Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

15

Recd. } 1906. *Enclosures*
Regd. } *Spare copies*

G.O., No. 738, 14th November 1906.

INDEXED.

Special grant of six lakhs.

Distributing the — for 1906-1907 in aid of primary education among the District Boards of the Presidency and the Taluk Boards of Rajahmundry and Mangalore.

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Recd.	1906.	*Enclosures*
Regd.		*Spare copies*

G.O., No. 738, 14th November 1906.

Special grant of six lakhs.

Distributing the — for 1906-1907 in aid of primary education among the District Boards of the Presidency and the Taluk Boards of Rajahmundry and Mangalore.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following papers :—

I

G.O., No. 33, Educational, dated 19th January 1906.

Abstract.—Sanctioning, with remarks, the proposal of the Director of Public Instruction to utilize in the construction of buildings the balance of the special grant of six lakhs for 1906–1907 that will be left over after providing for the equipment and maintenance of the schools newly brought into existence.

II

G.O., No. 304, Educational, dated 1st May 1906.

Abstract.—Specifying, with remarks, the objects to which the six-lakhs grant for the current year will be devoted and requesting the Director of Public Instruction to revise accordingly his proposals for the distribution of the grant.

III

G.O., No. 540 L., dated 5th May 1906.

Abstract.—Approving, with remarks, certain proposals of the District Board of Cuddapah for retrenchment of expenditure in 1906–1907 and requesting the District Board to consider carefully its financial position at an early date and to submit proposals for restoring financial equilibrium without the necessity for grants from Government.

IV

G.O., No. 327, Educational, dated 9th May 1906.

Abstract.—Requesting the Director of Public Instruction to include the cost of the 22 primary schools proposed to be abolished by the Rajahmundry Taluk Board in the revised proposals called for in G.O., No. 304, Educational, dated 1st May 1906.

V

G.O., No. 355, Educational, dated 21st May 1906.

Abstract.—Informing the Director of Public Instruction in modification of G.O., No. 304, Educational, dated 1st May 1906, that the proposals made by him for the distribution of the six-lakhs grant for primary education are approved provisionally, pending receipt of the details called for in that Government order; and authorising Presidents of District Boards to incur until further orders expenditure on establishment, furniture and contingencies in connection with the new schools already opened.

VI

G.O., No. 409, Educational, dated 13th June 1906.

Abstract.—Approving the proposal of the Director of Public Instruction to include the sum of Rs. 870 required by the Taluk Board of Mangalore for carrying out the revision of the establishments of the primary schools under the control of the Board in the proposals to be made by him with reference to G.O., No. 304, Educational, dated 1st May 1906.

VII

G.O., No. 564, Educational, dated 25th August 1906.

Abstract.—Requesting the Director of Public Instruction to include in the proposals called for in G.O., No. 304, Educational, dated 1st May 1906, a sum not exceeding Rs. 2,000 for the provision of accommodation for the Educational Museum which the Municipal Council of Karúr proposes to open in the town.

VIII

Letter—from the Director of Public Instruction.
To—the Secretary to Government, Educational Department.
Dated—the 20th July 1906.
No.—Ref. on Cur. No. 4303.

Referring to Memorandum No. 311, Educational, dated 11th July 1906, I have the honour to state that my letter Cur. No. 2777, dated 14th April 1906, was intended as a reply to G.O., No. 33, Educational, dated 19th January 1906, though this order was not expressly referred to in that letter and that on receipt of orders of Government on it which were issued in G.O., No. 304, Educational, dated 1st May 1906, I addressed the Presidents of District Boards on the 4th June last in order to obtain the particulars necessary to answer the two orders referred to. As yet a reply has been received only from the President, District Board, Anantapur, and the Presidents of all the other Boards have been reminded. On receipt of all the replies I shall furnish the information required by Government.

IX

Memorandum No. 508, Educational, dated 9th October 1906.

In G.O., No. 33, Educational, dated 19th January 1906, the Director of Public Instruction was requested to submit his proposals for the distribution of the six-lakhs grant for the current year and in G.O., No. 304, Educational, dated 1st May 1906, he was reminded of this request. Several reminders have also been sent to the Director since that date, but no proposals have as yet been received. Six months of the year have now elapsed and it will be impossible to make satisfactory arrangements for the expenditure of that portion of the grant which may be allotted for building purposes unless Presidents of District Boards are given sufficient time for the construction of the buildings. The Director is therefore requested to be so good as to submit the proposals with the least possible delay.

2. The Director's attention is at the same time called to the following items of expenditure which have been already earmarked as a charge against the six-lakhs grant :—

(1) Rupees 2,300 for payment to the Rajahmundry Taluk Board for the maintenance of 22 primary schools—G.O., No. 327, Educational, dated 9th May 1906.

(2) Rupees 5,040 for payment to the Cuddapah District Board for the maintenance of primary schools—G.O., No. 540 L., dated 5th May 1906.

(3) Rupees 870 for payment to the Mangalore Taluk Board for revising the establishments of primary schools under its control—G.O., No. 409, Educational, dated 13th June 1906.

(4) Extra expenditure above the normal expenditure of local bodies required to pay capitation grants under Educational Rule 83.

(5) Amount required to make up the loss caused by the operation of Educational Rule 84.

(6) Rupees 2,000 for payment to the Municipal Council, Karúr, for provision of accommodation for an Educational Museum—G.O., No. 564, Educational, dated 25th August 1906.

The Director should furnish, if available, details of the expenditure involved in items (4) and (5) above.

H. D. Taylor,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.

X

Letter—from the Hon'ble Mr. T. T. Logan, B.A., Acting Director of Public Instruction.
To—the Secretary to Government, Educational Department.
Dated—Madras, the 13th October 1906.
No.—Ref. on Cur. No. 4303.

With reference to your Memorandum No. 508, Educational, dated 9th October 1906, I have the honour to state that the delay in the submission of the proposals is entirely due to the delay in obtaining from Presidents of District Boards the particulars necessary to answer G.Os., No. 33, Educational, dated 19th January 1906, and No. 304, Educational, dated 1st May 1906, and Memorandum No. 345, Educational, dated 30th July 1906. As already reported by Dr. Bourne in his letter, Ref. on Cur. No. 4303, dated 20th July 1906, the requisition for these particulars was made so early as the beginning of June 1906. They are still due from the Presidents of the District Boards of Malabar and Cuddapah and those furnished by the Presidents of the marginally-named Boards are incomplete. Some have provided for the maintenance of schools in villages where buildings have not been erected while others have not provided for maintaining schools in stations in which buildings have been built. I have made references to the Presidents of these Boards calling for additional information or revised particulars and until their replies are received I shall not be in a position to furnish Government with a complete report containing all the particulars required in their orders quoted above. The submission of such a complete report would mean perhaps another month and after that date it may not, as observed by Government, be possible for Presidents to utilize fully within the year the grant that may be allotted for building purposes. I beg therefore to propose that the distribution noted hereunder may be sanctioned :—

Gódávari.	North Arcot.
Kistna.	South Arcot.
Kurnool.	Tanjore.
Bellary.	Tinnevelly.
Anantapur.	The Nilgiris.

—	Number of schools proposed to be maintained.	Estimated expenditure in maintenance of schools.	Estimated cost of furnishing and equipping.	Amount required to complete buildings begun in 1905–1906.	Amount proposed to be spent on new buildings.	Amount required for maintenance of existing Board schools.	Total.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
District Board.		RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Ganjám	69	5,860	14,658	2,182	15,000	..	37,700
Vizagapatam	75	8,250	15,465	2,369	16,147	..	42,231
Koraput	..	..	..	..	5,610	..	5,610
Guntúr	30	2,825	4,481	29	18,055	..	25,390
Nellore	13	665	2,315	8,919	5,280	..	17,179
Chingleput	33	3,281	5,521	1,353	11,800	..	21,955
Trichinopoly	66	6,318	10,347	1,595	11,540	..	29,800
Madura	28	2,829	7,021	2,535	32,415	..	44,800
Coimbatore	48	4,180	8,670	812	11,250	..	24,912
Salem	56	4,410	11,490	9,548	8,452	..	33,900
South Canara	1	137	153	380	15,930	870	17,470
Gódávari	29	3,384	4,430	3,963	6,218	2,505	20,500
Kistna	71	7,681	9,733	2,650	14,486	..	34,550
Kurnool	14	1,173	1,675	1,702	9,350	..	13,900
Bellary	13	780	3,740	15,085	9,695	..	29,300
Anantapur	1	73	322	555	9,350	..	10,300
North Arcot	37	3,972	2,775	6,650	17,630	..	31,027
South Arcot	26	2,052	3,348	4,665	15,335	..	25,400
Tanjore	52	5,690	8,060	5,481	19,869	..	39,100
Tinnevelly	28	3,274	4,360	1,036	17,830	..	26,500
The Nilgiris	1	150	1,196	..	8,830	..	10,176
Cuddapah	..	6,090	6,480	2,130	8,400	5,500	28,600
Malabar	..	4,281	1,212	3,345	18,862	..	27,700
Municipal Council.							
Karúr Municipality ..	..	..	..	..	..	2,000	2,000
Total ..	..	77,355	1,27,452	76,984	3,07,334	10,875	6,00,000

These figures have been furnished by the Presidents of the several Boards and may therefore be accepted. In the case of some Boards variations are likely to arise in the cost of maintenance of schools and this difficulty can be got over by advising Presidents that in all cases in which such variations occur the grant sanctioned for furnishing, equipment, etc., should be correspondingly raised or lowered as the case may require.

2. The President of the District Board, Koraput, cannot utilize more than Rs. 5,610 and this leaves a saving of Rs. 4,390, and the Presidents of North Arcot and Nellore propose to send supplemental estimates for Rs. 4,773 and Rs. 621 respectively. These amounts are treated as surrenders out of the provisional allotment made to them and the savings realized thus it is proposed to utilize in providing (i) for the payment to the Municipal Council, Karúr, of Rs. 2,000 for provision of accommodation for an Educational Museum (G.O., No. 564, Educational, dated 25th August 1906), (ii) for the payment of Rs. 870 to the Mangalore Taluk Board for revising the establishments of primary schools under its control (G.O., No. 409, Educational, dated 13th June 1906), and (iii) for meeting the additional demands made by the District Boards of Vizagapatam, Guntúr, Chingleput, Coimbatore and the Nilgiris. The President of the District Board, Vizagapatam, applied for a grant of Rs. 16,342 for new buildings but I could allot only Rs. 16,147. The President of the District Board, Salem, has proposed to incur during the current year an expenditure of Rs. 18,000 on new buildings under the impression that the unappropriated balance in the grant sanctioned for 1905–1906 can be utilized for completing the buildings begun in that year, but as under G.O., No. 1079 L., dated 5th September 1906, this amount will become available only during 1907–1908, I have reduced the grant for new buildings to Rs. 8,452. With reference to G.Os., No. 327, Educational, dated 9th May 1906, and No. 540 L., dated 5th May 1906, Rs. 2,300 and Rs. 5,040 should be proposed as contribution to the Rajahmundry Taluk Board and the Cuddapah District Board for the maintenance by these Boards of certain primary schools but the Presidents estimate the expenditure on their behalf at Rs. 2,505 and Rs. 5,500 respectively. I have allowed the latter figures to stand.

