304—19-1-06.

1

Educational (1906) Department.

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O., No. 579

DATED 30-8-06.

20
1

3-8-6

[Despatch Abstract.]

Teachers' College, Saidapet.

Stating that the Govt will accept the charges incurred on account of Coorg students under training in the —— as a debit against Provincial Revenues but when the charges in any year amount to Rs 2000/ the A.G. will take the orders of Govt in accordance with G.O 1065 Finl dt 6-10-92 as to any further disbursement.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

1

Recd.	1906.	*Enclosures*
Regd.		*Spare copies*

G.O., No. 579, 30th August 1906.

Teachers' College, Saidapet.

Stating that the Government will accept the charges incurred on account of Coorg students under training in the — as a debit against Provincial revenues and requesting the Accountant-General to take the orders of Government in accordance with G.O., No. 1045, Financial, dated 6th October 1892, as to any further disbursement when the charges in any year amount to Rs. 2,000.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper :—

Letter—from W. DOBBIE, Esq., Accountant-General, Madras.
To—the Secretary to Government, Educational Department.
Dated—Madras, the 5th July 1906.
No.—T. 344-Ch.—3920.

I have the honour to state that to certain teachers from Coorg, who are undergoing training in the Teachers' College, Saidapet, the educational authorities in Madras and the Chief Commissioner in Coorg have sanctioned the stipends and allowances as detailed in the margin and have directed that the charge should be debited to the Coorg Imperial Funds. The charges incurred in February 1906 were accordingly debited to Coorg through the exchange account with India. But the Comptroller, India Treasuries, Calcutta, has rejected the debits on the authority of article 1337, Civil Account Code.

Name of student.	Stipends.	Allowances.	Period for which sanctioned.	Sanctioning order.
	RS.	RS.		
1. Deva Rao, A. ..	15	(*a*) 8	For one year from 19th January 1906.	Principal, Teachers' College, Saidapet.
2. Venkataramaiya ..	12	(*b*) 7	For one year from 16th January 1906.	Do.
3. Biddiah	10	(*b*) 2	For six months from 15th January 1906.	Chief Commissioner, Coorg.

(*a*) Director of Public Instruction.
(*b*) Inspector of European and Training Schools.

The Comptroller, India Treasuries, is correct in his interpretation and the consequence will be that the charges will fall on Provincial revenues. I have accordingly thought it desirable to bring the above fact to the notice of Government in case Government should wish to prefer a claim upon the Government of India.

Order—No. 579, Educational, dated 30th August 1906.

The Government will accept the charges in question as a debit against Provincial revenues but when the charges in any year amount to Rs. 2,000 the Accountant-General will take the orders of Government in accordance with G.O., No. 1045, Financial, dated 6th October 1892, as to any further disbursement.

(True Extract.)

H. D. TAYLOR,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Accountant-General.
,, Director of Public Instruction.
,, Financial Department.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. 579, EDUCATIONAL, DATED 30TH AUGUST 1906.

[SUBJECT.—*Adjustment of scholarships paid to Coorg students under training in the Teachers' College at Saidapet.*]

From the Accountant-General, dated 5th *July* 1906, *No. T.* 344—3920.

Three teachers from Coorg are undergoing training in the Teachers' College, Saidapet, and stipends and allowances amounting in all to Rs. 54 per mensem have been sanctioned by the Madras Educational authorities and the Chief Commissioner, Coorg, for payment to them from Coorg revenues. The Comptroller, India Treasuries, Calcutta, has however rejected the debit to those revenues of the payments made in Madras on the authority of article 1337, Civil Account Code, and the Accountant-General brings the fact to notice so that if Government think it necessary they can prefer a claim upon the Government of India.

2. It is submitted that, under article 1337, Civil Account Code, the question of adjustments between Provincial and other Governments of charges incurred in one province on account of another province can only arise "when any considerable charge "of an exceptional nature which ought ordinarily to be borne by one province is paid "in another province". The amount in the present case is only Rs. 54 per mensem or Rs. 648 per annum and may be met from the Provincial budget as all other scholarships paid at the Teachers' College are but the advice of Financial may be sought before orders are passed.

3. In future perhaps the Director of Public Instruction may arrange with the Coorg authorities that no stipends will be paid to the Coorg students at the Teachers' College. This will compel the Coorg authorities to make their own arrangements to remit the scholarships—by money order or otherwise—to the students direct.

