

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Recd.

Regd.

} 1907.

Enclosures

Spare copies

G.O., No. 107, 1st March 1907.

Special grant of six lakhs.

Reporting to the Government of India the total expenditure incurred out of the — for
primary education during 1905-1906.

Records
Educational (1907) Department.

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O., No. 107-08

DATED 1-3-07

[Despatch Abstract.]

Improvement of Primary Education

Special grant of six lakhs

Reporting to the Government of

India the total expenditure incurred out of the
for primary education
during 1904-1905

G.O., No. 107, 1st March 1907.

Reporting to the Government of India the total expenditure incurred out of the — for primary education during 1905-1906.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT

READ—the following papers :—

I

Letter—from the Hon'ble Mr. A. G. BOURNE, D.Sc., F.R.S., Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

To—the Secretary to Government, Educational Department.

Dated—the 13th July 1906.

No.—Ref. on Cur. No. 3775.

With reference to paragraph 7 of G.O., No. 282, Educational, dated 2nd May 1905, I have the honour to submit a statement showing the total amount of expenditure incurred up to the end of March 1906 out of the special grant sanctioned by Government for rural school buildings. The whole of the amount was spent either on the construction of new buildings or on the improvements to old ones.

2. The statements for Ganjám and Malabar have not yet been received, and reminders have been sent to the Presidents.

ENCLOSURE.

District.	Special grant out of six lakhs finally sanctioned by Government for 1905-1906.				Amount actually spent up to 31st March 1906.			
	RS.				RS. A. P.			
1. Ganjám	..	..	..	..	30,000	[25,189	2	4]
2. Vizagapatam	..	..	..	..	56,000	56,042	1	1
3. Koraput	..	..	..	..	3,000	3,040	0	0
4. Górávari	..	..	..	..	16,750	16,649	8	0
5. Kistna	..	..	..	..	35,600	35,520	5	0
6. Guntúr	..	..	..	..	14,000	14,000	0	0
7. Kurnool	..	..	..	..	10,000	10,074	7	6
8. Bellary	..	..	..	..	18,000	8,269	0	0
9. Anantapur	..	..	..	..	9,000	7,607	4	0
10. Cuddapah	..	..	..	..	18,500	18,500	0	0
11. Nellore	..	..	..	..	13,000	13,554	0	0
12. Chingleput	..	..	..	..	17,000	15,103	0	0
13. North Arcot	..	..	..	..	36,000	31,284	8	3
14. South Arcot	..	..	..	..	16,000	13,082	2	8
15. Tanjore	..	..	..	..	44,000	36,918	13	1
16. Trichinopoly	..	..	..	..	30,000	29,695	0	0
17. Madura	..	..	..	..	28,000	25,277	10	5
18. Tinnevely	..	..	..	..	16,000	14,953	1	0
19. Coimbatore	..	..	..	..	27,700	26,617	6	3
20. Salem	..	..	..	..	62,000	51,657	0	0
21. Nilgiris	..	..	..	..	9,100	9,046	0	0
22. Malabar	..	..	..	..	35,000	[33,924	10	9]
23. South Canara	..	..	..	..	21,350	20,633	7	0
Total	..	..	..	..	5,66,000	5,16,638	7	4

II

Letter—from the Hon'ble Mr. A. G. BOURNE, D.Sc., F.R.S., Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

To—the Secretary to Government, Educational Department.

Dated—the 20th July 1906.

No.—Ref. on Cur. No. 3775.

With reference to paragraph 7 of G.O., No. 282, Educational, dated 2nd May 1905, and in continuation of my letter Ref. on Cur. No. 3775, dated 13th July 1906, I have the honour to give below the total amount of expenditure incurred up to the end of March 1906 in the Ganjám and the Malabar districts out of the special grant sanctioned for rural school buildings :—

	RS.	A.	P.
Ganjám	25,189	2	4
Malabar	33,924	10	9
Total	59,113	13	1

The expenditure in the Ganjám district is shown to include Rs. 426-11-6, the expenditure on teachers' quarters and Rs. 1,113-8-0 on furniture.

III

Memorandum No. 345, Educational, dated 30th July 1906.

With reference to his letter No. 3775 of the 13th and 20th instant the Director of Public Instruction is requested to submit as early as possible a statement showing—

- (1) the number of new school buildings constructed in each district out of the special grant for 1905-1906 ;
- (2) the cost of each such building ;
- (3) the expenditure incurred on repairs and improvements to existing buildings ;
- (4) the expenditure on other objects, if any.

2. If information is not available separately regarding the expenditure on each new building the total for the district may be given. The statement should total to Rs. 5,16,658-7-4, the actual expenditure from the special grant in all the districts.

(By Order.)

C. G. MACKAY,
Ag. Asst. Secretary to Government.

IV

G.O., No. 1571 L., dated 14th December 1906.

ABSTRACT.—Recording letters from the Presidents of District Boards reporting the number of Primary school buildings taken in hand in 1905-1906 by Local Boards from the special grants made to them for the purpose from Provincial funds.

IV

Letter—from the Hon'ble Mr. T. T. LOGAN, B.A., Acting Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

To—the Secretary to Government, Educational Department.

Dated—the 14th February 1907.

No.—Ref on Cur. No. 112.

In continuation of my letter, R. on C. No. 112, dated 24th January 1907, I have the honour to furnish in the accompanying statement the particulars called for in Memorandum No. 345, Educational, dated 30th July 1906.

2. The particulars which are furnished separately—

- (1) for previously existing Board schools,
- (2) for previously existing schools under private management since taken over or to be taken over under Board management, and
- (3) for new schools in new localities

were obtained mainly to determine the amount that should be allotted during 1906-1907 to complete the buildings the construction of which was undertaken in 1905-1906 as also the schools for the equipment and maintenance of which contribution should be made. Particulars relating to expenditure incurred during 1905-1906 as furnished by the Presidents in these statements, total Rs. 5,16,373-6-9, whereas the amount paid in that year aggregated Rs. 5,16,638-7-4 in accordance with the reports relating to the progress of expenditure for the period ending 31st March 1906. The difference of Rs. 265-0-7 is due to variations in the figures furnished by the Presidents of certain Boards on the two different occasions.

These variations are shown below :—

District.	As per statements relating to progress of expenditure.	As per statements subsequently called for.	Plus.	Minus.
	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.
Koraput	3,040 0 0	3000 0 0		40 0 0
Godavari	16,649 8 0	16,645 0 0		4 8 0
Kistna	35,520 5 0	35,522 12 10	2 7 10	
Guntur	14,000 0 0	13,582 10 9		417 5 3
Anantapur	7607 4 0	7,608 10 5	1 6 5	
Cuddapah	18,500 0 0	18,783 3 4	283 3 4	
Nellore	13,554 0 0	13,570 0 0	16 0 0	
South Arcot	13,082 2 8	13,082 0 0		0 2 8
Trichinopoly	29,695 0 0	29,694 8 6		0 7 6
Salem	51,657 0 0	52,176 0 0	519 0 0	
Nilgiris	9046 0 0	9045 0 0		1 0 0
Malabar	33,924 10 9	33,301 0 0		623 10 9

3. A separate memorandum explaining as far as possible the causes for the variations is attached to the statement. I am addressing the Presidents of the Boards named above except those of Nellore and Salem who have stated that the figures subsequently furnished are correct, as to which of the two sets of figures is the correct one and on receipt of their replies I shall intimate the necessary changes to Government.

4. A complete list of the villages in which buildings were provided or the construction of which was commenced in 1905-1906 showing also the expenditure incurred in that year and the amount proposed to be spent during the current year to complete the incomplete buildings, has been drawn up. This will shortly be printed and a number of copies submitted to Government.

ENCLOSURE.

Names of districts.	Number of new school buildings.	Total cost of buildings.	Expenditure on repairs and improvements.	Expenditure on other objects, if any.	Total.
1	2	3	4	5	6
		RS. A. P.		RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.
Ganjām	A* B C † 68	 24,068 2 4		 ‡ 1,121 0 0	 25,189 2 4
Total	..	..	..	..	..
Vizagapatam	A 26 B 52 C 23	14,801 15 10 30,760 0 3 10,480 1 0			 56,042 1 1
Total	..	..	..	..	..
Koraput	A 10 B C	3,000 0 0			 3,000 0 0
Total	..	..	..	..	..
Godavari	A 3 B 9 C 19	845 0 0 4,459 0 0 11,341 0 0			 16,645 0 0
Total	..	..	..	..	..
Kistna	A 25 B 24 C 40	10,931 8 8 9,270 13 10 15,320 6 4			 35,522 12 10
Total	..	..	..	..	..
Guntur	A B 8 C 13	.. 5,356 0 7 10,706 1 10			 ‡ 13,582 10 0
Total	..	..	..	..	..
Kurnool	A 10 B 2 C 6	5,437 7 11 1,109 13 10 3,527 1 9			 10,074 7 6
Total	..	..	..	..	..
Bellary	A 12 B 14 C	3,868 0 0 4,401 0 0 ..			 8,269 0 0
Total	..	..	..	..	..
Anantapur	A 5 B 1 C	6,798 2 6 810 7 11 ..			 7,608 10 5
Total	..	..	..	..	..
Cuddapah	A 7 B 14 C 29	2,522 10 11 5,343 0 11 10,917 7 6			 18,783 3 4
Total	..	..	..	..	..
Nellore	A 19 B 7 C 6	10,803 0 0 1,863 0 0 904 0 0			 13,570 0 0
Total	..	..	..	..	..
Chingleput	A B 3 C 30	.. 1,492 0 0 13,611 0 0			 15,103 0 0
Total	..	..	..	..	..

* A denotes previously existing Board schools; B denotes previously existing schools under private management now taken over under Board management; C denotes new schools in new localities.

† Exclusive of a building at Dhārākota which was constructed by the Zamindar and handed over to the Local Fund Board.

‡ Furniture.

‡ Leaving out of account Rs. 2,479-7-8 raised as subscription.

Names of districts.	Number of new school buildings.	Total cost of buildings.	Expenditure on repairs and improvements.	Expenditure on other objects if any.	Total.
1	2	3	4	5	6
		RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.
North Arcot	A 17 B 10 C 36	9,249 1 4 5,429 11 8 16,605 11 3			
Total					31,284 8 3
South Arcot	A 4 B 18 C 2	1,693 0 0 9,955 0 .. 1,434 0 0			
Total					13,082 0 0
Tanjore	A 36 B 40 C 30	12,233 13 6 13,812 3 7 10,113 12 0		* 242 9 1 * 289 8 3 * 226 14 8	
Total					36,918 13 1
Trichinopoly	A 5 B 19 C 47	2,360 4 2 8,282 10 4 19,051 10 0			
Total					29,694 8 6
Madura	A 13 B 23 C 5	8,083 0 0 14,116 0 3 3,078 10 2			
Total					25,277 10 5
Tinnevely	A 9 B 16 C 13	3,476 8 0 6,480 0 0 4,996 9 0			
Total					14,953 1 0
Coimbatore.. .. .	A 30 B 21 C 29	12,266 2 6 6,312 13 4 6,872 10 4	1,165 12 1		
Total					26,617 6 3
Salem	A 31 B 25 C 31	20,895 0 0 14,510 0 0 15,648 0 0	610 0 0		
Total				‡ 513 0 0	52,176 0 0
Nilgiris	A 4 B .. C ..	7,153 0 0	1,892 0 0		
Total					9,045 0 0
Malabar	A 29 B 4 C ..	28,375 0 0 4,926 0 0			
Total					33,301 0 0
South Canara	A 23 B 1 C ..	19,435 12 11 1,197 10 1			
Total					20,633 7 0
Grand Total	1,056				5,16,373 6 9

* Petty supervision charges.

† Exclusive of Rs. 75 contributions received from the people.

‡ Furniture.

Explanatory Memorandum.

Koraput District.—The cost of the building for the school at Salimi which was reported in the statement relating to the progress of expenditure up to 31st March 1906 as Rs. 190 is shown in the present statement as Rs. 150.

Gódvári District.—Land compensation originally reported by the President as Rs. 65 is now shown as Rs. 60. The expenditure on Tadipudi school originally reported as Rs. 385-8-0 is now given as Rs. 386. Hence a variation of Rs. 4-8-0.