3. As regards items 4 and 5 in paragraph 2 of the Memorandum under reply that any extra expenditure which Local Boards are required to meet under Educational Rule 83 will not become payable until 1st May 1907 and will accordingly not fall within the present year. The calculation of the loss of fee income which Educational Rule 84 will entail will take some time as the new fee rules do not come into force till January 1907 and will be in operation for only three months at most in the current official year and the loss will not be great. In these circumstances it does not seem worth while to delay sanction of the expenditure on new buildings and maintenance of schools until the calculations can be made; the charge may be met from savings in the special grant or under any other budget head.

Details for the distribution proposed will be submitted later on, if required.

Order—No. 738, Educational, dated 14th November 1906.

The proposal of the Director of Public Instruction to place at the disposal of the District Boards of the Presidency and the Taluk Boards of Rajahmundry and Mangalore Rs. 2,90,666 of the special grant of six lakhs in aid of primary education for the current year towards the following purposes is approved :—

	RS.
Maintenance of schools newly opened	77,355
Furnishing and equipping of schools newly opened	1,27,452
Completion of buildings commenced in 1905–1906	76,984
Maintenance of existing schools	8,875
Total ..	2,90,666

2. Plans and estimates for the proposed Educational Museum at Karúr not being ready as yet, there will be no necessity to make any payment this year to the Municipal Council of Karúr for this purpose. The necessary provision will be made in the budget for 1907–1908.

3. The amounts which the Director proposes to allot for the construction of new buildings seem to be unnecessarily large except in the case of the District Boards of Koraput, Nellore, Gódávari, Kurnool, Bellary, Anantapur and Cuddapah and will, except in these cases, be reduced by 25 per cent. Until it is possible to frame a more accurate forecast of the additional expenditure likely to be thrown upon local bodies in respect of primary education under the new Educational Rules, the expenditure on school buildings should for the present be restricted as far as possible to existing schools which have no satisfactory buildings and equipment of their own, rather than on buildings in less important villages where no schools at present exist. The sums thus set free together with the sum of Rs. 2,000 provided by the Director for payment to the Municipal Council of Karúr will be paid to the seven Boards referred to above and to the District Board of the Nilgiris as lump grants in aid of primary education under those Boards. The distribution of the sum of Rs. 6,00,000 now approved will then be as shown in the annexure to these Proceedings.

4. The Accountant-General is authorised to place the total amounts shown in the last column of the annexure at the disposal of the local bodies concerned.

5. All Presidents of District Boards will be requested to make special arrangements to utilize in full before the close of the current financial year the grants now made for completing and furnishing all new buildings.

6. For the year 1907–1908 the construction of new buildings should be limited to the provision of proper accommodation for schools already in existence and in submitting his proposal for the allotment of the grant for that year the Director should make allowance for the balances referred to in paragraph 5 of G.O., No. 1079 L., dated 5th September 1906.

(True Extract.)

H. D. TAYLOR,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.
,, Accountant-General.
,, Financial Department.
,, Local and Municipal Department.

ANNEXURE.

District Boards.	Maintenance of schools newly opened.	Furnishing and equipping schools newly opened.	Completion of buildings commenced in 1905-1906.	For new buildings.	Maintenance of existing schools.	Lump grant.	Total.
	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Ganjám	5,860	14,658	2,182	11,250	..	..	33,950
Vizagapatam	8,250	15,465	2,369	12,110	..	..	38,194
Koraput	..	..	..	5,610	..	1,358	6,968
Guntúr	2,825	4,481	29	13,541	..	..	20,876
Nellore	665	2,315	8,919	5,280	..	10,000	27,179
Chingleput	3,281	5,521	1,353	8,850	..	..	19,005
Trichinopoly	6,318	10,347	1,595	8,655	..	..	26,915
Madura	2,829	7,021	2,535	24,311	..	..	36,696
Coimbatore	4,180	8,670	812	8,437	..	..	22,099
Salem	4,410	11,490	9,548	6,339	..	..	31,787
South Canara	137	153	380	11,947	870	..	13,487
Gódávari	3,384	4,430	3,963	6,218	2,505	10,000	30,500
Kistna	7,681	9,733	2,650	10,865	..	..	30,929
Kurnool	1,173	1,675	1,702	9,350	..	10,000	23,900
Bellary	780	3,740	15,085	9,695	..	12,000	41,300
Anantapur	73	322	555	9,350	..	10,000	20,300
North Arcot	3,972	2,775	6,650	13,223	..	..	26,620
South Arcot	2,052	3,348	4,665	11,501	..	..	21,566
Tanjore	5,690	8,060	5,481	14 902	..	..	34,133
Tinnevelly	3,274	4,360	1,036	13,373	..	..	22,043
The Nilgiris	150	1,196	..	6,622	..	2,000	9,968
Cuddapah	6,090	6,480	2,130	8,400	5,500	10,000	38,600
Malabar	4,281	1,212	3,345	14,147	..	..	22,985
Total ..	77,355	1,27,452	76,984	2,43,976	8,875	65,358	6,00,000

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. 738, EDUCATIONAL, DATED 14TH NOVEMBER 1906.

[SUBJECT.—*Allotment of the special recurring grant of* 6 *lakhs in aid of Primary Education during* 1906–1907.]

From the Director of Public Instruction, dated 20*th July* 1906, *No.* 4303.

This may lie over pending receipt of the Director's reply to G.O., No. 304, Educational, dated 1st May 1906.

P. T. THARYAN—24-7-06.

C. G. MACKAY—25-7-06.

Please put up a statement showing items of recurring expenditure which have, so far, been earmarked against the 6 lakhs grant.

2. There are some schools in Gódávari District which the Taluk Board proposed to abolish. Also certain items referred to in the notes on the revised Educational Rules.

H. D. TAYLOR—21-9-06.

Please see paragraph 3 (*d*) of the Secretary's note, dated 25th April 1904, on page 2 of the notes with G.O., No. 304, Educational, dated 1st May 1906. Educational has received proposals about grants to Rajahmundry Taluk Board out of the 6 lakhs—*vide* G.O., No. 546 L., dated 7th May 1906, but not from Cuddapah and Kurnool. If there are any proposals from these latter Districts please put them up. Send also a copy of G.O., No. 546 L., dated 7th May 1906, notes with; if the notes do not show what the required amount is, kindly let me know the amount in this case. Return the file soon, please.

P. T. THARYAN—22-9-06.

The proposals about grants to the Cuddapah District Board were dealt with in paragraph 2 of G.O., No. 540 L., dated 5th May 1906. No papers can be traced in regard to similar proposals relating to Kurnool. The Mangalore Taluk Board has been promised a grant of Rs. 870 from the 6 lakhs grant for carrying out the revision of the establishments of certain primary schools. Please see G.O., No. 409, Educational, dated 13th June 1906.

2. A copy of the notes connected with G.O., No. 546 L., dated 7th May 1906, is submitted. From page 3 of these notes it will be seen that an allotment of Rs. 2,300 will be required by the Rajahmundry Taluk Board.

N. CHENGAL RAO—25-9-06.

The items of recurring expenditure which have, so far, been earmarked against the 6 lakhs grant are as follows:—

(1) Rs. 2,300 for payment to the Rajahmundry Taluk Board for the maintenance of 22 of its primary schools which it proposed to close—G.Os., Nos. 546 L., dated 7th May 1906, and 327, Educational, dated 9th May 1906.

(2) Rs. 5,040 for payment to the Cuddapah District Board—Rs. 4,620 for the maintenance of 27 primary schools and 25 assistant teachers which it proposed to abolish and Rs. 420 for keeping the allotment for results grants at the figure (Rs. 7,130) budgetted for—G.O., No. 540 L., dated 5th May 1906.

The payment of Rs. 420 for keeping the allotment for results grants at the budget figure will not apparently be a recurring expenditure.

(3) Rs. 870 for payment to the Taluk Board of Mangalore for carrying out the revision of the establishments of the primary schools under its control—G.O., No. 409, Educational, dated 13th June 1906.

(4) Extra expenditure above the normal expenditure of local bodies required to pay capitation grants to trained teachers of Board lower Elementary schools under No. 83 of the new Educational Rules—paragraph 12 of the Honourable Member's orders on page 116 of the notes with G.O., No. 509, Educational, dated 31st July 1906. **A** The exact amount in this case is not yet known.

(5) Rs. 11,307 for making up the loss caused by the operation of the new Educational rule 84 under which the headmasters of lower Elementary schools appropriate the fees to themselves. **B** The whole of this amount will not be payable to local bodies as a portion of the loss will occur in Government schools—paragraph 15 of Honourable Member's orders on page 117 of the notes with G.O., No. 509, Educational, dated 31st July 1906, and paragraph 8 of the Government order.

(6) An amount not exceeding Rs. 2,000 for payment to the Municipal Council of Karur for provision of accommodation for an Educational Museum. This is not a recurring item of expenditure—G.O., No. 564, Educational, dated 25th August 1906.

(7) Some payment will have to be made to the Kurnool District Board also, but the matter is still under consideration; a draft order was recently received from the Local and Municipal Department for acceptance in Educational.

P. T. Tharyan—29-9-06.

C. G. Mackay—1-10-06.

Another reminder must be sent to the Director of Public Instruction to expedite his proposals for the allotment of the 6 lakhs grant. His attention may be called at the same time to the items mentioned above which form a charge against it.

2. Six months of the year have already gone, and though the Director of Public Instruction was asked to submit his proposals early in the year, they have not been received. Grant for buildings cannot be expended unless Presidents of District Boards are given sufficient time.

3. Ask the Director of Public Instruction for details at **A** and **B**, if available.

H. D. Taylor—2-10-06.

The draft memorandum placed below is submitted for approval.

P.R.—4-10-06.

P. T. Tharyan—4-10-06.

C. G. Mackay—4-10-06.

H. D. Taylor—5-10-06.

G. S. F[orbes]—7-10-06.

Issue.

H. D. Taylor—9-10-06.

[Memorandum No. 508, dated 9th October 1906.]

From the Director of Public Instruction, dated 13th October 1906, *No.* 4303.

