Red.]

508—10-1-06.

Educational (1906) Department.

2

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O., No. 516-517

DATED 3.8.06

(17)

[Despatch Abstract.]

State Technical Scholarships. Informing the Govt of India that only one Govt of ~~India~~ Technical Scholarship has been granted by this Government, ~~and~~ that the Scholar has executed a bond in his own name for Rs 5,500, with two sureties of Rs1000 each, and that the execution of the bond will be held in abeyance in respect of any further scholarships that may be granted.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

FOR RECORD ONLY.

Recd. } 1906. *Enclosures*
Regd. } *Spare copies*

G.O., &c., Nos. 516, 517, 3rd August 1906.

State Technical Scholarships.

Informing the Government of India that up to date only one Government of India Technical Scholarship has been granted by this Government, that in this case the scholar has executed a bond in his own name for Rs. 5,500, with two sureties of Rs. 1,000 each and that pending final orders on the subject the execution of the bond will be held in abeyance in respect of any further scholarships that may be granted.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following papers :—

I

G.O., Nos. 251, 252, Educational, dated 6th April 1906.

Abstract.—Prescribing a form of agreement which should be executed by persons holding the State Technical Scholarships.

II

Letter—from W. S. Marris, Esq., Deputy Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department (Education).

To—the Secretary to the Government of Madras, Educational Department.

Dated—Simla, the 14th July 1906.

No.—519.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your Endorsement No. 252, dated the 6th April 1906, with which you forward, for the information of the Government of India, a form of bond prescribed by the Government of Madras, which has to be executed by persons selected to hold State Technical Scholarships.

2. I am to state that, in the opinion of the Government of India, it is desirable that the conditions attaching to these State Scholarships should be uniform in all provinces and that if bonds are essential the holders of the scholarships in all provinces should be required to execute them. They have therefore considered it necessary to ask for the views of the other local Governments * on the form of agreement prescribed by the Government of Madras and on receipt of their replies they propose to address the Secretary of State on the subject, with reference to the rules framed by him for Indian Government Technical Scholars in England, a copy of which was forwarded for the information of the Governor in Council with the Home Department letter No. 816, dated the 30th December 1905.

* *Vide* copy of Home Department letter Nos. 520—526, dated 14th July 1906, enclosed.

3. In the circumstances I am to request that, if the Governor in Council has no objection, the form of bond prescribed for technical scholarship-holders in the Madras Presidency may be held in abeyance.

Enclosure.

Letter—from W. S. Marris, Esq., Deputy Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department (Education).

To—the Government of Bombay and other Local Governments and the Chief Commissioner of the Central Provinces.

Dated—Simla, the 14th July 1906.

Nos.—520-526.

I am directed to address $\frac{\text{the Goverament of }\frac{\text{Bombay}}{\text{etc.}}}{\text{you}}$ regarding the rules framed by the Secretary of State for Indian State Technical Scholars in England, a copy of which was forwarded with the Home Department letter (endorsement *)

[* To Bombay and Bengal only.] No. $\begin{array}{c}821\\ \hline 822\\ \hline 817\\ \hline 818\\ \hline 819\\ \hline 820\end{array}$, dated the 30th December 1905.

Under the rules a scholar who fails to comply with the prescribed conditions is liable to forfeit his scholarship and in such event he loses his claim to a free return passage to India and becomes liable to refund the cost of his free passage to England. Every scholar is further required to give a written undertaking that he will devote himself exclusively during the tenure of his scholarship to the study of the subject for which his scholarship was granted, and to return to India on its termination, there to continue to devote himself to the industry which he has studied.

[To Burma only.] [1. I am directed to forward, for the information of His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, a copy of the rules which have been framed by the Secretary of State for Indian Government Technical Scholars in England together with an extract from a despatch from the Secretary of State † regarding certain additional conditions which are to be embodied in the rules.]

† No. 138, dated the 20th October 1905, paragraph 5.

2. The attention of the Government of India has been called to a form of bond for holders of Government of India Technical Scholarships which has been prescribed by the Government of Madras. By this bond, a copy of which is forwarded herewith for $\frac{\text{the information of the Government of }\frac{\text{Bombay}}{\text{etc.}}}{\text{your information}}$ the scholar himself and two sureties in the amount of Rs. 5,500 are to enter into an undertaking to refund all sums of money expended by the Secretary of State on behalf of the scholar, including the passage money and the scholarship allowance, if the scholar (1) is declared by the Secretary of State to have forfeited the scholarship under the rules, or (2) if he fails to return to India and there to devote himself for the period of five years to working in the industry which he has studied. The Government of India being of opinion that the terms imposed upon the holders of these scholarships should be uniform throughout India have asked the Government of Madras to defer for the present prescribing the use of this bond until the opinion of the other local Governments shall have been obtained and the matter referred to the Secretary of State for decision.

