Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Recd. } 1906. Enclosures

Regd. Spare copies

12

G.O., No. 736, 14th November 1906.

INDEXED.

Lawrence Asylum, Ootacamund.

Accepting the resolution of the Committee of the — in regard to allowances overpaid to Mr. Kodange and other officers of the Asylum in 1905-1906, and requesting the Committee to consider the desirability of adopting for the future, the rules of the Civil Service Regulations in regard to acting allowances.

11 Co/ms

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

2

Recd. } 1906. *Enclosures*

Regd. *Spare copies*

G.O., No. 736, 14th November 1906.

Lawrence Asylum, Ootacamund.

Accepting the resolution of the Committee of the — in regard to allowances overpaid to Mr. Kodange and other officers of the Asylum in 1905–1906, and requesting the Committee to consider the desirability of adopting for the future, the rules of the Civil Service Regulations in regard to acting allowances.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following papers:—

I

G.O., No. 486, Educational, dated 18th July 1906.

Abstract.—Communicating to the Committee of the Lawrence Asylum, Ootacamund, the report on the audit of the accounts of the Asylum for the year 1905–1906.

II

Letter—from Major-General G. Simpson, Acting Principal and Secretary, Ootacamund Lawrence Asylum.
To—the Secretary to Government, Educational Department (through the Director of Public Instruction).
Dated—the 12th October 1906.
No.—C. No. 1619.

With reference to paragraph 2 of G.O., No. 486, Educational, dated 18th July 1906, the Committee has resolved to confirm the payments noted in paragraph 9 of the Auditor's report and to recover Rs. 5 from Mr. Kodange.

III

N. Ref. on C. No. 7800, dated 20th October 1906.

Submitted to Government.

T. T. Logan,
Ag. Director of Public Instruction.

Order—No. 736, Educational, dated 14th November 1906.

The resolution of the Committee will be accepted in the present case but for the future the Committee will be requested to consider the desirability of adopting the rules of the Civil Service Regulations in regard to acting allowances.

(True Extract.)

H. D. Taylor,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Committee of the Lawrence Asylum (through the Director of Public Instruction).
,, Director of Public Instruction.
,, Accountant-General.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. 736, EDUCATIONAL, DATED 14th NOVEMBER 1906.

[Subject.—*Points noticed in the report on the audit of the accounts of the Lawrence Asylum at Ootacamund for the year* 1905–1906.]

From the Director of Public Instruction, dated 20*th October* 1906, *No.* 7800.

Pages 2 and 3 of G.O., No. 486, Educational, dated 18th July 1906.

In paragraphs 9 and 10 of his report on the audit of the accounts of the Lawrence Asylum for the year 1905–1906 the auditor observed:—

"9. The procedure adopted in the following four cases is apparently objectionable, and as they do not appear to have been specially brought to the notice of the Finance Committee, it is not clear whether they have their approval or not. If it be decided that the extra payments made in these cases should be recovered from the parties concerned, then the further extra payments of Rs. 95–2–6 and Rs. 28–5–4 made in September and October 1905, respectively, should also be recovered for the same reason.

"*Payments.*—(1) Senior Sergeant A. Mace on Rs. 100 died on 11th May 1905 and in his place Sergeant Hurst on Rs. 80 was appointed to act from 12th to 22nd and Sergeant Light on Rs. 50 from 23rd to 31st May 1905. These two men were allowed full pay during the period they acted, the vacancy being a permanent one. No arrangements were made to fill up the vacancies caused by their deputation. The savings which accrued during their deputation were taken into account and divided equally between the two men referred to above and another Sergeant Lines, making in all Rs. 42–14–7. It is contended that these three men did extra duty owing to the death of Sergeant Mace, and that consequently they were entitled to the extra allowance.

"(2) Junior Sergeant's appointment on Rs. 50 fell vacant in July 1905 and its pay was distributed to the three men above referred to.

"(3) Mrs. O. Kelly on Rs. 70 was given an acting allowance of Rs. 20–15–0 for acting for another teacher on Rs. 50 in the same department which was vacant in July 1905.

"(4) Miss Abraham, nursery teacher, was paid a travelling allowance of Rs. 25 on the 26th July 1905 to join her first appointment in the school from Madras. Of this sum, Rs. 1–10–0 represents charges for food which do not seem to be a legitimate charge on the Asylum.

