

36

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Recd.

Regd.

} 1907.

Enclosures

Spare copies

31

G.O., No. 85, 20th February 1907.

Public Service Notification.

Ordering the publication in the *Fort St. George Gazette* of a notification making an addition to article 4 of the — in regard to the employment of candidates who have passed the Matriculation examination or the First Examination in Arts but not the Handwriting and Dictation Test in acting and sub. *pro tem.* vacancies and in temporary posts.

100-19-1-06
Educational (1907) Department.

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O., No. 85

DATED 20-2-07

31

[Despatch Abstract.]

Public Service notification Ordering the publication
in the Port St George gazette of a notification for inserting
a new clause no 9 to ^{art 4 of} the — to the effect that ^{the} officers
mentioned in clauses 4 to 6 of the article may also,
^{subject to certain conditions,}
sanction the employment of candidates who have passed
the Matriculation Examination or the First Arts Examination
but not the Handwriting & Dictation Test in acting and
Sub. position vacancies & in temporary posts.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Recd.

Regd.

} 1907.

Enclosures

Spare copies

G.O., No. 85, 20th February 1907.

Public Service Notification.

Ordering the publication in the *Fort St. George Gazette* of a notification making an addition to article 4 of the — in regard to the employment of candidates who have passed the Matriculation examination or the First Examination in Arts but not the Handwriting and Dictation Test in acting and sub. *pro tem.* vacancies and in temporary posts.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper:—

Reference from the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), D. No. 272, dated 9th October 1906.

Read—the following paper:—

Letter—from R. H. SHIPLEY, Esq., I.C.S., Collector of Coimbatore.
To—the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue.
Dated—the 25th July 1906.
No.—D. Dis. 2260-Rev.

Adverting to paragraph 3 of Board's Proceedings, No. 1364, Routine, dated 18th May 1906, I have the honour to submit the following for the orders of the Board.

2. The revised notification published in page 408 of the *Fort St. George Gazette*, dated 3rd July 1906, Part I-B, regarding powers to grant exemptions, empowers Collectors to sanction exemption only for three months in the case of acting, sub. *pro tem.* and temporary vacancies, and Divisional officers are similarly empowered in respect of their divisions except that they cannot grant such exemptions in the case of clerks in their own offices. In the notification, Matriculates and F.As. without Handwriting Test and persons who have passed no examination at all are treated alike. F.As. and Matriculates often find it difficult to pass the Handwriting and Dictation Test. The number of passes is very small though the examination is held twice a year. But it is found in practice that men who write tolerably neat hand frequently fail in this examination. It is very difficult to find Matriculates and F.As. with Handwriting and Dictation Tests for acting vacancies. I think that some distinction should be made between University men (Matriculates and F.As. without Handwriting and Dictation Test) and those who have passed no examination at all. I would suggest that the former might be appointed in acting, sub. *pro tem.* and temporary vacancies on Rs. 15 subject to confirmation provided they secure a pass in the Handwriting and Dictation Test eventually. I am also inclined to think that the Collectors and Divisional officers may be safely entrusted with the power of selecting men who write well among F.As. and Matriculates without Handwriting and Dictation Test. If this is done, it will save much correspondence between Collectors and the Board.

3. I beg to request that the Board will be pleased to move the Government to empower Collectors and Divisional officers to exempt without limit of time F.As. and Matriculates without Handwriting and Dictation Test for acting, sub. *pro tem.* and temporary appointments provided the pay and allowance do not exceed Rs. 15 per mensem.

4. In this connection it will not be out of place to add that the most reasonable way of dealing with this would be for the Senate of the Madras University to direct that examinees be marked for handwriting at the time of the examination.

Reference—D. No. 272, dated 9th October 1906.

The Collector's letter read above will be submitted for the orders of Government.

2. The Board agrees with Mr. Shipley in considering that a distinction may be made between University men (Matriculates and First-in-Arts men without the Handwriting and Dictation Test) and those who have passed no examination, and accordingly supports his recommendation that Collectors and Divisional officers may be empowered to exempt the former from the Handwriting and Dictation Test without

limit of time for acting, sub. *pro tem.* and temporary appointments provided the pay and allowance do not exceed Rs. 15 per mensem. The suggestion that in the University Matriculation and F.A. Examinations the examinees should be marked for handwriting also commends itself to the Board. A statement showing the total number of men exempted by the Board from the general Educational tests during 1905 and the number exempted from the Handwriting and Dictation Test only is enclosed.

T. N. SIVAGNAM,
for Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Educational Department, with a statement.

ENCLOSURE.

STATEMENT showing exemptions granted to candidates from examination rules in the Presidency by the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue) during 1905.

Name of the district.	Number of Board's Proceedings.	Number of exemptions from Handwriting and Dictation Test.	Number of exemptions of unpassed candidates.	Total number of individuals exempted.
1. Ganjam	43	22	46	68
2. Vizagapatam	26	20	8	28
3. Gódvári	44	31	31	62
4. Kistna	76	36	72	108
5. Guntur	57	23	36	59
6. Nellore	59	20	50	70
7. Bellary	53	10	59	69
8. Anantapur	34	7	33	40
9. Cuddapah	48	14	44	58
10. Kurnool	51	6	51	57
11. Chingleput	8	4	4	8
12. Madras	1	..	1	1
13. North Arcot	44	24	33	57
14. South Arcot	9	5	4	9
15. Coimbatore	14	6	9	15
16. Madura	5	3	2	5
17. Tanjore	1	1	..	1
18. Trichinopoly	1	1	..	1
19. Salem	47	25	37	62
20. Nilgiris	2	..	2	2
21. Tinnevely	10	19	4	23
22. South Canara	8	4	6	10
23. Malabar	15	11	9	20
Total	656	292	541	833

Order—No. 85, Educational, dated 20th February 1907.

The following notification will be published in the *Fort St. George Gazette*:—

NOTIFICATION.