But if this is a service we *have been* rendering to Coorg, we cannot remit it.
C. M. SCHMIDT. A

4. The travelling allowance of the Director and the Inspector of Schools, who visit Coorg for controlling the educational work there, is borne from the Madras Provincial funds—please see notes and orders in G.O., No. 519, Educational, dated 22nd August 1904. Recently there was a question of printing maps free of charge at the Survey office at Chepauk for the use of Coorg schools. It was held that no charge could be recovered from the Coorg revenues. The papers are in Revenue.

The operation of article 1337 of the Civil Account Code did not entail any real loss on Provincial funds under the old Provincial contracts, as the assignment to Provincial revenues was based on the Provincial expenditure, but now the contract is a settled thing and these small demands on Provincial revenues on account of other provinces may in course of years total up to a respectable figure. Financial may, therefore, consider this aspect of the case also.

We used to have to bear charges for other provinces before?
C. M. SCHMIDT.

P. T. THARYAN—11-7-06.

Please quote the rules under which students from Coorg, Mysore, Burma and Straits Settlements are admitted to the Teachers' College, Medical College, Medical schools, etc.

I take it that they are admitted as a matter of grace, pure and simple. How are stipends paid in case of Mysore, *Burma* and other administrations in case of Medical College and School ?

H. D. TAYLOR—12-7-06.

Under rule II (2) (*d*) of the Teachers' College rules on page 28 of the College Calendar for 1906, the Principal can admit students from anywhere as Government stipendiaries. Under rule II (3) (*d*) on page 29 students *sent* for training from outside the Madras Presidency can be admitted as non-stipendiaries. In the latter case the person or body or Government *sending* the students will apparently pay their stipends. This was the arrangement agreed to in the present case, but owing to the operation of article 1337 of the Civil Account Code these stipends now fall on Provincial revenues. There seems, however, to be no objection to Coorg's paying them *direct*.

2. Under rule 4 of the rules on page 68 of the Medical College Calendar for 1904-1905, Colonial students sent to the Medical College have all their expenses paid by the Governments sending them. These expenses are recovered from the Government concerned through their accounts current with this Government.

They are on a different footing so far as recovery of cost is concerned.

C. M. SCHMIDT.

3. Under the rules on pages 11—14 of G.O., Nos. 171,172, Educational, dated 11th March 1904, Madras-Burma and Burmese pupils are admitted into the Medical schools in Madras. The expenses on their account were originally recovered from the Burma Government through the Government of India—G.O., No. 999, Financial, dated 1st December 1897, but in the present Provincial Settlement allowance has been made for this expenditure and there is no special recovery. In other words Provincial is getting an equivalent amount in another way and no special recovery is possible.

4. Under the rule for Native State and Foreign Government pupils on page 14 of G.O., Nos. 171, 172, Educational, dated 11th March 1904, the States and Governments concerned have to pay the fees of hospital assistant pupils sent by them.

They are on a different footing as regards adjustment.

C. M. SCHMIDT.

5. Stipends of Native State pupils are paid by the States direct. Those of Burma pupils are paid by this Government and are not *now* specially recovered as already stated. Those of Colonial apprentices are paid by this Government and recovered.

P. T. THARYAN—12-7-06.

Unless special provision was made in the Provincial Settlement for payment by this Government of stipends to Coorg students, there appears to be no sufficient reason why this Government should be saddled with this expenditure.

The proposal at **A**, paragraph 3 of office-note, may be accepted.

H. D. TAYLOR—13-7-06.

To the Financial Department.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

The charges on account of printing maps for Coorg, and the travelling allowances to the Director of Public Instruction and to the Government Inspecting staff while touring in Coorg, are borne by the Madras Government for the reasons that inter-provincial adjustments are now inadmissible under article 1337 of the Civil Account Code and that the cost of these services has entered into the calculation of the figures of the current settlement. But no reference is made in the current settlement as to the stipends and other allowances to Coorg teachers undergoing training in the Teachers' College, Saidapet. It is not known whether there was any expenditure on this account in previous years. Apparently there was none. Otherwise the Accountant-General would have mentioned it in his current letter.

Vide notes and orders in G.O., No. 405, Revenue, dated 22nd April 1903; G.O., No. 519, Educational, dated 22nd August 1904; and G.O., No. 518, Revenue, dated 9th June 1906.

No. T. 344-ch./3920, dated 5th July 1906.

2. The Madras Government have been rendering Educational service to Coorg from a long time by way of inspection and control over the schools there. If it is considered that the training of Coorg teachers in Saidapet is a part of the service which Madras Government have been rendering, it appears that the stipends and other allowances to these teachers should be met from the revenues of Madras.

Vide rules in G.O., No. 222, Educational, dated 6th April 1904; G.O., No. 2, Educational, dated 2nd January 1902; and G.O., Nos. 606-7, Educational, dated 29th September 1898.