In paragraph 7 of his letter recorded with G.O., No. 304, Educational, dated 1st May 1906, the Director of Public Instruction proposed to utilize Rs. 2,34,000 of the special grant of 6 lakhs in aid of primary education for 1906–1907 on the establishment and equipment of the schools newly opened in 1906 and for which buildings were constructed in 1905–1906 with the aid of the grant for that year. The balance of Rs. 3,66,000 was proposed to be spent on the construction of buildings in localities where they were still needed or on the completion of buildings commenced in 1905–1906. The details of the grants proposed for each district were as follows compared with the amounts received by District Boards and spent in 1905–1906:—

Districts.	Amount paid from Provincial in 1905–1906.	Amount spent in 1905–1906.	For schools opened in April 1906. (a) Establishment. (b) Equipment.	For buildings to be erected or completed in 1906–1907.	Total of columns 4 and 5.
1	2	3	4	5	
	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Ganjám	30,000	25,189	20,700	17,000	37,700
Vizagapatam	56,000	56,042	22,500	16,000	38,500
Koraput	3,000	3,040	.	10,000	10,000
Gódávari	16,750	16,650	7,500	13,000	20,500
Kistna	35,600	35,520	18,600	16,000	34,600
Guntúr	14,000	14,000	6,300	18,000	24,300
Nellore	13,000	13,554	4,800	13,000	17,800
Bellary	18,000	8,269	9,300	20,000	29,300
Anantapur	9,000	7,607	300	10,000	10,300
Cuddapah	18,500	18,500	12,600	16,000	28,600
Kurnool	10,000	10,074	3,900	10,000	13,900
North Arcot	37,000	31,285	13,800	22,000	35,800
Chingleput	17,000	15,103	10,800	10,000	20,800
South Arcot	16,000	13,082	5,400	20,000	25,400
Tanjore	44,000	36,919	23,100	16,000	39,100
Trichinopoly	30,000	29,695	19,800	10 000	29.800
Madura	28,000	25,278	10,800	34,000	44,800
Tinnevelly	16,000	14,953	10,500	16,000	26,500
Coimbatore	27,700	26,617	14,100	10,000	24,100
The Nilgiris	9,100	9,046	..	10,000	10,000
Salem	62,000	51,657	15,900	18,000	33,900
Malabar	35,000	33,925	2,700	25,000	27,700
South Canara	21,350	20,634	600	16,000	16,600
Total ..	5,67,000	5,16,639	2,34,000	3,66,000	6,00,000

G.O., No. 304, Educational, dated 1st May 1906.

2. The Government then directed that the 6 lakhs grant for the current year should be devoted to the following objects and the Director was asked to revise his proposals accordingly:—

(*a*) Construction of buildings—

(i) for primary schools to be newly established in places where there are no such schools at present;

(ii) for existing primary schools for which there are no proper buildings;

(iii) for primary schools the construction of which was commenced in 1905–1906 but left incomplete at the end of the year.

(*b*) Furnishing and equipment of schools newly constructed.

(*c*) Payment of salaries of masters and servants, if any, employed in new schools, and contingent charges of such schools.

(*d*) Grant to Local Boards for maintenance of existing schools, which for want of funds the Boards would otherwise be unable to keep up and which it is desirable to maintain.

3. Subsequently the allotments proposed for 1906–1907 were provisionally approved in G.O., No. 355, Educational, dated 21st May 1906, and Presidents of District Boards authorized to incur expenditure on establishment, furniture and contingencies on account of schools already opened pending receipt of further orders. The Director was also asked to include in his revised proposals the following special amounts:—

G.O., No. 327, Educational, dated 9th May 1906.

(1) Rs. 2,300 for payment to the Rajahmundry Taluk Board.

G.O., No. 540 L., dated 5th May 1906.

(2) Rs. 5,040 for payment to the Cuddapah District Board.

G.O., No. 409, Educational, dated 13th June 1906.

(3) Rs. 870 for payment to the Mangalore Taluk Board.

(4) Amount required for payment of capitation grants under the new Educational rule 83.

(5) Amount required to make up the loss in fee income caused by the operation of new Educational rule 84.

(6) Amount not exceeding Rupees 2,000 for payment to the Municipal Council of Karúr for providing accommodation for an Educational Museum.

G.O., No. 564, Educational, dated 25th August 1906.

4. The Director now submits his revised proposals which owing to non-receipt of information called for from Malabar and Cuddapah and to the receipt of incomplete information from Gódávari, Kistna, Kurnool, Bellary, Anantapur, North Arcot, South Arcot, Tanjore, Tinnevelly and the Nilgiris are not complete. They seem however to be sufficient for ordering a distribution of the grant to be made. Any adjustments subsequently required can be effected later on.

5. According to the Director's revised proposals, the total amounts required for the various purposes are as follow :—

	RS.
(1) Construction of new buildings—for existing schools as well as for new schools	3,07,334
(2) Incomplete works of 1905–1906	76,984
(3) Furnishing and equipping schools newly started	1,27,452
(4) Maintenance of schools newly started	77,355
(5) Special grants	10,875
	6,00,000

6. The total for each district compares as follows with the amount originally proposed and with the grant paid in 1905–1906 :—

Districts.	Amount paid in 1905–1906.	Amount originally proposed.	Amount now proposed.
	RS.	RS.	RS.
Ganjám	30,000	37,700	37,700
Vizagapatam	56,000	38,500	42,231
Koraput	3,000	10,000	5,610
Gódávari	16,750	20,500	20,500
Kistna	35,600	34,600	34,550
Guntúr	14,000	24,300	25,390
Nellore	13,000	17,800	17,179
Bellary	18,000	29,300	29,300
Anantapur	9,000	10,300	10,300
Cuddapah	18,500	28,600	28,600
Kurnool	10,000	13,900	13,900
North Arcot	37,000	35,800	31,027
Chingleput	17,000	20,800	21,955
South Arcot	16,000	25,400	25,400
Tanjore	44,000	39,100	39,100
Trichinopoly	30,000	29,800	29,800
Madura	28,000	44,800	44,800
Tinnevelly	16,000	26,500	26,500
Coimbatore	27,700	24,100	24,912
The Nilgiris	9,100	10,000	10,176
Salem	62,000	33,900	33,900
Malabar	35,000	27,700	27,700
South Canara	21,350	16,600	17,470
Karúr Municipal Council	..	..	2,000
	5,67,000	6,00,000	6,00,000

7. It is stated that the Koraput District Board has expressed its inability to spend more than Rs. 5,610 in the current year and that the original proposals of the District Board of North Arcot were only for an expenditure of Rs. 31,027 although it was the intention of the Director to allot Rs. 35,800. There were additional demands for sums exceeding a *thousand* rupees from the Vizagapatam, Guntúr and Chingleput Boards.

---

8. The special grants asked for by the Rajahmundry Taluk and Cuddapah District Boards are Rs. 2,505 and Rs. 5,500 respectively against Rs. 2,300 and Rs. 5,040 which the Government agreed to pay. The excess asked for is not much and the Director proposes to meet the demands in full.

H. D. Taylor.

Nothing is provided to meet the extra expenditure above the normal expenditure of local bodies required to pay capitation grants under the new Educational rule 83 as the amount will not become payable, it is stated, till 1st May 1907. Nor is anything provided to meet the amount required to make up the loss in school fees caused by the operation of the new Educational rule 84 as the calculation of the amount required will take sometime but the new fee rules will not come into force till January 1907 and will be in operation for only 3 months of the year 1906–1907 and the loss during this period will not, it is stated, be great.

G.O., No. 509, Educational, dated 31st July 1906.

For payment to the Municipal Council of Karur for providing accommodation for an Educational Museum a sum of Rs. 2,000 is provided but this is the maximum required. The Municipal Council may be asked to submit the estimate for the work as soon as possible and meanwhile the payment of the amount may be held over.

G.O., No. 564, Educational, dated 25th August 1906.

We may send a memorandum.
C. G. Mackay.

9. In paragraph 2 of G.O., No. 33, Educational, dated 19th January 1906, it was stated that the grant for 1905–1906 was distributed unevenly among the various districts and the Director was asked to see that in the case of the grants for 1906–1907 they were given mainly to districts which did not receive the share proportionate to their actual requirements. The distribution now proposed is with reference to the demands of the various District Boards.

It is for consideration whether the proposals may be approved and formal orders issued, with the concurrence of Financial, placing the amounts at the disposal of the Boards.

P. T. Tharyan—17-10-06.

The information supplied is said to be incomplete but perhaps it supplies a working basis to go on, and the main point seems to be to distribute the allotments at once, so that Presidents may utilise the grant fully within the year.

2. The amounts of allotments suggested are still unevenly distributed. They are based on the demands of the different districts. It seems to be a case that to him that hath shall be given, for the districts most advanced in education, as Tanjore, Madura, Tinnevelly are to get large grants in comparison with some of the Ceded districts where education is so backward.

C. G. Mackay--20-10-06.

The items shown in columns 3, 4, 5 and 7 of the statement in paragraph 1 of the Director's letter are necessary and must be sanctioned. The only doubt that exists is in respect of new *buildings* (column 6) on which it is proposed to spend Rs. 3,07,334, or more than half the grant in the current year. I should be inclined to reduce this allotment by 25 per cent. or so all round except in the case of Koraput, Gódávari, Nellore and the Ceded districts, and to distribute the balance by way of general subsidy in aid of primary education under the seven District Boards named, the Nilgiris and Chingleput.

Yes.
G. S. F[orbes]—25-10-06.

Yes : till we see more clearly ahead.
G. S. F[orbes]—25-10-06.

Bellary.	Kurnool.
Anantapur.	Cuddapah.

G. S. F[orbes].

2. There must be some limit to building, and in future it seems desirable to limit building operations to villages in which schools—*with* establishments, but no proper school buildings—exist.

Certainly these ought to be first provided.
G. S. F[orbes].

3. In allotting the grant for 1907–1908 reference will have to be made in this connection to the balances referred to in paragraph 5 of G.O., No. 1079 L., dated 5th September 1906.

H. D. Taylor—23-10-06.

G. S. F[orbes]—25-10-06.

Please draft and work out a fresh distribution statement with new columns.

H. D. Taylor—26-10-06.

The draft placed below is submitted for approval.

2. The reduction of 25 per cent. in the case of the grants for new buildings, to 16 District Boards amounts to Rs. 63,358 and this has been distributed among the nine District Boards named in pragraph 1 of the Secretary's note of the 23rd instant in rateable proportion to the grants proposed to be paid to them for new buildings. The amounts thus obtained for Koraput, Chingleput and the Nilgiris seem to be in excess of actual requirements.

I don't quite see how you get the figures given.

C. G. Mackay—30-10-06.

3. If the draft is approved it has to be forwarded to Financial for acceptance.

P. T. Tharyan—27-10-06.

In the case of Chingleput and the Nilgiris it is understood that the Secretary wished 25 per cent. deducted and this has been done. But the excess sum now set free has been distributed rateably on the basis of the Director's figures. I am not sure if this was intended, but as the sums in the case of the Nilgiris and Chingleput are large, perhaps this manner of calculation will do.

C. G. Mackay—30-10-06.

The draft will be sent *very urgently* to the Director of Public Instruction for remarks. I am inclined to think that the allotments now made for Koraput and the Nilgiris are considerably in excess of the total educational requirements of those districts. Perhaps the Director of Public Instruction can furnish the actual figures.

H. D. Taylor—30-10-06.

To the Director of Public Instruction.

Office of the Director of Public Instruction.

There is no objection to the savings being applied to increase the subsidy: a sum of Rs. 33,000 was similarly applied last year and more might have been spent if it had been available. The principle on which the distribution has been made leads, as apprehended in the notes, to some districts receiving subsidies far in excess of their requirements.