3. As at present advised, the Government of India are disposed to doubt the expediency of adopting the arrangement contemplated by the Government of Madras. Scholarship-holders or assisted students of the assistant surgeon and hospital assistant classes are required to execute a bond in similar terms, but it appears to the Government of India that their case differs from that of the State Technical Scholarship-holders inasmuch as they receive their professional education subject to the condition that they may be required to enter Government service while no such condition is imposed upon the State Technical Scholars nor is it desired to encourage them to lean upon the prospect of Government service.

4. It appears to the Government of India that the condition as to the refund of the scholarship allowance in the case of forfeiture is a somewhat unusual penalty; that breaches of the condition requiring a scholar to return to India and devote himself for five years to the pursuit of the industry might arise from circumstances over which the scholar had little or no control; and that consequently the necessity of finding sureties who should guarantee the performance of this condition might deter poor and deserving scholars from coming forward while in any case the enforcement of the terms of the bond would be apt to involve recourse to litigation.

5. I am to request that the Government of India may be favoured with $\frac{\text{the opinion of the Government of }\frac{\text{Bombay}}{\text{etc.}}}{\text{your opinion}}$ upon the question whether it is advisable that the State Technical Scholars should be required to enter into a bond, and if so, what the terms of such bond should be.

Order—No. 516, Educational, dated 3rd August 1906.

The following letter will be despatched to the Government of India.

(True Extract.)

H. D. TAYLOR,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

Letter—from H. D. TAYLOR, Esq., I.C.S., Acting Secretary to the Government of Madras, Educational Department.
To—the Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department.
Dated—Ootacamund, the 3rd August 1906.
No.—517, Educational.

I am directed to reply to Mr. Marris' letter No. 519, dated 14th July 1906, regarding the form of bond prescribed by this Government for execution by persons selected to hold State Technical Scholarships.

2. Only one Government of India Technical Scholarship has as yet been granted by this Government. The scholar (Mr. G. N. Dewal) has executed a bond in his own name for Rs. 5,500 with two sureties for Rs. 1,000 each. It was not intended that the form should be rigidly adhered to in all cases or that the terms of the bond should be strictly enforced in circumstances in which relaxation might be considered justifiable. The execution of the bond will, however, be held in abeyance in respect of any further scholarships that may be granted until final orders are received on the subject.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., Nos. 516, 517, EDUCATIONAL, DATED 3RD AUGUST 1906.

[SUBJECT.—*Form of bond which should be executed by persons holding the State Technical scholarships.*]

From the Government of India, No. 519, *dated* 14*th July* 1906.

With endorsement No. 252, dated the 6th April 1906, this Government forwarded for the information of the Government of India a form of agreement prescribed by G.O., No. 251, of the same date for execution by persons holding State Technical scholarships. To ensure uniformity in the conditions attaching to these scholarships, the Government of India have considered it necessary to obtain the views of the other local Governments on the advisability or otherwise of State Technical scholars being required to enter into a bond, and on receipt of their replies, they propose to address the Secretary of State on the subject with reference to the rules framed by him for Indian Government Technical scholars in England. Meanwhile the Government of India request this Government to defer prescribing the use of the bond until the opinion of the other local Governments shall have been obtained and the matter referred to the Secretary of State for decision.

G.O., No. 32, Educational, dated 18th January 1906.

2. The Government of India, as at present advised, are disposed to doubt the expediency of adopting the arrangement contemplated by the Government of Madras for the following reasons :—

(1) that the case of the scholarship holders or assisted students of the Assistant Surgeon and Hospital Assistant classes, who are required to execute a bond in similar terms, stands on quite a different footing inasmuch as they receive their professional education subject to the condition that they may be required to enter Government service, while no such condition is imposed on the State Technical scholars nor is it desired to encourage them to lean upon the prospect of Government service ;

(2) that the condition as to the refund of the scholarship allowance in the case of forfeiture is a somewhat unusual penalty ;

(3) that breaches of the condition requiring a scholar to return to India and devote himself for five years to the pursuit of the industry might arise from circumstances over which the scholar had little or no control ; and that consequently the necessity of finding sureties who should guarantee the performance of this condition might deter poor and deserving scholars from coming forward ;

(4) that the enforcement of the terms of the bond would, in any case, be apt to involve recourse to litigation.