Vide article 1337 of the Civil Account Code and 283-4 (13).

3. The charge now in question amounts to Rs. 54 per mensem or Rs. 576 * per annum only, and it does not, it is submitted, appear to be necessary either to prefer a claim for such a small amount on the Government of India, or to remit the charge by asking the Coorg authorities to make their own arrangements for sending the stipends to the teachers from their revenues. These charges may be borne by this Government so long as they do not exceed Rs. 2,000 † in each case.

RS.		RS.
23	per mensem for 1 year	276
19	„ „	228
12	„ for 6 months	72
	Total ...	* 576

† This is the limit up to which this Government have agreed to bear payments made on account of other Governments—*vide* G.O., No. 1045, Financial, dated 6th October 1892.

A.H.—20-7-06.

Complete and put up notes connected with the adjustment in 1898 on account of hospital assistant pupils for Burma, correspondence ending with G.O., No. 23, Financial, dated 10th January 1898.

Also put up original of G.O., No. 1045, Financial, dated 6th October 1892.

C. M. SCHMIDT—21-7-06.

Attention is solicited to the marginally-noted correspondence on the subject of the adjustment of the cost of training Madrasi hospital assistant pupils for Burma. The notes and orders in G.O., Nos. 144, 145, Financial, dated 13th February 1897, explain the circumstances which led to the adjustment. The charge involved in that case being abnormal, the Government of India were asked to adjust the expenditure by giving credit to this Government.

G.O., Nos. 144-5, Financial, dated 13th February 1897; G.O., Nos. 508—510, Financial, dated 30th June 1897; G.O., No. 877, Financial, dated 29th October 1897; G.O., Nos. 999—1001, Financial, dated 1st December 1897; and G.O., No. 23, Financial, dated 10th January 1898.

The Government of India agreed to make the necessary adjustment provided that the Government of Burma had assented to the charge. Burma accepted and sanction was obtained for the adjustment.

Paragraph 2 of Finance and Commerce Department letter No. 1451-A., dated 26th March 1897, on page 2 of G.O., Nos. 508-510, Financial, dated 30th June 1897.

Burma Government letter No. 534—4-X.-26, dated 20th May 1897, on page 3 of G.O., Nos. 508—510, Financial, dated 30th June 1897; and Finance and Commerce department letter No. 5428-A., dated 17th December 1897, on page 2 of G.O., No. 23, Financial, dated 10th January 1898.

2. In the present case, however, the charge on account of stipends and other allowances given to Coorg teachers amounts to about Rs. 600 per annum. It is very doubtful whether India will sanction the adjustment of this small sum as an exception to article 1337 of the Civil Account Code. The Madras Government may, it is submitted, bear the charge so long as it does not exceed the limit of Rs. 2,000 prescribed by G.O., No. 1045, Financial, dated 6th October 1892.

A.H.—27-7-06.

There seems to be no case for an adjustment but once this Government undertake the service it will not be easy to get a reimbursement. G.O., No. 1045, Financial, dated 6th October 1892, merely prescribed the limit within which the Accountant-General can book against this Presidency charges incurred on account of other Governments without informing this Government of the fact. Educational may be asked to arrange for this expenditure being watched. Perhaps the matter might be alluded to in the annual report of the Teachers' College.

C. M. Schmidt—27-7-06.

The charges are never likely to be very high from the very small province of Coorg, and I submit we may accept them.

M. Hammick—28-7-06.

I agree, *i.e.*, up to Rs. 2,000.

G. S[tokes]—30-7-06.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

On principle I doubt if the inter-provincial adjustment rule applies here. I think article 1337 had reference to services rendered by one administration to another—the use of their machinery and officers—but not to such a matter as a cash payment made to a student in the way of stipend.

2. However as the Financial department see fit to accept the charge (up to Rs. 2,000) we need not object here—the amount being trifling.

G. S. F[orbes]—2-8-06.

Please draft.

H. D. Taylor—3-8-06.

The draft placed below is submitted for approval. Should Financial see it before **M** issue? It is presumed that Rs. 2,000 is the total maximum and not the maximum for a year.

P. T. Tharyan—3-8-06.

C. G. Mackay—7-8-06.

To the Financial Department.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

Attention is solicited to the statement of charges forwarded by the Accountant-General with his letter No. 628, dated 5th March 1887, on pages 3 to 10 of G.O., Nos. 387-8, Financial, dated 22nd March 1887, giving full details of all items of inter-provincial adjustments from 1882–83 to 1885–86, *i.e.*, charges incurred by other provinces on behalf of Madras and by Madras on behalf of other provinces. "Scholarship and book allowance of . . . . British-Burma students attached to native "infirmaries . . . ." and "scholarship of lady students of Bengal studying in the "Madras Medical College" are among the several items.

