

Educational (1907) Department.

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O., No. 79

DATED 15.2.07

24

[Despatch Abstract.]

Special grants for University Education

on the subject of the ———. Recording papers

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Recd.

Regd.

} 1907.

Enclosures

Spare copies

G.O., No. 79, 15th February 1907.

Special grant for University Education.

Recording letter from the Director of Public Instruction on the subject of the utilization of the —.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following papers:—

I

G.O., No. 29, Educational, dated 18th January 1906.

II

Letter—from T. T. LOGAN, Esq., B.A., Acting Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

To—the Secretary to Government, Educational Department.

Dated—the 4th September 1906.

No.—R.O.C. No. 1/06.

With reference to paragraph 3 of G.O., No. 29, Educational, dated 18th January 1906, I have the honour to state that it does not appear that the Trustees of the Pachaiyappa's Charities have at present any scheme for providing Pachaiyappa's College with a hostel, and I doubt whether any other hostels are urgently required. From the notes left by Dr. Bourne I gather that he was of opinion that it would be better on the whole to defer action on the subject until the report on the inspection of colleges had been dealt with by the Syndicate and until the new regulations based on the recommendations made in the report had been drawn up by the Senate. It seems likely that the whole of the allotment of Rs. 80,000 will be required for colleges (first grade) to enable them to comply with the demands imposed by the new regulations. I agree with Dr. Bourne.

Order—No. 79, Educational, dated 15th February 1907.

Recorded.

(True Extract.)

H. D. TAYLOR,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. 79, EDUCATIONAL, DATED
15TH FEBRUARY 1907.

[SUBJECT.—Utilization of the special grant for University education.]

Previous papers.

G.O.,	190, Educational,	28th March	1905.
"	425, Financial,	15th June	"
"	519-20, Educational,	17th October	"
"	29	18th January	1906.
"	59	1st February	"
"	89	13th	"
"	301, Educational,	2nd April	"
"	273, Financial,	7th	"
"	371	26th May	"

From the Director of Public Instruction, dated 4th September 1906, No. C. 1.

The Government of India have up to date placed at the disposal of the Madras Government the following amounts for expenditure in grants-in-aid of University education:—

	RS.
For the year 1904-1905 (para. 4 of the letter from the Government of India read with G.O., No. 190, Educational, dated 28th March 1905)	70,000
For the year 1905-1906 (G.O., No. 29, Educational, dated 18th January 1906)	1,05,000
For the year 1906-1907 (G.O., No. 29, Educational, dated 18th January 1906)	1,05,000
Total ..	2,80,000

The Government of India have also promised Rs. 1,05,000 for each of the years 1907-1908 and 1908-1909 (G.O., No. 29, Educational, dated 18th January 1906).

2. Out of these amounts the following payments have been made to the University:—

	RS.	A.	P.
For meeting the travelling expenses of Fellows (G.O., No. 190, Educational, dated 28th March 1905)	5,000	0	0
For meeting the charges on account of the inspection of colleges—			
G.O., No. 425, Financial, dated 15th June 1905 ..	15,000	0	0
" 59, Educational, " 1st February 1906 ..	720	11	0
" 249, Financial, " 30th March " ..	151	10	0
" 301, Educational, " 2nd April " ..	500	0	0
" 371, " " 26th May " ..	10,000	0	0
Total ..	31,372	5	0

The Government have also indented for mathematical instruments for aided colleges costing about Rs. 38,500.

3. Out of Rs. 1,05,000 for each of the years 1905-1906 to 1908-1909, Rs. 25,000 is to be applied primarily to meet charges incurred for strengthening the administration of the University, inspection of colleges and the travelling of Fellows on University business. The balance of Rs. 80,000 is intended mainly for the improvement of colleges chiefly in those respects in which an inspection by the University shows them to be defective (para. 1 of G.O., No. 29, Educational, dated 18th January 1906).

4. In this Government Order it was stated that the allotments from the sum of Rs. 80,000 referred to would be devoted to the development of the hostel systems among first-grade colleges.

Para. 3 of G.O., No. 29, Educational, dated 18th January 1906. The Director of Public Instruction thought that there was pressing need for a hostel in connection with Pachaiyappa's College. The Director was accordingly requested to furnish Government with details of any scheme for a hostel which the Trustees of Pachaiyappa's College had in view and with information regarding the amount they were prepared to contribute towards its cost. The Director was also requested to submit similar information in regard to other colleges or institutions requiring aid for the provision of hostel accommodation or for other important improvements.

5. The Director now reports "that it does not appear that the Trustees of Pachaiyappa's Charities have at present any scheme for providing Pachaiyappa's College with a hostel" and he doubts "whether any other hostels are urgently required." From the notes left by Dr. Bourne it appears "that he was of opinion that it would be better on the whole to defer action on the subject until the report on the inspection of colleges had been dealt with by the Syndicate and until the new regulations based on the recommendations in the report had been drawn by the Senate." The Director adds "it seems likely that the allotment of Rs. 80,000 will be required for first-grade colleges to enable them to comply with the demands imposed by the new regulations."