The following shall be added as clause (9) of Article 4 of the Public Service Notification:—

(9) The officers mentioned in clauses (4) to (6) *supra* may also sanction the employment of candidates, who have passed the Matriculation Examination or the First Examination in Arts, but not the Handwriting and Dictation Test, in acting and sub. *pro tem.* vacancies and in temporary posts—

(a) when the vacancy does not exceed twelve months in duration,

(b) when the acting allowance, exclusive of any local allowance, is not more than Rs. 15 per mensem:

Provided that *bonâ fide* efforts have been made without success to obtain qualified candidates.

2. The officers concerned should be careful not to sanction the employment in a clerical post of any one whose handwriting is indistinct or slovenly. The first qualification in a public servant whose duties are clerical is a clear and perfectly legible handwriting.

(True Extract.)

H. D. TAYLOR,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Revenue Department.
" Public Department.
" Judicial Department.
" Public Works Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. 85, EDUCATIONAL, DATED 20TH FEBRUARY 1907.

[SUBJECT.—The suggestion of the Collector of Coimbatore that Collectors and Divisional Officers may be empowered to exempt Matriculates and F.A.'s from the Handwriting test for employment in acting and sub. pro tem. vacancies and to temporary posts on Rs. 15 per mensem without limit of time.]

From the Board of Revenue, Land Revenue, dated 9th October 1906, No. 272.

In the Public Service notification Collectors are empowered to sanction the exemption of unpassed candidates for employment in acting, sub. pro tem. and temporary vacancies when the vacancies do not exceed 3 months in duration and when the pay available is not more than Rs. 15 per mensem—Article 4 (4)—and Divisional officers are similarly empowered to grant exemptions in respect of their divisions but not in their own offices—Article 4 (6). In the notification, Matriculates and F.A.'s without the Handwriting and Dictation test and persons who have passed no examination at all are treated alike. The Collector of Coimbatore (Mr. R. H. Shipley) states that it is found in practice that men who write a tolerably neat hand frequently fail in the Handwriting and Dictation test, and that consequently, it has become very difficult to find qualified men for filling up acting vacancies. He is therefore of opinion that Collectors and Divisional officers may be safely entrusted with the power of selecting men who write a good hand, from F.A.'s and Matriculates without the Handwriting and Dictation test for employment in acting, sub. pro tem. and temporary vacancies on Rs. 15 subject to confirmation when they pass the test. He also suggests that Collectors and Divisional officers may be entrusted with the power of exempting such men without any limit of time.

2. From the statement enclosed with the Board's letter it is seen that 833 persons were exempted by the Board from the examination rules during 1905 for employment in acting vacancies and of this number 292 persons or over 35 per cent. were exempted from the Handwriting and Dictation test. So if the suggestion meets with the approval of Government there will be a considerable saving in the correspondence between Collectors and the Board. The Board supports the Collector's recommendation that Collectors and Divisional officers may be empowered to exempt Matriculates and F.A.'s from the Handwriting and Dictation test, for employment in acting and sub. pro tem. vacancies and to temporary posts on Rs. 15 per mensem without limit of time.

3. The Collector also suggests that in the Matriculation and F.A. examinations the examinees be marked for Handwriting at the time of the examination. This suggestion, involving, as it does, the abolition of the present Handwriting

This may be extracted and made the subject of a separate current.

C. G. MACKAY—31-10-06.

and Dictation test may be separately considered.

P. K. M.—30-10-06.

P. T. THARYAN—30-10-06.

For orders.

C. G. MACKAY—31-10-06.

A I see no serious objection to granting Collectors the extended power of exemption suggested in respect of F.A.'s and Matriculates—but that power should be restricted to posts on Rs. 15 and might perhaps be limited to one year in duration and so long as *passed men are not available*.

2. If unlimited power for indefinite periods is granted we shall find that Collectors will retain a "useful" unpassed man, even though passed men are available, and will then after a few years' service apply for permanent exemption on the ground that the man has worked well and his "case" is a hard one.

But, if we grant Collectors this power, it will probably have to be granted to other departments also.

H. D. TAYLOR—1-11-06.

To the Revenue Department for remarks.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

There appears to be no objection to the acceptance of the proposal at A *supra*.

2. The extended power may, it is submitted, be also granted to the officers in the Revenue Department specified in clauses (5)--(8) of article 4 of the Public Service notification.

C. A. INNES—10-11-06.

Revenue may agree as above. The statement of exemptions granted by the Board is instructive. Salem and North Arcot (and to a less degree Tinnevely) exhibit a large number of exemptions among Central and Southern districts.

A. G. CARDEW—12-11-06.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Please draft for approval.

H. D. TAYLOR—13-11-06.

The draft placed below is submitted for approval.

R.N.—19-11-06.

P. T. THARYAN—19-11-06.

C. G. MACKAY—20-11-06.

H. D. TAYLOR—21-11-06.

Issue with the addition I have inserted as to insisting upon a clear, distinct and legible handwriting, independent of the examination.

G. S. F[ORBES]—25-11-06.

Revenue have accepted, but this affects other departments also and should be circulated for acceptance to Public, Judicial and Public Works Department.

Has not the Customs Department now been imperialised? Are clerks on Customs establishment now on the Provincial establishment? If not, should not reference to *Customs* go out?

H. D. TAYLOR—27-11-06.

*To the Revenue
To the Public
To the Judicial
To the Public Works* } *Department.*

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Submitted that the Imperial Customs service includes at present only the Collector of Customs, Madras, and Assistant Collectors at the Madras port. As regards (1) the other establishments of the Collector of Customs and (2) the Customs establishments in the mufassal, their appointment, etc., still continue to be in the hands of the Local Government, the Board of Revenue, the Collector of Customs and Deputy and Assistant Commissioners of the Salt, Abkari and Customs Department though their pay is debitable to Imperial. The reference to Customs in the Public Service notification may therefore stand.

A. G. CARDEW—22-12-06.

2. Since the appointment of the Collector of Customs, Madras, is now distinct from that of the Collector of Madras, it is submitted that clause (4) of paragraph 4 of the Public Service notification may be amended so as to include also the Collector of Customs, Madras.