"10. Mr. Kodange, drawing-master on Rs. 60 attached to the Asylum, was appointed to do the duties of the Office Assistant on Rs. 45 who was granted three months' sick leave from 8th July 1905. In their resolution of 26th April 1905 the Committee had sanctioned an allowance of Rs. 15 per mensem to Mr. Kodange for the extra duty when the Assistant went on privilege leave on a previous occasion, *i.e.*, in May 1905. This allowance was continued to him when the Assistant was on sick leave also. But on a representation made by him that there was too much work for him, the Committee in their resolution of 21st July 1905 sanctioned an increase of Rs. 6–8–0, making in all Rs. 21–8–0, which was less than a moiety of the Assistant's pay. But instead of giving him Rs. 21–8–0 according to the Committee's resolution, he was allowed to draw at the rate of Rs. 25 a month for the period he acted, that is, Rupees 2–8–0 in excess of what was available after paying the absentee allowance and Rs. 3–8–0 over and above what the Committee sanctioned for him. If the payment of Rs. 25 should be allowed to stand, the sanction of the local Government should be obtained for the excess charge as it exceeds the sanctioned scale, or if he should be allowed only Rs. 22–8–0, the moiety of the

" pay of the Office Assistant, the further sanction of the Committee is necessary and the balance " should be recovered from him. In this connection it has to be mentioned that for the 20 days " *i.e.*, from 12th to 31st July 1905, he was paid the full amount of Rs. 15 allowed by the " resolution of 26th April 1905 instead of *at the rate of Rs.* 15 as stated in it. This would give " him only Rs. 9-10-10. The excess here also should be recovered."

2. On this the Government requested the Committee of the Lawrence Asylum to state what action they proposed to take on paragraphs 9 and 10 of the report—paragraph 2 of G.O., No. 486, Educational, dated 18th July 1906.

3. The Committee now state that they have resolved to confirm the payments noted in paragraph 9 of the auditor's report and to recover Rs. 5 from Mr. Kodange.

4. The Committee seem to be very liberal in the treatment of their servants

That is one way of getting good work done.
C. G. Mackay.

No objection need however be raised to the distribution of the pay of the Sergeant whose appointment was vacant, referred to in items (1) and (2) of paragraph 9 of the audit report. It is for consideration whether any recovery should be ordered from Mrs. O. Kelly—item (3) of paragraph 9. Her own pay was Rs. 50. She acted on Rs. 70 and was given an acting allowance of Rs. 20-15-0. It is also for consideration whether Miss Abraham should be asked to refund Rs. 1-10-0 she drew as charges for food—item (4) of paragraph 9.

5. In regard to Mr. Kodange, the Committee's resolution of the 21st July raising his allowance to Rs. 21-8-0, paragraph 10 of the audit report, should hold good during the whole of the three months he was acting for the Office Assistant. He was then entitled to an allowance of Rs. 61-11-7 * for the two months and 27 days, whereas he appears to have drawn Rs. 70-10-4 †. He has thus overdrawn Rs. 8-14-9. It is not clear why he should not refund the whole of this amount.

	RS	A.	P.
* Twenty days in July at Rupees 21-8-0	13	13	11
August and September	43	0	0
Seven days in October	4	13	8
Total ..	61	11	7
† In July	15	0	0
August and September at Rs. 25.	50	0	0
For seven days in October at Rs. 25	5	10	4
Total ..	70	10	4

P. T. Tharyan—1-11-06.

Under what rules are Lawrence Asylum servants in regard to such allo wances?

C. G. Mackay—2-11-06.

The Asylum servants are under no particular rules in regard to these allowances. The Civil Service Regulations are not applicable to them, but the Government have control over the Committee who are bound to carry out their orders—rule 3 of the Asylum rules—page 4 of G.O., Nos. 423, 424, Educational, dated 15th June 1906. The Government will generally follow the Civil Service Regulations.

P. T. Tharyan—2-11-06.

I submit that we may accept the Committee's resolution. The matters involved do not seem important enough to warrant interference by Government.

C. G. Mackay—3-11-06.

The resolution of the Committee may be accepted in the present case. Recoveries are always painful. But in future the Committee may be advised to adopt the rules of the Civil Service Regulations in regard to acting allowances.

H. D. Taylor—10-11-06.

G. S. F[orbes]—11-11-06.

Please draft.

H. D. Taylor—12-11-06.

The draft placed below is submitted for approval.

P. T. Tharyan—12-11-06.

C. G. Mackay—13-11-06.

Issue.

H. D. Taylor—14-11-06.

[G.O., No. 736, Educational, dated 14th November 1906.]

[E.]
1,500—12-12

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

CURRENT FILE.

CONTENTS.

From	Number.	Date.	Pages.
[1747] D.P.I.	7800	20-10-06	1-2.

[P. T. O. FOR PUT UP PAPERS.

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No.

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number.	Date.	Original (=O) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers as have been removed for copying, printing, &c., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of Referencer with date in token of return to Reference department.