2. The figures requested by Educational in the case of the Nilgiris are as follows:—

Nilgiris—

	RS.
Amount of subsidy already included in the sanctioned budget ..	450
Additional amount now proposed	7,506
Budget allotment for grants (about)	560
Total ..	8,516
Probable requirements based on last year's figures	1,045
Excess ..	7,471

3. For Koraput details are not available but as Rs. 30 only was required as subsidy last year and Rs. 100 has been sanctioned already for this year the extra provision of Rs. 4,769 is unnecessary. The number of aided schools in the district is very small.

The proposed provision is excessive in the case of the other districts also. For example, the figures are as follows for the *Chingleput* District Board:—

	Rs.
Amount of subsidy already sanctioned	9,700
Additional amount proposed	10,031
Budget allotment for grants	11,730
	31,461
Probable requirements based on last year's figures	22,560
Excess ..	8,901

It would be better to distribute the savings of Rs. 63,358 among *all* the districts in proportion to the subsidy already provided in the sanctioned budget estimate.

4. Complete figures are not yet ready in this office to show what the actual requirements of each Board will be, and it may be that the distribution I have suggested above will in some cases give the Boards more and in others less than they require, but the differences will be less than they would be if, as proposed in the draft, the whole amount were allotted to nine districts, of which two are so small as to be practically negligible in comparison with the others in regard to the amount they have to spend on aided primary education.

T. T. Logan—31-10-06.

For orders.

C. G. Mackay—1-11-06.

Please revise in accordance with Director of Public Instruction's note *supra* reallotting the Rs. 63,000 odd in proportion to the subsidies originally proposed rateably for all districts.

H. D. Taylor—2-11-06.

Submitted that the Local and Municipal Department may be asked to give us *very urgently* a statement of the subsidy already provided in the sanctioned budget estimates of Local Boards.

P. T. Tharyan—2-11-06.

C. G. Mackay—2-11-06.

To the Local and Municipal Department.

Local and Municipal Department.

The following statement shows the subsidy provided in the sanctioned budgets of District Boards for the current year:—

Name of district.	Subsidy. Rs.
1. Anantapur	4,000
2. Arcot, North	11,200
3. Arcot, South	3,150
4. Bellary	8,100
5. Canara, Soath	9,900
6. Chingleput	9,700
7. Coimbatore	9,100
8. Cuddapah	8,650
9. Ganjám	24,000
10. Gódávari	1,900
11. Guntúr	6,650
12. Kistna	11,100
13. Koraput	100
14. Kurnool	12,850
15. Madura	10,900
16. Malabar	13,000
17. Nellore	6,450

Name of district.	Subsidy.
	RS.
18. Nilgiris, The	450
19. Salem	3,450
20. Tanjore	6,650
21. Tinnevelly	17,000
22. Trichinopoly	6,100
23. Vizagapatam	23,000
Total	2,07,400

K.A.G.—3-11-06.

N. Chengal Rao—3-11-06.

V. Raghava Charlu—5-11-06.

C. G. Mackay—5-11-06.

To the Educational Department.

Educational Department.

The distribution of Rs. 63,358 has been revised as directed —please see paragraph 1 of the draft.

P. T. Tharyan—6-11-06.

C. G. Mackay—7-11-06.

We had better not use the term *subsidy*. That is a separate matter. The grant now proposed is to help such Local Boards as are in straitened financial circumstances to finance their *own* schools, quite apart from the question of aid to be given—through Local Boards—by way of subsidy to elementary schools.

2. The proposed basis of distribution won't do at all. What we want to do is to help the poorer Boards, not to give more to those that already have a lot.

The amount is Rs. 63,358 which may be distributed as follows :—

	RS.
Nellore	10,000
Gódâvari	10,000
Cuddapah	10,000
Kurnool	10,000
Bellary	10,000
Anantapur	10,000
Koraput	1,358
Nilgiris	2,000
Total	63,358

3. Please type the draft, showing the proposed distribution as an annexure.

H. D. Taylor—8-11-06.

Typed and resubmitted.

P. T. Tharyan—8-11-06.

G.O., No. 1380 L., dated 5th November 1906.

Financial for acceptance with reference to paragraph 2. The Accountant-General on a separate reference was of opinion that the entire grant of 6 lakhs when

C | distributed to Local Boards should be shown, under 22. Education—Local, irrespective of whether it is intended for school buildings or other educational purposes.

H. D. Taylor—9-11-06.

To the Financial Department.

Financial Department.

G.O., No. 396, Financial, dated 18th June 1906.

In June last the Accountant-General requested Government to transfer to the *Local* section the special provision of 6 lakhs for primary education made in the *Provincial section* in the budget estimate for 1906–1907 under the head 22. Education, and stated at the same time that the contribution on account of establishment and equipment of Primary schools should be provided under 22. Education (Local), while that on account of expenditure on buildings should be provided under "45. Civil Works—Civil (Local)." Accordingly by G.O., No. 396, Financial, dated 18th June 1906, sums of 2·34 lakhs and 3·66 lakhs were transferred to 22. Education (*Local*) and to "45. Civil Works—Civil (*Local*)" respectively.

2. Paragraph 2 of the present draft order requires Financial to retransfer to "22. Education—*Local*" the sum of 3·66 lakhs above referred to.

The Accountant-General's reasoning in the notes in G.O., No. 1380 L., dated 5th November 1906, referred to at **C** on page 8 *supra*, had reference to the *crediting* on the receipts side of the Local Fund accounts of contributions from Provincial funds. This does not, however, contravene the statement in paragraph 2 of his letter No. Bud. 173/2712, dated 5th June 1906, in G.O., No. 396, Financial, dated 18th June 1906, which refers to the *debiting* in the Local Fund accounts of the expenditure incurred by District Boards from the special grant of 6 lakhs for primary education.

3. In these circumstances the words "Orders will issue in the Financial department . . . . G.O., No. 396, Financial, dated 18th June 1906" in paragraph 2 of the draft may be omitted.

Vide Annexure on page 4 of draft order.

Page 145 of Civil budget estimate.

4. From the 6 lakhs grant it is also proposed to give a sum of Rs. 2,000 to the Municipal Council, Karúr. This grant will not go to the *Local* section but will be debited to the head "22-G. Education—Grants-in-Aid—To Municipal Funds (Provincial)." But with reference to the Government of India (Finance) resolution No. 5306, dated 27th September 1906, the amount (Rs. 2,000) cannot, without the sanction of the Government of India, be retransferred from the *Local* section to the *Provincial* section. The grant of Rs. 2,000 to the Karúr Municipality must therefore be found otherwise from the Provincial grant under *Education*.

5. Paragraph 2 of the draft should, it is submitted, be modified with reference to the foregoing remarks.

A.H.—12-11-06.

The intervention of Financial seems to be unnecessary. Local and Municipal can transfer between *Local* major heads.

C. M. Schmidt—12-11-06.

Yes. Financial need not do anything in the matter apparently.

M. Hammick—12-11-06.

To the Educational Department.

Educational Department.

Please revise with reference to Rs. 2,000 grant to Karúr.

H. D. Taylor—13-11-06.

Submitted that all that is now necessary is to omit the second sentence of paragraph 2 of the draft. The Accountant-General will then pay the local bodies concerned and debit the payments to the appropriate heads. If he wants further orders he will come up to Government.

P. T. Tharyan—13-11-06.

C. G. Mackay—13-11-06.

I do not understand clearly whether we can transfer the Rs. 2,000 from *Local* to Karúr Municipality.

H. D. Taylor—13-11-06.

Submitted that as the whole of the 6 lakhs grant now stands in the Local section of the budget and as under the resolution of the Government of India, No. 5306-A, dated 27th September 1906, recently received in Financial, no portion of the amount can now be transferred back to the Provincial section, the grant of Rs. 2,000 to the Karúr Municipal Council cannot be transferred from Local. But the Accountant-General will distribute the whole 6 lakhs under the proposed order—5·98 from the Local section and the Rs. 2,000 to Karúr from 22. Education—Grants-in-Aid—Provincial. The balance of Rs. 2,000 from the Local section will lapse to Provincial and against this there will be a payment of Rs. 2,000 from Provincial. Both the lapse on the one side and the payment on the other will thus be squared.

I.e., the general balance, *not* to 22. Education.

P. T. Tharyan—13-11-06.

But our order distributes the full 6 lakhs *including* Rs. 2,000 to Karúr. The Rs. 2,000 will, I take it, lapse to the general Provincial balance, not to 22. Education. It seems best to omit all reference in this order to the grant to Karúr. This can be given by Director of Public Instruction out of the general educational allotment, or in distribution of the subsidy. The best plan seems to be to allot Rs. 5,98,000 only in this order and to reserve Rs. 2,000 for future distribution to some necessitous District Board, such as Bellary.

H. D. Taylor—14-11-06.

As directed by the Secretary the draft has been altered as shown in pencil in consultation with the Registrar, Financial Department. If approved it will be re-typed.

2. The Educational Museum at Karúr is to be accommodated in a room to be attached to the High School there. No estimate has been received in Local and Municipal for this purpose as yet and it is not likely that the building will be commenced before the 1st of April next. There seems therefore to be no objection to pay the grant next year. If this course is approved the whole of the 6 lakhs grant for the current year may be distributed at once, an additional Rs. 2,000 being paid to Bellary, and provision may be made for payment to Karúr in the next year's budget. If this is possible the Financial Department thinks it will be a very convenient arrangement.

H. D. Taylor.

P. T. Tharyan—14-11-06.

Yes. Please revise accordingly giving Rs. 2,000 extra to Bellary. Please type so that I can show to the Honourable Member this afternoon.

H. D. Taylor—14-11-06.

Typed and submitted.

P. T. Tharyan—14-11-06.

Issue.

H. D. Taylor—14-11-06.

[G.O., No. 738, Educational, dated 14th November 1906.]

[E]
500—10-2-

Educational Department.

CURRENT FILE.

CONTENTS.

From	Number.	Date.	Pages.
[1192] D.P.I	4303	20-7-06	1
Memo	508	9.10.06	2-3
[1695] D.P.I	4303	13.10.06	4-7

[P. T. O. for put up papers.

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No.

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number.	Date.	Original (=O) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers as have been removed for copying, printing, &c., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of Referencer with date in token of return to Reference department.

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number.	Date.	Original (=O) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Despatcher to note the return of the papers to the departments concerned.

Memo 311/06
[illegible]
304/06
Read

Stock Form No. 135
3,700—17-6-05.

Ed CURRENT No. 1192

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL SECRETARIAT. 23 JUL 1906

Received 23 JUL 1906, Registered 23. 7. 1906

Subject.

Stating that the detailed proposals called for in G.O. No. 33, Educational, dated 19th January 1906 regarding the provision of funds (special grant of six lakhs) will be submitted on receipt of information called for from the Presidents of District Boards.

ENCLOSURES.
No.
Spare copies

Ref on Cur No. 4303/06.

Station Madras, *Dated the* 20th Jul 1906.

From (Name) The Hon'ble Mr. A.G. Bourne, D.Sc., F.R.S.,

(Designation) Director of Public Instruction.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Educational Department.