2. In Finance and Commerce Department letter No. 4384, dated 23rd October 1891, the Government of India "proposed "to put a stop to inter-provincial adjustments in order to relieve account officers "and local Governments of the trouble which the adjustments involve . . . ." and by Finance and Commerce Department resolutions Nos. 1142, dated 17th March 1892, and 1149, dated 17th March 1892, it was decided to stop inter-provincial adjustments with effect from the 1st April 1892, subject to the condition that "if any "considerable charge of an exceptional nature which ought ordinarily to be borne by "one province is paid in another province, the question of a corresponding adjustment between the two provinces will be specially dealt with by the Government of "India."

Paragraph 8 on page 2 of G.O., No. 1261, Financial, dated 1st December 1891.

3. It will be seen from paragraph 1 *supra* that the principle of inter-provincial adjustments applies to the payment of stipends to students from other provinces prosecuting their studies in Madras.

Vide Hon'ble Mr. Forbes' note, dated 2nd August 1906, *supra*.

4. As presumed at **M** *supra*, the limit of Rs. 2,000 may, it is submitted, be taken as the total maximum payment and not the maximum payment in a year, even though the limit of Rs. 2,000 arbitrarily fixed by G.O., No. 1045, Financial, dated 6th October 1892, refers to a *single* payment.

Educational office-note, dated 3rd August 1906, *supra*.

V.G.K.—13-8-06.

C. M. Schmidt—14-8-06.

I don't understand what **M** means. These are all petty payments to students of a few rupees each. What does a maximum mean, if not a maximum for one year? I don't see what other maximum there can possibly be?

M. Hammick—23-8-06.

The intention appears to be, it is submitted, to permit payments to continue until a sum of Rs. 2,000 has been disbursed and then to consider whether a claim should be made for an adjustment. It seems to be best not to fix any maximum annual or otherwise, but to arrange for the expenditure being watched and brought to notice in the annual administration report of the college.

C. M. Schmidt—24-8-06.

I don't think we can make a limit of Rs. 2,000, except within *the year*. We cannot let charge run on until they get to Rs. 2,000, after a year has run out.

2. I should say it would be best to accept the charges without limit for the present and, if they become high, which is not at all likely as the province is so small, then reconsider the question.

M. Hammick—25-8-06.

The draft is folly. The notes show clearly what is meant. When the charges reach Rs. 2,000, the Accountant-General will bring the matter to notice and we will refuse to disburse further and claim an adjustment.

G. S[tokes]—27-8-06.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

The draft should have come to me before going to Financial.

The draft as revised by the Hon'ble Mr. Stokes and accepted in Financial is submitted for approval.

H. D. Taylor—29-8-06.

The draft is accepted.

G. S. F[orbes]—30-8-06.

Issue.

H. D. Taylor—30-8-06.

[G.O., No. 579, Educational, dated 30th August 1906.]

CURRENT FILE.

5

CONTENTS.

	From	Number.	Date.	Pages.
[1097].	Accountant General	7·344-Cl 3920.	5-7-06	1-2

[P. T. O. FOR PUT UP PAPERS.

PAPERS PUT UP

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number.	Date.	Original (=O) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers as have been removed for copying, printing, &c., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of Referencer with date in token of return to Reference department.
37	20-1-06.			
549	22-8-04			
222	6-4-04			
171-72	11-3-04			
2.	2-1-02			
416	3-9-00.			
606	29-9-98			
23	16-1-98			
ml.999-1001	1-12-97			

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number.	Date.	Original (=O) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Despatcher to note the return of the papers to the departments concerned.
Rev.	518	9-6-06.		
Finl	273	7-4-04		
"	825-26	8-12-03		
"	631-32	2-10-03		
Rev.	405	22-4-03		
Finl	387-88	23-3-1887		
"	82	24-1-93		
"	1178-79	31-10-92		
"	1045	6-10-92		
"	984	23-9-92		
Jud.	1048-9	2-6-92		
	&c	&c.		

---

7 JUL 1906
SECRETARIAT

Received /190 , Registered 190

6

Subject.

Regarding the stipends & allowances of certain teachers in Coorg undergoing training in the Teachers' College & debiting the same to the Coorg Imperial funds & stating that C. I. T. has refused the debits under Art. 1337 C.A.C.

ENCLOSURES.
No.
Spare copies

No. J. 344 ch / 3920

Station Madras, Dated the 5 July 1906.