6. Under the circumstances the question of the distribution of the grant has to lie over, but it is submitted that the University is moving very slowly in the matter.

P. T. THARYAN—13-9-06.

What becomes of the unspent balances? Do they lapse or may they accumulate?

C. G. MACKAY—16-9-06.

They lapse to the Provincial balance at present. They may be reallocated subsequently.

P. T. THARYAN—17-9-06.

Submitted that the Syndicate may be asked to deal with the report on colleges finally.

Whose balances?

H. D. TAYLOR—18-9-06.

From the notes with G.O., No. 29, Educational, dated 18th January 1906, apparently we can retain these grants in our balances ear-marked for education.

C. G. MACKAY—17-9-06.

Any lapses from the Educational budget lapse to general Provincial balance at the close of the year, and can only be reallocated with the consent of Financial. Educational cannot keep any balance apart from the general balance—

Financial keeps the money for us.

G. S. F[ORBES]—18-9-06.

though India would wish us to ear-mark unexpended balances from the various special grants for education.

2. The new Regulations must be awaited. They have to be issued by 31st December 1906.

H. D. TAYLOR—18-9-06.

But apart from the probability of Rs. 80,000 being required to strengthen colleges there still remains a large balance. I think we should consult Director of Public Instruction as to further expenditure.

Please draft.

G. S. F[ORBES]—18-9-06.

The attention of Director of Public Instruction should be called to the fact that the money is not intended for recurring expenditure—as the annual grant ceases after five years.

H. D. TAYLOR—20-9-06.

The following draft is submitted for approval:—

"It is observed that inclusive of the grant for the current financial year the Government of India have placed Rs. 2,80,000 up to date at the disposal of this Government for expenditure in grants-in-aid of University education. Of this amount about Rs. 31,400 have been paid to the University up to date and mathematical instruments costing Rs. 38,500 have been indented for. A balance of about Rs. 2,10,000 therefore remains and a further grant of Rs. 1,05,000 will be paid by the Government of India during each of the next two financial years.

"2. While Rs. 80,000 may be required for grants to first-grade colleges to enable them to comply with the demands imposed by the new University Regulations there will still remain a very large balance to be spent. The Director will be requested to consider and report as early as possible how the amount can be spent to the best advantage. As the grant will cease with the year 1908-1909 no proposal involving permanent and recurring expenditure will be admissible."

P. T. THARYAN—20-9-06.

C. G. MACKAY—21-9-06.

The draft proceeds on the assumption that the lapsed balances of the special grant-in-aid of University education will be replaced at the disposal of the Educational department when schemes for its expenditure are matured.

H. D. TAYLOR—21-9-06.

To the Financial Department for acceptance.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

Please examine the figures.

2. Put up the correspondence about the Educational budget for 1906-1907. India reduced our estimate first by 2 lakhs, and when we said that only 1.10 lakhs could be found, they cut us another lakh, and the provisions for spending the special grant for University and Collegiate education had to be almost entirely eliminated. We have the money in the balances but not in the budget, and this Government cannot allot from balances. There is, however, the reserve with Financial which will probably be able to meet any expenditure sanctioned this year.

C. M. SCHMIDT—27-9-06.

The figures have been checked.

2. Attention is solicited to the Financial department notes, dated 11th February 1906 and 5th March 1906, in the budget notes for 1906-1907 under the head 22. EDUCATION. The Honourable Member remarked:—"I think that what must be done is to reduce the minor heads by 3 lakhs If during the year we get an excess over the grant in Educational we can go to India to sanction a grant from balance if we cannot find the money otherwise."

3. In the budget estimate for 1906-1907 there is a provision of Rs. 20,000 under the head 22-A. EDUCATION—UNIVERSITY from which allotments aggregating Rs. 10,651-10-0 have been made. The cost of the mathematical instruments indented for from England is estimated at Rs. 38,500. An additional allotment of Rs. 29,151-10-0 will have to be made to the head 22. EDUCATION during 1906-1907 if this sum cannot be reappropriated within that major head. It is not certain that any further allotments will be required during the current year

Page 128, Civil Budget Estimate for 1906-1907.

Para. 2 of Educational office-note, dated 13th September 1906, on page 1 *supra*.

RS.	A.	P.	RS.	A.	P.
20,000	0	0	38,500	0	0
— 10,651	10	0	— 9,348	6	0
9,348	6	0	29,151	10	0

for expenditure on University and Collegiate education.

4. Attention is solicited to the notes of Honourable Member and of His Excellency the Governor (Lord Amthill) on pages 1-2 of K.W. No. 29, Educational, dated 18th January 1906, and to the last sentence of Home department letter No. 23, dated 18th January 1906. The expenditure to be incurred in each year must, it is

Page 3 of G.O., No. 89, Educational, dated 13th February 1906.

submitted, depend in the first instance on the provision made for the purpose in the budget estimate of the year.

V.G.K.—1-10-06.

C. M. SCHMIDT—2-10-06.

This is the vexed question of the grants and earmarking. I don't see any objection to the draft order. When the application comes to Finance for the money required Government will have to decide how much can be allotted.