3. Please see the remarks of the Secretary on page 2 of K.W. G.O., Nos. 251 and 252, Educational, dated 6th April 1906. "These scholarships form a special "class by themselves. They are intended to encourage and develop the industries of "the country as a whole and not merely to assist the individual for his own private "profit. The ordinary 'Government of India scholarships' are quite distinct and "there is no obligation to return in that case : there is no special demand for educated "men of that class. But *industries* demand study, guidance and development and it "is mainly for the purpose of procuring such guidance and of fostering such develop- "ment that the industrial scholarships are offered. It will not benefit the Indian "artisan, mill hand or agriculturist, if one of his fellow countrymen is educated and

"acquainted with all modern developments of a particular industry if he does not "return to India to assist in the general development of that industry." On this the Honourable Member noted as follows :—

> "I think it is on the whole wise to retain the condition as to refund considering "the object of the scholarships. It is plain from some of the applications that have "come in, that the candidate has little in view but an education in England."

Thus it will be seen that the idea of inserting in the form of agreement covenants regarding the refund of scholarship in case of forfeiture and the obligation to return to India, on the termination of the scholarship, did not originate from any fancied analogy between the cases of the State Technical scholars and the assisted students of the Assistant Surgeon and Hospital Assistant classes.

4. The apprehensions of the Government of India, that the necessity of finding sureties who should guarantee the performance of the conditions in the bond, would deter poor and deserving scholars from coming forward, were realised recently in the case of Mr. G. N. Dewal, the only Government Technical scholar from Madras. Mr. Dewal found it impossible to get two sureties to bind themselves to the amount of Rs. 5,500; and so it was found necessary to permit him to execute a bond in his own name for Rs. 5,500, with two sureties for Rs. 1,000 each.

Vide G.O., Nos. 414, 415, Educational, dated 14th June 1906.

P.K.M.—24-7-06.

The Government of India wish the form of the bond to be held in abeyance for the present. There has been only one Government of India Technical scholar from Madras—Mr. G. N. Dewal—and he has executed it, though in a modified form as regards sureties. The Government of India may perhaps be informed of this.

H. D. TAYLOR. **A**

The case of any future technical scholar may be considered when one is found—that is whether he should also be required to execute the bond and, if so, with the consent of the Government of India—if by that time the question has not been finally decided.

H. D. TAYLOR.

P. T. THARYAN—24-7-06.

C. G. MACKAY—26-7-06.

The bond was prescribed as a precautionary measure. The Government would not, it is submitted, insist on its pound of flesh except in extreme cases.

G. S. F[ORBES].

In the case of University scholars the Secretary of State has recently insisted that they should have *some* private means in addition to their scholarship. We may inform India as at "**A**", and state that the form will be held in abeyance in future till final orders on the subject are received.

H. D. TAYLOR—27-7-06.

G. S. F[ORBES]—28-7-06.

Please draft.

H. D. TAYLOR—28-7-06.

The draft placed below is submitted for approval.

P.R—30-7-06.

P. T. THARYAN—31-7-06.

C. G. MACKAY—1-8-06.

H. D. TAYLOR—2-8-06.

G. S. F[ORBES]—2-8-06.

Issue.

H. D. TAYLOR—3-8-06.

[G.O., Nos. 516, 517, Educational, dated 3rd August 1906.]

[E.]
1,500—12-12

516-17

3-8-06

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

5

CURRENT FILE.

CONTENTS.

From	Number.	Date.	Pages.
[1179] Dy. Secretary to the Govt. of India	519	4.7.06	1—8

[P. T. O. FOR PUT UP PAPERS.

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No.

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number.	Date.	Original (=O) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers as have been removed for copying, printing, &c., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of Referencer with date in token of return to Reference department.
414-15	14-6-06			
251-52	6-4-06			
46.	23-1-06			
32	18-1-06			
504	9-10-03			

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number.	Date.	Original (=O). or spare copy (=S. C.).	Despatcher to note the return of the papers to the departments concerned.

