

Educational (1907) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 67

DATED 7-2-07

*Keep the file
in tact
1/2**12*

[Despatch Abstract.]

School of Arts - Recording, with reference to G.O. No. 593 dated 10-9-06, letter from the Govt Secy. reporting the payment to the agent of m. s. Narasimha Rao of the sum of Rs 88-3-0 in full satisfaction of his claims against the —

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

CURRENT FILE.

CONTENTS.

From	Number.	Date.	Pages.
[1527] J. Ramachandra Rao, H.E. Vakil	--	13-9-06	1
[1827] The Govt. solicitor	916	6-11-06	2
[268] Do	128	2-2-07	3-6

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No. _____

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number.	Date.	Original (=O) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers as have been removed for copying, printing, &c., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of Referencer with date in token of return to Reference department.

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number.	Date.	Original (=O) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Despatcher to note the return of the papers to the departments concerned.



52, Vakils' Chambers,
HIGH COURT BUILDINGS.
13th September 1906.

From

T. Ramachandra Rao,

High Court Vakil.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
(Educational Department.)

Sir,

I beg to acknowledge receipt of your Mis.No. 593 dated
dated the 10th instant, re claim of M.R.Ry. D.V. Narasimha Rao
against the School of Arts, and to state in reply that a letter
has been addressed to the said Mr. D.V. Narasimha Rao for in-
structions, and a reply is awaited from him for further action
in the matter.

I beg to remain,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

T. Ramachandra Rao

From

T. Ramachandra Rao,
HIGH COURT VAKIL.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Educational Department.

S U B J E C T.

Acknowledging receipt of Mis.
No. 593 dated the 10th of September
1906 and stating in reply that the
clients' (D.V. Narasimha Rao's)
instructions are awaited.

10593-10-9-06 Mis ✓
Red 7/11/16
12/19/06



2
CURRENT No. 1827

No. 916

Madras, 6th November 1906.

From

Sutherland Orr,
Government Solicitor,
M a d r a s

To

The Secretary to the Government of Madras
Educational Department,
M a d r a s.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge receipt of your memorandum --
dated the 2nd instant requesting an early reply to G. O. No. 593 Mis.
dated the 10th September last and to state that since the date of --
receipt by me of the said G.O. neither the claimant D.V. Narasimha Rao
nor any body on his behalf has appeared at my office and agreed to
take the amount of Rs. 88-3-0 sanctioned by Government, in satisfaction
of all claims and to return the receipts held by the claimant and --
referred to in the G.O.

As soon as the claimant appears and demands the sum of Rs. 88-3-0
I shall make arrangements to pay the amount in accordance with the --
G.O.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your obedient servant,

Government Solicitor,

Recd 4-2-77
Rd 5-2-75



cut No 268 Ed 3

No. 128

Madras 2nd February 1907.

From,

W. O. David,

Ag: Government Solicitor,

M a d r a s.

To,

The Secretary

to the Government of Madras,

Educational Department,

M a d r a s.

Sir,

1. I have the honor to return herewith the file which
Z accompanied G. O. No. 593 Mis dated the 10th September 1906 and
to state that I have paid the duly authorised agent of M. R. Ry
D. V. Narasimha Rao the sum of Rs 88-3-0 sanctioned by Government.

2. The receipt for such sum obtained by me from such agent
in full satisfaction of M. R. Ry D.V. Narasimha Rao's claims against
the School of Arts has been forwarded to the Accountant General.

3. I enclose herewith the receipts which he held for the
deposit of Rs 50 and for the return of the Aluminium articles to
the School of Arts as also the Registered Telugu power of attorney
from M. R. Ry D.V. Narasimha Rao to B. Jagannatha Rao receipt of
which I shall be obliged by your acknowledging.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your Obedient Servant,

Ag: Government Solicitor.

Page 4 of 4
Page 5 of 5
Page 6 of 6

T. K.
4/2/07

ALUMINIUM DEPARTMENT,

School of Arts, Madras,

No.

Dated 190

CASH SALE.

To

Description of Article.

Amount.

RS. A. P.

97 6 6

Salad oil 12 - 1 8 0

Oil Cans 12 1 8 0

100 6 6

[Signature]

Storekeeper.

[Faint, mostly illegible handwritten text on the left page, possibly bleed-through from the reverse side.]

THE S. M. RY. CO., LD.

To No. 114 of 9.11. 1905

Station Master
GUNTUR

To Mr. S. Karasimha Rao garu.
Arundalpet.

Gentlur.

Dear Sir,

With reference to your letter of
14.10.1905, the Goods Agent Madras w.
that the consignment of aluminium
was delivered on 10.3.04 to School of a

Yours faithfully

W. H. M. S. S. S.
S. M. S. S.

DO NOT WRITE WITHIN THIS MARGIN

NOTES.

27-6-06 32 1
16K4
STAMP DEPARTMENT
18 JAN 1906
GUNTUR

Presented in the office of
the Registrar of Guntur. } at 3-55 p.m. on 24th June 1906 by
Koti gopam shankar
gambhiram

Execution admitted by



శ్రీ గోపాం శంకర గంభీరం
అనుమతి


Identified by

శ్రీ గోపాం శంకర గంభీరం శ్రీ గోపాం శంకర గంభీరం
అనుమతి

123

24th June 1906. Hanjwary
ag-Registrar

Registered as no. 32 of Book H vol. 1
page 123.



24th June 1906
Free paw No. 2-8-0
Hanjwary
ag-Registrar

C. No. 1527 From the M.R. J. Ramachandra Rao No. , dated 13-9-1906.

SUBJECT.