M. HAMMICK—5-10-06.

A I think paragraph 1 of the draft should be omitted. As the foundation for this order it is only necessary to say that there is a large balance out of the grants made by India for University education and to call on the Director for proposals to spend it. I think also the B proposal to hand over the money to the University to endow a Chair should be pressed on the University and the Director of Public Instruction. We have plenty of money now and there would be no difficulty in getting rid of this liability in the next budget, but it must be remembered that this money can be allotted, as the Chief Secretary points out, only if and when available. Any call for it must be put in to the budget and it may or may not be possible to meet the call.

G. S[TOKE]—6-10-06.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

With reference to the Hon'ble Mr. Stokes' note at A, it is submitted that the Director of Public Instruction will not be in a position to submit complete proposals unless he knows how much money exactly is available or likely to be available.

2. With regard to B, we have not at present made any proposal to the University or any definite promise, because we desired as far as possible to retain control over the grant until the Director of Public Instruction's proposals in regard to hostels and other additional requirements of Colleges had been considered. Only proposals and orders thereon, shall we be in a position to say what sum would be available to be funded for the endowment of a University Chair and until we know the amount it would seem premature to address the University.

3. When we made provision for expenditure on this account in the budget for the current year, India struck it out, or rather reduced our budget by 3 lakhs. India have already expressed the view that lapsed sums out of special grants of this nature should be ear-marked for educational purposes.

H. D. TAYLOR—11-10-06.

All that the Financial department have to do is to remember that so much of the balance may be required for the special purposes for which it has been given to us and not to trench on the balance further than permits that amount to be made available if required during the year. Though the sum may be in the balance and cannot be taken out without India's sanction, there will be no practical difficulty whatever in getting that sanction on application made.

When we had to reserve a certain portion of the balance for famine, etc., the circumstances were analogous.

It is absolutely necessary to tell the Director of Public Instruction what sums he can submit proposals for.

As to handing over the money to the University in lump, I see objection to that. We should not only lose the use of the money (*i.e.*, interest), when not being utilized, and we should also part with a certain degree of the control we ought to retain over its expenditure.

I cannot see any harm in the draft. We need not play the ostrich. The Director of Public Instruction and the University are both well aware of the amount of the grants and the approximate expenditure charged against them.

G. S. F[ORBES]—12-10-06.

To the Financial Department.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

Submitted.

C. M. SCHMIDT—15-10-06.

M. HAMMICK—23-10-06.

Having accepted the grant from India for University education there can be no question that we must spend it on that purpose; but as the grant so far as it has gone, has not been spent within the budget, it must rank as any other claim on Government and can only be provided subject to the usual financial exigencies. Grants are not expenditure and Financial cannot undertake for there is no machinery for doing so to keep a running account from year to year of the expenditure of money. We have certain income and expenditure, but there is no way of saying that the rupees put on the grant side for a definite object are spent on that object. We can find out from the Accountant-General after

I have shown in note on the general question that this objection is unsubstantial.

G. S. F[ORBES]—31-10-06.

I think it will be found in the further discussion which has since taken place that this remark is not sustained.

G. S[OKES]—18-11-06.

the accounts are closed how much has been spent on the named object in any year, but how much of that is Government of India money and how much our own there is no way of saying. If the whole amount is debitable to India there is little difficulty but if not the local Government may be most seriously prejudiced. The inconvenience and injury to the local Government, which may flow from inaccurate methods in this matter is shown in the papers connected with the Tank Restoration Scheme grant. In illustration I would point out, with reference to paragraph 1 of the proposed draft that we do not know what sum has been paid to the University nor how much has been paid for mathematical instruments.

2. I regret I cannot follow my Honourable Colleague's further arguments. What he means by our use of the money and our getting interest on it or what the use of our keeping control of the money is, I do not understand. Surely our first duty is to spend the money, to utilize it for the object for which India gave it, and not keep it hung up in our balances. If it is not possible to find an object on which to spend the money at once, the sooner we set about it the better,

But if schemes are not ready it should be available as soon as the schemes are ear-marked.

ESG. S. F[ORBES]—31-10-06.

and that is what I propose. The University doubtless could get interest on the money if we made it over to them and possibly it might be possible to do so subject to the condition that the proceeds of the money as well as the money itself should be applied to such purposes as Government might sanction only, but whether that is so or not, I think, we cannot go on hoarding the money.

3. Paragraph 1 of the draft is an admittedly inaccurate statement of the position. I still must hold therefore to my objection to its going out in a Government Order. It is useless also if every one is aware of the position. It is objected that the Director of Public Instruction cannot frame a scheme or make proposals unless he is given a sum. Then give him a definite instruction that Rs. 1,31,000 is available with one lakh more in each of the next two years and call on him to submit proposals.

G. S[OKES]—27-10-06.

This is an ear-marked grant and the general question has been discussed in a file in circulation on which the orders will govern this.