V.A.A.—22-12-06.

Unnecessary in Madras City, I think.

A. G. CARDEW—22-12-06.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

The draft may be accepted in Public.

C. S. SIMPSON—19-12-06.

Please put up Public Service notification.

2. I don't understand how the draft notification carries out the proposal referred to in the draft order. Is the draft order quite clear?

A. GALLETTI—20-12-06.

Public Service notification submitted.

2. The portion of the draft order which relates to handwriting is apparently intended to be an instruction to Collectors and Divisional officers. The draft notification carries out the proposal regarding limit of time for the appointment of candidates who have passed the Matriculation or F.A. examinations.

But then the only thing they have not passed is hand-writing?

A. GALLETTI.

C. S. SIMPSON—20-12-06.

Submitted that the proposed amendment of the rules by the insertion of provisoes is clumsy. It would seem better to alter the rules (exemption clauses in article 4) themselves and say (1) Collectors, etc., may exempt Matriculates and F.A.'s (under-graduates) from handwriting for one year, (2) may grant other exemptions for 3 months.

2. Is it intended (as would appear from the draft order) to give the extended powers only to Collectors and Divisional officers or (as would appear from the draft notification) also to District Judges, Superintending Engineers, etc.?

3. The draft order says "the proposal" is approved, which should mean that the Board's proposal is approved. But Government have not approved the Board's proposal; they have modified it.

A. GALLETTI—20-12-06.

I agree with Mr. Galletti that the alterations proposed in the rules are somewhat clumsy, but that is a matter for Educational. There seems to be no reason why the power of exemption should not be given to the authorities mentioned in paragraphs 4 (5) and 4 (6) of the notification; but it would be as well to expand the Government Order so as to cover the different classes of officers mentioned in the notification.

H. BRADLEY—22-12-06.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Judicial is concerned with the extended powers proposed to be conferred on District Judges—*vide* rule 4 (5) of Public Service notification. There appears, it is submitted, to be no objection to District Judges exercising these powers. The proposed draft may be accepted in Judicial and the file returned to Educational.

The draft will require alteration if it is to apply to Judicial.

N.A.R.—19-12-06.

C. G. MACKAY—20-12-06.

The instructions contained in lines 2-5 of the draft should apparently apply to all officers mentioned in clauses 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8 of article 4 of the Public Service notification. Perhaps the words "The officers mentioned in clauses 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8 of article 4 of the Public Service notification" may be substituted for "Collectors and Divisional officers" in line 2 of the draft.

For orders.

N.A.R.—20-12-06.

With the alterations proposed the draft may be accepted.

C. G. MACKAY—21-12-06.

I have just noted much to the above effect in Public.

H. BRADLEY—22-12-06.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

The draft order may be accepted in this Department.

C.G.S.—10-1-07.

Accepted in Public Works Department.

A. G. ROMILLY—11-1-07.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

The draft order and notification have been accepted in the Public Works Department, without any comment. The Revenue Department states, for the reasons mentioned in page 3 *supra*, that the reference to 'customs' in clauses (5) and (7) of article 4 of the Public Service notification may stand and that it is unnecessary to amend clause 4 so as to include also the Collector of Customs, Madras. In the Public and Judicial notes on pages 3 and 4 *supra* it is pointed out—

(1) that the proposed amendment of the rules by the insertion of provisoes is clumsy;

(2) That in the notification extended powers are given to District Judges, Superintending Engineers, etc., while in the draft order only Collectors and Divisional officers are asked to be careful not to sanction the employment in a clerical post of any one whose handwriting is indistinct or slovenly; and

(3) That the Government have not approved the proposal of the Board, as stated in the draft order, but have, on the other hand, modified it.

2. Orders are solicited as to whether the alteration suggested by the Under Secretary, Public Department, in paragraph 1 of his note on pages 3 *supra* may be carried out. Submitted that the order may be modified by substituting the following for the order as it now stands:—

"The following notification will be published in the Fort St. George Gazette. The officers mentioned in clauses 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8 of article 4 of the Public Service notification should be careful not to sanction the employment in a clerical post of any one whose handwriting is indistinct or slovenly. The first qualification in a public servant whose duties are clerical is a clear and perfectly legible handwriting.

P.K.M.—21-1-07.

As regards the notification it is for consideration whether it may stand as it is or should be modified as suggested by Mr. Galletti in paragraph 1 of his note on page 3 *supra*.

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—21-1-07.

Mr. Galletti's suggestions are a great improvement. The provisoes to clause 4 are very clumsy. Revise accordingly.

C. A. SOUTER—23-1-07.

A revised draft is submitted for approval.

P.K.M.—2-2-07.

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—2-2-07.

C. A. SOUTER—4-2-07.

Please put up the original draft as approved by the Honourable Member.

H. D. TAYLOR—5-2-07.

Please see the paper flagged F.

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—5-2-07.

For approval.

The power of granting exemption in the cases referred to has been specifically extended to officers other than Collectors and Divisional-officers who were specifically referred to in the original draft as revised by the Honourable Member, and the entire rule has been recast in a more convenient form.

H. D. TAYLOR—5-2-07.

The notification is far from clear. From what are the "unpassed" candidates who may be exempted for 3 months to be exempted? If it is the test in article 1 of the notification why is this power of exemption jumbled up with the exemption of undergraduates from the handwriting test? Or is it this test only which is in view throughout.

What is the distinction between an "unpassed candidate" and an "undergraduate"? May not an undergraduate be an "unpassed candidate"?

G. S. F[ORRIS]—6-2-07.

By an unpassed candidate, a candidate who has not passed the Matriculation examination is indicated. Matriculates, and F.A's are unpassed candidates only in so far as they have not passed the Handwriting and Dictation tests. Better use the term "Matriculates or F.A's" instead of "undergraduates". Please revise. I do not think that an unpassed candidate who has not matriculated could fall within the strict meaning of the term "undergraduate". That term in itself seems to imply "Matriculation". Graduates are exempt from the Handwriting or Dictation test.