From (Name) W. Dobbie Esq.,

18

(Designation) Accountant-General, Madras.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Educational Department.

Sir,

I have the honour to state that to certain Teachers from Coorg, who are undergoing training in the Teachers' College Saidapet the Educational authorities in Madras and the Chief Commissioner in Coorg have sanctioned the Stipends and allowances as detailed in the margin and have directed that the charge should be debited to the Coorg Imperial Funds. The charges incurred in February 1906 were accordingly debited to Coorg through the exchange account with India. But the Comptroller, India Treasuries, Calcutta has rejected the debits on the authority of article 1337 Civil Account Code.

+on page 2 infra

The Comptroller, India Treasuries is correct in his interpretation and the consequence will be that the charges will fall on Provincial Revenue. I have accordingly thought it de-

sirable

fer a claim upon the

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

E. Dobbie

Accountant-General.

Name of Student	Stipends	allowances	Period for which sanctioned	Sanctioning order
1. Deva Rao A.	15 - " - .	(a) 8 - " - .	for 1 year from 29-1-06	Principal, Teachers' College Saidapet.
2. Venkataramaiya	12 - " —	(x) 7 — " —	- do - 16-1-06	- Do -
3. Biddiah	10 - " — "	(x) 2 — " —	for 6 months from 15-1-06	Chief Commissioner, Coorg.

(x) Inspector of European and Training Schools

(a) Director of Public Instruction.

---

[2]

1,500—3-12-04

[illegible] of 30-8-

C. No. 1097 From the D.P.I. No 7.344/3920, dated 5-7-1906.

SUBJECT.

Adjustment of scholarships paid to Coorg Students under training in the Teachers' College at Saidapet

7

Three teachers from Coorg are undergoing training in the Teachers' College at Saidapet and stipends and allowances amounting in all to Rs 54 per mensem have been sanctioned by the ~~D.P.I.~~ Madras Educational authorities & the Chief Commr., Coorg for payment (to them) from Coorg ~~funds~~ revenues. The Comptroller, India Treasuries, Calcutta has however rejected the debit to those revenues of the payments ~~for~~ made in Madras on the authority of article 1337 Civil Account Code and the Accountant General brings the fact to notice so that if Govt. think it necessary they can prefer a claim upon the Govt. of India.

2. It is submitted ~~however~~ that under article 1337 C.A.C. the question of adjustments between Provincial and other Governments of charges incurred in one province on account of another province can only ~~[illegible]~~ arise "when any considerable "charge of an exceptional nature which ought ordinarily to be "borne by one province is paid in another province". The amount in the present case is only Rs 54 per mensem or Rs 648 per annum and may be ~~[illegible]~~ met from the Provincial budget as all other scholarships paid at the Teachers' College are but the advice of Financial may be sought before orders are passed.

3. In future perhaps the D.P.I. may arrange with the Coorg authorities that no stipends will be paid ~~from~~ to the

A.

Coorg [illegible] at the [illegible] College. [illegible] the Coorg Authorities to make their own arrangements to remit the Scholarships – by money order or otherwise – to the Students direct.

8

But of this is a service we have been rendering to Coorg we cannot remit it CUL

4.

4. The travelling allowance of the Director & the Inspector of Schools who visit Coorg for controlling the Educational work there, is borne ~~from~~ the Madras Provincial funds – please see notes & orders in G.O. No 519 Edl. dt 22nd August 1904. Recently there was a question of ~~[illegible]~~ printing maps free of charge at the Survey office at Chepauk for the use of Coorg Schools. It was held that no charge could be recovered from the Coorg revenues. The papers are in Revenue.

We used to have to bear charges for other provinces before! ? CUL

The operation of Article 1337 of the CAC ~~apparently~~ did not entail any real loss on Provincial funds under the old Provincial Contracts as the assignment to Provincial revenues was based on the Provl. expenditure. but now the Contract is a settled thing and these small demands on Provincial revenues on account of other provinces may ~~eventually assume~~ in course of years total up to a respectable figure. Financial may therefore consider this aspect of the case also. ~~Submitted therefore that Financial should see the file in any case.~~

P. J. Narayan
11/7/6

Please quote the rules under which Students from Coorg, Mysore, Burma and Straits Settlements are admitted to the Teachers' College, Medical College, Medical Schools etc. I take it that they are admitted as a matter of grace pure & simple. How are stipends paid in case of Mysore, ~~[illegible]~~

Bu[illegible] or [illegible] in case of

Medical College - School?