Endt 252 6/4/06

advance copies circ. 20/7/06

CURRENT No. 1179

Recd 20/7.

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL 20 JUL 1906 SECRETARIAT.

No. 519.

6

2 P

From

W. S. Marris, Esq.,

Depy. Secy. to the Govt. of India,

To

The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Educational Department.

Home Department.

(Education)

Simla, the 14th July 1906.

Sir,

T I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your endorsement no. 252, dated the 6th April 1906, with which you forward, for the information of the Government of India, a form of bond prescribed by the Government of Madras, which has to be executed by persons selected to hold State technical scholarships.

2. I am to state that, in the opinion of the Government of India, it is desirable that the conditions attaching to these State scholarships should be uniform in all provinces and that if bonds are essential the holders of the scholarships in all provinces should be required to execute them. They have therefore considered it necessary to ask for the views of the other local Governments* on the form of agreement prescribed by the Government of Madras and on receipt of their replies they propose to address the Secretary of State on the subject, with reference to the rules framed by him for Indian Government technical scholars in England, a copy of which was forwarded for the information of the Governor in Council with the Home Department letter no. 816, dated the 30th December 1905.

*Vide copy of Home Department letter nos 520-526, dated 14th July 1906 enclosed.

80 32/18-1-06 F

3. In the circumstances I am to request that, if the Governor in Council has no objection, the form of bond

prescribed for technical scholarship holders in the Madras presidency may be held in abeyance.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Depy. Secy. to the Govt. of India.

---

7 3 S.P.

No. 520-526

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL
20 JUL 1906
SECRETARIAT

FROM

W. S. MARRIS, Esq.,
Deputy Secretary to the Government of India,

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF BOMBAY,
EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.
" SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF BENGAL,
EDUCATION DEPARTMENT.
" SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF THE UNITED PROVINCES,
EDUCATION DEPARTMENT.
" SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF THE PUNJAB,
HOME (EDUCATION) DEPARTMENT.
" SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF BURMA,
GENERAL DEPARTMENT.
" CHIEF SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF EASTERN BENGAL AND ASSAM.
" HON'BLE THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER OF THE CENTRAL PROVINCES.

Home Department.
(*Education*).

Simla, the 14th July 1906.

SIR,

I AM directed to address the Government of Bombay etc. / you regarding the rules framed by the Secretary of State for Indian State technical scholars in England, a copy of which was forwarded with the Home Department letter (endorsement*) no. 821/822, 817/818, 819/820, dated the 30th December 1905.

[* To Bombay and Bengal only.]

Under the rules a scholar who fails to comply with the prescribed conditions is liable to forfeit his scholarship and in such event he loses his claim to a free return passage to India and becomes liable to refund the cost of his free passage to England. Every scholar is further required to give a written undertaking that he will devote himself exclusively during the tenure of his scholarship to the study of the subject for which his scholarship was granted, and to return to India on its termination, there to continue to devote himself to the industry which he has studied.

[To Burma only.] [1. I am directed to forward, for the information of His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, a copy of the rules which have been framed by the Secretary of State for Indian Government technical scholars in England together with an extract from a despatch from the Secretary of State* regarding certain additional conditions which are to be embodied in the rules.]

2. The attention of the Government of India has been called to a form of bond for holders of Government of India technical scholarships which has been prescribed by the Government of Madras. By this bond, a copy of which is forwarded herewith for $\frac{\text{the information of the Government of }\frac{\text{Bombay}}{\text{etc.}}}{\text{your information}}$ the scholar himself and two sureties in the amount of Rs. 5,500 are to enter into an undertaking to refund all sums of money expended by the Secretary of State on behalf of the scholar, including the passage money and the scholarship allowance, if the scholar (1) is declared by the Secretary of State to have forfeited the scholarship under the rules, or (2) if he fails to return to India and there to devote himself for the period of five years to working in the industry which he has studied. The Government of India being of opinion that the terms imposed upon the holders of these scholarships should be uniform throughout India have asked the Government of Madras to defer for the present prescribing the use of this bond until the opinion of the other local Governments shall have been obtained and the matter referred to the Secretary of State for decision.