H. D. TAYLOR—7-2-07.

A revised draft order is put up for approval.

2. The proposed draft would have the existing clauses (4) to (8) of article 4 of the Public Service notification as they are, and at the same time carry out the orders of Government in regard to the exemption of candidates from the Handwriting and Dictation test by the insertion of a new clause, as clause (9). This simplifies matters and would meet the objection raised by the Honourable Member at *A supra*.

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—13-2-07.

C. A. SOUTER—15-2-07.

H. D. TAYLOR—16-2-07.

G. S. F[ORBES]—18-2-07.

Issue.

H. D. TAYLOR—19-2-07.

[G.O., No. 85, Educational, dated 20th February 1907.]

CURRENT FILE.

CONTENTS.

From	Number.	Date.	Pages.
[1701] The Board of Revenue Land Revenue	272	9.10.06	1-4.

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No. _____

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number.	Date.	Original (=O) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers as have been removed for copying, printing, &c., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of Referencer with date in token of return to Reference department.

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number.	Date.	Original (=O) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Despatcher to note the return of the papers to the departments concerned.

Read

Ed. CURRENT No. 1701
Educational
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.



CURRENT No.

190, Registered 16-10-190

Subject.

Exemption from examination rules.

Submitting to Government letter from the Collector of Coimbatore suggesting that Collectors and Divisional Officers may be empowered to exempt Matriculates and First in Arts ^{men} without the Hand-writing test for acting, subprotem and temporary appointments on Rs. 15 per mensem without limit of time.

ENCLOSURES.

No. _____

Reference D. No. 272.

Spare copies _____

Station Madras, Dated the 9th October 1906. 190

From

The Board of Revenue. ^{Land Revenue.}
~~Revenue Settlement, &c.~~
~~Separate Revenue.~~

The Hon'ble Mr. J. N. Atkinson (Commissioner of Land Revenue)
The Hon'ble Mr. C. J. Weir

Read the following:-

Letter from R. H. Shipley Esquire I.C.S.,
Collector of Coimbatore,

To - the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue,
Madras.

Dated - 25th July 1906,

No. - D. Dis. 2260/Rev. of 1906.

Adverting to paragraph 3 of Board's Proceedings No. 1364,
Routine, dated 18th May 1906, I have the honour to submit the
following for the orders of the Board.

2. The revised notification published in page 408 of the
Fort Saint George Gazette dated 3rd July 1906

2
tion exemption only for 3 months in the case of acting, subprotem temporary vacancies, and Divisional Officers are similarly empowered in respect of their divisions except that they cannot grant such exemptions in the case of clerks in their own offices. In the notification, Matriculates and F. As. without Hand-writing Test and persons who have passed no examination at all are treated alike. F.As. and Matriculates often find it difficult to pass the Hand-writing and Dictation test. The number of passes is very small though the examination is held twice a year. But it is found in practice that men who write tolerably neat hand frequently fail in this examination. It is very difficult to find Matriculates and F.As. with Hand-writing and Dictation tests for acting vacancies. I think that some distinction should be made between University men (Matriculates and F.As. without Hand-writing and Dictation test) and those who have passed no examination at all. I would suggest that the former might be appointed in acting, subprotem and temporary vacancies on Rs. 15 subject to confirmation provided they secure a pass in the Hand-writing and dictation test eventually. I am also inclined to think that the Collectors and Divisional Officers may be safely entrusted with the power of selecting men who write well among F.As. and Matriculates without Hand-writing and dictation test. If this is done, it will save much correspondence between Collectors and the Board.

4. I beg to request that the Board will be pleased to move the Government to empower Collectors and Divisional Officers to exempt without limit of time F.As. and Matriculates without Hand-writing and Dictation test for acting, subprotem and temporary appointments provided the pay and allowance do not exceed Rs. 15 per mensem.

5. In this connection it will not be out of place to add that the most reasonable way of dealing with this would be for the Senate of the Madras University to direct that examinees be marked for Hand-writing at the time of the examination.

Reference D. No. 272, dated 9th October 1906.

Director's letter read above will be submitted for

3
9 28
2. The Board agrees with Mr. Shipley in considering that a distinction may be made between University men (Matriculates and First-in-Arts men without the Handwriting and Dictation test) and those who have passed no examination, and accordingly supports his recommendation that Collectors and Divisional Officers may be empowered to exempt the former from the Hand-writing and Dictation test without limit of time for acting, subprotem and temporary appointments provided the pay and allowance do not exceed Rs. 15 per mensem. The suggestion that in the University Matriculation and F. A. Examinations the examinees should be marked for handwriting also commends itself to the Board. A statement showing the total number of men, exempted by the Board from the general Educational tests during 1905 and the number exempted from the Hand-writing and dictation test only is enclosed.

S. Siva pranam
for Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Educational Department,
with a statement.

C.B.M. 11/10.

PCB
11/10

D 272

4

Statement Showing exemptions granted to Candidates from examination rules in the Presidency by the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue) during

Serial number	Name of the District	Number of Boards Proceeding	Number of exemptions from handwriting & Dictation test	Number of exemptions of unpassed Candidates	Total number of individuals
1	Ganjam	43	22	46	68
2	Vizagapatam	26	20	8	28
3	Gollavari	44	31	31	62
4	Kistna	76	36	72	108
5	Guntur	57	23	36	59
6	Nellore	59	20	50	70
7	Anantapur	34	7	33	40
8	Buddapah	48	14	44	58
9	Kurnool	51	6	51	57
10	Chingleput	8	4	4	8
11	Madras	1	"	1	1
12	North Arcot	44	24	33	57
13	South Arcot	9	5	4	9
14	Coimbatore	14	6	9	15
15	Madura	5	3	2	5
16	Tanjore	1	1	"	1
17	Trichinopoly	1	1	"	1
18	Salem	47	25	37	62
19	Nilgiris	2	"	2	2
20	Bellary	53	10	59	69
21	Tinnevely	10	19	4	23
22	South Canara	8	4	6	10
23	Malabar.	15	11	9	20
Total		656	292	541	833

29200/35
249 9
4110
4165

In Witness whereof
for Secretary

NOTE FILE.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. 85, EDUCATIONAL, DATED
20 February 1906. DECEMBER 1905.

