Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Recd. } 1907. *Enclosures*

Regd. *Spare copies*

G.O., &c., Nos. 80, 81, 15th February 1907.

Daily Allowance.

Stating that the Government do not consider that the Deputy Director of Public Instruction is entitled to a higher rate of — than that fixed for the other members of the Indian Educational Service.

[illegible] Read

Educational (1907) Department.

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O., No. 8081

DATED 15-2-7

10

[Despatch Abstract.]

Allowance - Stating that the Govt do not consider that the Dy Director of Public Instruction is entitled to a higher rate of daily allowance than that fixed for the other members of the Indian Educational Service.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

V

Recd. } 1907. *Enclosures*

Regd. *Spare copies*

G.O., &c., Nos. 80, 81, 15th February 1907.

Daily Allowance.

Stating that the Government do not consider that the Deputy Director of Public Instruction is entitled to a higher rate of — than that fixed for the other members of the Indian Educational Service.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following paper:—

Letter—from W. Dobbie, Esq., Accountant-General.
To—the Secretary to Government, Educational Department.
Dated—Madras, the 20th December 1906.
No.—G. 1537/17080.

I have the honour to state that Mr. E. W. Middlemast, an officer of the Indian Educational Service, who holds the appointment of Deputy Director of Public Instruction, claimed daily allowance at Rs. 5 per diem for the journeys made by him in November 1906, but was allowed by my office daily allowance at Rs. 4 per diem only, the rate fixed for all the other officers holding regular appointments in the Indian Educational Service—*vide* entry No. 93, Appendix 25 to the Civil Service Regulations. Mr. Middlemast, however, contends that as his appointment is not included among those detailed in the appendix, he, as a first-class officer, is entitled to daily allowance at Rs. 5 under article 1063 of the Regulations.

The appointment of Deputy Director of Public Instruction, which was created long after the reorganization of the Educational Department, carries the same rate of pay and personal allowance as the regular appointments in the Indian Educational Service and it does not seem to have been intended that a higher rate of daily allowance should be paid to the holder than has been fixed for other members of the Indian Educational Service.

In the circumstances, I respectfully request that a reference on the point may be made to the Government of India with a view to the correct rate of daily allowance admissible being fixed and, if necessary, included in the appendix to the Regulations.

Order—No. 80, Educational, dated 15th February 1907.

The Government do not consider that the Deputy Director of Public Instruction is entitled to a higher rate of daily allowance than that fixed for the other members of the Indian Educational Service.

2. A copy of these Proceedings will be submitted to the Government of India for information.

(True Extract.)

H. D. Taylor,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Accountant-General.
,, Director of Public Instruction.
,, Financial Department.

Endorsement—No. 81, Educational, dated 15th February 1907.

Copy to the Government of India, Home Department, for information.

(By Order.)

C. A. Souter,
Asst. Secretary to Government.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., &c., Nos. 80, 81, EDUCATIONAL, DATED 15th FEBRUARY 1907.

[Subject.—*The rate of daily allowance for the Deputy Director of Public Instruction.*]

Previous papers.

G.O., No.	479, *Educational*,	10*th August*	1896.
,, ,,	121, ,,	5*th March*	1902.
G.Os. Nos.	24, 25, ,,	22*nd January*	1903.

From the Accountant-General, dated 20th December 1906, No. 1537/17080 G.

The Accountant-General (Mr. Dobbie) states that Mr. Middlemast, Deputy Director of Public Instruction, claimed daily allowance at Rs. 5 per diem for the journeys made by him in November 1906, but was allowed only Rs. 4 per diem, which is the rate fixed for officers of the Indian Educational Service (*vide* entry No. 93 in Appendix 25 to the Civil Service Regulations), but that Mr. Middlemast contends that as his appointment is not included among those detailed in Appendix 25 to the Civil Service Regulations, he, as a first-class officer, is entitled to daily allowance at Rs. 5, under article 1063 of the Civil Service Regulations.

2. The Accountant-General further points out that the appointment of Deputy Director of Public Instruction, which was created long after the reorganization of the Educational Department in 1896, carries the same rate of pay and personal allowance as the regular appointments in the Indian Educational Service and that it does not seem to have been intended that a higher rate of daily allowance should be paid to the holder of the above office than has been fixed for other members of the Indian Educational Service.

Vide G.O., No. 479, Educational, dated 10th August 1896.

3. The Accountant-General therefore requests that a reference on the point may be made to the Government of India with the view of fixing the correct rate of daily allowance admissible and, if necessary, including it in the Appendix to the Civil Service Regulations.

4. The appointment of Deputy Director of Public Instruction is an appointment in the Indian Educational Service—*vide* paragraph 1 of Despatch from the Secretary of State, No. 121, Public (Educational), dated 7th November 1902, printed with G.O., Nos. 24, 25, Educational, dated 22nd January 1903. This appointment, apparently because it was not in existence when the last edition of the Civil Service Regulations was issued, does not find a place among the various appointments of the Indian Educational Service mentioned in Appendix 25 to the Civil Service Regulations. It does not therefore appear that there is much force in the Deputy Director's argument that as this appointment is not included in those detailed in the Appendix, he is entitled to a higher rate of daily allowance than that fixed for officers of the Indian Educational Service.