Kistna District.—The President in the statement of progress of expenditure for the period ending 31st March 1906 reported that the amount spent by the Gudiváda Taluk Board was Rs. 8,785-3-4, whereas the details now furnished for this Board total Rs. 8,787-11-2. Hence the variation of Rs. 2-7-10.

Guntúr District.—The President who reported in April last that a sum of Rs. 16,040-12-8 was spent on buildings and that Rs. 2,040-12-8 was met from contributions received from villagers has now reported that the total expenditure on buildings was Rs. 16,062-2-5, that Rs. 2,479-7-8 were collected as subscriptions and that the actual expenditure out of the special grant was only Rs. 13,582-10-9.

Anantapur District.—The difference of Rs. 1-6-5 is due to the cost of the building at Hindupur being shown in the statement now furnished by the President as Rs. 1,425-1-4, while the same was shown as Rs. 1,423-10-11 in connection with the report relating to the progress of expenditure for the period ending 31st March 1906.

Cuddapah District.—The following variations are noticed in the figures furnished by the President:—

Name of school.	Figures in progress of expenditure statements.	Present figures.
	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.
Jammalamadugu	257 9 10	257 9 11
Kokkandi	440 10 5	440 10 3
Katangella	442 4 11	422 4 11
Quarters for the teachers of Talupula	100 0 0	
Nilakantarayanpet Muhammadan schools	100 0 0	

A school at Vayalpad constructed out of private subscriptions at a cost of Rs. 503-3-5 is shown in the present statement as amount paid by the Board. Hence the difference of Rs. 283-3-4.

Nellore District.—The President has reported that the figure furnished in connection with the progress report for the period ending 31st March 1906 has since been found to be incorrect.

South Arcot District.—The President would appear to have ignored a sum of annas two and pies eight (As. 2-8) from the details now furnished.

Trichinopoly District.—In the report relating to the progress of expenditure the amount spent by the Musiri Taluk Board was given by the President as Rs. 10,745, whereas the details now furnished total Rs. 10,744-8-6.

Salem District.—In the report relating to the progress of expenditure the cost of the building constructed at Bandaraballe was erroneously shown as Rs. 398 instead of Rs. 404. That report did not also take into account an expenditure of Rs. 513 incurred by the Board for the supply of furniture, apparatus, books and maps.

Nilgiri District.—The cost of the improvements to the Kilkotagiri school was shown as Rs. 504 in the report relating to the progress of expenditure for the period ending 31st March 1906, whereas in the present statement it is given as Rs. 503.

Malabar District.—In the report on the progress of expenditure for the period ending 31st March 1906, the total expenditure incurred was reported as Rs. 33,924-10-9, but the details now furnished total only Rs. 33,301. The details furnished by the President do not admit of the difference of Rs. 623-10-9 between the two sets of figures being reconciled.

VI

Memorandum No. 202-2, Educational, dated 20th February 1907.

The Director of Public Instruction is requested to submit at a very early date a comparative statement showing the total expenditure incurred from Provincial funds on primary education in 1904-1905 and 1905-1906, together with details of such expenditure under the following heads:—

- (1) Expenditure incurred direct from Provincial funds;
- (2) Expenditure incurred through the agency of Local Boards and Municipalities; and
- (3) Outlay on school buildings (primary).

2. As the information is very urgently wanted, it is requested that a reply to this reference may be sent so as to reach this office not later than the 25th instant.

3. Similar information should, if available in his office, be furnished with reference to expenditure on elementary education from Local and Municipal funds direct.

H. D. TAYLOR,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.

VII

Letter—from the Hon'ble Mr. T. T. LOGAN, B.A., Acting Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

To—the Secretary to Government, Educational Department.

Dated—the 22nd February 1907.

No.—Dis. No. 1010

I have the honour to submit the statement showing the expenditure from Public funds on primary education in 1904-1905 and 1905-1906 called for in memorandum No. 202-2, Educational, dated the 20th instant.

ENCLOSURE.

Expenditure on primary education.

Primary schools and primary departments of secondary schools for boys and girls.	1904-1905.		1905-1906.	
	RS.		RS.	
1. Expenditure incurred direct from Provincial funds ..	6,32,772		6,14,273	
2. Expenditure incurred from Provincial funds through the agency of Local Boards and Municipalities ..	2,54,123		2,42,643	
3. Outlay on school buildings (Primary) from Provincial funds ..	35,086		33,603	
			* 5,66,000	
4. Expenditure incurred direct from Local funds ..	5,84,560		6,19,891	
5. Expenditure incurred direct from Municipal funds ..	1,67,544		1,86,047	

* The amount spent on rural school buildings through the agency of Local Boards.

NOTE.—Information as to the expenditure incurred on Primary school buildings direct from Local and Municipal funds is not available.

Order—No. 107, Educational, dated 1st March 1907.

The Government of India will be addressed.

(True Extract.)

H. D. TAYLOR,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

No. 108.

From

H. D. TAYLOR, Esq., I.C.S.,

Ag. Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Educational Department,

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,
HOME DEPARTMENT.

Dated Madras, the 1st March 1907.

SIR,

I am directed to refer you to Finance Department letter No. 1763 A, dated 23rd March 1905, communicating the desire of the Government of India that the annual recurring grant of six lakhs should be fully utilized in increasing the normal allotment for primary education inclusive of expenditure on buildings and outlay incurred through the agency of Local Boards, and requesting that a statement should be submitted every year showing how far this instruction has been carried out.

2. The total expenditure from Provincial funds on primary education in 1905-1906 including expenditure on school buildings and outlay incurred through the agency of Local Boards and Municipalities amounted to Rs. 14,57,519 against Rs. 9,21,981 in 1904-1905, and was distributed as shown below :—

	1904-1905.	1905-1906.
	RS.	RS.
Direct expenditure from Provincial funds including outlay on buildings	6,67,858	6,47,876
Expenditure incurred through Local Boards and Municipalities including expenditure on school buildings	2,54,123	8,09,643
Total ..	9,21,981	14,57,519

3. Owing to the late date at which orders as to the special grant for 1905-1906 were received and to the fact that the question of the classification of and method of aid to elementary schools was in a transition stage, the whole of the special grant for 1905-1906 with the exception of Rs. 33,000, which was assigned as a subsidy to Local Boards to enable them to pay results grants to aided schools, was devoted to the provision of school buildings in rural areas, where they were most needed. The

expenditure on this account was incurred through the agency of the Local Boards, a definite allotment out of this special grant being assigned to each of the District Boards, and such portions of these allotments as remained unexpended at the close of the year have been reallocated in the Boards' budgets for 1907-1908 for expenditure on the same object. The Government have thus been able to provide about 630 existing schools with proper buildings and to have buildings constructed for 427 new schools to be established in localities where no schools existed hitherto.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

H. D. TAYLOR,
Ag Secretary to Government.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., Nos. 107, 108, EDUCATIONAL, DATED 1st MARCH 1907.

[SUBJECT.—*Report of expenditure out of the 6 lakhs grant for primary education incurred up to the end of March 1906.*]

Previous papers.

G.O., No.	27 L., dated 5th January 1904.
" "	227 L. " 27th February 1905.
" "	308, Finl., dated 10th April "
" "	255, Edl. " 19th " "
" "	520, Finl. " 5th August "
" "	41 L., dated 12th January 1906.
" "	125, Finl., dated 14th February "
" "	274 L., dated 7th March "
" "	377 L. " 23rd " "
" "	327, Edl., dated 9th May "
" "	1079 L., dated 5th September "
" Nos.	1538-1538-A, L., dated 7th December 1906.
" "	806-807, Edl. " 8th " "
" No.	1571 L. " 14th " "

From the Director of Public Instruction, dated 13th July 1906, No. 3775.

The following statement shows the amount sanctioned for each District Board with the number and date of the Government order sanctioning the same. For the sake of convenience the amount sanctioned is preceded by the sign + and the amount resumed by —.

District.	Authority.	Amount sanctioned.	Amount expended.	
		RS.	RS.	A. P.
1. Ganjam	{ G.O., No. 543, dated 8th August 1905 .. " " 139 " 3rd March 1906 ..	+ 40,000		
		— 10,000		
		+ 30,000	25,189	2 4
2. Vizagapatam	{ G.O., No. 679, dated 18th October 1905 .. " " 769 " 23rd November 1905.	+ 59,000		
		— 3,000		
		+ 56,000	56,042	1 1
3. Koraput	G.O., No. 769, dated 23rd November 1905.	+ 3,000	3,040	0 0
4. Godavari	G.O., No. 679, dated 18th October 1905 ..	+ 16,750	16,649	8 0
5. Kistna	G.O., No. 619, dated 13th September 1905.	+ 35,600	35,520	5 0
6. Guntur	G.O., No. 543, dated 8th August 1905 ..	+ 14,000	14,000	0 0
7. Kurnool	G.O., No. 543, dated 8th August 1905 ..	+ 10,000	10,074	7 6
8. Bellary	G.O., No. 543, dated 8th August 1905 ..	+ 18,000	8,269	0 0
9. Anantapur	{ G.O., No. 769, dated 23rd November 1905. " " 139 " 3rd March 1906 ..	+ 12,000		
		— 3,000		
		+ 9,000	7,607	4 0

District.	Authority.	Amount sanctioned.	Amount expended.
		RS.	RS. A. P.
10. Cuddapah	G.O., No. 543, dated 8th August 1905 ..	+ 18,000	
	" " 769 " 23rd November 1905.	+ 2,50	
	" " 139 " 3rd March 1906 ..	- 2,000	
		+ 18,500	18,500 0 0
11. Nellore	G.O., No. 543, dated 8th August 1905 ..	+ 15,000	
	" " 836 " 21st December 1905.	+ 8,000	
	" " 139 " 3rd March 1906 ..	- 10,000	
		+ 13,000	13,554 0 0
12. Chingleput	G.O., No. 543, dated 8th August 1905 ..	+ 5,000	
	" " 619 " 13th September 1905.	+ 10,000	
	" " 769 " 23rd November 1905.	+ 2,000	
		+ 17,000	15,103 0 0
13. North Arcot	G.O., No. 543, dated 8th August 1905 ..	+ 36,000	
	" " 139 " 3rd March 1906 ..	+ 1,000	
		37,000	31,284 8 3
14. South Arcot	G.O., No. 619, dated 13th September 1905.	+ 20,000	
	" " 139 " 3rd March 1906 ..	- 4,000	
		+ 16,000	13,082 2 8
15. Tanjore	G.O., No. 543, dated 8th August 1905 ..	+ 47,000	
	" " 139 " 3rd March 1906 ..	- 3,000	
		+ 44,000	36,918 13 1
16. Trichinopoly	G.O., No. 543, dated 8th August 1905 ..	+ 30,000	
			29,695 0 0
17. Madura	G.O., No. 543, dated 8th August 1905 ..	+ 30,000	
	" " 139 dated 3rd March 1906 ..	- 2,000	
		+ 28,000	25,277 10 5
18. Tinnevely	G.O., No. 619, dated 13th September 1905.	+ 10,000	
	" " 769 " 23rd November 1905.	+ 6,000	
		+ 16,000	14,953 1 0
19. Coimbatore	G.O., No. 543, dated 8th August 1905 ..	+ 17,700	
	" " 619 " 13th September 1905.	+ 10,000	
		+ 27,700	26,617 6 3
20. Salem	G.O., No. 543, dated 8th August 1905 ..	+ 70,000	
	" " 836 " 21st December 1905.	- 8,000	
		+ 62,000	51,657 0 0
21. The Nilgiris	G.O., No. 679, dated 18th October 1905 ..	+ 9,100	
	" " 543 " 8th August 1905 ..	+ 35,000	
			33,924 10 9
23. South Canara	" " 679 " 18th October 1905 ..	+ 16,200	
	" " 769 " 23rd November 1905.	+ 5,150	
		+ 21,350	20,633 7 0
Total ..		5,67,000	..

2. Ganjam and Malabar District Boards have not sent in their reports as yet. Of the remaining 21 districts the following have spent the amount shown against each in excess of the amount sanctioned :—

	Amount spent in excess.
	RS. A. P.
(1) Vizagapatam	42 1 1
(2) Koraput	40 0 0
(3) Kurnool	74 7 6
(4) Nellore	554 0 0

But in the case of the districts named below, the amount shown against each remained unexpended from the amounts sanctioned :—

	Amount remaining unspent.
	RS. A. P.
(1) Godavari	100 8 0
(2) Kistna	79 11 0
(3) Bellary	9,731 0 0
(4) Anantapur	1,392 12 0
(5) Chingleput	1,897 0 0
(6) North Arcot	5,715 7 9
(7) South Arcot	2,917 13 4
(8) Tanjore	7,081 2 11
(9) Trichinopoly	305 0 0
(10) Madura	2,722 5 7
(11) Tinnevely	1,046 15 0
(12) Coimbatore	1,082 9 9
(13) Salem	10,343 0 0
(14) The Nilgiris	54 0 0
(15) South Canara	716 9 0

Guntur and Cuddapah have spent exactly what have been sanctioned for them.