W Naylor
2-7-06

Under rule II (2) (d) of the Teachers' College rules on page 28 of the College Calendar for 1906, the Principal can admit students from anywhere as Govt. stipendiaries. Under rule II (3) (d) on page 29 students sent for training from outside the Madras Presidency can be admitted as non-stipendiaries. In the latter case the person or body or Govt. sending the students will apparently pay their stipends. This was the arrangement agreed to in the present case but owing to the operation of article 1337 of the C.A.C. these stipends now fall on Provincial revenues. There seems however to be no objection to Coorg's paying them direct.

They are on a different footing so far as recovery of cost is concerned
Arl

2. Under rule 4 ~~(b)~~ of the rules on page 68 of the Medical College Calendar for 1904-05 Colonial students sent to the Medical College have all their expenses paid by the Govts sending ~~paying~~ them. These expenses are recovered from the Govts concerned through their accounts current with their Govt..

L. 3. Under the rules on pages 11-14 of G.O. Nos 171-172 Edl dt 11th March 1904 Madras-Burma & Burmese pupils are admitted into the Medical Schools in Madras. The expenses on their account were originally recovered from the

K. Burma Govt. through the Govt. of India - G.O. No 999 Finl dt 1st December 1897 - but in the present Provincial settlement allowance has been made ~~in the~~ for this expenditure and there is no special recov

getting an equivalent amount in another way and no special recovery is possible. 10

4. Under the rule for Native State & Foreign Govt. pupils on page 14 of G.O. Nos 171-172 Edl dt 11th March 1904, the states & Govts concerned have to pay the fees of hospital Assistant pupils sent by them. 5. Stipends of native state pupils are paid by the states direct. Those of Burma pupils are paid by this Govt. and account ~~now~~ specially recovered as already stated. Those of Colonial apprentices are paid by this Govt. and recovered.

They are on a different footing as regards adjustment L.
Cul

P.H. Morgan
12/7/6

Unless special provision was made in the Prov! Settl. for payment by this Govt. of stipends to Coorg students, there appears to be no sufficient reason why this Govt. should be saddled with this expenditure.

p. 1. 2 o. pre

The proposal at A - para 3 of office note may be accepted.

H. D. Taylor
13-7-06

To ~~the~~ F. Depts ~~for remarks~~.

Desp
13/7/6

Edl no. 510 D
13.7.06

A3

CURRENT NO.
3082
FINANCIAL

Regd. 13.7.06

CHIEF SECRETARIAT, 13 JUL 1906 OOTACAMUND.

[illegible] = =

Vide Notes & orders in
G.O 405 Rev., 22.4.03
" 519 Edl., 22.8.04
" 518 Rev., 9.6.06

The charges on account of printing maps for Coorg, and the travelling allowances to the D.P.I and to the Edl. Inspecting staff while touring in Coorg, are borne by ~~the~~ the Madras Govt. for the reasons that inter-provincial adjustments are now in-admissible under art. 1337 of the C.A. Code and that the cost of these services has ~~been~~ entered into the calculation of the figures of the current Settlement. But no reference is made in the current settlement as to the stipends and other allowances to Coorg teachers undergoing training in the Teachers' College, Saidapet. It is not known whether there was any expenditure on this account in previous years. Apparently there was none. Otherwise the A.G. would have mentioned it in his current letter.

No. T.344 ch / 8920, d 5.7.06
(PP. 1 & 2 of C.F.)

2. The Madras Govt. have been rendering ~~to Coorg~~ Educational service to Coorg from a long time by way of inspection and control

Vide Rules in G.O
G.O. 222 Edl., 6.4.04
" 2 Edl., 2-1-02
& G.O 606-7 Edl. 29.9.98

over

over the Schools [illegible] considered that the training of Coorg teachers in Saidapet is a part of the ~~[illegible]~~ service which Madras Govt. have been rendering, it appears that the stipends & other allowances to these teachers should be met from the revenues of Madras.

Vide Art. 1337 of the C.A. Code & 283-4(13)

3. The charge now in question amounts to Rs 54 p.m. or Rs 576 x per annum only, & it does not, it is submitted, appear to be necessary either to prefer a claim for such a small amount ~~of~~ on the G. of I., or to remit the charge ~~in~~ ~~[illegible]~~ by asking the Coorg authorities ~~by asking~~ to make their own arrangements for sending the stipends to the teachers ~~[illegible]~~ from their revenues. These charges may be borne by this Govt. so long as they do not exceed Rs. 2,000 ⊗ in each case.

x Rs	Rs
23 p.m. for 1 year =	276
19 " " =	228
12 " for 6 months =	72
	576

20/7/06

⊗ This is the limit up to which this Govt. have agreed to bear payments made on account of other Govts. —Vide G.O. 1045 Fin'l. of 6.x.92 (abst. put up)

A

~~Put~~ Complete & put up all connected with the adjustment in 1898 on account of Hospital Asst. pupils for Burma —Correspondence ending with G.O. 23 Fin'l of 10.1.98

Also put up original of G.O. ⌀ 1045 Fin'l of 6.x.92.