C. G. Mackay.

5. It is, however, for consideration whether before making a reference to the Government of India, the Director of Public Instruction may be asked to state whether it is necessary to allow the Deputy Director a higher rate of daily allowance than other members of the Indian Educational Service.

T.K.—5-1-07.

K Rangaswami Aiyangar—7-1-07.

The Deputy Director seems to have no real claim to higher daily allowance than other officers of the Indian Educational Service. There seems to be no need to refer to the Director of Public Instruction on that point.

H. D. TAYLOR.

The reference to the Government of India seems hardly necessary.

H. D. TAYLOR.

C. G. MACKAY—7-1-07.

Ordinarily the Deputy Director is intended to be a stationary officer. It is not intended that he should tour. The appointment was created in order to relieve the Director of the ordinary current duties of his office and to give him more time to go on tour, and greater freedom when on tour.

G.O., No. 121, Educational, dated 5th March 1902.

2. Why exactly the Deputy Director went on tour in this particular case is not stated.

H. D. TAYLOR—8-1-07.

To the Financial Department.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

Submitted that this department may agree with Educational. Copy of the order passed on this case might go to India for information. They would then probably place the point beyond doubt in the Civil Service Regulations.

C. M. SCHMIDT—19-1-07.

H. BRADLEY—19-1-07.

Send now to the Educational Member.

H. BRADLEY—19-1-07.

G. S. F[ORBES]—20-1-07.

Return to Educational.

H. BRADLEY—22-1-07.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Please draft.

H. D. TAYLOR—24-1-07.

A draft order is submitted for approval.

T.K.—24-1-07.

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—24-1-07.

C. A. SOUTER—25-1-07.

H. D. TAYLOR—29-1-07.

G. S. F[ORBES]—30-1-07.

Issue.

H. D. TAYLOR—31-1-07.

[G.O., &c., Nos. 80, 81, Educational, dated 15th February 1907.]

[E.]

1,000—6-9-05.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

CURRENT FILE.

CONTENTS.

From	Number.	Date.	Pages.
[2084] The Accountant-General	1597/1708	20-12-06	1 & 2

[P. T. O. FOR PUT UP PAPERS.

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No.

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number.	Date.	Original (=O) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers as have been removed for copying, printing, etc., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of Referencer with date in token of return to Reference department.

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number.	Date.	Original (=O.) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Despatcher to note the return of the papers to the departments concerned.

Read

Edl CURRENT No. 2094

Stock Form No. 135
3,750—17-6-05.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL
21 DEC 1906
SECRETARIAT

Received 21-12-1906, Registered 21-12-1906.

Subject.

Requesting to make reference to the Govt. of India with a view to the correct rate of daily allowance admissible to Mr. Middlemast, Dy. Director of Public Instruction, Madras, & if necessary to include the same in the appendix to the Regulations.

ENCLOSURES.
No.
Spare copies

No. G. 1537
17080

Station Madras, Dated the 20 December 1906.

From (Name) W. Dobbie Esq.,
(Designation) Accountant-General,

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Educational Department.

Sir,

I have the honour to state that Mr. E. W. Middlemast, an officer of the Indian Educational Service, who holds the appointment of Deputy Director of Public Instruction, claimed daily allowance at Rs.5 per diem for the journeys made by him in November 1906, but was allowed by my office, daily allowance at Rs.4 per diem only, the rate fixed for all the other officers holding regular appointments in the Indian Educational Service — Vide entry No.93, Appendix 25 to the Civil Service Regulations. Mr. Middlemast, however, contends that as his appointment is not included among those detailed in the Appendix, he as a first class officer is entitled to daily allowance at Rs.5 under Article 1063 of the Regulations.

p. 42 of the book furnished

The

The appointment of Deputy Director of Public Instruction, which was created long after the reorganization of the Educational Department, carries the same rate of pay and personal allowance as the regular appointments in the Indian Educational Service and it does not seem to have been intended that a higher rate of daily allowance should be paid to the holder than has been fixed for other members of the Indian Educational Service.

In the circumstances, I respectfully request that a reference on the point may be made to the Government of India with a view to the correct rate of daily allowance admissible being fixed and, if necessary, included in the Appendix to the Regulations.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

L. Dobbie.

Accountant-General.

NOTES.

Note for No. 3 dated 2-2-07

3 Educational ~~Legal and Municipal~~ Department.

Cur. No.2084 from the Accountant General, No.G.1537/17080 dated 20-12-06

Subject.

The rate of daily allowance for the Deputy Director of Public Instruction.

Page 1 of 4

The Accountant General (Mr. Dobbie) states that Mr. Middlemast, Deputy Director of Public Instruction, claimed daily allowance at Rs.5 per diem for the journeys made by him in November 1906, but was allowed ~~daily allowance~~ only ~~at~~ Rs.4 per diem, which is the rate fixed for officers of the Indian Educational Service - Vide entry No.93 in appendix 25 to the Civil Service Regulations, but that Mr. Middlemast contends that as his appointment is not included among those detailed in Appendix 25 to the Civil Service Regulations, he, as a first class officer, is entitled to daily allowance at Rs.5, under Article 1063 of the Civil Service Regulations.

p. 42 of the book not put up.