G. S. F[ORBES]—31-10-06.

See general note. I have in the general note and on many former occasions accepted the position that we can undertake to allot to Educational as to every other department the scale of expenditure of the department before any special grant was made to it by India + such special grant + the Educational share of the annual growth of revenue, and we must go on doing so till the expenditure equals this allotment; but we are not called on to make any further allotment unless the purpose for which it is wanted is given preference over all the other claims on Government in the other departments.

G. S[OKES]—20-11-06.

I have already noted regarding the ear-marking of the one or two special grants—the University grant, the 6-lakh grant for primary education, etc.

As already pointed out by me, schemes may not be ready at the moment the budget is prepared, and the amount of these specific grants should not be liable to be allotted to other general objects and the purposes for which they were intended postponed for a year or more.

G. S. F[ORBES]—23-11-06.

To the Educational Department.

H. BRADLEY—17-1-07.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

A separate order has since issued calling on the University for proposals to utilize the grant to the best advantage—G.O., No. 33, Educational, dated 18th January 1907. No further reference appears to be necessary on this file, which may be "Recorded."

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—2-2-07.

C. A. SOUTER—4-2-07.

[G.O., No. 79, Educational, dated 15th February 1907.]

[E.]
1,500-12-12

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

CURRENT FILE.

CONTENTS.

From	Number.	Date.	Pages.
[1479] 288	101	4-9-06	1-2

[P. T. O. FOR PUT UP PAPERS.]

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No. _____

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number.	Date.	Original (=O) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers as have been removed for copying, printing, &c., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of Referencer with date in token of return to Reference department.

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number.	Date.	Original (=O) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Despatcher to note the return of the papers to the departments concerned.

Stock Form No. 185
3,700-6-05.

CURRENT No. 1479

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Received *B2* 190 , Registered *6/9/* 1906.

Confidential.

Subject.

Stating that necessary action for the utilisation of the special grant for University Education will be taken after the report on the inspection of Colleges is dealt with by the Syndicate and after the new regulations are drawn up by the Senate.

ENCLOSURES.

No.
Spare copies

R.O.C No. 1/06.

Station Madras , Dated the *4th* September 1906.

From (Name) T.T. Logan Esq., B.A.,

(Designation) Acting Director of Public Instruction.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Educational Department.

Sir,

J

With reference to paragraph 3 of G.O. No. 29, Educational, dated, 18th January 1906, I have the honour to state that it does not appear that the Trustees of Pachaiyappa's Charities have at present any scheme for providing Pachaiyappa's College with a hostel, and I doubt whether any other hostels are urgently required. From the notes left by Mr. Bourne I gather that he was of opinion that it would be better on the whole to defer action on the subject until the report on the inspection of colleges had been dealt with by the Syndicate and until the new regulations based on the recommendations made in the report had been drawn up by the Senate. It seems likely that the whole of the allotment of Rs. 80,000 will be required for Colleges (1st grade)

to

2
to enable them to comply with the demands imposed by the new regulations. I agree with Dr. Bourne.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

D. J. Logan

Ag. Director of Public Instruction.

Originals
NOTES.

C. No. 1479 From the *099*

No. *41*, dated *4-9-* 190*6*.

SUBJECT.

Utilization of the Special Grant for University Education

The Govt. of India have upto date placed at the disposal of the Madras Govt. the following amounts for being paid as grants in aid of University Education :-

	For the year 1904-05 (Para. 11 of the letter from the Govt. of India read with G.O. no 190 28 th March 1905)	Rs 70000
I		
J	For the year 1905-06 (G.O. no 29 28 th Jan ^y 1906)	105000
J		
J	For the year 1906-07 ()	105000
		<u>280000</u>

The Govt. of India have also promised Rs 105000 for each of the years 1907-08 & 1908-09 (G.O. no 29 28th Jan^y 1906).
2. Out of these grants amounts the following payments have been made to the University :-

	In meeting the travelling expenses of Fellows (G.O. no 190 28 th March 1905)	Rs 5000
I		
	In meeting the charges on account of the inspection of Colleges - G.O. no 425 28 th Feb ^y 1905	15000
K		
L	G.O. no 59 28 th Feb ^y 1906	720-11
M	G.O. no 242 28 th March 1906	151-60
N	G.O. no 301 28 th April 1906	500-00
O	G.O. no 321 28 th May 1906	10000
		<u>31372-5</u>

The Govt. have also indentured for mathematical instruments for aided colleges costing about Rs 38500 - indent no 9 with G.O. no 519 510 dt 12th October 1905.
3. Out of the Rs 105000 for the years 1905-06 to 1906-07 Rs 25000 is to be applied primarily to meet charges incurred for strengthening the

31,300
38,500
69,800

GO. NO. 114 d/c. 1-06
para. 1

administration of the University, inspection of Colleges and the travelling of Fellows on University business. The balance of Rs 80,000 is intended mainly for the improvement of Colleges chiefly in those respects in which an inspection by the University shows them to be defective - para. 1 of GO. NO. 24 d/c. 1st January 1906.