3. As at present advised, the Government of India are disposed to doubt the expediency of adopting the arrangement contemplated by the Government of Madras. Scholarship-holders or assisted students of the assistant surgeon and hospital assistant classes are required to execute a bond in similar terms, but it appears to the Government of India that their case differs from that of the State technical scholarship-holders inasmuch as they receive their professional education subject to the condition that they may be required to enter Government service while no such condition is imposed upon the State technical scholars nor is it desired to encourage them to lean upon the prospect of Government service.

4. It appears to the Government of India that the condition as to the refund of the scholarship allowance in the case of forfeiture is a somewhat unusual penalty; that breaches of the condition requiring a scholar to return to India and devote himself for five years to the pursuit of the industry might arise from circumstances over which the scholar had little or no control; and that consequently the necessity of finding sureties who should guarantee the performance of this condition might deter poor and deserving scholars from coming forward while in any case the enforcement of the terms of the bond would be apt to involve recourse to litigation.

5. I am to request that the Government of India may be favoured with $\frac{\text{the opinion of the Government of }\frac{\text{Bombay}}{\text{etc.}}}{\text{your opinion}}$ upon the question whether it is advisable that the State technical scholars should be required to enter into a bond, and if so, what the terms of such bond should be.

I have the honour to be,

SIR,

Your most obedient servant,

W. S. MARRIS,

Deputy Secretary to the Government of India.

---

*No. 138, dated the 20th October 1905, paragraph 5.

G. C. Press, Simla—No. 595 H. [illegible]—11-7-06.—397—W F.C.

R.

[Notes connected with G. O. No. 516-517 Edl. d/ 3.8.06]

5

8

[E. / 2.]
1,000—29-5-06.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 1179 From the Govt. of India No. 519, dated 14. 7. 1906.

SUBJECT.

Form of bond which should be executed by persons holding the State Technical Scholarships

With Endorsement
~~G. O.~~ No. 252, dated the 6th April 1906, this Govt. forwarded for the information of the Govt. of India, a form of agreement prescribed by G. O. No 251 of the same date for execution ~~which should be executed~~ by persons holding ~~the~~ State Technical Scholarships. To ensure uniformity in the conditions attaching to these Scholarships, the Govt. of India have considered it necessary to obtain the views of the other local Governments on the advisability or otherwise of ~~the~~ State Technical Scholars being required to enter into a bond, and on receipt of their replies, they propose to address the Secretary of State on the subject with reference to the rules framed by him for Indian Government Technical Scholars in England.

G. O. No. 32 Edl. d/ 18.1.06

~~Accordingly~~ Meanwhile the Govt. of India request this Govt. to defer prescribing the use of the bond until the opinion

Press
Please print and show proof.
[illegible]
6.8.06

of the other local Govts. shall have been obtained & the matter referred to the Secretary of State for decision.

2. The Govt. of India, as at present advised, are disposed to doubt the expediency of adopting the arrangement contemplated by the Govt. of Madras, for the following reasons: —

(1) That the case of the scholarship holders or assisted students of the Assistant Surgeon & Hospital Assistant classes, who are required to execute a bond in similar terms, stands on quite a different footing inasmuch as they receive their professional education subject to the condition that they may be required to enter Govt. service, while no such condition is imposed on the State technical scholars nor is it desired to encourage them to lean upon the prospect of Government service.

(2) That the condition as to the refund of the scholarship allowances in the case of forfeiture is

a somewhat unusual penalty

(3) that breaches of the condition requiring a scholar to return to India & devote himself for five years to the pursuit of the industry might arise from circumstances over which the scholar had little or no control; and that consequently the ne~~(4)~~cessity of finding sureties who should guarantee the performance of this condition might deter poor and deserving scholars from coming forward

(4) that the enforcement of the terms of the bond, would in any case, be apt to involve recourse to litigation.

3. Please see the remarks of the Secretary on page 2 T of K.-W. P. nos. 251 + 252 Edl dated 6. 4. 06. "These scholarships "form a special class by themselves. "They are intended to encourage "& develop the industries of the country "as a whole and not merely to assist "the individual for his own private "profit. The ordinary "Govt of India "scholarships" are quite distinct &

" & there is no obligation to return in
" that case: there is no special de-
" mand for educated men of that class.
" But industries demand study, guidance
" & development and it is mainly for
" the purpose of procuring such
" guidance and of fostering such
" development that the industrial
" scholarships are offered. It will
" not benefit the Indian artisan, mill
" hand or agriculturist if one of his
" fellow countrymen is educated
" and acquainted with all modern
" developments of a particular in-
" dustry if he does not return to
" India to assit in the general de-
" velopment of that industry". On
this the Honourable member
noted as follows:-

"I think it is on the whole wise
" to retain the condition as to re-
" fund considering the object
" of the scholarships. It is plain
" from some of the applications that
" have come in, that the candi-
" date has little in view but an
" education in England!" Thus
it will be seen, that the idea of

of inserting in the form of agreement Covenants regarding the refund of Scholarship in case of forfeiture & the obligation to return to India, on the termination of the Scholarship, did not originate from any fancied analogy between the case of the State Technical Scholars and the assisted students of the Assistant Surgeon and Hospital Assistant classes.

