late now to prosecute inquiries

The Ed. Dpt. apparently

took India's reduction to 20 lakhs as irrevocable! The very object of sending us the Budget Notes is to enable us to prove that the reduction is not justified which can be done only by showing that there are actual schemes requiring the money or actual necessities to meet.

If they find that estimates of previous years are never worked up, they are justified in cutting & we have the heavy onus of showing that the next year ~~is to be~~ has essential differences.

These were the points to tackle.

As it is I think we must reject the D.P.I's proposed alterations at this late date

7/3/07

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL
7 - MAR 1907
SECRETARIAT

Fin no D 1049—1.
7.3.07

Educational u.o.
No 63 7307

16 19 27

~~It was not my intention in my note of 5.3.07 to refuse to recommend the further allotment.~~
The D.P.I may be informed accordingly. If in the CBE as finally issued the provision for subsidies is found to be insufficient, it will be necessary for him to suggest reappropriations, if necessary, from other heads ~~Rules~~.

It was not my intention in my note of 5.3.07 to refuse to recommend the further allotment asked for. I pointed out possible difficulties in the Local section though that the amount would eventually be paid.

There is no intention in L.S.M. to ~~Local~~ cannot grant from the table to special schools except in consultation with Finl. The only item so marked is that in the Prov. Budget, of for the improvement of Muhammadan girls schools — & Finl. itself suggested the earmarking.

Grants out of the table rates, as here to be made to Local bodies in the lump — not for particular schools, though it is necessary before the allotments are made to examine into the resources of the ~~dist~~ various Local bodies & the additional burden in connection with primary Education that they will be called upon to bear in connection with the changes in system & estabts. recently introduced.

Strong representations were made to India this year about the reduction under Education in the Local Section.

Last year when we protested against reduction on the Provincial ~~side~~ India reduced our allotment still further. H.L. 9.3.07

T.K. Draft 7.3.07

A draft is placed below for approval.

ZH.
8-3-07.

NQ
8-3-07

Sec
Cls
9.3.7

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL
14 MAR 1907
SECRETARIAT.

No 410-3 Edl
11.3.07
9.3.07

Dil. u.o. for acceptance

HWB
9-3-07.

Rd 11.3.07

CURRENT NO.
1301
FINANCIAL

Registered 11.3.07.

CS

It is respectfully sub^d that the second sentence of the Draft order "Should, however, the provision made ...... previous years" is not based on any orders of H.M. in this case ~~[illegible]~~ It is an indirect invitation to the D.P.I. to exceed his budget allotment

But he cannot exceed it without sanction
8.3.7.

Cmb
11.3.07

Is this not exactly what the Govt of India has itself invited. But it is not exactly in accordance with H.M.'s orders. It may perhaps be modified

HWB
12.3.07

Fin u.o. 1301-1
13.3.07.

F.D.

Educl. dept u.o.

Sec
Cls
14.3.7.

~~18~~ 21

29/18.8

Vide page 57 of the Budget A Notes, Second Edition – slip XII.

India have stated that the reductions made by them merely represent "probable savings", but that they do not desire to curtail expenditure. We are now in a transition stage the exact result of which cannot be clearly foreseen. It is submitted therefore that it is not desirable to tie down the DPI rigidly to the estimates should necessity arise for his applying for further funds. He ought to know early in the year that applications for addl. funds, if the necessity be clearly proved & can be supported by detailed figures, will be duly considered.

I submit that the draft may issue in its present form.

HM

HDS
15-3-07

May be issued with the addl. sentence I have written

G.F. 17/3/07

Issue.

Ist-Sec after issue

HDS
18-3-07

[G.O. No. 146 Edl. dated 18-3-07]

~~19~~ ~~22~~

30 19P

The G.O. has issued; the file may be sent U.O. to Financial for perusal with reference to the Secretary's orders on the previous page.

R.O.
18-3-07

CWS
19.3.7

Fin. U.O.

D.O.
1913
U.O. 410-4 Edn
19.3.7

R4 19.3.07

24 MAR 1907

CURRENT NO.
1475
FINANCIAL

Regd. 19-3-07

Financial Department

C.S.

The case may be returned to the Educational Dept. with thanks.

CWS
21.3.07

T.H.G. 21/3/07

22-3-07

Fin. U.O. 1475-1
23.3.07

D.D.
Educational Dept (U.O.)

He
Edl

Better print this G.O. for Record only.

R.O.
25.3.07

C. No. ______, From the ______, No. ______, Dated ______ 190

DEPARTMENT.

[G. / 12.]
1,000—10-11-06.

Educational 31 DEPARTMENT.

READ AGAIN G.O, No. .... dated .... 190 .

" " " " .... " .... 190 .

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 410 From the D.P.I. , No. 961, dated 21-2-1907

" " .... " " .... " " 190

" " .... " " .... " " 190

ORDER. No. ...., dated .... 190 .

ms.

Let this be typed before it goes to Finl.

The D.P.I. will be informed that it is now too late to make any alterations in the budget estimates for 1907-1908. ~~If~~ Should however the provisions made in the budget for subsidies to local boards and municipalities ~~seem~~ be found ~~to be~~ insufficient in the course of the year [he may suggest reappropriations from other Budget heads or apply for a special allotment, specifying clearly the reasons for the increase ~~or~~ ~~[illegible]~~ in subsidy as compared with previous years.] ~~it would be~~ he may ~~necessary for the Director to suggest~~ from other heads or ~~reappropriations, if necessary, from~~ ~~Special grant of~~ ~~the six lakhs grant for primary~~ ~~Education~~

T.K.
8-3-07

RD
8.3.07

CW
9.3.7.

HD
9.3.07

To
The D.P.I. 9 to ~~HD~~
" Finl Dept 9 to
with Finl. cno. 1051 of 07.

Rew

[L. & M.
39.]
8,000—30-11-05.

23 - 32

Educational
~~Local and Municipal~~ Department.

Papers to be read in Proceedings :—

Again G. O., No. , dated 190 .

Again G. O., No. , dated 190 .

Current No. 410. From the D.P.I., No. 961, dated 28 - 2 - 1907

4P

~~Mis.~~ U

ORDER. No. 146, dated 18/3/1907

P.S.

Despatch & return file intact to me please.

ED
18/3/07

The Director of Public Instruction will be informed that it is now too late to make any alterations in the budget estimates for 1907-08. Should, however, the provision made in the budget for subsidies to local boards and Municipalities be found wholly insufficient in the course of the year, ~~he may suggest~~ it will be open to him to suggest reappropriations from other Budget heads or to apply for a special allotment specifying clearly fully the reasons for the increase in subsidy as compared with previous years. He must however understand that ~~the~~ whether such reappns. or reallots. will be sanctioned is always a matter of great uncertainty & he should not ~~fee~~ depend on them except as a last resource

T.K.
8-3-07.

K.R.
8-3-07.

C.A.S.
9-3-07.

H.D.T.
9-3-07.

817

To
The D.P.I., Dto
The Financial Department, Dto
with Finl. C.No.1051 of 1907.

Despd
18.3.07

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched in print.

NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED.
- Order complete. 50
- Letter only.
- Order complete with Letter.

READ AGAIN G.O., No. , DATED 190

CURRENT No. 410—A
memo.131-2—A

Proof please
18/
28/3

5 P
[illegible] 1-19 P

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A.

LETTER TO GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.