3. The Director of Public Instruction states that he has issued reminders to the Collectors of Ganjam and Malabar, for their reports. It is for orders whether this information may be awaited.

P.R.—18-7-06.

The statement has to be submitted to the Government of India, paragraph 2 of their letter printed with G.O., No. 282, Educational, dated 2nd May 1905. The reports from Ganjam and Malabar may be awaited.

P. T. THARYAN—19-7-06.

Yes. But has not the information been received in Local and Municipal. Please ascertain.

2. For our report to India we want to know how many new buildings were constructed in each district and at what cost.

H. D. TAYLOR—20-7-06.

From the Director of Public Instruction, dated 20th July 1906, No. 3775.

The Director now reports that the expenditure in Ganjam and Malabar was Rs. 25,189-2-4 and Rs. 33,924-10-9, respectively, out of grants amounting to Rs. 30,000 and Rs. 35,000. These figures have been entered in the statement in paragraph 1 *supra*. Out of the total grants of Rs. 5,67,000 the total expenditure was thus Rs. 5,16,638-7-4 and Rs. 50,361-8-8 have lapsed to the District Board balances.

2. The information received in Local and Municipal is being tabulated at Madras, but from one of the reports received here yesterday it does not appear that this shows the number of new buildings constructed. The Director may now be asked about it. Please see draft memorandum placed below for approval.

P. T. THARYAN—24-7-06.

C. G. MACKAY—26-7-06.

[Memorandum No. 345, Educational, dated 30th July 1906.]

From the Director of Public Instruction, dated 14th August 1906, No. 5612.

The Director reports that the details of expenditure incurred out of the special grant for rural school buildings will be submitted along with his reply to G.O., No. 33, Educational, dated 19th January 1906 (*i.e.*, to G.O., No. 304, Educational, dated 1st May 1906). It is submitted that the reply promised may be awaited; but the report to the Government of India (*vide* note at **B** on page 3 *supra*) should not apparently be very long delayed.

P.R.—18-8-06.

P. T. THARYAN—18-8-06.

C. G. MACKAY—20-8-06.

Ask Local and Municipal for a copy of the statement and review issued in that department. Separate information has also been called for in Local and Municipal as to details of buildings constructed, etc. We shall probably get the information quicker that way.

2. And Director of Public Instruction should be reminded to send his reply to G.Os., No. 304, dated 1st May 1906, and No. 33, dated 19th January 1906. The year is getting on, and it will not be possible to utilize the current grant fully, unless early orders issue as to its allotment and utilization.

H. D. TAYLOR—21-8-06.

G.O., No. 1079 L, dated 5th September 1906, is submitted. Submitted however, that the information called for in Educational or Local and Municipal, whichever may be received earlier, regarding the number of buildings constructed in each district has to be awaited. Meanwhile G.O., No. 1079 L, may be printed up.

Yes.

H. D. TAYLOR—14-9-06.

2. Reminders are being regularly sent and the Director hurried up for the reply to G.O., No. 304, Educational, dated 8th May 1906.

P. T. THARYAN—13-9-06.

C. G. MACKAY—14-9-06.

H. D. TAYLOR—14-9-06.

All Presidents of District Boards were called upon in the Local and Municipal Department to furnish that department with information on the following points for incorporation in the General Local Fund report for 1905-1906:—

(i) Number of primary school buildings—

(a) taken in hand during 1905-1906,

(b) completed { (1) in the year,
(2) subsequently, and

(ii) the average cost of each building, out of the special grants made for the purpose in that year.

2. The replies to this reference have been recorded in G.O., No. 1571 L, dated 14th December 1906, a copy of which has now been furnished by that department. The following statement summarises the information furnished:—

STATEMENT showing the details of primary school buildings constructed out of the special grant of six lakhs made to the District Boards.

Names of districts.	Number of Primary school buildings taken in hand during the year.	Completed.		Average cost of each building.	Remarks.
		In the year 1905-1906.	Subsequently.		
1	2	3	4	5	6
				rs.	
1. Anantapur	6	4	..	..	
2. Arcot, North	64	37	11	566	
3. Arcot, South	24	13	11	738	
4. Bellary	26	3	10	753	
5. Canara, South	24	23	1	873	
6. Chingleput	33	27	6	498	
7. Coimbatore	77	71	..	346	Four school buildings in the Coimbatore Taluk Board and two in the Erode Taluk Board have been left incomplete. Steps have been taken to complete them in the current year.
8. Cuddapah	51	49	2	409	
9. Ganjam	68	60	8	383	
10. Godavari	30	30	..	558	
11. Guntur	21	20	..	788	Twenty-two rural schools were started, of which work for only 21 was done.
12. Kistna	92	89	3	399	
13. Koraput	10	10	..	300	
14. Karnool	18	17	..	592	
15. Madura	41	32	3	668	One not completed for want of funds. The District Board Engineer, Madura, has not furnished information in respect of the remaining six schools in the Sivaganga and Ramnad Taluk Boards.
16. Malabar	33	27	6	1,086	
17. Nellore	31	5	10	613	Exclude one Karedu school which was not constructed but purchased by the Board in 1905-1906. The District Board reports that several other buildings have also been completed but were not included in the above figures as final payments were not made. This is exclusive of the improvements done to the existing buildings.
18. The Nilgiris	4	4	..	1,788	
19. Salem	89	40	44	622	
20. Tanjore	106	57	43	460	The remaining buildings are in course of construction from the current year's allotment.
21. Tinnevely	38	35	..	394	The remaining three will be completed during the current year.
22. Trichinopoly	70	52	18	441	
23. Vizagapatam	101	101	..	554	
Total	1,057	806	176	626	

3. The Director of Public Instruction has been separately asked to furnish *Vide* Memorandum No. 345, dated 30th July 1906, Government with information on these and other points. That officer has not yet replied to the reference though he has been reminded several times. The special reminder submitted below is for approval.

P.R.—3-1-07.

The Director of Public Instruction was asked in Memorandum No. 345, dated 30th July 1906, to furnish information on the following points:—

(1) the number of new school buildings constructed in each district out of the special grant for 1905-1906.

(2) The cost of each such building,

(3) the expenditure incurred on repairs and improvements to existing buildings, and

(4) the expenditure on other objects, if any.

2. The printed statement on page 5 above gives the number of school buildings taken in hand in 1905-1906 and completed during the year and subsequently, as well as the average cost of each building. No information is available as regards items (2) to (4) above, and its receipt from the Director of Public Instruction will have to be awaited before the statement called for in paragraph 2 of the Government of India letter No. 1763-A., dated 23rd March 1905, can be submitted. The memorandum to the Director of Public Instruction placed below may issue.

On page 2 of G.O., No. 282, Educational, dated 2nd May 1905.

K. RUNGASWAMI AIYANGAR—4-1-07.

Issue.

C. G. MACKAY—4-1-07.

[Memorandum No. 4, dated 5th January 1907.]

From the Director of Public Instruction, dated 24th January 1907, No. 112.

The Director of Public Instruction reports that certain particulars called for from Presidents of District Boards have not been completely received from some of the Boards. They are, he says, still due in a complete form from the Kistna District Board. He promises that they will be tabulated and submitted to Government as soon as they are received.

2. Submitted that the file may lie over pending receipt of the information promised by the Director of Public Instruction.

P.R.—2-2-07.

K. RUNGASWAMI AIYANGAR—2-2-07.

Yes: but don't let him forget.

C. A. SOUTER—4-2-07.

It is preposterous that Presidents of District Boards should not, by 31st December 1906, be in a position to give details of expenditure actually incurred up to 31st March in the same year. Surely Local and Municipal have full details? It looks as if there was some game of "adjustments", etc.

H. D. TAYLOR—5-2-07.

To the Local and Municipal Department.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Memorandum No. 1822, dated 13th August 1906, on page 2 of G.O., No. 1571 L., dated 14th December 1906.

The information called for in the Local and Municipal Department from Presidents of District Boards in regard to the matter related to—

- (1) the number of Primary school buildings taken in hand in 1905-1906,
- (2) the number of those completed in that year,
- (3) the number completed after the close of the year.
- (4) the average cost of each building.

The required information was duly received and is exhibited in the statement on page 5 *supra*.

2. The Educational Department, however, require information as to—

- (1) the cost of each new building constructed,
- (2) the expenditure on repairs and improvements to existing buildings,
- (3) expenditure on other objects, if any.

3. It is submitted that these details were not called for and are not available in Local and Municipal.

N. CHENGAL RAO—8-1-07.

P. T. THARYAN—9-2-07.

H. D. TAYLOR—10-2-07.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

The details are apparently required for a report to the Government of India, but we have already referred roughly to the details in the general review on education. However there seems nothing to be done but to wait. It is not clear whether the Director of Public Instruction is calling for the information through his own subordinates or from the Presidents direct. He may be asked to state the districts from which information has not yet been furnished.

H. D. TAYLOR—10-2-07.

Telephone please to the Director of Public Instruction saying that "India have sent an urgent reminder and asking that the statement referred to in paragraph 7 of G.O., No. 262, Educational, dated 2nd May 1905, may be furnished without delay. Have figures for 1904-1905 been tabulated?"

2. Have we the figures for 1901-1905.

3. G.O., No. 1079 L., dated 5th September 1906, and notes therewith give details of the expenditure as furnished by Local Boards to the Local and Municipal Department.

H. D. TAYLOR—12-2-07.

From the Director of Public Instruction, dated 14th February 1907, No. 112.

But this is not the report called for by India. It does not compare with details of previous year.

H. D. TAYLOR.

The Director of Public Instruction submits, with reference to Memorandum No. 345, dated 30th July 1906, a statement showing the expenditure incurred in 1905-1906 on primary school buildings out of the special grant of six lakhs for primary education sanctioned in Government of India letter No. 1763-A., Finance Department, dated 23rd March 1905. He does not, however, give the cost of each building as required in the memorandum above quoted.

The average will do.

C. A. SOUTER.

2. According to the statement furnished by the Director of Public Instruction, the total expenditure actually incurred out of the six lakhs' grant in 1905-1906 was Rs. 5,16,373 and the whole of this sum, with the exception of Rs. 1,634 which was spent on the purchase of furniture, was devoted to the construction and repair of primary school buildings in rural areas. A sum of Rs. 759 is shown as having been spent on "petty supervision charges", but this may, for all practical purposes, be taken as forming part of the expenditure on the buildings.

3. In paragraph 2 of their letter No. 1763-A., dated 23rd March 1905, sanctioning the special grant of six lakhs, the Government of India observed—

"In making this grant, the Governor-General in Council desires that it should be fully utilized in increasing the normal allotment on primary education, inclusive of expenditure on buildings and outlay incurred through the agency of Local Boards."

They also requested that a statement should be submitted every year to the Home Department showing the extent to which the above instruction had been complied with, the expenditure for 1904-1905 being taken as the normal standard for the purpose of the return.

4. What the Government of India require seems, therefore, to be a comparative statement showing the total expenditure on primary education in 1904-1905 and in 1905-1906, such expenditure including not only direct expenditure from Provincial funds, but also that incurred through the agency of the Local Boards, and also the outlay on school buildings (primary).

5. From the supplemental statistics given on page 75 of the Public Instruction report for 1904-1905 and page 89 of the report for 1905-1906, it is observed that the total direct expenditure from Provincial funds on primary schools and departments in 1904-1905 and 1905-1906 amounted, respectively, to Rs. 6,12,514 and Rs. 5,95,901. The grants paid from Provincial to Local and Municipal funds in these two years were as follows:—

		Grants to Local Boards.	Grants to Municipalities.
		RS.	RS.
1904-1905		3,70,353	55,076
1905-1906		3,46,790	44,113

There is however no information in this office as to how much of the above expenditure appertains to primary education and how much to secondary education. The Director of Public Instruction may be asked to report on this point.