[SUBJECT.—*The suggestion of the Collector of Coimbatore that Collectors and Divisional Officers may be empowered to exempt Matriculates and F.A.'s from the Handwriting test for employment in acting, sub. pro tem. and temporary appointments on Rs. 15 per mensem without limit of time.*]

From the Board of Revenue, Land Revenue, dated 9th October 1906, No. 272.

In the Public service notification Collectors are empowered to sanction the exemption of unpassed candidates for employment in acting, sub. *pro tem.* and temporary vacancies when the vacancies do not exceed 3 months in duration and when the pay available is not more than Rs. 15 per mensem—Article 4 (4)—and Divisional officers are similarly empowered to grant exemptions in respect of their divisions but not in their own offices—Article 4 (6). In the notification, Matriculates and F.A.'s without the Handwriting and Dictation test and persons who have passed no examination at all are treated alike. The Collector of Coimbatore (Mr. R. H. Shipley) states that it is found in practice that men who write a tolerably neat hand frequently fail in the Handwriting and Dictation test, and that consequently, it has become very difficult to find qualified men for filling up acting vacancies. He is therefore of opinion that Collectors and Divisional officers may be safely entrusted with the power of selecting men who write a good hand, from F.A.'s and Matriculates without the Handwriting and Dictation test for employment in acting, sub. *pro tem.* and temporary vacancies on Rs. 15 subject to confirmation when they pass the test. He also suggests that Collectors and Divisional officers may be entrusted with the power of exempting such men without any limit of time.

2. From the statement enclosed with the Board's letter it is seen that 833 persons were exempted by the Board from the examination rules during 1905 for employment in acting vacancies and of this number 292 persons or over 35 per cent. were exempted from the Handwriting and Dictation test. So if the suggestion meets with the approval of Government there will be a considerable saving in the correspondence between Collectors and the Board. The Board supports the Collector's recommendation that Collectors and Divisional officers may be empowered to exempt Matriculates and F.A.'s from the Handwriting and Dictation test, for employment in acting, sub. *pro tem.* and temporary appointments on Rs. 15 per mensem without limit of time.

3. The Collector also suggests that in the Matriculation and F.A. examinations This may be extracted and made the subject of the examinees be marked for Handwriting at the time of the examination, a separate current. This suggestion, involving, as it does, the abolition of the present Handwriting and Dictation test may be separately considered.

P.K.M.—30-10-06.

P. T. THARYAN—30-10-06.

For orders.

C. G. MACKAY—31-10-06.

I see no serious objection to granting Collectors the extended power of exemption suggested in respect of F.A.'s and Matriculates—but that power should be restricted to posts on Rs. 15 and might perhaps be limited to one year in duration and so long as passed men are not available.

If unlimited power for indefinite periods is granted we shall find that Collectors will retain a "useful" unpassed man, even though passed men are available, and will then after a few years service apply for permanent exemption on the ground that the man has worked well and his "case" is a hard one.

But, if we grant Collectors this power, it will probably have to be granted to other departments also.

H. D. TAYLOR—1-11-06.

Revenue Department for remarks.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

There appears to be no objection to the acceptance of the proposal at A on page 1.

2. The extended power may, it is submitted, be also granted to the officers in the Revenue Department specified in clauses (5)—(8) of article 4 of the Public Service Notification.

C. A. INNES—10-11-06.

Revenue may agree as above. The statement of exemptions granted by the Board is instructive. Salem and North Arcot (and to a less degree Tinnevely) exhibit a large number of exemptions among Central and Southern districts.

A. G. CARDEW—12-11-06.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Please draft for approval.

H. D. TAYLOR—13-11-06.

The draft placed below is submitted for approval.

R.N.—19-11-06.

P. T. THARYAN—19-11-06.

C. G. MACKAY—20-11-06.

H. D. TAYLOR—21-11-06.

Issue with the addition I have inserted as to insisting upon a clear, distinct and legible handwriting, independent of the examination.

G. S. F[ORBES]—25-11-06.

Revenue have accepted, but this affects other departments also and should be circulated for acceptance to Public, Judicial and Public Works Department.

Has not the Customs department now been imperialised? Are clerks on Customs establishment now on the Provincial establishment? If not, should not reference to Customs go out?

H. D. TAYLOR—27-11-06.

To the Revenue ✓
To the Public
To the Judicial
To the Public Works } Department.

[G.O., No. , Educational, dated December 1906.]

Ex. No. 9222

REVENUE-B
1347

To Secy

Submitted that the Imperial Customs service includes at present only the Collr of Customs, Madras, and assistant Collectors at the Madras port. As regards the (1) other establishments of the Collr of Customs and (2) the Customs establishments in the mofussal, their appointments are still continue to be in the hands of the Local Govt, the Bd of Rev, the Collr of Customs and by and assistant Commissioners of the Salt, Akkari & Customs Dept, though their pay is debitable to Imperial. The reference to customs in the Public Service Notification may therefore stand

2 Since the apph of the Collr of Customs, Madras, is now distinct from that of the Collr of Madras, it is submitted that clause (4) of para 4 of the Public Service Notification may be amended so as to include also the Collr of Customs, Madras.

bal
22-12-06

Unnecessary in Madras City, I think
22.12.06

ed. uo.

Para 5 of Govt of India
Resolution of 13-14-06
8072 R
22-1-06

del. 20.10.700 Pub. of 21-5-06

10-7 gffs

Rev. uo. 1347 13/12/06
22/12

3

R

674

B 48

From the West

The draft may be
accepted in Public.

Cs
17-12-16 Please put up Public
Source Notification.

~~Under~~ I don't understand how the draft Note carries out the proposal referred to in the draft order. Is the draft order quite clear? 4/20.12.06

from the U.S.