C.W. Schmidt
21.7.06.

⌀ Put up. A

G.O. 144-5 Fin., 13.2.97 flag I
" 508-510 " 30.6.97 flag F
" 877 Fin. 29.x.97 flag G
" 999-1001 " 1-12-97 flag K
" 23 Fin., 10.1.98 flag E

× flag M
ϕ flags I & J

Para. 2 of Fin. & Com. dept. letter No. 1451-A., d 26.3.97 on page 2 of G.O. 508-510 Fin. d 30.6.97 flag F

Burma Govt. letter No. 534-4-X/26, d 20.5.97 on page 3 of G.O. 508-510 Fin. d 30.6.97 — flag F

ϕ Fin. & Com. dept. letter No. 5428-A, 17-12-97, on page 2 of G.O. 23 Fin., 10.1.98 flag E

Attention is solicited to the marginally noted correspondence on the subject of the adjustment of the cost of training Madrasi hospital Assistant pupils for Burma. The notes × and orders ϕ in G.O. No. 144-145 Fin., d 13.2.97, explain the circumstances which led to the adjustment. ~~case~~ The charge involved in that case being abnormal, the G. of I. were asked to ~~go~~ adjust the expenditure by giving credit to this Govt.

The Govt. of India agreed to make the necessary adjustment provided that the Govt. of Burma assented to the Charge. Burma accepted, ~~the~~ ~~of India~~ sanction was obtained for the adjustment.

2. In the present case, however, the ~~cost of~~ charge on account of stipends & other allowances given to ~~the~~ Coorg teachers amounts to ~~less than~~ about Rs 600 per annum. It is very doubtful whether India will sanction the adjustment of this small sum as an exception to art. 1337 of the C.A. Code. The Madras Govt. may, it is submitted, bear the charge so long as ~~the charge~~ it does not exceed ~~Rs.~~ the limit of Rs 2,000 prescribed by

G.O.

A G.O. No. 1045 Fin., of 6. x. 92.

Hall
27.7.06

There seems to be no case for an adjustment ~~and~~ but once this Govt undertakes the service it will not be easy to ~~get~~ get a reimbursement. G.O. 1045 Fin. of 6. x. 92 merely prescribes the limit within which the A.G. can book against this Presidency charges incurred ~~of~~ on account of other Govts without informing this Govt of the fact. Educational may be asked to ~~to~~ arrange for the expendr. being watched. Perhaps the matter might be alluded to in the annual report of the Teachers' College.

Flag A

Chief Secretary.

Cul.
27. 7. 06

H.M.

The charges are never likely to be very high from the very small province of Coorg – and I submit we may accept them –

M. Hammick
28-vii-6

I agree. [illegible]

H [Stokes]
30/7/06.

Endorsed 3082-1
31-vii-06

F.W.

Ith Ed.

Under Secy
31-vii-06

To Chitta. Mr. Forbes C.I.

H.D.
2.8.06

15

On principle I doubt if the interprovincial adjust. rule applies here. ~~There is a difference~~. I think art 1337 had reference to services rendered by one admn. to another — the use of their machinery & offices — but not to such a matter as a cash payt. made to a student in the way of stipend.
However as the Fin. Dept. see fit to accept the charge (up to Rs 2000) we need not object here — the amt. being trifling.

G. F. [orbes].
2/8

Please draft.
H.D.
3-8-06

The draft sh. have come to me before going on to Fin.
H.D.
2/8.06

337-8/87
532/87

The draft placed below is submitted for approval. Should Financial see it before issue? It is presumed that Rs 2000 is the total maximum & not the maximum for a year.
C. Mackay.
7.8.06

E&L no 5548
997/a ~~7.8.06~~

M

PJ
3/8/06

To the Fin. ~~w.~~ wjots.

[illegible] 7/8/06

CHIEF SECRETARIAT
8- AUG. 1906
OTACAMUND.