Sir,

Referring to Memorandum No. 311, Educational, dated 11th July 1906, I have the honour to state that my letter Cur. No. 2777/06 dated 14th April 1906 was intended as a reply to G.O. No. 33, Educational, dated 19th January 1906 though this order was not expressly referred to in that letter and that on receipt of orders of Government on it which were issued in G.O. No. 304, Educational, dated 1st May 1906 I addressed the Presidents of District Boards on the 4th June last in order to obtain the particulars necessary to answer the two orders referred to. As yet a reply has been received only from the President,

District

1(a)

District Board, Anantapur and the Presidents of all the other Boards have been reminded. On receipt of all the replies I shall furnish the information required by Government.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

E.W. Middlemast

For Director of Public Instruction.

K.P.D.

F.S.
20-7-06.

Rw

2

13

Rc 1895/06

Desp soon
9/10/06

S/z

MEMORANDUM:-- 508 d/9-10-06

Z. In G.O. No.33, Educational, dated 19th January 1906, the Director of Public Instruction was requested to submit his proposals for the distribution of the six lakhs grant ~~from~~ for the current year and

D. in G.O. No.304, Educational, dated 1st May 1906, he was reminded of this request. Several ~~further~~ reminders have also been sent to the Director since ~~then~~ that date but ~~the Government regret to observe that the~~ no proposals have ~~not~~ as yet been received ~~as yet~~. Six months of the year have now elapsed and ~~the grant for buildings cannot be expended~~ unless Presidents of District Boards are given sufficient time (for the construction of the building. The Director is therefore requested to be so good as to submit the proposals with the least possible delay.

it will be impossible to make ~~[illegible]~~ satisfactory arrangements for the expenditure of that portion of

what may be allotted for building purposes

2. The Director's attention is at the same time called to the following items of expenditure which ~~form~~ have been already earmarked as a charge against the six lakhs grant:-

(1) Rs.2,300 for payment to the Rajahmundry Taluk Board for the maintenance of

E. 22 primary schools - G.O. No.327, Educational, dated 9th May 1906.

(2) Rs.5,040 for payment to the Cuddapah District Board for the maintenance of

Z. primary schools. - G.O. No.540 L., dated 5th May 1906.

(3) Rs.870 for payment to the Mangalore

Read

14

Taluk Board for revising the establishments of primary schools under its control - G.O. No.409 Educational, dated 13th June 1906. F.

(4) Extra expenditure above the normal expenditure of local bodies required to pay capitation grants under Educational Rule 83. T.

(5) Amount required to make up the loss caused by the operation of Educational Rule 84. T.

(6) Rs.2,000 for payment to the Municipal Council, Karur, for provision of accommodation for an Educational Museum - G.O. No. 564, Educational, dated 25th August 1906. G.

The Director should furnish, if available, details of the expenditure involved in items (4) and (5) above.

4/10/06

4/10/06

4/10/06

5-10-06

To the D.P.I. despd 9/10/06

Ed CURRENT No. 1695 ~~3~~4

Stock Form No. 135
3,700—17-6-05.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Memo 508/06 E

" 345/06 not available

Received 15 OCT 1906 190 , Registered 15-10-1906.

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL SECRETARIAT.

Subject.

Submitting proposals for the distribution of the special grant of six lakhs for the construction of primary school buildings, &c.

Copy

28

ENCLOSURES.
No. i
Spare copies

Ref on Cur No. 4303/06

Station Madras, *Dated the* 13th October 1906

From (Name) The Hon'ble Mr. T.T. Logan, B.A.,

(Designation) Ag: Director of Public Instruction,
Madras

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Educational Department.

Sir,

With reference to your memorandum No. 508 Educational dated 9th October 1906 I have the honour to state that the delay in the submission of the proposals is entirely due to the delay in obtaining from Presidents of District Boards the particulars necessary to answer G.Os. No. 33 Educational dated 19th January 1906 and No. 304 Educational d/ 2. 1st May 1906 and memorandum No. 345 Educational dated 30th July 1906. As already reported by Dr. Bourne in his letter Ref on Cur No. 4303/06 dated 20th July 1906 the requisition for these particulars was made so early as the beginning of June 1906. They are still due from the Presidents of the District Boards of Malabar and Cuddapah and those furnished by the Presidents of the marginally named Boards are

2

in the linked file

Godavari
Kistna
Kurnool
Bellary
Anantapur
North Arcot
South Arcot
Tanjore
Tinnevelly
Nilgiris

incomplete . Some have provided for the maintenance of schools in villages where buildings have not been erected while others have not provided for maintaining schools in stations in which buildings have been built. I have made references to the Presidents of these Boards calling for additional information or revised particulars and until their replies are received I shall not be in a position to furnish Government with a complete report containing all the particulars required in their orders quoted above. The submission of such a complete report would mean perhaps another month and after that date it may not, as observed by Government, be possible for Presidents to utilize fully within the year the grant that may be allotted for building purposes . I beg therefore to propose that the distribution noted hereunder may be sanctioned:-
These figures have been furnished by the Presidents of the several Boards and may therefore be accepted. In the case of some boards variations are likely to arise in the cost of maintenance of schools and this difficulty can be got over by advising Presidents that in all cases in which such variations occur the grant sanctioned for furnishing equipment &c. should be correspondingly raised or lowered as the case may require.

2. The President of the District Board, Koraput cannot utilize more than Rs 5610/- and this leaves a saving of Rs 4390/- and the Presidents of North Arcot and Nellore propose to send supplemental estimates for Rs 4773 and Rs 621 respectively. These amounts are treated as surrenders out of the provisional allotment made to them and the savings realized thus it is proposed to utilize in providing (i) for the payment to the Municipal Council Karur of Rs 2000/- for provision of accommodation for an Educational Museum -G.O. No. 564 Educational dated 25th August 1906 (ii) for the payment of Rs 870/- to the Mangalore Taluk Board for revising the establishments of primary schools under its control- G.O. No. 409 Educational dated 13th June 1906 and (iii) for meeting the additioional demands made by the District Boards of Vizagapatam, Guntur, Chingleput, Coimbatore and the Nilgiris. The President of the District Board Vizagapatam applied for a grant of Rs 16342 for new

buildings but I could allot only Rs 16,147/-. The President of the District Board Salem has proposed to incur during the current year an expenditure of Rs 18,000/- on new buildings under the impression that the unappropriated balance in the grant sanctioned for 1905-1906 can be utilized for completing the buildings begun in that year but as under G.O. No. 1079 L dated 5th September 1906 this amount will become available only during 1907-1908 I have reduced the grant for new buildings to Rs 8452/- With reference to G.Os. No. 327 Educational dated 9th May 1906 and No. 540 L dated 5th May 1906 Rs 2300 and Rs 5040/- should be proposed as contribution to the Rajahmundry Taluk Board and the Cuddapah District Board for the maintenance by these Boards of certain primary schools but the Presidents estimate the expenditure on their behalf at Rs 2505and Rs 5500 respectively. I have allowed the latter figures to stand.

3. As regards items 4 and 5 in para 2 of the Memorandum under reply that any extra expenditure which local boards are required to meet under Educational Rule 83 will not become payable until 1st May 1907 and will accordingly not fall within the present year. The calculation of the loss of fee income which Educational Rule 84 will entail will take some time as the new fee rules do not come into force till January 1907 and will be in operation for only 3 months at most in the current official year and the loss will not be great. In these circumstances it does not seem worth while to delay sanction of the expenditure on new buildings and maintenance of schools until the calculations can be made; the charge may be met from savings in the special grant or under any other budget head.

Details for the distribution proposed will be submitted later on, if required.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

E.W. Middlemast

For Director of Public Instruction.

V.P. 13-10-06

District Board	No. of schools proposed to be maintained	Estimated expenditure on maintenance of schools	Estimated cost of furnishing & equipping	Amount required to complete buildings begun in 05-06	Amount proposed to be spent on new buildings	Amount required for maintenance of existing primary schools	Total
Ganjam	69	5,860	14,658	2,182	15,100	3750 „	37,700
Vizagapatam	75	8,250	15,465	2,369	16,147	4037 „	42,231
Karaput	„	„	„	„	5,610	„	5610
Guntur	30	2,825	4481	29	18055	4514	25390
Nellore	13	665	2315	8919	5280		17179
Chingleput ✓	33	3281	5521	1353	11800	2750	21955
Trichinopoly	66	6318	10347	1595	11540	1883	29800
Madura	28	2829	7021	2535	32415	8104	44800
Coimbatore	48	4180	8670	812	11250	2812	24912
Salem	57	4410	11490	9548	8452	2113	33900
South Canara	1	137	153	380	15930	870 3,993	17470
Godavari	29	3384	4430	3963	6218	2575	20500
Kistna	71	7681	9733	2650	14,486		34550
Kurnool	14	1173	1675	1702	9350		13900
Bellary	13	780	3740	15085	9695		29300
Anantapur	1	73	322	555	9350		10300
North Arcot	37	3972	2775	6650	17630	4407	31027
South Arcot	26	2052	3348	4665	15335	3834	25400
Tanjore	52	5790	8060	5481	19869	4967	39100
Tinnevelly	28	3274	4380	1036	17830	4457	26500
Nilgiris	1	150	1196	„	8830	2208	10176
Cuddapah	5	6090	6480	2130	8400	5500	28600
Malabar	5	4281	1212	3345	18862	~~4719~~	27700
Municipal Council							
Karur Municipality.						2000	2000
Total		77355	127452	76984	307,334	10,875	600,000
					~~53905~~ 253431 63356 63358		

8 18 | 8

[E./2.]
1,500—3-12-04

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

notes connected with G.O. no. 738 Edl dt 14/11/06

C. No. 1192 From the D.P.I. No. 4303, dated 20-7- 1906.

SUBJECT.

Allotment of the special recurring grant of 6 lakhs in aid of Primary Education during 1906-07.

This may lie over pending receipt of the Director's reply to G.O. no 304 Edl dt 1st May 1906.

[illegible]
25-7-06

PSJ
24/7/6

P.R.
Remove G.O. no 33 dt 19-1-06 from the Call book & enter G.O. no 304 dt 1-5-06 in its proper place.
PSJ
24/7/6

Done 25/7/6

C.

Superintendent Legislative, Madras Office

Please see para. [illegible] of the Secretary's note dt [illegible] April 1906 in paper of the note with G.O. no 304 [illegible] 1st May 1906. Director has received proposals for grants to Rajahmundry Taluk Board out of the 6 lakhs — vide G.O. no 846 L dt 7th May 1906 [illegible] from Cuddapah & Kurnool. If there are any proposals for this latter subjects please put them up [illegible] a note copy of G.O. no 846 L dt 7th May 1906 [illegible] draft [illegible] then what the required [illegible] before the [illegible] matter [illegible]

D

49

Educational Department.

19 28

Subject.

Expenditure out of the special grant of 6 lakhs.

1624 D

G.O. 409 Ed.
~~344~~
15-6-06

Deputy Registrar.

Please put up a statement showing items of recurring expenditure which have, so far, been earmarked against the six lakhs grant.

G.O. No. 327. / 9.5.06. E. There are some schools in Godavari District which the Taluk Board proposed to abolish. Also certain items referred to in the notes on the Revised Educational Rules.

Page 156.

H.D.T. Taylor
21-9-06.