Public Service Notification

5. *submitted*

The portion of the draft order which relates to handwriting is apparently intended to be an instruction to Collection & divisional officers. The draft notification carries out the proposal regarding limits.

But then the
only thing they
have not passed is
handwriting?
H

1/ time for the appt. of Candidates who have passed the Matriculation or F.A. examination

C₁₂
26.12.86 Submitted that the proposed amendment of the rules by the insertion of proviso is clumsy. It would seem better to alter the

785 1658
rules [Exemption clauses in Art 4]
themselves and say (1) Collectors
etc may exempt matriculates and
F.A's & (undergraduates) from
handwriting for one year (2) may
grant other exemptions for 3 months.

2. Is it intended (as would
appear from the draft order) to
give the extended powers only to
Collectors and Divisional Officers
or (as would appear from the
draft Notⁿ) also to D^y Judges,
Superintending Engineers etc?

3. The draft order says "the
proposal" is approved: which should
mean that the Board's proposal
is approved. But Gov^t have not
approved the Board's proposal; they
have modified it.

420.1206

Educational Co.

I agree with Mr Collett that
the alterations proposed in the
rules are somewhat clumsy
but that is a matter for Edu^l
There seems to be no reason why
the power of exemption should

[Faint, mostly illegible handwritten text, possibly bleed-through from the reverse side.]

[Faint handwritten notes at the bottom left of the page.]

DEPARTMENT. [] G. a. 0.000-17-3-04

C. No. _____ From the _____, Dated _____ 1901

89 b

not be given to the authorities mentioned in para 4 (5) & 4 (6) of the list, but it would be as well to expand the p.v. so as to cover the different classes of officers mentioned in the list.

22.12.06

Pubuo \$ 4886-1
22-12-06

22/12/06

He asked

[A large diagonal line is drawn across the right page.]

[Faint handwritten notes at the bottom of the right page.]

N. 20th 4564

to 97

16 3

Judicial Department

To Asst: Secy.

Jud. is concerned with the extended powers - proposed to be conferred on St Judges - vide Rule 4 (5) of Public Service Notification. There appears it is said, to be no objection to St. Judges' exercising these powers. The proposed draft may be accepted in Jud & the file returned to Sol.

page 7 of notip
Jud. - S

The draft will require
alteration of 4.5
to apply to Jud.

20/12/06

W.A.
19.12.06

To Asst: Secy.

The instructions contained in lines 2-5 of the draft should apparently apply to all officers mentioned in clauses 4, 5, 6, 7 & 8 of article 4 of the Public Service Notification. Perhaps the words "The officers mentioned in clauses 4, 5, 6, 7 & 8 of article 4 of the Public Service Notification" may be substituted for "Collectors and Divisional Officers" in line 2 of the draft.

For orders.

W.A.
20.12.06

With the alterations proposed
the draft may be accepted.

21/12/06

page 7 of notip
at S

It is also pointed out
that the word 'proposed'
& 'approved' are not quite
accurate.

There is no connection with the
affairs of the Public

There is no connection with the
affairs of the Public

The draft is not
in the hands of the
Public

The draft is not
in the hands of the
Public

C. No. , From the , No. , Dated , 190 .

There is no connection with the
affairs of the Public

DEPARTMENT.

[3]
G. 3

h

10 8.

8 P

I have just noted much the same
effect in Public

1-3
221207

Wid. Pub. no. 4886-1
22 12 16

Just. & A. Q. 6675-1
2/1/07

See no.
1/3/07



6/1/07

11/12/9. 189 P. 2nd

2 Under Secretary.

The draft order may be accepted in his Dept.

Cy.
10.10.7

accepted in R.W.D.

100
w/c

13.10.7

11/11/07

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL
13 JAN 1907

Educational Department

The draft order and the notification have been accepted in the P.W.D. without any comment. The Revenue Dept. state, for the reasons mentioned in page 3 supra, that the reference to 'Customs' in clause (5) & (7) of Article 4 of the Public Service notification may stand and that it is unnecessary to amend clause 4 so as to include also the Collector of Customs, Madras. There is also a reference to the Public Service and Judicial. It is pointed out: - (1) that the proposed

C. No. , From the , No. , Dated , 190

DEPARTMENT.

[3]

P

vide Mr. Falletti's note
on page 4 of note file.

the paragraph 2 of
Mr. Falletti's note on page
5 of note file.

paragraph 3 of de. do.
also judicial note on
page 7.

12 13 10 19 10 P

amendment of the rules by the
insertion of provisos is clumsy.
(2) That in the notification extended
powers are given to District Judges,
Superintending Engineers etc, while in the ^{draft}
order only Collection and Divisional
officers are asked to be careful not to
sanction the employment in a clerical
post of anyone whose handwriting is
indistinct or slovenly; and

(3) That the Govt. have not approved
the proposal of the Board, as stated
in the ^{draft} order, but have on the other
hand, modified it.

2. Orders are solicited as to whether
the alteration suggested by the Under-
Secretary, Public Dept. in ^{para 1 of his} note on
pages 6 & 5 supra may be carried out.
Submitted that the order may be ^{modified}
~~by substituting~~ ^{by substituting} ~~the following~~ ^{the following}
~~for the order as it now stands:~~

"The following notification will be for
"ble published in the Port. & George Gazette
"The officers mentioned in clauses 4, 5,
"6, 7, and 8 of article 4 of the Public
"Service notification should be careful
"not to sanction the employment in a
"clerical post of anyone whose handwriting

13th

✓

118

" is indistinct or slovenly. The first
qualification in a public servant
whose duties are clerical is a clear
and perfectly legible handwriting.

PKO 21/1/07 regards the notification it is for
consideration whether it may stand as it
is or should be modified as suggested by
Mr Galletti in paragraphs of his note on page
5 of note file.

PKO 21-1-07 Mr Galletti's suggestions
are a great improvement.
The provisos to clause 4
are very clumsy. Revise
accordingly.

Ces

28/1/07

A revised draft is submitted below
for approval.

PKO

2-2-07

Lee.

Ces

4-2-07

PKO 2-2-07

Please put up the original draft as approved

of the H.C.