6. Again the total expenditure on educational buildings in 1904-1905 and 1905-1906 was as shown below:—

		Original works.	Repairs.
		RS.	RS.
* These figures have been taken from the note on the eight lakhs' grant.	1904-1905	1,78,795	13,087
	1905-1906	1,38,379	16,976

No information is available as to how much of this relates to primary education. The Director of Public Instruction or the Public Works Department or both may be requested to furnish details.

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—18-2-07.

These papers have been terribly muddled, but I doubt whether it is necessary to wait any longer. We can tell India how much has been spent out of the six lakhs' grant for 1905-1906. It will probably take weeks to collect information as to how much has been spent otherwise on primary education.

C. A. SOUTER—19-2-07.

It is clear that neither this office nor the Director of Public Instruction's office have watched paragraph 7 of G.O., No. 282, Educational, dated 2nd May 1906, or paragraph 2 of India's letter read therein on which that Government order was based. Though the notes on page 7 *supra* specifically drew attention to that paragraph, the reminder to the Director of Public Instruction, as it issued, merely referred to the memorandum of 30th July 1906, which again did not call specific attention to India's requirements as to a statement of comparative expenditure in previous years.

2. Please now put up a draft to India in the light of information available. We need not go into the question of expenditure by Public Works Department on buildings for primary and other education. It would be impossible to obtain figures that would be anything like accurate—probably only a very small amount related to primary education other than Girls' schools.

As to the expenditure from the special grant we had it long ago. We may say that, owing to the late period at which orders as to the special grant for 1905-1906 were received and owing to the fact that the question of classification and method of aid to elementary schools was in a transition stage, almost the entire amount of the grant for that year was given for school buildings and that the lapsed balance with District Boards are being reallocated for 1907-1908 in the Boards' budgets.

3. We may ask the Director of Public Instruction by urgent memorandum if he can give detailed figures under each head for 1904-1905 and 1905-1906 for primary as distinguished from secondary education. If he cannot readily do so we must confine ourselves to the utilization of the grants. Rupees 33,000 was, I think, assigned for subsidy in 1905-1906, and the rest to District Boards for building purposes. We know the amount spent and the lapses to District Board funds which are being wanted and reallocated for expenditure on school buildings.

H. D. TAYLOR—19-2-07.

A draft memorandum is submitted below for approval.

T.K.—19-2-07.

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—19-2-07.

Issue.

In the meantime have a draft to India sketched out as far as possible.

C. A. SOUTER—20-2-07.

Submitted to the Secretary for perusal before issue.

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—20-2-07.

Issue.

H. D. TAYLOR—20-2-07.

[Memorandum No. 202-2, dated 20th February 1907.]

From the Director of Public Instruction, dated 22nd February 1907.

The Director of Public Instruction forwards the comparative statement called for in Memorandum No. 202-2, dated 20th February 1907.

2. A draft letter to India is placed below for approval. If approved, it may be sent to the Financial Department for acceptance.

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—25-2-07.

C. A. SOUTER—25-2-07.

The figures in the last paragraph do not agree with the figures reported in paragraph 3 of G.O., Nos. 806, 807, Educational, dated 8th December 1906. The total there is given as $806 + 251 = 1,057$ as against 1,082 now reported. Can the difference of 25 be accounted for? We had better give India a districtwar statement showing allotments, expenditure and buildings constructed and average cost.

See also figures in G.O., No. 1079 L., dated 6th September 1906.

H. D. TAYLOR—26-2-07.

The figures reported in G.O., Nos. 806, 807, Educational, dated 8th December 1906, are the same as those given in the statement on pages 1 and 2 of the notes with

* Districts.	Figures in G.O., No. 1571 L., dated 14th December 1906.	Director of Public Instruction's figures.
North Arcot	64	63
Coimbatore	77	80
Cuddapah	51	50
Gódvári	30	31
Kistna	92	89
Nellore	31	32
Salem	89	87
Trichinopoly	70	71
	504	503

G.O., No. 1571 L., dated 14th December 1906, and those reported in paragraph 18 (f) of G.O., No. 1538 L., dated 7th December 1906. A comparison of the figures now furnished by the Director of Public Instruction with those in the statement on pages 1 and 2 of G.O., No. 1571 L., dated 14th December 1906, shows that the figures in the case of individual districts vary * as shown in pencil in the notes in that Government order and also as noted in the margin and that the total figure is 1,056 (the figure 655 in paragraph 4 of the draft being a clerical error for 629) as against 1,057, reported in the Educational Review and the General Local Fund Review. The actual expenditure now reported by the Director of Public Instruction also varies in several instances from that given in column 8 of

the statement on pages 5 to 8 of the notes with G.O., No. 1079 L., dated 5th September 1906. These variations, however, have been explained by the Director of Public

The statement put up includes value of work done but not paid for. In Salem alone is this material. The other differences are trifling. We may adopt Local and Municipal figures.

H. D. TAYLOR.

2. A detailed statement showing for each district the allotment and expenditure on account of school buildings as well as the number of such buildings provided in 1905-1906 is appended to the draft.

* If this statement is submitted to India, then it would be better to omit the number "629" in paragraph 4 of the draft and substitute the word "many."

K.R.

The figures in the statement on pages 1 and 2 of K.W. G.O., No. 1571 L., dated 14th December 1906, have been adopted * for the purposes of this statement. Please see also addition made to the draft.

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGR—27-2-07.

STATEMENT showing the allotments to District Boards out of the special grant of 6 lakhs and the expenditure incurred therefrom in 1905-1906.

Serial number.	Districts.	Amount of grant allotted.	Amount expended in 1905-1906.	Number of buildings provided.	Average cost per building.
		RS.	RS.		RS.
1	Anantapur	8,870	7,652	6	1,275
2	Arcoot, North	37,000	31,593	64	566
3	Arcoot, South	16,000	13,082	24	738
4	Bellary	18,000	8,269	26	753
5	Canara, South	21,350	20,638	24	873
6	Chingleput	17,000	15,959	33	498
7	Coimbatore	27,700	26,617	77	346
8	Cuddapah	18,500	18,500	51	409
9	Ganjam	30,000	25,189	68	383
10	Godavari	16,750	16,650	30	558
11	Guntur	14,000	14,000	21	788
12	Kistna	35,600	35,520	92	399
13	Koraput	3,000	3,000	10	300
14	Kurnool	10,000	10,000	18	592
15	Madura	28,000	25,278	41	668
16	Malabar	35,000	33,925	33	1,086
17	Nellore	13,000	13,000	31	613
18	Nilgiris, The	9,100	9,046	4	1,788
19	Salem	62,000	55,307	89	622
20	Tanjore	44,000	36,919	106	400
21	Tinnevely	16,000	14,953	38	394
22	Trichinopoly	30,000	29,695	70	441
23	Vizagapatam	56,000	56,000	101	554
	Total	5,66,870	5,20,787	1,057	626

Not to be sent. Keep for reference in the notes.

G. S. F[ORBES].

I have tried to reconcile the difference of one school between the number given by District Boards and that given by the Director of Public Instruction in the current.

I ought to have noticed the error in the draft. It was only clerical. I regret I did not do so.

C. A. SOUTER.

H. D. TAYLOR.

I cannot do so. I think it is no use wasting further time over this school and the draft may be altered as in the margin. It will take some time if District Boards and the Director of Public Instruction are to try to reconcile the figures.

C. A. SOUTER—28-2-07.

Submitted for approval.

The draft seems rather meagre, but this is due to the fact that nearly all our expenditure out of the 6-lakh grant in 1905-1906 was in one direction, viz., the construction of school buildings.

H. D. TAYLOR—28-2-07.

There is no need to send a detailed statement.

G. S. F[ORBES]—29-2-07.

Issue after Financial have seen.

H. D. TAYLOR—1-3-07.

To the Financial Department.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

The letter to the Government of India has been despatched by Educational. The case may be returned to the Educational Department with thanks.

T. HARI ROW—2-3-07.

C. M. SCHMIDT—4-3-07.

H. BRADLEY—5-3-07.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

[Note.—My orders were that Financial should see before issue.]

H. D. TAYLOR—7-3-07.

[G.O., Nos. 107, 108, Educational, dated 1st March 1907.]

[E.]

2,500-12

80 Nov 107-08 80
1-5-07.

CURRENT FILE.

CONTENTS.

	From	Number.	Date.	Pages.
[1150]	D.P.J.	3775	13-7-06.	1-2
[1186]	D.P.J.	3775	20-7-06	3.
	Memo.	348	30-7-06	4.
[1351]	D.P.J.	5612	14-8-06.	5.
[2115]	L.M.	1571	14-12-06.	6-9
	Memo	4	5-1-07	10.
[202]	from the D.C. J.	112	24-1-07.	11

2 3 2 Apr 15

District.	Special grant out of 6 lakhs finally sanctioned by Government for 1905-06.			Amount actually spent up to 31st March 1906.		
	Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.
1. Ganjam	30,000	0	0	25,157	2	4
2. Vizagapatam	56,000	0	0	56,042	1	1
3. Karaput	3,000	0	0	3,040	0	0
4. Godavari	16,750	0	0	16,649	8	0
5. Kistna	35,600	0	0	35,520	5	0
6. Guntur	14,000	0	0	14,000	0	0
7. Kurnool	10,000	0	0	10,074	7	6
8. Bellary	13,000	0	0	3,269	0	0
9. Anantapur	9,000	0	0	7,607	4	0
10. Cuddapah	18,500	0	0	18,500	0	0
11. Nellore	13,000	0	0	13,554	0	0
12. Chingleput	17,000	0	0	15,103	0	0
13. N. Arcot	36,000	0	0	31,284	8	3
14. S. Arcot	16,000	0	0	13,082	2	3
15. Tanjore	44,000	0	0	36,913	13	1
16. Trichinopoly	30,000	0	0	29,695	0	0
17. Madura	23,000	0	0	25,277	10	5
18. Tinnevelly	16,000	0	0	14,953	1	0
19. Coimbatore	27,700	0	0	26,617	6	3
20. Salem	62,000	0	0	51,657	0	0
21. Nilgiris	9,100	0	0	9,046	0	0
22. Malabar	35,000	0	0	33,...	...	...
23. S. Canara	21,350	0	0	20,633	7	0
	566,000	0	0	457,524	4	3
				59113	13	1
				516,638	7	4

K.P.D.

fl

28
1

Stock Form No. 135
3,700-17-6-05.

3
Ed CURRENT No. 1186

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.



190 , Registered 23-7-1906

Subject.

Reporting the total amount of expenditure incurred up to the end of March 1906 in the Ganjam and the Malabar Districts out of the special grant sanctioned for rural school buildings.

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Ref on Current No. 3 7 7 5 /06

Spare copies

Station Madras, Dated the 20th July 1906.

From (Name) The Hon'ble Mr. A.G. Bourne, D.Sc., F.R.S.,

(Designation) Director of Public Instruction

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Educational Department.

Sir,

With reference to para 7 of G.O. No. 282 Educational dated 2nd May 1905 and in continuation of my letter Ref on Cur No. 3775/06 dated 13th July 1906 I have the honour to give below the total amount of expenditure incurred up to the end of March 1906 in the Ganjam and the Malabar districts out of the special grant sanctioned for rural school buildings:-

	Rs	As	P
Ganjam	25,189-	2	4
Malabar	33,924-	10	9
	59,113	13	1

2. The expenditure in the Ganjam district is shown to include Rs 426-11-6 the expenditure on teachers' quarters

quarters and Rs 1,113-0-0 in furniture.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Eurisdemaest

For Director of Public Instruction

44
Educational Department

17
Cms 1150 & 1186

Memo. 345 & 30.7.06

With reference to his letters no 3775 of the 13th & 20th instant the D.P. is requested to submit as early as possible a statement showing

- (1) the number of new school buildings constructed in each district out of the special grant for 1905-06
 - (2) the cost of each such building
 - (3) the expenditure incurred on repairs & improvements to existing buildings.
 - (4) the expenditure on other objects if any.
2. If information is not ^{separately} available regarding the expenditure on each new building the total for the district may be given. The ~~total~~ statement should total up to Rs 516,638-7-4 the ~~total~~ actual expenditure from the special ~~only~~ grant in all the districts.

24/7/06

26.7.06

30/7/06

Handwritten text at top left of left page.


Handwritten text below top left of left page.

Handwritten text below middle left of left page.