Superintendent Local Section - Madras Office

Please see para. 3(d) of the Secretary's note dt 25th April 1904 on page 2 of the notes with G.O. No 304 Edl dt 1st May 1906. Educational has received proposals about grants to Rajahmundry Taluk Board out of the 6 lakhs - vide G.O. No 546 L dt 7th May 1906 but not from Cuddapah & Kurnool. If there are any proposals from these latter districts please put them up. Send also a note copy of G.O. No 546 L dt 7th May 1906: if the notes do not show what the required amount is kindly let me know the amount in this case. Return the file soon please.

D
E
|
4

P.J.
22/9/6

P.T.O

Ret 25/9/06

Ret 25/9/06

10 Z2 3 P

Local and Munl. Department

The proposals about grants to the Cuddapah District Board were dealt
Z with in para 2 of G.O. No 540 L dated 5th May 1906. No papers can be traced in regard to similar proposals relating to Kurnool. The Mangalore Taluk Board has been promised a grant of Rs 870 from the Six lakhs grant for carrying out the revision of the establishments of certain primary
F Schools. Please see G.O. No 409. Education dated 13.6.06.

A copy of the notes connected
4 with G.O. No 546 L d 7.5.06 is submitted. From page 3 of these notes it will be seen that an allotment of Rs 2300 will be required by the Rajamundry Taluk Board.

25-9-06

P.R.
Now put up the rest of the file determined here. This should have been done before the file was sent to me – Put up also G.O. disposal on G.O. No 540 L d/ 5-5-06

28/9/6

Submitted that the file was lent to DR by V.S. direct, hence the omission. Please see flag Z2 for disposal on G.O. No 540 L d/ 5-5-06.

29/9/6

~~3~~4 11 4 P

The items of recurring expenditure which have, so far, been earmarked against the six lakhs grant are as follows :-

(1) Rs 2,300 for payment to the Rajahmundry Taluk Board for the maintenance of 22 girls primary schools which it proposed to close. G.O. No 546 L dt 7th May 1906 & No 327 Edl dt 9th May 1906. — Flag 4. E.

(2) Rs ~~[illegible]~~ 5040 for payment to the Cuddapah District Board ~~—~~ Rs 4620 for the maintenance of 27 primary schools & 25 assistant teachers which it proposed to abolish

(& Rs 420 ~~ffor~~ for keeping the allotment for results grants at the figure (Rs 7130) budgetted ~~for~~ - G.O. No 540 L dt 5th May 1906. — Z.

The payment (Rs 420) for keeping the allotment for results grants at the budgetted figure ~~[illegible]~~ will not apparently be a recurring expenditure.)

(3) Rs 870 for payment to the Taluk Board of Mangalore for carrying out the revision of the establishments of the primary schools under its control - G.O. No 409 Edl dt 13th June 1906. — F

(4) Extra expenditure above the normal expenditure of local bodies required to pay capitation grants to ~~[illegible]~~ trained teachers of Board lower Elementary schools under No 83 of the new Educational rules - para. 12 of H.M.'s orders on page 155 of the notes with G.O. No 509 Edl dt 31st July 1906. — T. T'

The exact amount in this case is not yet known. — A.

(5) Rs 11,307 - for making up the loss caused by the operation of the new Educational Rule 84 under which the headmaster — T

B

of lower elementary schools appropriate the fees to themselves.

The whole of this amount will not be payable (to local bodies) as a portion of the loss will occur in Govt. schools – para. 15 of H.E.'s orders on pages 155 & 156 of the notes with G.O. No 509 Edl dt 31st July 1906 & para. 8 of the G.O.. T! T.

(6) An amount not exceeding Rs 2000 for payment to the Muni Council of Karur for provision of accommodation for an Educational museum. This is not a recurring item of expenditure. G.O. No 564 Edl dt 25th August 1906.) G.

(7) Some payment will have to be made to the Kurnool District Board also but the matter is still under consideration – a draft order was recently received from Govt for acceptance in Educational.

BJ 29/9/06
Narayan. Secy

1-10-06

(3) DPI
Cash for relate at A&B. if available.

Print as para 3 on page 5.

As the remittance must be sent to DPI to expedite his proposals for the allotment of the block grant the collector might be called at the same time to the items mentioned above what form a claim against it. 6 months of the year have already gone. [illegible] DPI was asked to submit his proposals early in the year, they have not been recd. – Grant for buildings cannot be expended unless PWD [illegible] are

6 P

given sufficient time

Para. 3 — See margin of para 5

H. Taylor
2.10.06

The draft memo. placed below is submitted for approval.

P.R. M. 4/10/6

P.L. Maclagan 4/10/06

~~[illegible]~~ Secy

Maclagan 4/10/06

H.D.

H. Taylor 5.10.06

Forbes 7/10

Issue
H. Taylor 9.10.06

[memo. no. 508. dt 9.10.06].

From the D.P.I. no. 4303 dt 13.10.06.

In para. 7 of his letter recorded with G.O. no 304 Edl in

D dt 1st May 1906 the ~~D.P.I~~ proposed to utilize Rs 2,34,000 of the special grant of Six lakhs ~~for~~ in aid of primary Education for 1906-07 on the establishment and equipment of the schools newly opened in 1906 and for which buildings were constructed in 1905-06 with the aid of the grant for ~~[illegible]~~ that year. The balance of Rs 3,66,000 was ~~[illegible]~~ proposed to be spent on the construction ~~completion~~ of buildings in localities where they were still needed or on the completion of buildings commenced in 1905-06. The details of the grants proposed for each district were as follows compared with the amounts ~~paid out~~ received by District Boards and spent in 1905-06:-

× Page 1-3 quote in linked file.

Districts.	× Amount paid from Provincial in 1905-06 Rs	× amount spent in 1905-06 Rs	For schools opened in April 1906. (a) Establishment. (b) Equipment. RS.	For buildings to be erected or completed in 1906-1907. RS.	
Ganjám	30000	25,189	20,700	17,000	37,700
Vizagapatam	56000	56,042	22,500	16,000	38,500
Koraput	3000	3040	...	10,000	10,000
Gódávari	16750	16650	7,500	13,000	20,500
Kistna	35600	35520	18,600	16,000	34,600
Guntúr	14000	14000	6,300	18,000	24,300
Nellore	13000	13554	4,800	13,000	17,800
Bellary	18000	8269	9,300	20,000	29,300
Anantapur	9000	7607	300	10,000	10,300
Cuddapah	18500	18500	12,600	16,000	28,600
Kurnool	10000	10074	3,900	10,000	13,900
North Arcot	37,000	31,285	13,800	22,000	35,800
Chingleput	17,000	15103	10,800	10,000	20,800
South Arcot	16000	13082	5,400	20,000	25,400
Tanjore	44000	36919	23,100	16,000	39,100
Trichinopoly	30000	29695	19,800	10,000	29,800
Madura	28000	15278	10,800	34,000	44,800
Tinnevelly	16000	14953	10,500	16,000	26,500
Coimbatore	27700	26617	14,100	10,000	24,100
The Nilgiris	9,160	9046	...	10,000	10,000
Salem	62000	51,657	15,900	18,000	33,900
Malabar	35000	33925	2,700	25,000	27,700
South Canara	21350	20634	600	16,000	16,600
Total	567,000	516,639 ~~Total~~	2,34,000	3,66,000	600,000

G.O. No 304 dt 1-5-06-D.

2. The Govt. then directed that the six lakhs grant for the current year should be devoted to the following objects and the Director was asked to revise his proposals accordingly:-

(a) Construction of buildings—

(i) for primary schools to be newly established in places where there are no such schools at present.

(ii) for existing primary schools for which there are no proper buildings.

(iii) for primary schools the construction of which was commenced in 1905-1906 but left incomplete at the end of the year.

(b) Furnishing and equipment of schools newly constructed.

(c) Payment of salaries of masters and servants, if any, employed in new schools, and contingent charges of such schools.

(d) Grant to Local Boards for maintenance of existing schools, which for want of funds the Boards would otherwise be unable to keep up and which it is desirable to maintain.

M.

3. Subsequently the allotments proposed for 1906-07 were provisionally approved in G.O. No 355 Edl dt 20th May 1906 and Presidents of District Boards authorized to incur expenditure on Establishment, furniture and contingencies on account of schools already opened pending receipt of further orders. The Director was also asked to include in his revised proposals the following

special amounts :-

G.O. no 327 Epl dt 9-5-06 . E. (1) Rs 2300 for payment to the Rajahmundry Taluk Board.

G.O. no 520 L dt 5-5-06 . Z. (2) " 5040 " " " " Cuddapah District Board

G.O. no 409 Epl dt 13-6-06 . F. (3) " 870 " " " " Mangalore Taluk Board

Para. 2(4) of memo 508 dt 9-10-06 - page 2 of current. (4) Amount required for payment of Capitation grants under the new Educational rule 83

Para. 2(5) -do- (5) Amount required to make up the loss in fee income caused by the operation of new Educational rule 84

G.O. no 564 dt 25-8-06 . Cx. (6) Amount not exceeding Rs 2000 for payment to the Municipal Council of Karur for providing accommodation for an Educational museum.

Para. 1 of Director's letter page 4 of current. 4. The Director now submits his revised proposals which owing to non receipt of information called for from Malabar & Cuddapah and to the receipt of incomplete information from Godavari, Kistna, Kurnool, Bellary, Anantapur, North Arcot, South Arcot, Tanjore, Tinnevelly & the Nilgiris are not complete. They seem however to be sufficient for ordering a distribution of the grant to be made. Any adjustments subsequently required can be effected later on.

5. According to the Director's revised proposals the total amounts required for the various purposes are as follow:-

Page 7 of current.

	Rs
(1) Constructing new buildings - for existing schools as well as for new Schools -	307,334
(2) Incomplete works of 1905-06.	76,984
(3) Furnishing & Equipping Schools newly started	127,452
(4) Maintenance of Schools newly started	77,355
(5) Special grants	10,875
	600,000

6. The totals for each District compares as follows with the amount originally proposed and with the grant paid in 1905-06 :-

	amount paid in 1905-06. Rs	amount originally proposed. Rs	amount now proposed Rs
Ganjam	30,000	37,700	37,700
Vizagapatam	56,000	38,500	42,231
Koraput	3,000	10,000	5,610
Godavari	16,750	20,500	20,500
Kistna	35,600	34,600	34,550
Guntur	14,000	26,300	25,390
Nellore	13,000	17,800	17,179
Bellary	18,000	29,300	29,300
Anantapur	9,000	10,300	10,300
Cuddapah	18,500	28,600	28,600
Kurnool	10,000	13,900	13,900
North Arcot	37,000	35,800	31,027
Chingleput	17,000	20,800	21,955
South Arcot	16,000	25,400	25,400
Tanjore	44,000	39,100	39,100
Trichinopoly	30,000	29,800	29,800
Madura	28,000	44,800	44,800
Tinnevelly	16,000	26,500	26,500
Coimbatore	27,700	24,100	24,912
The Nilgiris	9,100	10,000	10,176
Salem	62,000	33,900	33,900
Malabar	35,000	27,700	27,700
South Canara	21,350	16,600	17,470
Karur Muni Council	"	"	2,000
	5,67,000	6,00,000	6,00,000

Para. 27 of director's letter—page 5 of enclosure. +

7. It is stated that the Koraput District Board has expressed

inability to spend more than Rs 5,610 in the current year and that the North Arcot Board's original proposals were only for an expenditure of Rs 31,027 although it was the intention of the Director to allot Rs 35,800. There were additional demands for sums exceeding a thousand rupees from the Vizagapatam, Guntur & Chingleput Boards.