CURRENT No. 3542
FINANCIAL

Regd 8-8-06

16

Financial Department

XVII

Attention is solicited to the statement of charges forwarded by the Acctt Genl with his letter Nr. 628 d 5-3-87 on pp 3 to 10 of G.O. 387-8 Finl d 22.3.1887 giving full details of all items of inter-provincial adjustments from 1882-83 to 1885-86 i.e. charges incurred by other Provinces on behalf of Madras and by Madras on behalf of other provinces. "Scholarship and book allce of ... British Burmah students attached to Native infirmary ..." and "Scholarship of lady students of Bengal studying in the Madras Medical College" are among the several items.

Para: 8 on page 2 of G.O. 1261 Finl d 1.12.1891
XIX

2. In Fin: & Com: Depl letter Nr. 4384 d 23.X.91 the Govt of India "proposed to put a stop to "Inter-Provincial adjustments in order to relieve "account officers and Local Govts of the trouble which "the adjustments involve ...." and by Fin: & Com: Depl resdns 1142 d 17.3.1892 & 1149 d 17.3.1892, it was decided to stop inter-provl adjustments with effect from the 1st April 1892 subject to the condition that "if any considerable "charge of an exceptional nature which ought "ordinarily to be borne by one province is paid in "another province, the question of a corresponding "adjustment between the two provinces will be "specially dealt with by the Govt of India."

3. It will be seen from paras 1 supra that

the

Vide Hon'ble Mr. Forbes's note d/ 2-8-06 on page 9 supra

the principle of inter-provl. adjustments appl. to the payment of stipends to students from other Provinces prosecuting their studies in Madras.

Chief Secretary's note d/ 2-8-06 on page 9 supra

4. As presumed at M supra, the limit of Rs. 2,000 may, it is subd., be taken as the total maximum payment and not the maximum payment in a year, even though the limit of

A

Rs. 2,000 arbitrarily fixed by G.O. 1045 Finl. d/ 6-x-92 refers to a single payment.

Vide 13806.

CMS
14. 8. 06

I don't understand what M. means. There are also petty payments to students of a few rupees each. What does a maximum mean, if not a maximum for one year? I don't see what other maximum there can possibly be?

[illegible]
23. viii

~~C.S.~~

The intention appears to be, it is subd., to permit payments to continue until a sum of Rs 2000 has been disbursed & then to consider whether a claim should be made for an adjustment. It seems to be best not to fix any maximum

Circ. 27/8/06
R. 28-8-06

annual or otherwise, but to arrange for the expend.: being watched & brought to notice in the annual adm. report of the College.

18

Cecil
24.8.06

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL
29 AUG 1906
SECRETARIAT.

Hon Mr Nathan

I dont think we can make a limit of Rs2000 - except within the year - we cannot let charges run on until they get to Rs2000 - after a year has run out.

I sh^d say it would be best to accept the charges with-out limit for the present & if they become large which is not at all likely as the province is so small - then reconsider the question -

28.viii.06

The draft is fully. The notes there clearly what is meant: when the charges reach [illegible] the A.G. will bring the matter to notice and we will refuse to disburse further claims as not pertinent.

27/8/06.

Fwd. No. 3842-1
28/8/06

7D/

To the Education Dept.

28.viii.06

[illegible]

The draft should have come to me before going to Financial. — 19

The draft as revised by the Hon. Mr. Stokes and accepted in Fin¹. is submitted for approval.

HDL
29.8.06

The draft is accepted

GF
30/7

Issue
HDL
30-8-06.

(80 — No. 579 dt 30-8-06)

[12]
1,000—2-3-06.

20

READ AGAIN G.O., No. , dated 190
" " " " , " 190

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 1097, From the A.G., No. $\frac{7.344}{3920}$, dated 5-7-1906.
" " , " " , " , " 190
" " , " " , " , " 190

ORDER.

P.S.

No. 579, dated 30-8-1906.

The Govt. will accept the charges in question as a debit against Provincial revenues ~~[up to a maximum of Rs 2000]~~ but where the charges in any year amount to 2000/- the A.G. will ~~report the fact to~~ take the orders of Govt. in accordance with G.O. 1045. Fin. of 6-X-92 as to any further disbursement.

P.S. 3/8/06

27/8/06.

Desp – may be printed here
28/8/6

A

Despd.
Thd.
18/9/6

To The A.G. ✓
" D.P.S. ✓
" Fin. Departt ✓ [with copy of notes]

y sent to Press.

NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED { Order complete Copies
Letter only.
Order complete with Letter.

d for signature.

hed in print.

G.O., No. ,DATED
190 .

No. 1097

to C. No.

GOVERNMENT. A

GOVERNMENT.

WITH DITTO.

FILED ..., BEING READY FOR DESPATCH
ORIGINAL.

Press

Please show proof sheets & sign copies when the proof is passed

W
30/8/6.

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.