4. In this GO. it was stated that the allotments from the sum of Rs 80,000 referred to would be devoted to the development of the hostel systems among First Grade Colleges. The O.P. thought that there was pressing need for a hostel in connection with Pachaiyappa's College. The Director was accordingly requested to furnish forth with details of any scheme for a hostel which the Trustees of Pachaiyappa's College had in view and with information regarding the amount they were prepared to contribute towards its cost. The Director was also requested to submit similar information in regard to other Colleges or institutions requiring aid for the provision of hostel accommodation or for other important improvements.

Para. 3 of GO. NO. 24 d/c.
d/c. 18th Jan 1906. J

Page 1 of current.

5. The Director now reports "that it does not appear that the Trustees of Pachaiyappa's College have at present any scheme for providing Pachaiyappa's College with a hostel" & he doubts "whether any other hostels are urgently required." From the notes left by Dr. Bourne it appears "that he was of opinion that it would be better in the whole to defer action on the subject until the report on the inspection of Colleges had been dealt with by the Syndicate and until the new regulations based on the recommendations in the report had been drawn by the Senate". The Director adds "it seems likely that the

- " allotment of Rs 8000 will be required for First grade
- " Colleges to enable them to comply with the demands imposed by the new regulations "

6. Under the circumstances the question of the distribution of the grant has to be met but it is submitted that the university is moving very slowly in the matter.

PN
13/9/06 What becomes of the unspent balances? do they lapse or may they accumulate?

Ch
16/9/06

They lapse to the Provincial balance at present. They may be re-allotted subsequently.

PN
17/9/06 Gray.

Submitted that the Syndicate may be asked to deal with the report on Colleges finally. From the notes to R.O. 24 Ed. dy 18.1.1906 apparently we can retain these grants in our balances earmarked for education.

Ch
17/9/06

any lapses from the Ed. Budget lapse to the general Provincial balance at the close of the year, and can only be re-allotted into the control of Financial. Ed. cannot keep any balance apart from the general balance -
Though India would wish us to earmark

These balances
1/2

Faint keeps the money for us
S/H

unexpended balance from the various special grants for education.

2. The new Regulations must be awaited they have to be issued by 31st Dec 06.

WY

W.D.
20.9.06

But apart from the probability of £8000 being repd to strengthen colleges there still remains a large balance. I think we sh^d consult the D.D. as to further expenditure

S.T.S.

Please D. off.

The attention of D.D. sh^d be called to the fact that the money is 20% interest for recurring expenditure - as the ^{annual} grant ceases after 5 years.

W.D.
20.9.06

The draft placed below is submitted for approval.

WY
20/9/06

Done
21.9.06

5 ✓

Financial u.o. for acceptance

The draft proceeds on the assumption that the unpaid balances of the special grant in aid of University Education will be repaid as the disposal of the Est. Dept. when schemes for its expenditure are matured.

29.9.06

Est. u.o. 661.8
21.9.06

~~7th u.o.~~

CURRENT NO.
4338
FINANCIAL

Recd
Regd 22.9.06

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

Please examine the figures.

2. Put up the correspondence ^{about} the Educational budget for 1906-07. India reduced our estimate first by 2 lakhs, and when we said that only 1.10 lakhs could be found, they cut us another lakh, and the provisions for spending the special grant for University and Collegiate education had to be almost entirely eliminated. We have the money in the balances but not in the budget and this Government cannot allot from balances. There is however the reserve with Financial which will probably be able to meet any expenditure sanctioned this year.

C.M.S.
27-9-06.

The figures have been checked.

2. Attention is solicited to the Financial dept. notes dated 11-2-06 and 5-3-06 in the budget notes for

X

Hon'ble Mr. Stokes' note d/-22-3-06 in the budget notes for 1906-7 under 22 EDUCATION.

F

Page 128, C.B.E. for 1906-07.

Para 2 of Edl. office note d/- 13-9-06 on page 1 supra.

20,000	38,500
10,651-10	9,848-6
<u>9,348-6</u>	<u>29,151-10</u>

A³ to note in the 'Claims Regn'

done
10/10/06

Capt

Page 3 of G.O.89, Edcl., d/- 13-2-06.

Flag 17

Chief Secretary.

(Ind) V.G.K.
1.10.06.

C.M.S.
2-10-06.

for 1906-07 under the head 22 EDUCATION. The H.M. remarked:- "I think that what must be done is to

"reduce the minor heads by 3 lakhs If during "the year we get an excess over the grant in Educa- "tional we can go to India to sanction a grant from "balance if we cannot find the money otherwise."

3. In the B.E. for 1906-07 there is a pro- vision of Rs. 20,000 under the head 22 A EDUCATION UNIVERSITY from which allotments aggregating

Rs. 10,651-10-0 have been made. The cost of the mathematical instruments indented for from England is estimated at Rs. 38,500. An additional allotment of Rs. 29,151-10-0 will have to be made to the head 22 EDUCATION during 1906-07 if this sum cannot be re-appropriated within that major head. It is not certain that any further allotments will be required during the current year for expenditure on Univer- sity and Collegiate Education.