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number.	Date.	Original (=O) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Despatcher to note the return of the papers to the departments concerned.

Stock Form No. 135
3,700—17-6-05.

Edn CURRENT No. 1747

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL 24 OCT 1906 SECRETARIAT.

Received 190 , Registered 26 - 10 - 1906

Subject.

Submitting communication from the Secretary Lawrence Asylum Ootacamund re the recovery of Rs 5 from Mr Kodange.

ENCLOSURES.
No.
Spare copies

N. Ref. on Gvr No. 7800 of 06

Station Madras , Dated the 20 October 1906

From (Name) The Honorable Mr. J. J. Logan B.A.,
(Designation) Ag: Director of Public Instn.
Madras

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Educational Department.

Sir,

Row

(through the Director of Public Instruction)

OOTACAMUND LAWRENCE ASYLUM.

LOVEDALE P. O.,

Nilgiri District, 12th Octr 1906.

C. Ds. No 1619

1

FROM

The Ag PRINCIPAL AND SECRETARY,
Ootacamund Lawrence Asylum,
LOVEDALE,

TO

The Secretary to Government
Educational Department.
Ootacamund.

SIR,

With reference to para 2 of G.O. No 486, Educational, dated 18th July 1906, the Committee has resolved to confirm the payments noted in para 9 of the Auditor's Report and to recover Rs 5 from Mr Kodange.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

Ag Principal and Secretary.

3

DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION
15 OCT 1906
C. 7800/6
MADRAS.

N.R.D.C. No. 7800/6
dated 20th October 1906.

Submitted to Government.

For Director of Public Instruction.

SW/18.10.6

NOTES.

1½

kw

4

6 18

[E. / 2.]
1,000—29-5-06.

notes connected with G.O. No. 736 Edl dt 14-11-06.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 1747 From the D.P.I. No. 7800, dated 20-10-1906.

SUBJECT.

Points noticed in the report on the Audit of the Accounts of the Lawrence Asylum at Ootacamund for the year 1905-06.

Pages 2 & 3 of G.O. No 486 Edl dt 18th July 1906. O.

In paragraphs 9 & 10 of his ~~[illegible]~~ report on the audit of the accounts of the Lawrence Asylum for the year 1905-06 the auditor observed—

"9. The procedure adopted in the following four cases is apparently objectionable, and as they do not appear to have been specially brought to the notice of the Finance Committee, it is not clear whether they have their approval or not. If it be decided that the extra payments made in these cases should be recovered from the parties concerned, then the further extra payments of Rs. 95-2-6 and Rs. 28-5-4 made in September and October 1905, respectively, should also be recovered for the same reason.

Payments.—(1) Senior Sergeant A. Mace on Rs. 100 died on 11th May 1905 and in his place Sergeant Hurst on Rs. 80 was appointed to act from 12th to 22nd and Sergeant Light on Rs. 50 from 23rd to 31st May 1905. These two men were allowed full pay during the period they acted, the vacancy being a permanent one. No arrangements were made to fill up the vacancies caused by their deputation. The savings which accrued during their deputation were taken into account and divided equally between the two men referred to above and another Sergeant Lines, making in all Rs. 42-14-7. It is contended that these three men did extra duty owing to the death of Sergeant Mace, and that consequently they were entitled to the extra allowance.

(2) Junior Sergeant's appointment on Rs. 50 fell vacant in July 1905 and its pay was distributed to the three men above referred to.

(3) Mrs. O. Kelly on Rs. 70 was given an acting allowance of Rs. 20-15-0 for acting for another teacher on Rs. 50 in the same department which was vacant in July 1905.

(4) Miss Abraham, nursery teacher, was paid a travelling allowance of Rs. 25 on the 26th July 1905 to join her first appointment in the school from Madras. Of this sum, Rs. 1-10-0 represents charges for food which do not seem to be a legitimate charge on the Asylum.