Main body of handwritten text on the left page, consisting of several lines of cursive script.

Stock Form No. 135
3,700-17-6-05.

Received



190

Registered

18
76-8-1906

Subject.

Stating with reference to Memo No. 345 Educational dated 30th July 1906 that the details of expenditure incurred out of the special grant sanctioned for rural school buildings will be submitted shortly in connection with G.O. No. 33/Educational dated 19th January 06.

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Spare copies

Dis No. 5612/06

Station Madras, Dated the 16 August 1906.

From (Name) The Hon'ble Mr. A.C. Bourne, D.Sc., F.R.S.,

(Designation) Director of Public Instruction

Madras

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Educational Department.

Sir,

With reference to Memorandum No. 345 Educational dated 30th July 1906 I have the honour to state that the details of expenditure under the several heads called for are not available at present, but that they are being obtained and will be furnished in connection with G.O. No. 33 Educational dated 19th January 1906.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

E. M. Adlam

For Director of Public Instruction.

Handwritten signature and date 13-5-06.

6 Edl 6. CURRENT NO. 2115 19

Government of Madras.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Put up original
of G.O. 1571 L.
+ note copy of 738 Edl/06.

6 abstract

Recd. 24-12- } 1906.
Regd. 29-12- }

Enclosures

Spare copies 4

G.O., No. 1571 L., 14th December 1906.

Primary School buildings.

Recording letters from the Presidents of District Boards reporting the number of — taken in hand in 1905-1906 by Local Boards from the special grants made to them for the purpose from Provincial funds.

PR

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers:—

I

Memorandum No. 1822, dated 13th August 1906.

All Presidents of District Boards are requested to report, at a *very early date*, the number of primary school buildings—

- (a) taken in hand during 1905–1906, and
- (b) completed—
 - (i) in the year, and
 - (ii) subsequently;
- (c) average cost of each building out of the special grants made for the purpose in that year.

H. D. TAYLOR,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To all Presidents of District Boards.

II

Letter—from E. A. ELWIN, Esq., I.C.S., President, District Board, South Arcot.
To—the Secretary to Government, Local and Municipal Department.
Dated—the 17th August 1906.
No.—Ref. on Cur. Regr. 938-D.B.

Letter—from J. A. CUMMING, Esq., I.C.S., President, District Board, Gódvári.
To—the Secretary to Government, Local and Municipal Department.
Dated—Cocanada, the 18th August 1906.
No.—D. Dis. 1093-D.B.

Letter—from R. H. CAMPBELL, Esq., I.C.S., President, District Board, Vizagapatam.
To—the Secretary to Government, Local and Municipal Department.
Dated—the 19th August 1906.
No.—R.C.G. 92.

Letter—from R. H. CAMPBELL, Esq., I.C.S., President, District Board, Koraput.
To—the Secretary to Government, Local and Municipal Department.
Dated—Vizagapatam the 19th August 1906.
No.—Dis. 182-K.

Letter—from Khan Bahadur MUHAMMAD KHADIR NAVAZ KHAN, Sahib Bahadur, President, District Board, Kurnool.
To—the Secretary to Government, Local and Municipal Department.
Dated—the 18th August 1906.
No.—R. Dis. 102.

Letter—from M.R.Ry. Rao Bahadur P. VENKATAKRISHNAYYA Naidu Garu, B.A., Vice-President, District Board, Guntúr.
To—the Secretary to Government, Local and Municipal Department.
Dated—the 20th August 1906.
No.—Dis. 970-D.B.

Letter—from L. E. BUCKLEY, Esq., I.C.S., President, District Board, the Nilgiris.
To—the Secretary to Government, Local and Municipal Department.
Dated—Ootacamund, the 24th August 1906.
No.—R.C. 367-D.B.

Letter—from E. L. VAUGHAN, Esq., I.C.S., President, District Board, Cuddapah.
To—the Secretary to Government, Local and Municipal Department.
Dated—the 22nd August 1906.
No.—D. Dis. 625-D.B.

Letter—from R. H. SHIPLEY, Esq., I.C.S., President, District Board, Coimbatore.
To—the Secretary to Government, Local and Municipal Department.
Dated—the 28th August 1906.
No.—Dis. 806-D.B.

Letter—from P. S. P. RICE, Esq., I.C.S., President, District Board, North Arcot.
To—the Secretary to Government, Local and Municipal Department.
Dated—Chittoor, the 28th August 1906.
No.—D. Dis. 860-D.B.

Letter—from A. BUTTERWORTH, Esq., I.C.S., President, District Board, Trichinopoly.
To—the Secretary to Government, Local and Municipal Department.
Dated—the 30th August 1906.
No.—Dis. 919.

Letter—from Khan Sahib M. AZIZ-UD-DIN, Sahib Bahadur, President, District Board, South Canara.
To—the Secretary to Government, Local and Municipal Department.
Dated—Mangalore, the 29th August 1906.
No.—Dis. 594-D. D.B.

Letter—from I. MACIVER, Esq., I.C.S., President, District Board, Anantapur.
To—the Secretary to Government, Local and Municipal Department.
Dated—the 31st August 1906.
No.—Dis. 109.

Letter—from G. W. DANCE, Esq., I.C.S., President, District Board, Chingleput.
To—the Secretary to Government, Local and Municipal Department.
Dated—Saidapet, the 7th September 1906.
No.—Dis. 758-L.

Letter—from J. G. D. PARTRIDGE, Esq., I.C.S., President, District Board, Ganjám.
To—the Secretary to Government, Local and Municipal Department.
Dated—Camp Gopalpur, the 5th September 1906.
No.—Dis. 831-D. D.B.

Letter—from J. H. ROBERTSON, Esq., I.C.S., President, District Board, Salem.
To—the Secretary to Government, Local and Municipal Department.
Dated—the 9th September 1906.
No.—215-Govt.

99
No. 1571 L., 14TH DECEMBER 1906.

Letter—from D. D. MURDOCH, Esq., I.C.S., President, District Board, Tinnevely.
To—the Secretary to Government, Local and Municipal Department.
Dated—the 8th September 1906.
No.—Dis. 670.

Letter—from L. DAVIDSON, Esq., I.C.S., President, District Board, Tanjore.
To—the Secretary to Government, Local and Municipal Department.
Dated—the 15th September 1906.
No.—D. Dis. 1605-D.B.

Letter—from F. C. PARSONS Esq., I.C.S., President, District Board, Kistna.
To—the Secretary to Government, Local and Municipal Department.
Dated—Masulipatam, the 18th September 1906.
No.—Ref. 92-G. D.B.

Letter—from R. MORRIS, Esq., I.C.S., President, District Board, Nellore.
To—the Secretary to Government, Local and Municipal Department.
Dated—the 8th October 1906.
No.—1000-D/D.B.

Letter—from E. L. THORNTON, Esq., I.C.S., President, District Board, Madura.
To—the Secretary to Government, Local and Municipal Department.
Dated—the 10th October 1906.
No.—R.O.C. 194-G.

Letter—from A. R. KNAPP, Esq., I.C.S., President, District Board, Malabar.
To—the Secretary to Government, Local and Municipal Department.
Dated—Calicut, the 29th October 1906.
No.—D. 699-L.

Letter—from R. A. GRAHAM, Esq., I.C.S., Collector and President, District Board,
Bellary.
To—the Secretary to Government, Local and Municipal Department.
Dated—the 15th November 1906.
No.—Dis. 874.

Order—No. 1571 L., dated 14th December 1906.

Recorded.

(True Extract.)

Chinackay
for Ag. Secretary to Government.

Copy to the Educational Department.

10 to 278
Educational Dept
Memo. No. 4 of 5-1-07.

The Director of Public Instruction
is requested to state when a reply may be
expected to memo: no. 345 of 30th July, 1906.

4/1/07
4.1.07

To,
The S.P.I. Dept

498 10/10/06

instrumental school of instruction, all
the power of the Government is
being used to secure the best results

Stock Form No. 135
8,700-17-6-06.


11 Edl Cur No 202

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Received 26-1-1907 Registered 28-1-1907

Subject.

Stating that the details of expenditure incurred on account of rural schools under the several heads called for in Memo. No. 345, Educational, dated 30th July 1906 will be submitted on receipt of information called for from Presidents of District Boards.



Ref on cur. No. 112/07

Station Madras, Dated the 24 January 1907

From (Name) The Hon'ble Mr. T.T. LOGAN, B.A.,

(Designation) Ag. Director of Public Instruction.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Educational Department.

Sir,

With reference to your Memorandum No. 4, Educational, dated 5th January 1907 I have the honour to invite your attention to para 1 of my letter R. on C. No. 4303/06 dated 13th October 1906 in which I stated that certain particulars called for from Presidents of District Boards had not been completely received from some of the Boards. These particulars in a complete form are still due from the President of the District Board of Kistna. As soon as I have received them, the particulars required by Government will be tabulated and furnished.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

A. K. Anala Chas. pr

For Director of Public Instruction.

K.P.D.

Stock Form No. 135
3,700-17-6-05.

Received



EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

190 Registered

15-2-1907

Subject.

Furnishing particulars relating to the details of expenditure of the special grant of 6 lakhs during 05-06.

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Spare copies

URGENT.

Station Madras, Dated the 14th February 1907.

From (Name) The Hon'ble Mr. T.T. LOGAN, E.A.,

(Designation) Ag. Director of Public Instruction.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Educational Department.

Sir,

In continuation of my letter R. on C. No. 112/07 dated 24th January 1907 I have the honour to furnish in the accompanying statement the particulars called for in Memorandum No. 345, Educational, dated 30th July 1906.

2. The particulars which are furnished separately
- (1) for previously existing Board schools
 - (2) for previously existing schools under private management since taken over or to be taken over under Board management
 - and (3) for new schools in new localities.
- were obtained mainly to determine the amount that should be allotted during 1906-1907 to complete the buildings the construction of which was undertaken in 1905-06 as also the schools for

the

13 1.3 10.24

equipment and maintenance of which contribution should be made. Particulars relating to expenditure incurred during 1905-06 as furnished by the Presidents in these statements total Rs. 516373-6-9 whereas the amount paid in that year aggregated Rs. 516638-7-4 in accordance with the reports relating to the progress of expenditure for the period ending 31st March 1906. The difference of Rs. 265-0-7 is due to variations in the figures furnished by the Presidents of certain Boards on the two different occasions. These variations are shown below.

District.	As per statements relg: to progress of expenditure.	As per statements subsequent-ly calledfor.	plus.	minus.
Koraput ..	3040 0 0	3000 0 0		40 0 0
Godavari ..	16649 8 0	16645 0 0		4 8 0
Kistna ..	35520 5 0	35522 12 10	2 7 10	
Guntur ..	14000 0 0	13582 10 9		417 5 3
Anantapur ..	7607 4 0	7608 10 5	1 6 5	
Cuddapah ..	18500 0 0	18783 3 4	283 3 4	
Nellore ..	13554 0 0	13570 0 0	16 0 0	
South Arcot ..	13082 2 8	13082 0 0		0 2 8
Trichinopoly ..	29695 0 0	29694 8 6		0 7 6
Salem ..	51657 0 0	52176 0 0	519 0 0	
Nilgiris ..	9046 0 0	9045 0 0		1 0 0
Malabar ..	33924 10 9	33301 0 0		623 10 9

3rd separate memorandum explaining as far as possible the causes for the variations is attached to the statement. I am addressing the Presidents of the Boards named above except those of Nellore and Salem who have stated that the figures subsequently furnished are correct, as to which of the two sets of figures is the correct one and on receipt of their replies I shall intimate the necessary changes to Government.

4 A complete list of the villages in which buildings were provided or the construction of which was commenced in 1905-06 showing also the expenditure incurred in that year and the amount

proposed

14

14

25 11P

proposed to be spent during the current year to complete the incomplete buildings, has been drawn up. This will shortly be printed and a no. of copies submitted to Government.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Arthur A. A. A.

For Director of Public Instruction.

K.P.D.