4. Attention is solicited to the notes of H.Ms. and of H.E. the Governor (Lord Amptill) on pages 1-2 of K.W. 29, Edcl., dated 18-1-06 and to the last sentence of Home dept. letter No. 23, dated 18-1-06. The expenditure to be incurred in each year must, it is submitted, depend in the first instance on the provision made for the purpose in the budget estimate of the year.

Hon Mr Stokes. esr.

This is the vexed question of the Grants to
Earmarking - I don't see any objection to the
Chap order - When the application comes to Finance
for the money required - Govt will have to decide
how much can be allotted

10/10/06

R 6.10.53

7-11

I think from 1 of the chpt. th^{at} the ^{minutes} ~~minutes~~
 to the foundation for the order it is only
 necessary to say that there is a large
 balance out of the hands made by India
 in University Education and to take in the
 Director for proposals to spend it. I think
 also the proposal to hand over the money
 to the University to know a chain that he
 passed on the University's the D.O. I have
 have plenty of money now & then w^h he
 has difficulty in getting rid of this liability
 but it must be remembered that this money
 can be allotted on the C.A. points ^{only} ~~only~~
 & when available. Any call for it must be
 put into the Budget and it may or may
 not be possible to meet the call.

6/10/53

25

8 8:45

h
4 x 10

Encl No 4338-1
9. x. 56

With reference to the Hon^{ble} Mr Stokes' note at "A"
 it is submitted that the D.P.D will not be in
 position to submit complete proposals unless he
 knows how much money exactly is available
 or likely to be available.

17
 The ~~first~~ ^{first} meeting of the
 Government of College has been conducted
 to this and other additional ~~meetings~~
 grant until the 20th of April is expected
 after a period to determine content and the
 before the future, because we believe, as
 was only proposed to the University and
 was referred to the same.

the University
the amount is ^{very} large. It is
University. And until we have
to be funded for the movement of a
position to our own world is available
and even better, that we be in a

Page 44-20-101

1948-1949
 1949-1950
 1950-1951
 1951-1952
 1952-1953
 1953-1954
 1954-1955
 1955-1956
 1956-1957
 1957-1958
 1958-1959
 1959-1960
 1960-1961
 1961-1962
 1962-1963
 1963-1964
 1964-1965
 1965-1966
 1966-1967
 1967-1968
 1968-1969
 1969-1970
 1970-1971
 1971-1972
 1972-1973
 1973-1974
 1974-1975
 1975-1976
 1976-1977
 1977-1978
 1978-1979
 1979-1980
 1980-1981
 1981-1982
 1982-1983
 1983-1984
 1984-1985
 1985-1986
 1986-1987
 1987-1988
 1988-1989
 1989-1990
 1990-1991
 1991-1992
 1992-1993
 1993-1994
 1994-1995
 1995-1996
 1996-1997
 1997-1998
 1998-1999
 1999-2000
 2000-2001
 2001-2002
 2002-2003
 2003-2004
 2004-2005
 2005-2006
 2006-2007
 2007-2008
 2008-2009
 2009-2010
 2010-2011
 2011-2012
 2012-2013
 2013-2014
 2014-2015
 2015-2016
 2016-2017
 2017-2018
 2018-2019
 2019-2020
 2020-2021
 2021-2022
 2022-2023
 2023-2024
 2024-2025
 2025-2026
 2026-2027
 2027-2028
 2028-2029
 2029-2030
 2030-2031
 2031-2032
 2032-2033
 2033-2034
 2034-2035
 2035-2036
 2036-2037
 2037-2038
 2038-2039
 2039-2040
 2040-2041
 2041-2042
 2042-2043
 2043-2044
 2044-2045
 2045-2046
 2046-2047
 2047-2048
 2048-2049
 2049-2050
 2050-2051
 2051-2052
 2052-2053
 2053-2054
 2054-2055
 2055-2056
 2056-2057
 2057-2058
 2058-2059
 2059-2060
 2060-2061
 2061-2062
 2062-2063
 2063-2064
 2064-2065
 2065-2066
 2066-2067
 2067-2068
 2068-2069
 2069-2070
 2070-2071
 2071-2072
 2072-2073
 2073-2074
 2074-2075
 2075-2076
 2076-2077
 2077-2078
 2078-2079
 2079-2080
 2080-2081
 2081-2082
 2082-2083
 2083-2084
 2084-2085
 2085-2086
 2086-2087
 2087-2088
 2088-2089
 2089-2090
 2090-2091
 2091-2092
 2092-2093
 2093-2094
 2094-2095
 2095-2096
 2096-2097
 2097-2098
 2098-2099
 2099-2100
 2100-2101
 2101-2102
 2102-2103
 2103-2104
 2104-2105
 2105-2106
 2106-2107
 2107-2108
 2108-2109
 2109-2110
 2110-2111
 2111-2112
 2112-2113
 2113-2114
 2114-2115
 2115-2116
 2116-2117
 2117-2118
 2118-2119
 2119-2120
 2120-2121
 2121-2122
 2122-2123
 2123-2124
 2124-2125
 2125-2126
 2126-2127
 2127-2128
 2128-2129
 2129-2130
 2130-2131
 2131-2132
 2132-2133
 2133-2134
 2134-2135
 2135-2136
 2136-2137
 2137-2138
 2138-2139
 2139-2140
 2140-2141
 2141-2142
 2142-2143
 2143-2144
 2144-2145
 2145-2146
 2146-2147
 2147-2148
 2148-2149
 2149-2150
 2150-2151
 2151-2152
 2152-2153
 2153-2154
 2154-2155
 2155-2156
 2156-2157
 2157-2158
 2158-2159
 2159-2160
 2160-2161
 2161-2162
 2162-2163
 2163-2164
 2164-2165
 2165-2166
 2166-2167
 2167-2168
 2168-2169
 2169-2170
 2170-2171
 2171-2172
 2172-2173
 2173-2174
 2174-2175
 2175-2176
 2176-2177
 2177-2178
 2178-2179
 2179-2180
 2180-2181
 2181-2182
 2182-2183
 2183-2184
 2184-2185
 2185-2186
 2186-2187
 2187-2188
 2188-2189
 2189-2190
 2190-2191
 2191-2192
 2192-2193
 2193-2194
 2194-2195
 2195-2196
 2196-2197
 2197-2198
 2198-2199
 2199-2200
 2200-2201
 2201-2202
 2202-2203
 2203-2204
 2204-2205
 2205-2206
 2206-2207
 2207-2208
 2208-2209
 2209-2210
 2210-2211
 2211-2212
 2212-2213
 2213-2214
 2214-2215
 2215-2216
 2216-2217
 2217-2218
 2218-2219
 2219-2220
 2220-2221
 2221-2222
 2222-2223
 2223-2224
 2224-2225
 2225-2226
 2226-2227
 2227-2228
 2228-2229
 2229-2230
 2230-2231
 2231-2232
 2232-2233
 2233-2234
 2234-2235
 2235-2236
 2236-2237
 2237-2238
 2238-2239
 2239-2240
 224

