

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Recd.

Regd.

} 1906.

Enclosures

Spare copies

G.O., No. 742, 15th November 1906.

INDEXED.

Public Service Notification.

Inserting a new clause as clause (2) of article 5 of the — empowering the Judges of the High Court to sanction the exemption of persons in the Judicial Department for a period not exceeding twelve months provided that the exemption shall remain in force only until the publication of the results of the next examination.

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Recd.

Regd.

} 1906.

Enclosures

Spare copies

G.O., No. 742, 15th November 1906.

Public Service Notification.

Inserting a new clause as clause (2) of article 5 of the — empowering the Judges of the High Court to sanction the exemption of persons in the Judicial Department for a period not exceeding twelve months provided that the exemption shall remain in force only until the publication of the results of the next examination.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper:—

G.O., No. 342, Routine, dated 12th October 1906.

ABSTRACT.—Granting temporary exemption to Bhujanga Rao and C. Varadarajulu from passing the examination in Criminal Procedure until the publication of the results of the next examination and stating that the suggestion of the Honourable the Judges of the High Court that the power of granting temporary exemption from the provisions of article 3 of the Public Service Notification may with advantage be delegated to them will be separately considered.

Order—No. 742, Educational, dated 15th November 1906.

The following notification will be published in the *Fort St. George Gazette*:—

NOTIFICATION.

The following clause shall be inserted as clause (2) of article 5 of the Public Service Notification, the existing clauses (2), (3), (4) and (5) being re-numbered as clauses (3), (4), (5) and (6):—

"2. The Honourable the Judges of the High Court may sanction the exemption of persons in the Judicial Department for a period not exceeding twelve months provided that the exemption shall remain in force only until the publication of the results of the next examination."

(True Extract.)

H. D. TAYLOR,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. 742, EDUCATIONAL, DATED
15TH NOVEMBER 1906.

[SUBJECT.—*Amendment of the Public Service Notification.*]

Extract from the letter of the Registrar of the High Court of Judicature at Madras, R.O.C. No. 2180, dated 27th September 1906, read with G.O., R. No. 342, Educational, dated 12th October 1906.

"In this connection I am to invite your attention to article 5, clause (2), of the Public Service notification, 1906, and to state that the Honourable the Judges are of opinion that the power of granting exemption might, with advantage, be delegated to the High Court as in the case of the Board of Revenue."

Under article 5, clause (2), of the Public Service notification, the members of the Board of Revenue may sanction the exemption from the special tests of persons in the departments severally or jointly administered by them, for a period not exceeding 12 months, provided that the exemption shall remain in force only until the publication of the results of the next examination. The Honourable the Judges are of opinion that a similar power might be delegated to them with advantage. It is for consideration whether this view may be accepted. Submitted that the views of the Judicial Department may be invited on the point.

P.K.M.—16-10-06.

P. T. THARYAN—16-10-06.

There seems to be no objection to granting the High Court's request and we may refer to Judicial.

C. G. MACKAY—19-10-06.

To the Judicial Department for remarks.

H. D. TAYLOR—22-10-06.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Submitted for orders.

2. There seems to be no objection to delegate to the High Court the power referred to.

V.N.M.—24-10-06.

S.P.—25-10-06.

C. G. MACKAY—26-10-06.

No objection need be raised in Judicial.

M. HAMMICK—31-10-06.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

For orders.

C. G. MACKAY—2-11-06.

Please draft for approval.

H. D. TAYLOR—3-11-06.

The draft placed below is submitted for approval.

P. K. M.—9-11-06.

P. T. THARYAN—9-11-06.

C. G. MACKAY—10-11-06.

The addition should come in as clause (2) of article 5. Judges of the High Court rank before Members of the Board of Revenue.

2. For approval.

H. D. TAYLOR—12-11-06.

No need of paragraph 1!

G. S. F[ORBES]—13-11-06.

Issue as revised.

H. D. TAYLOR—14-11-06.

[G.O., No. 742, Educational, dated 15th November 1906.]

Read

10-11-06

NOTES.

18
 [Subject - Amendment to Public Service Notification
 with regard to 80 no. 742400
 15/10/06]

Extract from the letter of the Registrar of the

High Court of Judicature at Madras, R.O. C. No.

2180, dated 27th September 1906, read with G.O.B.

No.342, Educational, dated 12th October 1906.

X

X

X

In this connection I am to invite your attention to Article 5, clause (2), of the Public Service Notification, 1906, and to state that the Honourable the Judges are of opinion that the power of granting exemption might with advantage be delegated to the High Court as in the case of the Board of Revenue.

Under article 5, clause 2, of the Public Service Notification, the members of the Board of Revenue may sanction the exemption ^{from the special tests} of persons in the departments severally or jointly administered by them, ~~from the provisions of Article 3~~, for a period not exceeding 12 months, provided that the exemption shall remain in force only until the publication of the results of the next examination. The Hon'ble the Judges are of opinion that a similar power might be delegated to them with advantage. It is for consideration whether this view may be accepted. Submitted that the views of the Judicial Dept may be invited on the point.

P. K. M. Iyer
 16.10.06
 11/10/06


There seems to be no objection

to granting the High Court's request
we may refer to judicial

Rm aekay
13/10/06

no no 738
23.10.06

sep To the Pub. ^{Sept} for remarks
11/10/06



Regd 23/10/06

Judicial dep.

For AS.

Submitted for orders.

2. There seems to be no objection to delegate
to the High Court the power referred to.

V.N.M. ^{bus}
24.10.06
11/10/06

C.S.

Rm aekay
26/10/06

No objection need be raised

in just

Hammond
31

Jud a.o. D 5594-1
1.11.06

Sey. In order.

Rm aekay
2/11/06

Phase Ref. for approval

11/11/06

One draft placed below is submitted for approval.

P. K. D. Dha

9/11/06.

By Harry Gun

Secy

Chin ap kay

10/11/06

In addition to come in as sub claim #1 of art 5. Under of the H.C. rail before members of the Board of Reserve

In approval

H.M.

H. J. Taylor

12/11/06

No need of para 1!

877

[Corbis]

In accordance

H. J. Taylor

12/11/06

[done 742 Edd 15/11/06]

742 coll

1,000-2-3-06.

190 .

READ ALSO:-
C. No. 1598, From the Registrar, High Court, No. 2180, dated 24-9-1906.

” ” ” ” ” ” ” ” 190

190

No. 742, dated 15. XI. 1906

N.

The Governor in Council approves ^{of} the suggestion of the Honble the Judges of the High Court that the power of granting temporary exemptions from the Special tests which the members of the Board of Revenue now possess under Article 5, clause (2) of the Public ^{Service} Notification may be delegated to them.

2. The following notification will be published in the Fort

Fort St. George Gazette:-
Notification

The following clause shall be inserted as clause ⁽²⁾ of Article 5 of the Public Service Notification the existing clauses ⁽³⁾ (3), (4) and (5) being re-numbered as clauses ⁽³⁾ (4), (5) & (6).
"(2). The Honble the Judges of the High Court may sanction the exemption of persons in the judicial department for a period not exceeding twelve months provided that the exemption shall remain in force only until the publication of the results of the next examination."

For
10.11.06

10/11/06

11/11/06

12.11.06.

874