PKO

5-2-07

Please see the paper flagged F.

PKO

5-2-07

For approval.

The power of granting exemption in the case
referred to has been specifically extended to

PKO

Revised clause 4

Revised PKO

28/1/07

Office of the Law Collection & Divisional Officers
 who were especially referred to in the original
 draft as revised by the Hon. Member. The
 entire rule has been recast in a more
 convenient form

Wm.

Wm.
 5-2-57

The Rule is far from clear. Are the "unpassed" candidates who may be exempted for 3 months, to be exempted? ~~from the handwriting test~~ If it is the test in Art 1 of the Rule why is this power of exemption jumbled up with the exemption of undergraduates from the handwriting test? or is it this test only which is in view throughout

What is the distinction between an "unpassed candidate" & an "undergraduate". May not an undergraduate be an unpassed candidate?

Wm.
 5-2-57

By an unpassed candidate, a candidate who has not passed the habilitation examⁿ

indicated. Raticulate. 7th are imposed
candidates only insofar as they have not
passed the Handwriting & Dictation tests.
Belt use the term 'Raticulate' & 7th
instead of 'Undergraduate' Please revise.
I do not think that any imposed
candidate who has not raticulated
could fall within the strict meaning of
the term 'undergraduate'. That term
itself seems to imply 'raticulation'.
Graduates are exempt from the Handwriting
& Dictation Test.

^{revised}
A draft order is placed below for approval.
2. The proposed draft would ^{have the existing} clauses (4) to (8) of
Article 4 of the Public Service Notification,
as they are, and at the same time carry out
the orders of Govt in regard to the exemption
of Candidates from the Handwriting and
Dictation test by the insertion of a new
clause, as clause (9). This simplifies matters
and would meet the objection raised by the
H.M. at A on page 12 Supra.

^{13.2.07} Sec ^{15.2.07} Ch
H.M. Geo
J. Brown
[Signature]

Board of Revenue

Telephonic Message from the Secretary, Land Revenue, to the Secretary to Government, Educational Department, dated 2nd April 1907.

M

Please see G.O. No. 85, dated 20th February 1907. The effect is to enlarge the powers of sanction of the Collectors and the Divisional Officers in ordinary tracts in respect of Matrics and F.A.'s. But the powers of the Collectors of Ceded Districts, Ganjam, Vizagapatam and the Nilgiris which are larger generally than those of the Collectors of ordinary tracts will not be extended. Should not clause 9 refer to "the offices mentioned in clauses 4--8" instead of only "the offices mentioned in clauses 4--6".

It is submitted that the statement above that the powers of the Collector and ^{the} Revenue divisional officers of Ganjam, Vizagapatam, the Ceded districts and the Nilgiris, will not be extended, does not appear to be accurate. The officers mentioned in clauses (7) and (8) are included in the officers mentioned in clause (4) to (6). ~~of~~ There ^{appears to be} no objection to referring in clause (9) to "the officers mentioned in clauses (4) to (8)", but the change appears to be superfluous.

Pkor
3-4-07

clauses (4) to (6) of the notification flaged V apply generally to all Collectors, Sr. Judges Revenue Divisional officers & other officers, including those in the Special localities referred to in clauses (7) & (8). ~~It is~~ The notification published under G.O. 85 Edue. dated 20th February 1907, gave extended powers to all Collectors & other officers appointing Matriculates or First in Arts Men, who ~~had~~ ^{have} not passed the handwriting test, in temporary posts on Rs 15 for periods not exceeding twelve months and applies to the Collectors & other officers specified in all the districts including those mentioned in clauses (7) & (8). It does not therefore appear that any alteration is necessary in the notification already published. May the Secretary, Board of Revenue, Land Revenue, be informed accordingly?

ADP
24.07

Sec.

The above view seems correct.

Chd
4.4.7.

Yes. I see no difficulty

ADP
4.4.7

To the A.S. for perusal of Secretary's remarks

ADP
24.07

Handwritten text in Devanagari script, likely a letter or official communication. The text is dense and covers most of the page.

Handwritten text at the bottom of the left page, possibly a signature or additional notes.

3 18 24

Please inform the Board accordingly by telephone.

C.A.S.
5-4-07.

The following telephone may be despatched to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Land Revenue :-

Reference your telephonic message of the 2nd instant. Clauses (4) to (6) of para.4 of the Public Service notification apply generally to all Collectors District Judges, Revenue Divisional officers and other Officers including those in the special localities referred to in clauses (7) and (8). The extended powers given in the notification published under G.O.No.85 Edl., dated 20th February 1907 apply to Collectors and other officers in all the Districts including those mentioned in clauses (7) and (8) of the Public Service notifications and no alteration seems thus to be necessary in the notification already published.

sent
8A
5-4-07

P.K.M.
5-4-07.

K.R.
5-4-07.

Cur.No.1701, From the Board of Revenue, L.R., No.272, dated 9th October 06.

ORDER :- No. dated 1907.

The following Notification will be published in the Fort St. George Gazette.

NOTIFICATION.

The following shall be substituted for clauses (4), (5), (6), (7) and (8) of Article 4 of the Public Service notification:-

" (4) Collectors of Districts may "exempt unpassed candidates for a "period not exceeding three months "for employment in acting and sub-"protem vacancies and in temporary "posts and under-graduates from the "handwriting and dictation test for a "period ^{not exceeding} of one year when the acting "allowance exclusive of any local "allowance is not more than Rs.15 per "mensem, provided that bona fide "efforts have been made without suc-"cess to obtain passed candidates.

" (5) District Judges, Superintend-"ing Engineers, the Examiner of Public "Works Accounts, ^{Judges} Madras Conservators "of Forests and Deputy and Assistant "Commissioners of the Salt, Abkari "and ~~the~~ Customs Department may exempt "unpassed men for a period not

exceeding

18 20
2.