10. Mr. Kodange, drawing master on Rs. 60 attached to the Asylum, was appointed to do the duties of the Office Assistant on Rs. 45 who was granted three months' sick leave from 8th July 1905. In their resolution of 26th April 1905 the Committee had sanctioned an allowance of Rs. 15 per mensen to Mr. Kodange for the extra duty when the Assistant went on privilege leave on a previous occasion, *i.e.*, in May 1905. This allowance was continued to him when the Assistant was on sick leave also. But on a representation made by him that there was too much work for him, the Committee in their resolution of 21st July 1905 sanctioned an increase of Rs. 6-8-0, making in all Rs. 21-8-0, which was less than a moiety of the Assistant's pay. But instead of giving him Rs. 21-8-0 according to the Committee's resolution, he was allowed to draw at the rate of Rs 25 a month for the period he acted, that is, Rs. 2-8-0 in excess of what was available after paying the absentee allowance and Rs. 3-8-0 over and above what the Committee sanctioned for him. If the payment of Rs. 25 should be allowed to stand, the sanction of the local Government should be obtained for the excess charge as it exceeds the sanctioned scale, or if he should be allowed only Rs. 22-8-0, the moiety of the pay of the Office Assistant, the further sanction of the Committee is necessary and the balance should be recovered from him. In this connection it has to be mentioned that for the 20 days, *i.e.*, from 12th to 31st July 1905, he was paid the full amount of Rs. 15 allowed by the resolution of 26th April 1905 instead of *at the rate of Rs.* 15 as stated in it. This would give him only Rs. 9-10-10. The excess here also should be recovered."

Read

~~4~~ 5

9 2P

0. 2. On this the Govt. requested the Committee of the Lawrence Asylum to state what action they proposed to take on paragraphs 9 & 10 of the report – para. 2 of G.O. No 486 dt 18th July 1906.

3. The Committee ~~state~~ now state that they have resolved to confirm the payments noted in para. 9 of the auditor's report and to recover Rs 5 from Mr. Kodange.

That is one way of getting good work done.

4. The Committee seem to be very liberal in the treatment of their servants.

No objection need however be raised to the distribution of the pay of the Sergeant whose appointment was vacant, referred to in items (1) & (2) of para. 9 of the Audit report. It is for consideration whether any recovery should be ordered from Mrs. O. Kelly – item (3) of para. 9 – Her own pay was Rs 50-. She acted on Rs 70 & was given an acting allowance of Rs 21-15-0. It is also for consideration whether Miss Abraham should be asked to refund Rs 1-10-0 she drew as charges for food – item (6) of para. 9.

5. In regard to Mr. Kodange, the Committee's resolution of the 26th July raising his allowance to Rs 21-8-0 ~~at~~ para. 10 of the Audit report – should hold good during the whole of the three months he was acting for the Office Assistant. He was then entitled to an allowance of *Rs 61-11-7 for the ~~three~~ 2 months (& 27 days) whereas he appears to have drawn +Rs 70-10-4. He has thus overdrawn Rs 8-14-9. It is not clear why he should not refund the whole of this amount.

	Rs as P
*20 days in July at Rs 21-8-0	13-13-11
August & Septr	43-0-0
7 days in Octr	4-13-8
	61-11-7
+In July –	15-0-0
August & Septr at Rs 25	50-0-0
7 days in Oct. at Rs 25	5-10-4
	70-10-4

Narayan
2
1/11/6

Under what rules are Lawrence Asylum servants [in regard to such allowances]?

2/11/06

Read

Z 6

~~wo~~ The Asylum servants are under no particular rules in regard to these allowances. The C.S.R. are not applicable to them. but the Govt. have control over the Committee who are bound to carry out their orders – rule 3 of the Asylum rules – page 4 of G.O. No. 423, 174 Ed. dt. 15th June 1896. The Govt. will generally follow the C.S.R.

C.

~~PJ 2/11/06~~

PJ Narayan
2/11/06

Secy.

I submit that we may accept the Committee's resolution. The matters involved do not seem important enough to warrant interference by Govt.

A. Mackay
3/11/06

The resolution of the Committee may be accepted in the present case. Recoveries are always painful. But in future the Committee may be advised to adopt the rules of the C.S.R. in regard to acting allowances

W.B. Taylor
10/11/06

WBT

SFT 9/11 [orders]

Meade
W.B. Taylor
7/11/06

Read

-3-

7

11

4 P

The draft placed below is submitted for approval.

PM 12/11/06

Secy

13/11/06

Issue.

Chief

[So no. 736 Ed dt 16.11.06].

736 Edn

8

[E. / 12]
1,000—2-3-06.

Educational DEPARTMENT.

12

READ AGAIN G.O., No. 486, dated 18-7-1906.

" " " " , " 190 .

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 1747, From the D.P.I., No. 7800, dated 20-10-1906.

" " , " " , " , " 190

" " , " " , " , " 190 .

4 P

ORDER.
P.S.

desp
14/11/6

No. 736, dated 14-11-1906.

The resolution of the Committee will be accepted in the present case but for the future the Committee will be ~~advised~~ requested to consider the desirability of ~~to~~ adopting the rules of the C.S.R. in regard to acting allowances.

Clm
13/11/06

P.S.
12/11/6

13/11/06.

To The Committee of the Laurence Asylum
thro' the D.P.I. ~~X~~
– D.P.I. ✓
– A.G. ✓

Despd
26/11/6