11 16 512 no. 118
V. 1000 1000

(2) With regard to 'B', we have not at present
made any proposal to the University or any
definite promise, because we desired, as
far as possible to retain control over the
grant until the D.D.'s proposals in regard
to hotels and other additional ~~accommodations~~
817. arguments of colleges had been considered.
Only after ~~D.D.'s~~ receipt of D.D.'s proposals,
and under them, shall we be in a
position to say what sum would be available
to be funded for the endowment of a
University Chair. and until we know
the amount it would ^{seem} be premature to assign
the University.

(3) When we made provision for expenditure
on this account in the Budget for the
current year, India struck it out, a
rather unusual one Budget by 3 lacs.
India have already ~~expressed~~ the need
^{expressed} runs out of
that Special Fund of this nature, ~~can~~
should be earmarked for educational
purposes

11-10-08

Am.

All that the Fincl. Dpt
have to do is to remember that
so much of the balance may be
required for the special purposes

for which it has been given to us just to touch on the balance further than permits that amount to be made available if required during the year. Tho' the sum may be in the balance & cannot be taken out without Rida's sanction, there will be no practical difficulty whatever in getting that sanction on application made.

When we had to reserve a certain portion of the balance for famine &c, the circ^s were analogous.

It is ^{absolutely} necessary to tell the D.P.D. what sums he can ^{propose} proposals for

As to handing over the money to the University in lump, I see objection to that we should not only lose the use of the money ~~there~~ (i.e. interest) when not being utilized, & we should also part with a certain

degree of the control we ought
to retain over its expenditure

I cannot see any harm
in the draft. We need not play
the Ostrich. The D.D. & the
University are both well
aware of the amt of the
grants & of the approximate
expenditure charged against
them

J.F. 1/10

Edl. no. 723-4
13. 10. 06

Financ. a/c

402
13. 10. 06



CURRENT NO.
4737
FINANCIAL

Recd
13/10/06

CS

Sub?

Cus
15. x 06

John W. Miller C.S.

23. x 06

Having accepted the grant from India for University Education there can be no question that we must spend it on that purpose: but as the grant, so far as it has gone, has not been spent within the Budget, it must rank as any other claim on Govt. and can only be provided subject to the usual financial exigencies. Grants are not expenditure and

Financial cannot undertake for there is no machinery for doing so to keep a running account from year to year of the expenditure of money. We have certain income and expenditure, but there is no way of saying that the rupees put on the grant side for a definite object are spent on that object. We can find out from the Accountant-General after the accounts are closed how much has been spent on the named object in any year, but how much of that is Government of India money and how much our own there is no way of saying. If the whole amount is debitable to India there is little difficulty but if not the local Government may be most seriously prejudiced. The inconvenience and injury to the Local Govt, which may flow from inaccurate methods in this matter is shewn in the papers connected with the Tank Restoration Scheme grant. In illustration I would point out, with reference to para. 1 of the proposed draft, that we do not know what sum has been paid to the University nor how much has been paid for Mathematical instruments.