Page 2 of 4

2. The Accountant-General further points out that the appointment of Deputy Director of Public Instruction, which was created long after the reorganization of the Educational Department in 1896, carries the same rate of pay and personal allowance as the regular appointments in the Indian Educational Service and that it does not seem to have been intended that a higher rate of daily allowance should be paid to the holder of the above office than has been fixed for other members of the Indian Educational Service.

Vide G.O. no. 479 Ed. dt. 10-8-96

3. The Accountant General therefore requests that

728

that a reference on the point may be made to the Government of India with the view of fixing the correct rate of daily allowance admissible and, if necessary, including it in the appendix to the Civil Service Regulations.

4. The appointment of Deputy Director of Public Instruction is an appointment in the Indian Educational Service - vide para.1 of Despatch from the Secretary of State No.121 Public (Educational) dated 7th November 1902 printed with G.O. Nos.24,25 Edl., dated 22nd January 1903. This appointment, apparently because it was not in existence when the last edition of the Civil Service Regulations was issued*, does not find a place among the various appointments of the Indian Educational Service mentioned in appendix 25 to the Civil Service Regulations. It does not therefore appear that there is much force in the Deputy Director's argument that as this appointment is not included in those detailed in the appendix, he is entitled to a higher rate of daily allowance than that fixed for officers of the Indian Educational Service.

5. It is, however, for consideration whether before making a reference to the Government of India the Director of Public Instruction may be asked to state whether it is necessary to allow the Deputy Director a higher rate of daily allowance than the other members of the Indian Educational Service.

FB 6-1-07

7.1.07

Secy. The Dy. Director seems to have no real claim to higher

M p.3

* in 1903 (fourth edition)

p 42 of the book put up

5 3/ 8 38

daily allowance than other officers of the Indian Educl. Service. There seems to be no need to refer to the S. of I. on that point.

No reference to the S. of I. seems hardly necessary.

2/1/07

Ordinarily the Dy. Director is intended to be a stationary officer. It is not intended that he should tour. The appointment was created in order to relieve the Director of the ordinary current duties of his office and to give him more time to go on tour, & greater freedom when on tour.

2 Why exactly the Dy. Director should or tour in this particular case is not stated

Gr. 1225. 5.2.02 Hy S

CHIEF SECRETARIAT 1 JAN 1907 MADRAS

Eddus 55-1 8.1.07

H.M. Theol Fin. Dept.

H.D. 8.1.07.

CURRENT NO. 0139 FINANCIAL Regd 9-1-07.

Financial dept.

The Directors of Public Instruction who were present at the Sidda Educational

13 4 15

C. 19.1.07
R. 21.1.07
R. 22.1.07

C. 21.1.07

Financial Department

8

Submitted that this Dept may agree with Educational. Copy of the order passed in this case might go to India for information they would then probably place the point beyond dispute in the C.S.R.

H.D.

Clerk
19.1.07

H.D
19.1.07

Send case to the Educational Member.

H.D 19.1.07

Return to Educational.

H.D
22.1.07

Final No. 139-1
23-1-07

Educational. W.O.

Edl. Dept.

Please draft

H.D 24.1.07

H.D
24.1.07

A draft order is submitted for approval.

Sec. Clerk
25.1.07

This was by the mistake of the peon placed on the assistant secretary's table instead of the Secretary's table - it was returned back to the Secretary's table as soon as it was found out.

24 JAN 1907
SECRETARIAT

T.H.
24.1.07.

H.D
24.1.07

H.D 25.1.07

30/1

[E. / 12.]
1,000—-10-11-06.

Read

7

10 Educational DEPARTMENT.

28

READ AGAIN G.O, No. . dated 190 .

" " " " , " 190 .

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 2084. From the A.G. , No. 1537/17080, dated 20-12- 1906.

" " , " " , " , " 190 .

" " , " " , " , " 190 .

ORDER.

P.S.

No. 80, dated 15-2- 190 7.

To be numbered in print 7/2/31-1-07

Desp 31/1/07

The Govt. do not consider that the Deputy Director of Public Instruction ~~should be allowed~~ is entitled to a higher rate of daily allowance than that fixed for the other members of the Indian Educational Service.

2. A copy of these proceedings will be submitted to the Govt. of I. for information.

24.1.07

29.1.07

To The A.G.
-- D.P.I.
- Final Debt.

Desp 22.2.07

Endt 81 dt 15-2-7

Copy to the Govt. of I. Home Dept for information.

Desp 25/2/07

7/2 24-1-07

25.2.07

29.1.07

First copy sent to Press

Returned for signature.

Despatched in print.

NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED: Order complete. 30
Letter only.
Order complete with Letter. 50

READ AGAIN G.O., No. 190 , DATED

CURRENT No. 2084 - A

Proof please.
H
3/7/07

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER TO GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED BY, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.