76
"exceeding three months, and under-
"graduates from the hand-writing and
"~~the~~ dictation test for a period not
"exceeding one year for employment
"in acting and sub-protem vacancies and
"in temporary posts when the acting
"allowance exclusive of any local
"allowance is not more than Rs.15 per
"mensem provided that ~~the~~ bona fide
"efforts have been made without
"success to obtain passed candidates."

" (6) Revenue Divisional Officers
"may exempt unpassed men for a period
"not exceeding three months and under-
"graduates from ^{the} handwriting and dicta-
"tion test for a period not exceeding
"one year for employment in acting
"and subprotem vacancies and in tem-
"porary posts in Taluk Offices or
"on the establishment of Sub-Magistrates'
"Courts, but ^{not} in their own offices,
"when the acting allowance exclusive
"of any local allowance is not more
"than Rs.15 per mensem, provided that
"bona fide efforts have been made
"without success to obtain passed
"candidates."

" (7) In Ganjam, Vizagapatam, the
"ceded districts and the Nilgris,
"Collectors and Deputy Commissioners
"of the Salt, Abkari and Customs
"Department may exempt unpassed
"candidates for a period not exceeding
"three

3.

"three months and under-graduates
"from the handwriting and dictation
"test for a period not exceeding one
"year for employment in acting and
"subprotem vacancies and in temporary
"posts in the Revenue and Magisterial
"Departments and in the Salt, Abkari
"and Customs Department respectively
"when the acting allowance exclusive
"of any local allowance is not more
"than Rs.15 per mensem provided that
"bona fide efforts have been made
"without success to obtain passed
"candidates."

" (8) In Ganjam, Vizagapatam, the
"Ceded districts and the Nilgiris
"Revenue Divisional Officers may
"exempt unpassed men for a period
"not exceeding three months and under-
"graduates from the hand writing and
"the dictation test for a period not
"exceeding one year for employment
"in acting and subprotem vacancies
"and in temporary posts whether in
"their own office or in Taluk Offices
"or on the establishment of Sub-
"magistrates' Courts when the acting
"allowance exclusive of any local
"allowance is not more than Rs.15 per
"mensem provided that bona fide efforts
"have been made without success to
"obtain passed candidates."

4.

2. The Officers concerned should be careful not to sanction the employment in a clerical post of any one whose handwriting is indistinct or slovenly. The first qualification in a public servant whose duties are clerical is a clear and perfectly legible handwriting.

Recd
2.2.07
2.2.07

Cler
4.2.07
1/2
5.2.07

Papers to be read in Proceedings:—

Again G. O., No. , dated 190 .

Again G. O., No. , dated 190 .

Current No. 1701 From the *Rev. L.R.* No. 272, dated 9. 10. 1906

" " " " " "

" " " " " "

ORDER No. 85, dated 20-2-1907.

The following notification will
be published in the Fort St. George
Gazette:—

NOTIFICATION.

The following shall be added as
clause (9) of Article 4 of the Public
Service notification:—

(9) The officers mentioned in clauses
(4) to (6) supra may also sanction
the employment of candidates, who
have passed the Matriculation Examina-
tion or the First Examination in Arts,
but not the Handwriting and Dictation
test, in acting and sub-protem vacancies
and in temporary posts

(a) when the vacancy does not exceed
twelve months in duration.

(b) .

2.

22-26
30 48

(b) when the acting allowance, ~~ex~~clusive of any local allowance, is not more than Rs.15 per mensem, Provided that bona fide efforts have been made without success to obtain qualified candidates.

2. The Officers concerned should be careful not to sanction the employment in a clerical post of any one whose handwriting is indistinct or slovenly. The first qualification in a public servant whose duties are clerical is a clear and perfectly legible handwriting.

RD
13.2.07

Cus
15.2.07

Hos
16.2.07

To
The D.P.G.

" C.G.E.

All departments of the Secretariat
The Public Department

" Judicial ~~do~~

" Revenue ~~do~~

" Public Works ~~do~~

" D.P.G. } Dept
" C.G.E. } 13.3.07
" S.E.M. }

Dept
8.3.07
Gazette
20/9

1,000-2-3-06

Educational DEPARTMENT.

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, dated _____ 190_____

190

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 1701, From the Board of Revenue, L.R., No. 272, dated 9. 6. 1906

" " " "

" " " " 190

190

ORDER.

~~Ex~~ P. 5.

No., dated 190

The proposal is approved and the following ^{below} notifications will be

published in the Fort St. George Gazette.

Notification

The following proviso shall be added to Clauses 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8 of Article 4 of the Public Service Notification -

* Provided also that in the case of Candidates who have passed the Matriculation Examination or the Irish Examination in Arts, the limit of time specified in sub clause (a) shall be extended to one year."

$$\begin{array}{r} 187 \\ \hline 19 \overline{) 2106} \end{array}$$

29/11/16

Chen

20-11-06

31-11-16

1. At the same time ~~name~~ ^{be} ~~be~~
2. Divulge ^{the} ~~the~~ ^{members} ~~members~~ in.
careful ^{of} ~~of~~ ^{anyone} ~~anyone~~ ^{who} ~~who~~ ^{does} ~~does~~ ^{not} ~~not ^{indicate} ~~indicate~~
a clerical ~~work~~ ^{handwriting} is ~~not~~ ^{clear} ~~clear~~ ^{of} ~~of~~ ^{indication} ~~indication~~
legible ^{or} ~~or~~ ^{slovenly} ~~slovenly~~. The first ^{a.}
qualification in a public
servant whose duties are clerical
is a clear ~~distinct~~ ^{perfectly}
legible handwriting~~

87 257

copy sent to Press.

ned for signature.

atched in print.

AIN G.O. No.
120

DAIED

NUMBER OF COPIES
REQUIRED

Order complete

Letter only

Order complete with Letter.

125 copies

J. de

Lo. No. 1701-2.11

Art No.

1701 — Already in type

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

to

Proof please

8/1

20/1/07

A

OF GOVERNMENT

TO GOVERNMENT

MENT WITH DITTO.

IS NOT FILED IN, BEING KEPT FOR DEPUTY
IN OFFICE.

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.