Names of Districts	Number of new school building	Total Cost of buildings	Expenditure on repairs and improvements	Expenditure on other objects if any	Total
Ganjam	* A B C 68	24068 24	1121		25189 24
Vizagapatnam	A 26 B 52 C 23	14801 15 10 30760 - 3 10480 1 -			56042 11
Koraput	A 10 B C	3000 - -			3000 - -
Godabarhi	A 3 B 9 C 19	845 - - 4459 - - 11341 - -			16645 - -
Histna	A 25 B 24 C 40	10931 88 9270 13 10 15320 6 4			35522 12 10
Guntur	A B 8 C 13	5356 - 7 10706 1 10			13582 109
Kurnool	A 10 B 2 C 6	5437 7 11 1109 13 10 3527 1 9			10074 7 6
Bellary	A 12 B 14 C	3868 - - 4401 - -			8269 - -
Total					

* exclusive of a building at Bharakota which was constructed by the Zamindar and handed over to the Local Fund Board.

* A. denotes previously existing Board Schools; B. denotes previously existing Schools under private management now taken over under Board management; C. denotes new schools in new localities.

16

Anantapur. A 5 6798 26
B 1 810 7 11

Total

Buddapah A 7 2522 10 11
B 14 5343 - 11
C 29 10917 7 6

Total

Nellore A 19 10803 - -
B 7 1863 - -
C 6 904 - -

Total

Chingleput A - - -
B 3 1492 - -
C 30 13611 - -

Total

North Arcot A 17 9249 1 4
B 10 5429 11 8
C 36 16605 11 3

Total

South Arcot A 4 1693 - -
B 18 9955 - -
C 2 1434 - -

Total

Tanjore A 36 12233 13 6
B 46 13812 3 7
C 30 10113 12 -

Total

Trichinopoly A 5 2360 4 2
B 19 8282 10 4
C 47 19051 10 -

Total

© Petty Supervision charges.

7608 10 5

18783 3 4

13570 - -

15103 - -

31284 8 3

13082 - -

242 91
289 83
226 48

759 " " 36918 13 1

29694 8 6

17

17

13

Madura A 13 8083 - -
B 23 14116 - 3
C 5 3078 10 2

Total

Tinnevely A 9 3476 8 -
B 16 6480 - -
C 13 4996 9 -

Total

Coimbatore A 30 12266 2 6 1165 12 1
B 21 6312 13 4
C 29 6872 10 4

Total

Salem A 31 20895 - - 610 - -
B 25 14510 - -
C 31 15648 - -

Total

Nilgiris A 4 7153 - - 1892 - -
B - - -
C - - -

Total

Malabar A 29 28375 - -
B 4 4926 - -
C - - -

Total

South Canara A 23 19435 12 11
B 1 1197 10 1
C - - -

Total

Grand Total A 318
B 311
C 427
1082

% exclusive of Rs 75 contributions received from the people.

For Director of Public Instruction

25277 10 5

14953 1 -

26617 6 3

513

52176 - -

9045 - -

33301 - -

20633 7 -

516,373 6 9

18
14/8/06
EXPLANATORY MEMORANDUM.

Koraput District:-- The cost of the building for the school at Salimi which was reported in the statement relating to the progress of expenditure up to 31st March 1906 as Rs. 190 is shown in the present statement as Rs. 150.

Godavari District:-- Land compensation originally reported by the President as Rs. 65 is now shown as Rs. 60. The expenditure on Tadipudi school originally reported as Rs. 385-8-0 is now given as Rs. 386. Hence a variation of Rs. 4-8-0.

Kistna District:-- The President in the statement of progress of expenditure for the period ending 31st March 1906 reported that the amount spent by the Gudivada Taluq Board was Rs. 8785-3-4 where as the details now furnished for this Board total Rs. 8787-11-2. Hence the variation of Rs. 2-7-10.

Guntur District:-- The President who reported in April last that a sum of Rs. 16040-12-8 was spent on buildings and that Rs. 2040-12-8 was met from contributions received from villagers has now reported that the total expenditure on buildings was Rs. 16062-2-5, that Rs. 2479-7-8 were collected as subscriptions and that the actual expenditure out of the special grant was only Rs. 13582-10-9.

Anantapur District:-- The difference of Rs. 1-6-5 is due to the cost of the building at Hindupur being shown in the statement now furnished by the President as Rs. 1425-1-4 while the same was shown as Rs. 1423-10-11 in connection with the report relating to the progress of expenditure for the period ending 31st March 1906.

Cuddapah District:-- The following variations are noticed in
the

19 19 29 158
the figures furnished by the President:--

Name of School.	Figures in progress of expenditure statements.	Present figures.
Jammalamadugu	257 - 9 -10	257 - 9 -11
Kokkandi	440 -10 - 5	440 -10 - 3
Kutagella	442 - 4 -11	442 - 4 -11
<u>Quarters for the teachers</u>		
of Talupula and	100 - 0 - 0	
Nilakantarayanpet Muhammadan Schools	100 - 0 - 0	

A school at Wayalpad constructed out of private subscriptions at a cost of Rs 503-3-5 is shown in the present statement as amount paid by the Board. Hence the difference of Rs 283-3-4.

Nellore District:-- The President has reported that the figure furnished in connection with the progress report for the period ending 31st March 1906 has since been found to be incorrect.

South Arcot District:-- The President would appear to have ignored a sum of of annas two and pies eight (Re. 0-2-8) from the details now furnished.

Trichinopoly District:-- In the report relating to the progress of expenditure the amount spent by the Musiri Taluq Board was given by the President as Rs 10745 whereas the details now furnished total Rs 10744-8-6.


Salem District:-- In the report relating to the progress of expenditure the cost of the building constructed at Bandarahalle was erroneously shown as Rs 398 instead of Rs 404. That report did not also take into account an expenditure of Rs 513 incurred by the Board for the supply of furniture, apparatus, books and maps.

Nilgiris District:--

20 20 1/2 80 20

Nilgiris District:-- The cost of the improvements to the Kilkotagiri school was shown as Rs 504 in the report relating to the progress of expenditure for the period ending 31st March 1906 whereas in the present statement it is given as Rs 503.

Malabar District:-- In the report on the progress of expenditure for the period ending 31st March 1906 the total expenditure incurred was reported as Rs 33924-10-9 but the details now furnished total only Rs 33301-0-0. The details furnished by the President do not admit of the difference of Rs 623-10-9 between the two sets of figures being reconciled.



For Director of Public Instruction.

M.S.S.

Recd

21
21

3/17P
Educational Dept

Very urgent

O.M. No 202.2 Edl 27.10.27

The D.P.I. is requested to submit at a very early date a Comparative Statement showing the total expenditure incurred from Provincial funds on primary education in 1904-05 and 1905-06, together with details of such expenditure under the following heads:—

- (1) Expenditure incurred direct from Provincial funds;
- (2) Expenditure incurred through the agency of local boards; and
- (3) Outlay on school buildings - (primary).

2. As the information is very urgently wanted, it is requested that a reply to this reference may be sent so as to reach this office not later than the 25th Instant.

2027
19.10.27

As
20.27.

2027

From this the memo
above is. Return file
brought in after
despatch. 2027
10/20/27
1-50 PM

3. Similar information should, if available, be furnished to the D.P.I. to be furnished with reference to expenditure on elementary education for local. Sample from district.


To The D.P.I. 2027
20.2.07

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Received 23 - 2 - 1907 Registered 25 - 2 - 1907

Subject.

Submitting with reference to Memorandum No. 202-2, Educational, dated the 20th instant a statement showing the expenditure incurred from Public funds on Primary education during 1904-05 and 1905-06.



No. 1010

Station Madras, Dated the 22 February 1907

From (Name) The Hon'ble Mr. T.T. LOGAN, B.A.,

(Designation) Ag. Director of Public Instruction.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Educational Department.

Sir,

I have the honour to submit the statement showing the expenditure from Public funds on Primary education in 1904-05 and 1905-06 called for in Memorandum No. 202-2, Educational, dated the 20th instant.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Euniasalemast

For Director of Public Instruction.

K.P.D.

Expenditure on Primary Education.

Primary schools and primary departments of secondary schools for boys and girls.	1904-05.	1905-06.
1. Expenditure incurred direct from Provincial funds.	Rs. 6,32,772	Rs. 6,14,273
2. Expenditure incurred from Provincial funds through the agency of local Boards & Municipalities.	2,54,123	2,42,643
3. Outlay on school buildings (Primary) from Provincial funds.	35,086	32,603
4. Expenditure incurred direct from local funds.	5,84,560	5,68,000*
5. Expenditure incurred direct from Municipal funds.	1,67,544	1,86,047

Note:— Information as to the expenditure incurred on Primary school buildings direct from local and Municipal funds is not available.

Expenditure

for Director of Public Instruction

68/2/2

* This figure includes Rs 5,7000 including the adst. sum for 1000 allotted in Jan-03 of Rs 10,000

NOTES.

1079. Ldy 5-9-06
 806-807 Reddy 8-12-06
 1571 Ldy 14-12-06
 1538-1538-A Ldy 7-12-06
 327 Reddy 9-5-06
 377 Ldy 23-3-06
 274 Ldy 7-3-06
 125 Tril dy 14-2-06
 41 Ldy 12-1-06
 520 Tril 5-8-05
 255 Reddy 19-4-05
 308 Tril dy 10-4-05
 227 Ldy 27-2-05
 27 Ldy 5-1-04

notes with Govt. 107-108 & 11-3-07

C. No. 1150 From the D.P. 9 No. 3775, dated 13-7-1906.

SUBJECT.

Report of expenditure out of the 6 lakhs grant for primary education incurred up to the end of March 06.

Paint like the previous papers from the yellow sheet.

The following statement shows the amount sanctioned for each District Board with the no. & date of the G.O. sanctioning the same. For the sake of convenience the amount sanctioned is preceded by the sign + and the amount resumed by -.

District.	Authority.	Amount sanctioned.	Amount expended.
		Rs.	Rs. - as - ps
1. Ganjam.	G.O. 543 d/ 8-8-05	+ 40,000	25189-2-4
	G.O. 139 d/ 3-3-06	- 10,000	
		30,000	
2. Vizafapatam.	G.O. 679 d/ 18-10-05	+ 59,000	56,042-1-1
	G.O. 769 d/ 23-11-05	- 3,000	
		+ 56,000	
3. Koraput.	- do -	+ 3,000	3040-0-0
4. Godavari.	G.O. 679 d/ 18-10-05	+ 16,750	16,649-8-0
5. Kistna.	G.O. 619 d/ 13-9-05	+ 35,600	35,520-6-0
6. Guntur.	G.O. 543 d/ 8-8-05	+ 14,000	14,000-0-0
7. Kurnool.	G.O. - do -	+ 10,000	10,074-7-6
8. Bellary.	- do -	+ 18,000	8,269-0-0
9. Anantapur.	G.O. 769 d/ 23-11-05	+ 12,000	7,607-4-0
	G.O. 139 d/ 3-3-06	- 3,000	
		9,000	
Total -			

10. Cuddapah	Rs. 543 d 8-8-05 F	+ 18,000.	
	Rs. 769 d 23-11-05 U	+ 2,500.	
	Rs. 139 d 3-3-06 S	- 2,000.	
		+ 18,500.	18,500-0-0.
11. Nellore	Rs. 543 d 8-8-05 F	+ 15,000.	
	Rs. 836 d 21-12-05 K	+ 8,000.	
	Rs. 139 d 3-3-06 S	- 10,000.	
		+ 13,000.	13,554-0-0.
12. Lehingpurb.	Rs. 543 d 8-8-05 F	+ 5,000.	
	Rs. 619 d 13-9-05 Q	+ 10,000.	
	Rs. 769 d 23-11-05 U	+ 2,000.	
		+ 17,000.	15,103-0-0.
13. North Arcot.	Rs. 543 d 8-8-05 F	+ 36,000.	31,284-8-3.
	Rs. 139 d 3-3-06 S	+ 1,000.	
14. South Arcot.	Rs. 619 d 13-9-05 Q	+ 20,000.	
	Rs. 139 d 3-3-06 S	- 4,000.	
		+ 16,000.	13,082-2-8.
15. Tanjore.	Rs. 543 d 8-8-05 F	+ 47,000.	
	Rs. 139 d 3-3-06 S	- 3,000.	
		+ 44,000.	36,918-13-1.
16. Trichinopoly.	Rs. 543 d 8-8-05 F	+ 30,000.	29,695-0-0.
17. Madura.	- do -	+ 30,000.	
	Rs. 139 d 3-3-06 S	- 2,000.	
		+ 28,000.	26,277-0-0.
18. Tinnevely.	Rs. 619 d 13-9-05 Q	+ 10,000.	
	Rs. 769 d 23-11-05 U	+ 6,000.	
		+ 16,000.	14,953-1-0.
19. Coimbatore.	Rs. 543 d 8-8-05 F	+ 17,700.	
	Rs. 619 d 13-9-05 Q	+ 10,000.	
		+ 27,700.	26,617-6-3.