Para. 2 of the Director's letter - page 6 of current.

8 - The special grants asked for by the Rajahmundry Taluk & Cuddapah District Boards are Rs 2,505 & Rs 5,500 respectively against Rs 2,300 & Rs 5,040 which the Govt. agreed to pay. The excess asked for is not much and the Director proposes to meet the demands in full.

Para. 3 of Director's letter - page 6 of current.

Nothing is provided to meet the extra expenditure above the normal expenditure of local bodies required to pay capitation grants under the new Educational rule 83 as the amount will not become payable, it is stated, till 1st May 1907. Nor is anything provided to meet the amount required to make up the loss in school fees caused by the operation of the new rule 84 as the calculation of the [illegible] amount required will take sometime but the new fee rules will not come into force till January 1907 and will be in operation for only 3 months of the year 1906-07 and the loss during this period will not, it is stated, be great.

Para. 12 of G.O. No 509 Edl dt 31st July 1906. T.

Para. 3 of Director's letter - page 6 of current.

For payment to the Munl Council of Karur for providing accommodation for an Educational museum Rs 2000 are provided but this is the maximum required. The Munl Council may be asked to submit the estimate for the work as soon as possible & meanwhile the (payment of the) amount may

Secretary's note dt 11-8-06 on page 13 of G.O. 564 Edl dt 25-8-06. G.

We may send a memo.

be held over.

2' 9. In para. 2 G.O. No 33 Edl dt 19th January 1906 it was stated that the grant for 1905-06 was distributed unevenly among the various districts and the Director was asked to see that in ~~accommodating~~ the case of the grants for 1906-07 they were given mainly to districts which did not receive the share proportionate to their actual requirements. The distribution now proposed is with reference to the demands of the ~~several~~ various District Boards. ~~Shif~~

Last portion of ~~Last and of sub para~~ para. 1 of the Director's letter – page 5 of current.

It is for consideration whether the proposals may be approved and formal orders issued, with the concurrence of Financial, placing the amounts at the disposal of the Boards.

By
17/10/6

Secy.

The information supplied is said to be incomplete but perhaps it supplies a working basis to go on, and the main point seems to be to distribute the allotments at once, so that Presidents may utilise the grant fully within the year.

The amounts of allotments suggested are still unevenly distributed. They are based on the demands of the different districts. It seems to be a case that to him that hath shall be given, for the districts most advanced in education, as Tanjore, Madura, Tinnevelly are to get large grants in comparison with some of the Ceded districts where Education is so backward

Ampthill
20.10.06

Received 6.30 P.m.

Madras office
Submit to Secretary at once.
21/10/06

Yes
SJF [or bes]
25/

|| The items shewn in cols 3. 4. 5. 7 of the statement on page 7 of file are necessary and must be sanctioned. The only doubt that exists is in respect of new buildings (Col 6). on which it is proposed to spend R 3,07,334, or more than half the grant in the current year. I should be inclined to reduce this allotment by 25% or so all round except in the case of Koraput, Godavari & ~~the~~ Nellore, and the Ceded Districts, and to distribute the balance by way of general subsidy in aid of primary education ~~in~~ under the seven District Boards named, ~~and~~ the Nilgiris and Chingleput.

Yes: till we see more clear ahead
SJF [or bes]
25/10

Bell.
Anant.
Kurnool
Cudd.

SJF [or bes]

2 There must be some limit to building, and in future it seems desirable to limit building operations to villages in which schools — with establishments — but no proper school buildings — exist.

Certainly there ought to be first provided
SJF [or bes].

3. In allotting the grant for 1907-8 reference will have to be made in this connection to the balances referred to in paragraph 5 of G.O. 1079 L dated 5th Sept. 06.

HD
23.10.06

Q

Please Staff, work out a fresh distribution statement — with new column
26.10.06. A.M.

SJF 25/10

The draft placed below is submitted for approval. The reduction of 25 per cent in the case of the grants (for new buildings) to 16 district Boards amounts to Rs 63,358 and this has been distributed among the nine District Boards named in para. 1 of the Secretary's note of the 23rd instant rateably ~~in the~~ proportion to the grants proposed to be paid to them for ~~[illegible]~~ new buildings. The amounts thus obtained for Koraput, Chingleput and the Nilgiris seem to be in excess of actual requirements.

If the draft is approved it has to be forwarded to Financial for acceptance.

P.J.
27/10/6

I don't quite see how you get the figures then.

30.10.06

In the case of Chingleput & the Nilgiris it is understood that the Secy. wished 25% deducted. & this has been done. But the excess sum now set free has been distributed rateably on the basis of the Director's figures. I am not sure if this was intended, but as the sums in the case of the Nilgiris & Chingleput are large, perhaps this manner of calculation will do.

30.10.06

Secy.

30.10.06

The draft will be sent very urgently to the D.P.I. for remarks. I am inclined to think that the allotments now made for Koraput & Nilgiris are considerably in excess of the total Edl. requirements of those Districts. Perhaps D.P.I. can furnish the actual figures.

30.10.06

Edl. No. 752 D
30.10.06.

Desk
30/10/6

D.P.I. No.

Read
note 738 &c.

14

21

Ec. cert. 60 & 31-10-06

office of the

There is no objecion to the savings being applied to increase the subsidy: a sum of Rs. 33,000 was similarly applied last year and more might have been spent if it had been available. The principle on which the distribution has been made leads, as apprehended in the notes, to some districts receiving subsidies far in excess of their requirements.

The figures requested by Educational in the case of the Nilgiris are as follows:-

Nilgiris.

	Rs.
Amount of subsidy already included in the sanctioned budget	450
Additional amount now proposed	7506
Budget allotment for grants (about)	560
Total	8516
Probable requirements based on last year's figures	1045
Excess	7471

For Koraput details are not available but as Rs. 30 only was required as subsidy last year and Rs. 100 has been sanctioned already for this year the extra provision of Rs. 4769 is unnecessary. The number of aided schools in the district is very small.

The proposed provision is excessive in the case of the other districts also. For example the figures are as follows for the Chingleput District Board:-

	Rs.
Amount of subsidy already sanctioned	9700
Additional amount proposed	10031
Budget allotment for grants	11730
	31461
Probable requirements based on last year's figures	22560
Excess	8901

32

It would be better to distribute the savings of Rs. 63358 among all the districts in proportion to the subsidy already provided in the sanctioned Budget Estimate.

Complete figures are not yet ready in this office to show what the actual requirements of each Board will be, and it may be that the distribution I have suggested above will in some cases give the Boards more and in others less than they require but the differences will be less than they wd be if as proposed in the draft the whole amount ~~is~~ were allotted to 9 districts of which two are so small as to be practically negligible in comparison with the others in regard to the amount they have to spend on aided primary education.

31. x. 6

T. T. Logan.

Secy. For orders

C. M. Mackay
1/11/06

Please revise in accordance with D.P.I.'s note supra reallotting the R 63000 odd in proportion to the subsidies ~~already~~ originally proposed rateably for all Districts

2. 11. 06

Submitted that L.M. may be asked to give us very urgently a statement of the subsidy ~~originally~~ already provided in the sanctioned budget Estimates of Local Boards.

C. M. Mackay
2/11/06

P.T.O.

L.M. No. R 723.
3. 11. 06

E.L. no. 766 D
2. 11. 06

Dy
2/11/6

L.M. NO.

[meetings of the Secretary]

N

Local & Muncl Depts

The following statements shows the subsidy provided in the Sanctioned budgets of District Boards, ~~and Municipalities~~ for the current year.

17 Statement Shewing the subsidy ~~entered~~ provided in the Sanctioned budget estimates of Dt. Bds. for 1906-07.

[L. & M / 99]
200—7-4-05.

~~LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT~~

(~~Local~~.)

24 3/17 S.P.

Serial Number.	Name of District.	Subsidy Rs.	
1	Anantapur	4,000	1222
2	Arcot, North	11,200	3,421
3	Arcot, South	3,150	962
4	Bellary	8,100	2,474
5	Canara, South	9,900	3024
6	Chingleput	9,700	2963
7	Coimbatore	9,100	2780
8	Cuddapah	8,650	2643
9	Ganjám	24,000	7332
10	Gódávari	1,900	580
11	Guntúr	6,650	2032
12	Kistna	11,100	3391
13	Koraput	100	31
14	Kurnool	12,850	3926
15	Madura	10,900	3330
16	Malabar	13,000	3971
17	Nellore	6,450	1970
18	Nilgiris, The	450	138
19	Salem	3,450	2054
20	Tanjore	6,650	2032
21	Tinnevelly	17,000	5193
22	Trichinopoly	6,100	1863
23	Vizagapatam	23,000	7026
	Total	2,07,400	

Rah 3.11.06

3.11.06

V.R. 5/11/06

Educn. no

5/11/06

63358 x 4000
207,400

Read

~~18~~ 25

18 8
Ed. Dept

The ~~p~~ distribution of Rs 63,358 has been revised as directed - please see para. 1 of the draft.

Pz
6/11/06

Secy.

Amackay
7/11/06

We had better not use the term Subsidy. That is a separate matter. The grant now proposed is to help such Local Boards as are in straitened financial circumstances to finance their own schools - quite apart from the question of aid to be given - through Local Boards - by way of subsidy, to elementary schools.

2 The ~~proposed~~ basis distribution won't do at all. What we want to do is to help the poorer Boards - not to give more to those that already have a lot.

The amount is Rs 63358 which may be distributed as follows.

Nellore	10000
Godavari	10000
Cuddapah	10,000
Kurnool	10,000.
Bellary	10,000
Anantapur	10,000.
[illegible]	1358
Nilgiri	2000

Read

19. 26

36 19 P

Please type the draft, shewing the proposed distribution as an annexure

8.11.06.

Early [illegible]

Typed and resubmitted.

P.M.
8/11/6

G.O. No 1380 Fd
5-11-06. XX.

Dr. for acceptance with reference to para 2. The A.G. in a separate reference was of opinion that the entire grant of 6 lakhs when distributed to Local Bds. should be shewn under 22 Edn. Local, irrespective of whether it is intended for ~~[illegible]~~ School buildings or other Educational purposes.

A

9 xi 6

despatched
10/11/6

To the Finl. Dept.

Edl. No. 804 D
10/11/06

CHIEF SECRETARIAT
10 NOV 1906
MADRAS.

Recd. 1 PM.

Recd.
10/11/06

I.F.S.
10/11

CURRENT NO.
5200
FINANCIAL

Regd. 10/11/06

P.T.O.

Recd
note 738 Edn.

27 ~~20~~

20 P

Financial Dept.