2. I regret I cannot follow my Honourable Colleague's further arguments. What he means by our use of the money and our getting interest on it or what the use of our keeping control of the money is,

I have shown in a note on the general g/p that this objection is unsubstantiated

8/7

I think it will be found in the further discussion which has since taken place that this remark is not sustained.

18/11/56.

But if schemes are
not ready it sh^d be
available as
soon as the scheme
being earmarked
8/7

I do not understand. Surely our first duty is to
spend the money, to utilise it for the object for
which India gave it, and not keep it hung up in our
balances. If it is not possible to find an object
on which to spend the money at once, the sooner we
set about it the better, and that is what I propose.

The University don't lose c^t get interest on the
money if we made it over to them and
possibly it might be possible to do so subject
to the condition that the proceeds of the
money as well as the money itself sh^d be
applied to such purposes as the Uⁿ might
sanction only a but whether that is do or
not I think we can not form having
the money.

I don't follow this?
8/7

3. Para. 1 of the draft is an admittedly inaccurate
statement of the position. I still must hold there-
fore to my objection to its going out in a G.O.
It is useless also if every one is aware of the
position. It is objected that the D.P.I. cannot
frame a scheme or make proposals unless he is given
a sum. Then give him a definite instruction that
(2,11,000 less 80,000) or 1,31,000, is available with
one lakh more in each of the next two years. and

Call on him to submit proposals.

29/10/06.

J. H. M. Jones. 28/7

29. 8. 06.

This is an earmarked grant, & the general gth has been discussed in a file in circulation, on which ^{the} orders ~~is~~ will govern this

S. L. F. 31/10

Trial no. 4737-1
 2/11/08
 Total no. 40.
 40
 1.0154

Superficial

А. М. Мухомов.

In General Int: I have in the General Int: and on many former occasions accepted the position that we can ~~not~~ undertake to allot to Ed: as to every other dept: the scale of expenditure of the dept: before any special grant was made to it by India + such special grants + the ~~Dept~~ W: share of the annual growth of revenue and we must go on doing so till the expenditure equals this allotment. but we are not called on to make any further allotment unless the purpose for which it is wanted is given preference over all the other claims as has been the other depts: H

20/11/06.

I have already noted re-
garding the earmarking
of the one or two special grants
- the University grant, the
six lakh grant for primary
education &c -

As already pointed out by
me schemes may not be
ready at the moment the
budget is prepared, &
the amount of these specific
grants should be liable
to be allotted to other
objects, & the purposes for
which they were intended
postponed for a year
or more.

J. F.
23/11/3

Bm
2/12

READ AGAIN G.O., No. 29, dated 18-1-1906.

" " " " "

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 1479, From the 599, No. 61, dated 4-9- 1906.

” ” ” ” ” ” ” ” 190

190

ORDER.

No. , dated 190

It is observed that inclusive of the grant for the current financial year the Govt. of India have placed Rs 28,000 ^(upto date) at the disposal of this Govt. for expenditure in grants in aid of University education. ~~Out of this~~ amount about Rs 31,400 ^{upto date and} have been paid to the University, as yet ~~which~~ mathematical instruments costing about Rs 38,500 have been indentured for. A balance of about 21,000 ~~is yet to be received or is not available~~ before ~~it~~ ^{therefore still} remains to be expended and further grant of Rs 10,500 ~~Rs 21,000~~ will be paid by the Govt. of India during each of the next two financial years.

2. Admitting therefore ^{whole} that Rs 80,000 ^{year} will be required for grants to First Grade Colleges.

Reunaniis

nominatives pendus!

✓

to enable them to comply with the demands
~~to be~~ imposed by the ^{University} new regulations there
 will still be a very large balance to be ^{spent} expended.
 The Directors will be requested to consider and
 report ^{as early as possible} how the amount can be spent to the
 best advantage. As the grant will cease
 with the year 1908-09 no proposal involving
 permanent and recurring expenditure will
 be admissible.

PH
 20/9/06

Chin
 21-9-06

100
 22-9-06

To Hepp

! out of the window

It is shown that inclusion of the
 grant for the current financial year the
 part of which has been placed in reserve at the
 disposal of the part for expenditure in fund
 in aid of University education. The
 amount about £11,000 has been paid
 to the University as yet which is unaccounted
 for. A balance of about £10,000
 will be paid for the part of the
 grant for the current financial year the
 part of which has been placed in reserve at the
 disposal of the part for expenditure in fund
 in aid of University education. The
 amount about £11,000 has been paid
 to the University as yet which is unaccounted
 for.

READ AGAIN G.O., No. 29 Vol, dated 18. 1. 1906.

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 1479 From the O.P.S., No. Chl, dated 4-9-1906

" " " " " " " "

" " " " " " " "

ORDER.
PS

No. 79, dated 15-2-1907.

Recorded.

C. A. S.

4-207

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched in print.

NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED.
 { Order complete.
 { Letter only.
 { Order complete with Letter.

READ AGAIN G.O. No. 120

29 DATED 18-1-06

CURRENT No.

1479 A

48
not 1-57

Proof please
18/1/06

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER TO GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAGES NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DEBATCH
IN ORIGINAL

N.B.—

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.