4. The apprehensions of the Govt. of India, that the necessity of giving Sureties who should guarantee the performance of the Conditions in the bond. ~~were~~ would deter poor & deserving Scholars from coming forward, were realised recently in the case of Mr. G. N. Dewal, the only Govt. Technical Scholar from Madras. Mr. Dewal found it impossible to get two sureties to bind themselves to the amount of Rs 5,500; and so it was found necessary to permit him to execute a bond in his own name for Rs 5,500, with 2 sureties for Rs 1000 each.

vide G.O. No. $\overset{615}{414}$ Edl. d. 14.6.06

TKDr
24.7.06.

The Govt. of India wish the form of the bond to be held in abeyance for the present. There has been only one Govt. of India

Technical Scholar from Madras – Mr. G. N. Dewal & and has executed it, though in a modified form as regards sureties. The Govt. of India may perhaps be informed of this.

A / H. D. Taylor HD

The case of any future Technical Scholar: may be considered when one is found & that is whether he should also be required to execute the bond & if so with the consent of the Govt. of India – if by that time the question has not been finally decided.

H. D. Taylor HD

24/7/6

26.7.06

The bond was prescribed as a precautionary measure. The Govt. would not, it is submitted, insist on its pound of flesh except in extreme cases.

G. S. [Forbes]

In the case of University scholars the S. of State has recently insisted that they should have some private means in addition to their scholarships. We may inform India as at "A", and state that the form will be held in abeyance in future till final orders on the subject are received.

H. D. Taylor
27-7-06

AM

G. S. [Forbes]
28

Mem. draft

H. D. Taylor
28-7-06

7. 11 14 7 8

The draft placed below is submitted for appro

1.8.06

P.R.
30/7/6

31/7/6

2.8.06

[Forbes]
2/8

8.8.06

[G.O. nos. 516-517 dd 3-8-06]

Note 516-17

+ Rev

12

15

Educational Department.

C. No.1179, from the Government of India, No.519, dated 14-7-1906.

4P

O R D E R:-- 516 dp. 8.06
P.S.

The following letter will be despatched to the Government of India.

M. 30/7/6

P.N. 31/7/6

H.B.Z. 2.8.06

desp. mins & print 3/8/6

L E T T E R:- 517 dy 3. 8. 06

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of India,
Home Department

Sir,

I am directed to ~~acknowledge the receipt of~~ reply to Mr. Marris' letter No.519, dated 14th July 1906, regarding the form of bond prescribed by this Government for execution by persons selected to hold State Technical Scholarships. ~~In reply I am to state that there has been~~ 2. Only one Government of India Technical Scholarship has as yet been granted by this Govt. ~~from Madras and that he~~ the scholar (Mr G N Dewal) has executed a bond in his own name for Rs.5,500 with two sureties for Rs.1,000 each. It was not intended that the form should be rigidly adhered to in all cases ~~where a slight relaxation was considered necessary.~~ or that the terms of the bond should be strictly enforced in ~~cases in~~ circumstances in which relaxation might be considered justifiable ~~[illegible]~~. The execution of the bond will, however, be ~~The form will however, be not~~ held in abeyance

817

13
9

16 5 8

in respect of any further scholarships that may be granted until final orders are received on the subject.

I have etc.

1.8.06

28.06

30/7/6

31/7/6

Despd 3/8/6.

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched in print.

NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED.
- Order complete. 40 collection copies
- Letter only.
- Order complete with Letter.

I

READ AGAIN G.O., No. 251-2, DATED 6-4-06 —— P 17
190

6 P
[illegible] 1-7 P

II

CURRENT No. 1179 —— A

Press
Please show proof
[illegible] 6/8/06

ENCLOSURES TO C. No. do. — A

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

LETTER TO GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.