20. Salem.	Rs 543 d 8-8-05	F	+ 70,000	
	Rs 836 d 21-12-05	K	- 8,000	
			+ 62,000	51,657-0-0.
21. The Nilgiris	Rs 679 d 18-10-05	Z	+ 9,100	9,046-0-0.
22. Malabar.	Rs 543 d 8-8-05	F	+ 35,000	33,924-10-9 Information not received.
23. Sanki Canara.	Rs 679 d 18-10-05	Z	+ 16,200	
	Rs 769 d 23-11-05	U	+ 5,150	
			+ 21,350	20,633-7-0.

2. ^{Total} ^{567,000} ^{as yet} Ganyam and Malabar Dt Boards have not sent in their reports. Of the remaining 21 Dts: the following have spent the amount shown against them in excess of the sanctioned.

1. Vizapattam. (amt. spent in excess). Rs. 42-1-1.
2. Koraput. Rs. 40-4-4.
3. Kurnool. 74-7-6. and
4. Bellare. 554-0-0. But in the case

of the districts named below, the amounts shown against each remain unexpended from the amounts sanctioned.

- | | Amount Remaining unspent. |
|-----------------|---------------------------|
| 1. Godavari | Rs. 100-8 |
| 2. Kistna | 79-11-4 |
| 3. Bellary | 9,731-0-0 |
| 4. Anantapur | 1,392-12-0 |
| 5. Chingaleput | 1,897-4-4 |
| 6. North Arcot. | 5,715-7-9 |
| 7. South Arcot | 2,917-13-4 |
| 8. Tanjore | 7,081-2-11 |

- 9. Trichinopoly - - - - - Rs. 305- u- u.
- 10. Madras. - - - - - 2,712-5-7.
- 11. Tinnevely - - - - - 1,046-15-4.
- 12. Coimbatore. - - - - - 1,082-9-9.
- 13. Salem - - - - - 10,343-0-0.
- 14. The Nilgiris - - - - - 54-0-0. ✓ and
- 15. South Canara. - - - - - 716-9-0. ✓

Guntur and Cuddapah have spent exactly what have been sanctioned for them.

3. The S.P.I states that he has issued reminders to the Collectors of Ganjam & Malabar for their reports. It is for orders whether this information may be awaited.

18/7/16

E.
Z

The statement has to be submitted to the Govt of India - para. 2 of their letter printed with G.O. No 282 G.O. dt 2nd May 1905. The reports from Ganjam and Malabar may be awaited.

19/7/16 Gm. But has not the information been recd. of L. - please see above

In our report to Govt we want to show how many new buildings were constructed under O. and at what cost.

20/7/16

From the S.P.I no 3775 dt 20-7-06
The Director now reports that the expenditure in Ganjam

Madras office written to.
21/7/16

note 107-89202

28

5

SP. 39

and Malabar was Rs 25,189.2.4 & Rs 33,924.10.9 respectively out of grants amounting to Rs 30,000 & Rs 35,000. These figures have been entered in the statement in para. 1 supra. Out of the total grants of Rs 56,000 the total expenditure was thus Rs 51,638.7.4 and Rs 50,361.8.8 have passed to the District Board balances.

2. The information received in Local & Muzil is being tabulated at Madras but from one of the reports received here yesterday it does not appear that ~~this~~ ^{now} shows the number of buildings constructed. The Director may now be asked about it. Please see draft Memo. placed below for approval.

PH 24/7/16
26.7.06

From the Director of Public Instruction. N.L. 5612 dt 14.8.06.

The Director reports that the details of expenditure incurred out of the special grant for rural school buildings will be submitted along with his reply to go. N.L. 33 dt 19.1.06 — (i.e. to go. N.L. 304. dt 1st May 1906). It is submitted that the reply promised may be awaited — ^{PH} but the report to the Govt of India — vide note at Z on page 4 supra — should not apparently be very long delayed.

PH 25/8/16
Secy. PH 20.8.06

G.O. no. 1079 L
5.9.06.

P.P.
Jellythorpe

Reg. Secy
The statement referred to has been
obtained & put up. H.

Ask L.M. for a copy of the statement and
revis issued in that Dept. Separate
information has also been called for L.M.
as to details of buildings constructed.
He shall probably get the information
quickly that way.

2 And DP 9 02 he reminds to
send to reply to 6304 D 11/4/06
and 33 D 18/4/06. The reply
getting on, and it will not be
possible to utilise the current part
fully, unless early order issue as to
its allotment and utilisation

Separately done.
PH

2.000

#.y.

Copy of G.O. no 1079 L of 5th September 1906
is submitted. Submitted however that the information called
for in 891 and 24m whichever may be received earlier
regarding the number of buildings constructed in each district
has to be awaited. Meanwhile G.O. no 1079 L may be
printed up.

Quarterly S.O. 100
consideration for the
month
1906

Yes
14/9/06

2. Reminders are being regularly sent and the
Director hurried up for the reply to G.O. no 304 901 of 8th May
1906.

PH
13/9/06

Ch
14/9/06

14.9.06

Put up 1079 L/06
738 80/06

1st Reminder 11.7.06
2nd " 31.8.06
3rd " 14.9.06
4th " 28.9.06
5th " 9.10.06

note 102-0880

30 7.

7P

All Presidents of District Boards were called upon by the Local & Municipal Department to furnish that department with information on the following points for incorporation in the General Local Fund Report for 1905-06

I No. of primary school buildings (a) taken in hand during 1905-06 (b) completed (i) in the year (ii) subsequently

and II the average cost of each building, out of the special grants made for the purpose in that year.

2. The replies to this reference have been recorded in G.O. no. 1571-L dt 14-12-06, a copy of which has been furnished to the Local & Municipal Department. The following is a statement summarizing the information furnished.

STATEMENT showing the details of primary school buildings constructed out of the special grant of six lakhs made to the District Boards.

Names of districts.	Number of primary school buildings taken in hand during the year.	Completed.		Average cost of each building.	Remarks.
		In the year 1905-1906.	Subsequently.		
1	2	3	4	5	6
				RS.	
1. Anantapur	6	4	..	..	
2. Arcot, North	64	27	11	566	
3. Arcot, South	24	13	11	738	
4. Bellary	26	3	10	763	
5. Canara, South	24	23	1	873	
6. Chingleput	33	27	6	498	
7. Coimbatore	77	71	..	346	Four school buildings in the Coimbatore Taluk Board and two in the Erode Taluk Board have been left incomplete. Steps have been taken to complete them in the current year.
8. Cuddapah	51	49	2	409	
9. Ganjam	63	60	8	383	
10. Godavari	30	30	..	558	
11. Guntur	21	20	..	788	Twenty-two rural schools were started, of which work for only 21 was done.
12. Kistna	92	89	3	399	
13. Koraput	10	10	..	300	
14. Kurnool	18	17	..	692	
15. Madras	41	32	3	668	One not completed - want of funds. The District Board Engineer, Madras, has not furnished information in respect of the remaining six schools in the districts of Nagavanga and Anantapur.
16. Malabar	33	27	6	1,086	
17. Nellore	31	5	10	613	Excluded - Kereda school which was constructed but purchased by the District Board in 1905-1906. District Board reports that several other buildings have also been completed but were not included in the above figures as final payments were not made. This is exclusive of the improvements done to the existing buildings.
18. The Nilgiris	4	4	..	1,788	
19. Salem	89	40	44	622	
20. Tanjore	106	67	43	400	The remaining buildings are in course of construction from the current year's allotment.
21. Tinnevely	38	35	..	394	The remaining three will be completed during the current year.
22. Trichinopoly	70	52	18	441	
23. Vizagapatnam	101	101	..	554	
Total ..	1,067	806	176	628	

Vide memo No 345530-7-06
on Page 4 of current file

31 8
8P
42

3. The D.P.I has been separately asked to furnish fact with information on these and other points. That officer has not yet replied to the reference though he has been reminded several times. The special reminder submitted below is for approval.

M
3/1/07. The D.P.I was asked in memorandum No 345, dated 30th July 1906, to furnish information on the following points:-

- (1) the number of new school buildings constructed in each district out of the Special grant for 1905-06.
- (2) the cost of each such building
- (3) the expenditure incurred on repairs and improvements to existing buildings and
- (4) the expenditure on other objects, if any

2. The printed statement on page 7 above gives the number of ~~new~~ school buildings taken in hand in 1905-06, and completed during the year and subsequently, as well as the average cost of each building. No information is available as regards items (2) to (4) above, and its receipt from the D.P.I will have to be awaited before the statement called for in paragraph 2 of the Govt of India letter No 1763-A, dated 23rd March 1905, can be submitted. The memorandum to the D.P.I. placed below may issue.

M
4.1.07
Issue M
4/1/07

on page 2 of 80. 282 Vol. - E
2.5.05

page 4 of cf.

memo. no. 4. of 5th January 1907.

From the Director of Public Instruction. of 24th January 1907. 22. 112.

The Director of Public Instruction reports that certain particulars called for from Presidents of District Boards have not been completely received from some of the Boards. They are, he says, still due in a complete form from the Kistna District Board. He promises that these will be tabulated & submitted to Government as soon as they are received.

2. Submitted that the file may lie over pending receipt of the information promised by the Director of Public Instruction.

Yes: but don't let him forget.
Ces
4.2.07


It is proposed that Mr. J. S. B. should not by 31st Dec 06 be in a position to give details of expenditure actually incurred up to 31st March in the same year. Pending.

2. Mr. Lane full details? It looks as if there may come some of "adjustments" or.

L. M. 40
5-1-07

Local & Municipal Dept.

The information called for in the L & M Dept from Presidents of District Boards in regard to the matter related to



Memo no 1822 of 13.8.06 on p 2 of 80 15712 XXVI 14.12.06

- (1) the number of primary school buildings taken in hand in 1905-06
 - (2) the number of those completed in that year.
 - (3) the number completed after the close of the year.
 - (4) the average cost of each building
- The required information was duly received and is exhibited in the statement on p. 7 supra.

2 The Educational Dept. however require information as to

- (1) the cost of each new building constructed,
- (2) the expenditure on repairs and improvements to existing buildings,
- (3) expenditure on other objects, if any.

3 It is submitted that these details were not called for and are not available in L & M.

p 4 cf

Local 400 No. 501-1
12/1/07

26
9/2/07
Ed. Sec
9/2/07
1027
Ed. Sec

The details are apparently required for a report to the Govt of India - but we have already referred roughly to the details in the general review on Education. However there seems nothing to be done but to wait. It is unclear whether the

DDI is calling for the information through the
our subordinates or from the President's office.
He may be asked to state the ~~to~~ District for
which information has not yet been
furnished.

Mr
2020

First Amendment

~~Amended~~

Amended 1227

Tele. Line from D.D.I. - say that the laws
and a recent order and a copy of
the statement referred to in para 7 of
7b 2020 R 2 Major may be
furnished without delay. Have figures
for 1906-5 been tabulated?

- 1 Have we the figures for 1906-5.
- 2 7-010724 - will this include five
details of the expenditure as furnished
by Lord B.P. to the 2nd Dept?

Mr
2020

[Faint, mostly illegible handwritten notes on the left page]

[Faint, mostly illegible handwritten notes at the bottom of the left page]

Set 107-88 Sol.

35

12

124
46

Cur. No. 341, from the D.P.G. No. 112, dated 14th Feb. 1907.

But this is not the report called for by India. It does not compare with details of previous year.

H.D.T.

The D.P.G. Submits with reference to Memorandum No. 345, dated 30th July 1906, a statement showing the expenditure incurred in 1905-06 on primary school buildings, out of the Special grant of six lakhs for primary education Sanctioned in Govt of India letter No. 1763-A, Finance Dept. dated 23rd March 1905. He does not, however, give the cost of each building as required in the Memorandum above quoted.

2. According to the statement furnished by the D.P.G., the total expenditure actually incurred out of the six lakhs grant in 1905-06, was Rs 5,16,373, and the whole of this sum, with the exception of Rs 1,634, which was spent on the purchase of furniture, was devoted to the construction and repair of primary school buildings in rural areas. A sum of Rs 759 is shown as having been spent on 'petty supervision charges' but this may for all practical purposes be taken as forming part of the expenditure on the

Page 4 of cf.