M.R. D.V. Narasimha Rao's claims against the School of Arts
on account of Rs 50 deposited by him with the late Aluminium department
of the School and of the value of certain Aluminium articles
returned by him.

2 Please see the notes ^{in the order} with
by G.O. No 593 dt 10th September 1906. ^{Further order} M.R. J.

Ramachandra Rao, Vakil, High Court, Madras, was informed
that the Govt. were prepared to pay his client M.R. D.V. Narasimha
Rao the sum of Rs 88-3 being the amount said to have been
deposited by the latter into the Superintendent of the School of Arts
in July 1903 and the value of the Aluminium articles said
to have been returned by him to the School in March 1904. The
payment was to him satisfaction of all Narasimha Rao's claims
against the School and was to be made on condition that he gave up
the receipts he held for the deposit and for the Aluminium
articles returned by him. If he agreed he was to hand over the
receipts to the Govt. Solicitor and receive payment of the
amount.

2. M.R. Ramachandra Rao ^(acknowledges receipt of the order) reports that he is
in communication with his client in the matter. A further
communication from him or from the Govt. Solicitor may be
awaited.

18/9/06

19/9/06

From the Govt. Solicitor No 916 dt 6-11-06

From the Govt. Solicitor reports that neither D.V.

Narasimha Rao nor any body on his behalf has appeared
at his

at his office yet to receive the sum of Rs 88-3-0
 in satisfaction of all claims and that as soon as
 the claimant appears and demands the amount
 he will arrange to pay it.

The file may therefore lie over pending further
 report from the Govt. Solicitor.

Handwritten signature and date
 8-11-06

Handwritten signature and date
 9/4/06

Page 3-6

[From an Govt. Solicitor
 No. 128 d/ 2.2.07]

Page 3c

The Govt. Solicitor now writes to state that
 he has paid to the duly authorized agent
 of Mr. D. V. Narasimha Rao, the sum of
 Rs 88-3-0 sanctioned by Govt. in G.O. No. 593
 of 10.9.06. And that the receipt for it obtained
 by him from such agent in
 full satisfaction of Mr. D. V. Narasimha Rao's
 claims against the School of Arts
 has been forwarded by him to the Accountant
 General. [Submitted that the papers may
 now be recorded. The draft below is for
 approval.]

Handwritten signature and date
 6.2.07

Z

In his note dated 7.9.06 on pages 34 and 35
 of the notes with G.O. No. 593, dated 10th September
 1906, the Secretary observed

Z

"From the form (page 18 of the 80), it seems
 that

that there is no regular receipt. The endorse-
ment on the Cash bill appears to be an
acknowledgement for a deposit against
which the goods were issued. Perhaps
the Rs 50 was never credited as it should
have been but is still "with the head
"clerk". I do not think that a suit can
well be defended. If it be decided to
pay the claim, & responsibility can be
settled afterwards."

2. The H₂m noted

"We better pay an giving up the receipt. we can investigate the receipt subsequently."

3. The receipt ^{for Rs 50.} having now been returned by Narasimha Rao's agent, it orders are solicited whether any investigation should now be made as to whether the deposit of Rs 50, was made against goods issued and whether the ^{amount} was credited in the accounts. The investigation may be made by the ~~Asst~~ Accountant General or the D.T.G.

Lec.

6.2-7 The p.p.v. may be asked to look into the matter.

Ch.

6.2.07

Yes. After issuing of the order the file with the note may be sent - confidentially - to the

5499

[G.O. No. 67 Vol. 58: 7-207]

The G.O. has issued. The file may, it is submitted
be now forwarded to the D.P. I. no. (Confidential)
for investigating the receipt of Rs 50 - vide
the receipt on page 4 of G.O. no. 67 dated 7th
February 1907.

859
9.2.07

Els.
Cao
4.2.07.

D.P. I. no.

With reference to the receipt in question
Mr. Chatterton to whom a reference was made
writes as follows: "I have found the
Cash book of the Aluminium Department
+ therein on the 11th July 1903 I find an entry
to the effect that Mr. R. By D.V. Kussimha
Rao, Agent, paid the sum of Rs 150 and
that this sum of Rs 150 was duly
credited to the accounts of the School of
Arts and was not held in deposit as
security. Apparently he received goods
to the value of Rs 100.6.6. and the extra
Rs 50 or to be exact Rs 49.9.6 was
taken from him as security for the
agency."

26.2.7

What a curious
series to make
817

In the case there seems nothing more to be done
The papers may be recorded
Yes: Another instance
of unbusiness like
conduct of Mr.
5.7.28
Recorded
28.2.07
27.7.07

Educational DEPARTMENT.

READ AGAIN G.O., No. 593 Ed, dated 10 - 9 - 1906.

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 1527, From the J. Ramachandra Rao, No., dated 13-9-1906

1827, " " Govt. Solicitor, " 916, " 6-11-1906.

268, " " " " " " 128, " " 2.2. 190

ORDER.

No. 67, dated 7-2-1907.

Wm

Z

Recorded with reference to
20. u. 593 Edk, dated 10th.
September, 1906.

September 17 1906.
2. The ^{receipt of the} enclosures referred to in
para 3 of the letter of the Govt.
Solicitor ^{no 128, d/2.2.07.} read above ~~have been~~
~~received.~~ will be acknowledged -

Prüfung
b-2-17

Chs
6.2.07

1/27
7.2.07

୧୦

The D.I. I (240)

u Account General / 240

" Grant Solicitor (440)

Drift
8.2.07