A.G.'s letter No.
Bud. 173/2712, d 5-6-06
in G.O. No. 396 Fl., 18.6.06
Flag F'

Page 128 of C.B.E.

In June last ~~the~~ the A.G. requested Govt. to transfer to Local section the special provision of 6 lakhs for Primary Education made in the Provl. section in the B.E. for 1906-07 under the head 22. Education, and stated at the same time that the contribution on account of estabt. & equipment of Primary schools should be provided under 22. Education (Local), while that on account of expenditure on buildings should be provided under "45. Civil Works – Civil (Local)". Accordingly by G.O. No. 396 Finl., d 18.6.06, sums of 2.34 lakhs & 3.66 lakhs were transferred to 22. Education (Local) & to "45. Civil Works – Civil (Local)" respectively.

Flag F'

2. Para. 2 of the present Draft order requires Finl. to ~~since~~ re-transfer to "22. Edn.-Local" the sum of 3.66 lakhs above referred to.

~~[illegible]~~ The A.G.'s reasons in

Recd

cf Page 5 of the notes in the G.O. flag 18

in the note in G.O. No. 1380 L., d 5.11.06, referred to at A on page 19 supra, had reference to the crediting on the receipts side of the Local Fund accounts of contributions from Provl. funds. This does not, however, contravene the ~~[illegible]~~ statement in para. 2 of A.G.'s letter No. Bud. 173/2712, d 5.6.06, which refers to the debiting in the local fund accounts of the expenditure incurred by dist. boards from the special grant of 6 lakhs for Primary Education.

in G.O. 396 Finl., d 18.6.06 flag F1

3. In these circumstances the words—

"Orders will issue in the Finl. dept.

"..... G.O. No. 396 Finl., d 18.6.06" in para. 2 of the draft ~~may be deleted~~ may be omitted.

Vide Annexure on page 4 of draft ord

4. From the six lakhs grant it is also proposed to give a sum of Rs 2,000 to the Municipal Council, Karur. This grant will not go to the local section but will be debited to the head "22. G. Education-Grants-in-aid-To Municipal Funds (Provl.)". But with reference to the ~~recent~~ G. of I. (Fin.) resolution, No. 5306, d 27.9.06, the amount (Rs 2000)

Page 145 of C.B.E.

flag 16

Rev

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL
13 NOV 1906
SECRETARIAT.

A 3 to note in 'claims' reg.

(Rs. 2000) cannot, without the sanction of the G. of I., be retransferred from the Local section to the Provl. Section.

The grant of Rs 2,000 to the Karur municipality ~~[illegible] may~~ must therefore, ~~it is submitted,~~ be found otherwise from the Provincial grant ~~be more conveniently given from~~ for Education ~~the reserve with Finl., on receipt of the Edl. dept. proceedings.~~

5. Para. 2 of the draft should, it is submitted, be modified with reference to the foregoing remarks.

12/11/06
CS

The intervention of Finl. seems to be unnecessary. L.G. can transfer between Local major heads.

12.xi.06

Yes. Finl. need not do anything in the matter apparently

12.xi

Finl. No 5200-1
12/11/06

To the Edl. Dept.

Educational departt.

Please revise with refce. to R2000 grant to Karur.

13.xi.06

Submitted ~~that~~ all ~~that~~ is now necessary is to omit the second sentence of para. 2 of the draft. The A.G. will then pay the local bodies concerned & debit the payments to the appropriate heads. If he wants further orders he will come up to Govt.

P.A. Narayan
13/11/6

Secy.

C. Mackay
13/11/06

I do not understand clearly whether we can transfer the R2000 from Local to Karur Municipal?

H. Taylor
13.11.6

Submitted that as the whole of the six lakhs grant now stands in the local section of the budget and as under the Resolution of the Govt. of India no 5306-A d/ 27th September 1906 recently received in Financial no portion of the amount can now be transferred back to the Provincial section, ~~and as~~ the grant of Rs 2000 to the Karur Munl Council ~~in the first~~ cannot be transferred from Local. But the A.G. will distribute the whole 6 lakhs under the proposed order — 5.98 from the Local section and the Rs 2000 to Karur from 22 - Education - Grants in aid - Provincial. The balance of Rs 2000 from the Local section will lapse to Provincial and against this there will be a payment of Rs 2000 from Provincial. ~~The~~ Both the lapse on the one side & the payment on the other will thus be squared. 16

i.e. to general balance not to 22 Education,

P.A. Lakhyan
13/11/6

But our order distributes the full 6 lakhs including R2000 to Karur. The R2000 will, I take it, lapse to the general Provincial balance, not to

81 ~~24~~

264 P

22. Education. It seems best to omit all reference in this order to the grant to Karur. This can be given by D.P.I. out of the general Ed. allotment, or in distribution of the subsidy. The best plan seems to be to allot R58000 only in this order & to reserve R2000 for future distribution to some necessitous Dt Bd - such as Bellary.

H W Taylor
14.11.06.

As directed by the Secretary the draft has been altered as shown in pencil in consultation with the Registrar, Financial departt. If approved it will be re-typed.

2. The Educational museum at Karur is to be accommodated in a room to be attached to the High school there. No estimate has been received in Fin for this purpose as yet and it is not likely that the building will be commenced before the 1st of April next. There seems therefore to be no objection to pay the grant next year. If this course is approved the whole of the ten lakhs grant for the current year may be distributed at once, an additional Rs 2000 being paid to Bellary, and provision may be made for payment to Karur in the next year's budget. If this is possible the Financial departt thinks it will be a very convenient arrangement.

Bz

Pz
14/11/6

Yes. Please revise accordingly & giving R2000 extra to Bellary. ~~Please type~~ so ~~[illegible]~~ this afternoon

H W Taylor
14.11.06

Typed & submitted.

Pz
14/11/6

(80738 Edn 14-11-06)

No 738 Edn

Rcd

32

Educational Department.

V2

1 Heading

Read again--

G.O. No. 33, I dated 19--1--1906. — ~~Q~~ P.

G.O. No. 304, II dated 1--5--1906. — ~~Q~~ P.

G.O. No. 540 L., III dated 5--5--1906. — ~~Q~~ P.

G.O. No. 327, IV dated 9--5--1906. — ~~Q~~ P.

G.O. No. 355, V dated 21--5--1906. — ~~Q~~ P.

G.O. No. 409, VI dated 13--6--1906. — ~~Q~~ P.

G.O. No. 564, VII dated 25--8--1906. — ~~Q~~ A

Read also--

C. No.1192, from the VIII D.P.I., No.4303, dated 20--7-1906. — Q

Memorandum IX No. 508, dated 9-10-1906. — Q

C. No.1695, from the D.P.I., No.4303, dated 15-10-1906. A.

Print very urgently for despatch as above. ~~the~~ copies for record can be printed afterwards.
PH 14/11/06
PH

ORDER:-- 738 d/14-11-06.
P.S.

14

The proposal of the Director of Public Instruction to place at the disposal of the District Boards of the Presidency and the Taluk Boards of Rajahmundry and Mangalore Rs.2,90,666 of the special grant of six lakhs in aid of primary education for the current year towards the following purposes is approved:-

	Rs.
Maintenance of Schools newly opened	77,355
Furnishing and equipping of Schools newly opened	1,27,452
Completion of buildings commenced in 1905-06	76,984
Maintenance of existing schools	8,875
	2,90,666

2.

2. Plans and estimates for the proposed Educational Museum at Karur not being ready as yet, there will be no necessity to make any payment this year to the Municipal Council of Karur for this purpose. The necessary provision will be made in the budget for 1907-08.

3. The amounts which the Director proposes to allot for the construction of new buildings seem to be ~~excessive~~ unnecessarily large except in the case of the District Boards of Koraput, Nellore, Godavari, Kurnool, Bellary, Anantapur and Cuddapah and will except in these cases be reduced by 25 per cent. Until it is possible to frame a more accurate forecast of the additional expenditure likely to be thrown upon local bodies in respect of primary education under the new Educational Rules, the expenditure on school buildings should for the present be restricted as far as possible to existing schools which have no satisfactory buildings and equipment of their own, rather than on buildings in less important villages where no schools at present exist. The sums thus set free together with the sum of Rs.2,000 provided by the Director for payment to the Municipal Council of Karur will be paid to the seven Boards referred to above and to the District Board of th Nilgiris as lump grants in aid of primary education under those Boards

Read

The distribution of the sum of Rs.6,00,000 now approved will then be as shown in the annexure to these Proceedings.

4. The Accountant General is authorized to place the total amounts shown in the last column of the annexure at the disposal of the local bodies concerned.

5. All Presidents of District Boards will be requested to make special arrangements to utilize in full before the close of the current financial year the grants now made for completing and furnishing all new buildings.

6. For the year 1907-08 the construction of new buildings should be limited to the provision of proper accommodation for schools already in existence and in submitting his proposal for the allotment of the grant for that year the Director should make allowance for the balances referred to in para.5 of G.O. No.1079 L., dated 5th September 1906.

Q.

P.T.T.
27-10-06.

C.G.M.
30-10-06.

.P.I.
G.
l. Dept.
M. Dept.

Despatched
17/11/6.

Annexure

4. 35

8 Br.

ANNEXURE.

District Boards.	Maintenance of Schools newly opened.	Furnishing & equipping Schools newly opened.	Completion of buildings commenced in 1905-06.	For new buildings	Maintenance of existing Schools.	Lump grant.	Total.
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Ganjam	5,860	14,658	2,182	11,250	...	.....	33,950
Vizagapatam	8,250	15,465	2,369	12,110	...	...	38,194
Koraput	...	...	...	5,610	...	1,358	6,968
Guntur	2,825	4,481	29	13,541	...	...	20,876
Nellore	665	2,315	8,919	5,280	...	10,000	27,179
Chingleput	3,281	5,521	1,353	8,850	...	...	19,005
Trichinopoly	6,318	10,347	1,595	8,655	...	...	26,915
Madura	2,829	7,021	2,535	24,311	...	...	36,696
Coimbatore	4,180	8,670	812	8,437	...	...	22,099
Salem	4,410	11,490	9,548	6,339	...	...	31,787
South Canara	137	153	380	11,947	870	....	13,487
Godavari	3,384	4,430	3,963	6,218	2,505	10,000	30,500
Kistna	7,681	9,733	2,650	10,865	...	...	30,929
Kurnool	1,173	1,675	1,702	9,350	...	10,000	23,900
Bellary	780	3,740	15,085	9,695	...	12,000	41,300
Anantapur	73	322	555	9,350	...	10,000	20,300
North Arcot	3,972	2,775	6,650	13,223	...	...	26,620
South Arcot	2,052	3,348	4,665	11,501	...	...	21,566
Tanjore	5,690	8,060	5,481	14,902	...	...	34,133
Tinnevelly	3,274	4,360	1,036	13,373	...	...	22,043
The Nilgiris	150	1,196	...	6,622	...	2,000	9,968
Cuddapah	6,090	6,480	2,130	8,400	5,500	10,000	38,600
Malabar	4,281	1,212	3,345	14,147	...	...	22,985
Total	77,355	1,27,452	76,984	243,976	8,875	65,358	6,00,000

PH