Pages 2 of 80 No 282 Sol. E

2.5.05
in the file put up.
The averack will do.

Pages 15 to 17 of cf.

vise page 16 of cf.

the buildings.

3. In paragraph 2 of their letter No. 1763-A, dated 23rd March 1905, sanctioning the Special grant of six lakhs, the Govt of India observed

"In making this grant, the Government in Council desires that it should be fully utilised in increasing the normal allotment on primary education, inclusive of expenditure on buildings and outlay incurred through the agency of Local Boards."

They also requested that a Statement should be submitted every year to the Home Department showing the extent to which the above instruction had been complied with, the expenditure for 1904-05, being taken as the normal standard for the purpose of the return.

4. What the Govt. of India require seems therefore to be a comparative ^{total} Statement showing the expenditure on primary education in 1904-05, and ^{such} in 1905-06, the expenditure including not only direct expenditure from Provincial funds, but also that incurred through the agency of the Local Boards, and also the outlay on school buildings (primary).

5. From the Supplemental Statistics given

E in the file put up.

do — do —

Gr. The Office Secy
7 30th Feb 06 and
5th Dec 07 and 1st Jan 08
have the Secy's
attention drawn
to the point

R

given on page 75 of the Public Instruction Report for 1904-05, and page 89 of the Report for 1905-06. It is observed that the total direct expenditure from Provincial funds on primary schools and departments in 1904-05 + 1905-06, amounted respectively to Rs 6,12,544 and Rs 5,95,909, ~~while the expenditure from local~~ The grants paid from Provincial to Local & Municipal funds in these two years were as follows:—

	Grants to Local Boards Rs	Grants to Municipalities Rs
1904-05.	3,70,353	55,076
1905-06.	3,46,790	44,113

There is however no information in this office as to how much of the above expenditure appertains to primary Education and how much to Secondary Education. The D.P.I. may be asked to report on this point. Again the total expenditure on Educational buildings in 1904-05 and 1905-06 was ~~Rs~~ as shown below:—

	Original works — Rs	Repairs Rs
1904-05.	1,78,795	13,087
1905-06.	1,38,379	16,976

If he can provide figure of once and each head Rs

* These figures have been taken from the note on the eight lakhs grant.

No information is available as to how much of this relates to primary education. The D.P.S. or the R.W.D. or both may be requested to furnish details.

R.D.

18.2.07

Sec.

These papers have been terribly muddled, but I don't whether it is necessary to wait any longer. We can tell India ~~that~~ how much has been spent out of the 6 lakhs grant for 1905-6. It will probably take weeks to collect information as to how much has been spent otherwise on primary education.

C.S.

19.2.7.

It is clear that neither this office nor D.P.S. office have watched paragraph 7 of G.O. 282 of 22 May 07, or paragraph 2 of India's letter read therein in which it was stated. Though the letter on 16 June specifically drew attention to that paragraph, the reminder to D.P.S. as it was, was referred to the Secy of the Dept of Education, which again did not call specific attention to India's requirements as to a statement of comparative expenditure in previous years.

2. Please not put up a draft to India in the light of information available. We need not go into the question of expenditure by DOW on buildings for primary and other education. It would be impossible to obtain figures that would be anything like accurate - probably only a very small amount related to primary education other than Girls' schools.

As to the expenditure for the special grant we had it long ago. We say so that owing to the late period at which the order as to the special grant for DOW were received ~~and owing to the~~ fact that the question of classification of buildings aid to elementary schools was in a hampered state, almost the entire amount of the grant for that year was given for school buildings. The balance under DOW are being dealt with for 1907-8 in the Board's Budget.

We say as DOW by urgent news of the can give detailed figures under each head for 1906-7 & 1907-8 for primary & distinguished for secondary education. If he cannot readily do so we must confine ourselves to the utilisation of the grant Rs 30000 was, I think, assigned for primary

1900-6 - the rest to D.P. for building purposes. He knows the amount spent - and the balance to D.P. funds which are being waited & recalled for expenditure on school buildings.

AD
19-2-07.

A draft memo is submitted below for approval.

AD

AD
19-2-07

In the meantime have a Draft to India sketched out so far as possible.

AD
20-2-7

Submitted to the Secretary for perusal before issue.

AD
20-2-07

AD
20-2-07

[Memorandum No. 202-2, dated 20.2.07]

C.M. Frankie D.P. dated 22nd February 1907

The D.P. forwards the Comparative Statement called for in memo. No. 202-2, dated 20.2.07 -

2. A draft letter to India is placed below for approval. If approved, it may be sent to the Financ. Dept for acceptance.

AD
25-2-07

Noted
AD
20-2-07

no 107-8851

41 Lee 18/ 18P
 32
 25.2.7

~~25.2.7~~

The figures in the last paragraph do not agree with the figures reported in para 3 of G.O. No. 1571 dated 14th Dec 1906. The last there is given as 806 + 261 = 1067, as against 1082 now reported. On the difference of 261 accounted for. We had better give here a short statement showing all head expenditure & buildings constructed and average cost.

See the figures G.O. 1079 L
 Dated 5th Sept 06.
 25.2.07.

slip 10.

XXVI

X No.	Figures in G.O. 1571 dated 14.12.06	D.P. & figures.
North Arcot.	64	63
Coimbatore	77	80
Cuddalore	51	50
Godavari	30	31
Krishna	92	89
Nellore	31	32
Salem	89	87
Tiruchinopoly	70	71

The figures reported in G.O. No. 806-807 dated 8th December 1906, are the same as those given in the statement on pages 1 and 2 of the notes with G.O. No. 1571 L, dated 14th December 1906, and those reported in paragraph 18(f) of G.O. No. 1538 L, dated 7th December 1906. A comparison of the figures now furnished by the D.P.G., with those in the statement on pages 1 & 2 of G.O. No. 1571 L dated 14th December 1906, shows that the figures in the case of individual districts vary as shown (and also noted in the margin) in pencil in the notes in that G.O. and that the

the total figure is 1,056 (the figure 655 in para. 4 of the draft being a clerical error for 629), as against 1057, reported in the Educational Review and the General Local Fund Review. The actual expenditure now reported by the D.P.I. also varies in several instances from that given in Col. 8 of the Statement on pages 5 to 8 of the notes with G.O. No. 1079 L. dated 5th September 1906. These variations, however, have been explained by the D.P.I. in paragraphs 2 and 3 of his letter dated 14th February 1907, and in his explanatory memorandum on pages 18 to 20 of the Current file, but no explanation has been furnished as regards the differences in the number of buildings in the districts noted on the margin of the previous page.

2. A statement showing for each dist the allotment and expenditure on account of school buildings as well as the number of such buildings provided, in 1905-06, is appended to the draft. The figures ^{under stated in the draft on page 112 of K.W. G.O. No. 1571 L. dated 14th December 1906.} now reported by the D.P.I. have been adopted for the purposes of this Statement. Please see also additions made to the draft.

^{See.}
27.2.07 The extra I have this to reconcile the difference of 1 school between the number given

W

Paper 2 of 4.

The statement put up include value of work done but not paid for. In sales alone is the balance. The other difference are trifling. We say as per L. No. 47 of 1907.

XXVI

* If this statement is submitted to India, then it would be better to omit the number "629" in para. 4 of the draft and substitute the word "many".

Print here the stat.

Wm. L. Keck
Sent for reference
in the Notes 17

66

20

210
55

See Mar 4th by GC Board & that given by the
 D.P.D. in the current. I cannot
 do so. I think it is a waste
 wasting further time over this
 school & the Draft may be altered
 as in the map. It will take
 some time if GC Board & the D.P.D.
 are to try to reconcile the figures

I regret to have noticed
 the error in the Draft. It
 was only checked. I regret
 I did not do so.

Ans

Ans

28.2.7

Submitted for approval

The draft seems rather meagre but this
 is due to the fact that ~~almost~~ nearly
 all our expenditure out of the Black
 Fund in 1905-6 was in one direction
 viz the construction of school buildings

HM

HM
25.2.7

There is no need to send a
detailed statement

GF

Drury & Co. have seen.

1.3.7

Final use

No 202350
2-3-7

[Faint, mostly illegible handwritten notes on the left page, possibly bleed-through from the reverse side.]

65-21 22P 56

CURRENT NO.
1111
FINANCIAL

Recd & Repd
2-3-07
6-40 P.M.
7:00 2-3-07

CS

by Ed.

The letter to the Govt of India
has been despatched. The case may be
returned to the Educational Dept. with
thanks.

T 407 2/3/07

Cms.
4/3/07

*As can be seen in this
Card*

h/3
5307

Fin 407 1114-1 Educational Dept (v-v)
5-3-07

(Note. by noon was that first date was
before noon).
to 7.307.

(Cons. 107-1080) 1-3-07



NOTE FOR THE

Secretary.

I submit this for order
whether in a C.O. it
is necessary to print
reminders & replies
thereto. It seems to
me wholly unnecessary,
especially in C.O.s
which may go to
S.I.

Obd
22.3.07

Lagne

By
3/3/07

Educational Department.

51
81

E.R.-- 107 dy 1.3.07.

207

The Government of India will be
addressed.

By
26.3.07

E.R.-- 108 dy 1.3.07

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of India,
Home Department.

Sir,

I am directed to refer you to
Finance Department letter No.1765-A,
dated 23rd March 1905, communicating the
desire of the Government of India that
the annual recurring grant of six lakhs
should be fully utilized in increasing
the normal allotment for Primary educa-
tion inclusive of expenditure on build-
ings and outlay incurred through the
agency of Local Boards, and requesting
that a statement should be submitted
every year showing how far this instruc-
tion has been carried out.

3. In reply, I am to state that the
total expenditure from Provincial funds
on Primary education in 1905-06 includ-
ing expenditure on school buildings and
outlay

vide the statement on page 23
of

outlay incurred through the Agency of Local Boards and Municipalities amounted to Rs. 14,57,519 against Rs. 9,21,981 in 1904-05, and was distributed as shown below:--

	1904-05. Rs.	1905-06. Rs.
Direct expenditure from Provincial funds including outlay on buildings	6,67,858	6,47,876
Expenditure incurred through Local Boards and Municipalities including expenditure on school buildings	8,54,123	8,09,643
Total	9,21,981	14,57,519

3. I am ~~now~~ to observe that owing to the late date at which orders as to the special grant for 1905-06 were received and to the fact that the question of the classification and method of aid to elementary schools was in a transition stage, the whole of the special grant for 1905-06 with the exception of Rs. 35,000, which was assigned as a subsidy to Local Boards to enable them to pay results grants to aided schools, was devoted to the provision of school buildings in rural areas, where they were most needed. The expenditure on this account was incurred through the agency of the Local Boards, a definite allotment out of this special grant being assigned to each of the District Boards, and such portions of the allotments as remained unexpended at the close of

Read

69 5.

22P
59

624
427
1056

very many

vide the Statist on pages
15 to 17 of cf.

I am to enclose a detailed
Statement showing for each
district the allotment and
expenditure on this account
as well as the number of
buildings provided in 1905-06.

~~It is the figures in the~~
~~statements are those furnished~~
~~by the Local Board to Mr. Dineen~~
~~and there is a discrepancy~~
~~of one between the number of buildings~~
~~specified therein and those reported~~
~~by the B.D.D. to have been constructed.~~
~~It has not been thought desirable to~~
~~delay the submission of this~~
~~report pending reconciliation~~
~~of the slight discrepancy.~~

of the year have been reallocated in the
Boards' budgets for 1907-08 for expendi-
ture on the same object. The Government
have thus been able to provide ^{about 630} ~~629~~ existing
schools with proper buildings and to have
buildings constructed for 427 new schools
to be established in localities where no
schools existed hitherto.

I have etc.

202
25.2.07

Ch
26.2.7.

877

202
25.2.07

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched in print.

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
190

DATED

NUMBER OF COPIES
REQUIRED

Order complete.

Letter only.

Order complete with Letter.

23P
Vote 1522

CURRENT No.

A
1150, 1186, 1351, 2115 - P, 202, 341, 421, A
Memo 345, 4, 202-2, -A

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

ORDER TO GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

ADVERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESTROY
IN ORIGINAL

R.B.-

A = Print in full.

B = Not to be printed.

P = Print abstract only.

Q = Print heading only.

Please show proof of very upub

8/3/07