[E.]

500—12-4-06.

Educational (1906) Department.

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O., No. 492

DATED 19-7-06

BRANCH.
23 JUL 1908
25

[Despatch Abstract.]

Exemption. Granting permanent —— to C. S. Chockal
Mudaliar, from the Upper Secondary Examination for Confirm Hd. Clerk & Record Keeper in the Office of the
Registrar of ~~[illegible]~~ the Diocese of Madras; stating th
similar exemptions will not be granted ~~to~~ for the fut
and requesting the Lord Bishop of Madras to State wh
any exemption is required on behalf of the 2nd
& 4th Clerks in the Registrar's office.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

2

Recd. } 1906.
Regd. }

Enclosures
Spare copies

G.O., No. 492, 19th July 1906.

Exemption.

Granting permanent — to C. S. Chockalinga Mudaliyar from the Upper Secondary examination for confirmation as head clerk and record-keeper in the office of the Registrar of the Diocese of Madras, stating that similar exemptions will not be granted for the future and requesting the Right Reverend the Lord Bishop of Madras to state whether any exemption is required on behalf of the 2nd, 3rd and 4th clerks in the Registrar's office.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following papers :—

I

Letter—from F. Rowlandson, Esq., LL.B., Registrar of the Diocese.
To—the Secretary to Government, Educational Department.
Dated—Ootacamund, the 21st May 1906.
No.—169.

I have the honour to request that the acting head clerk and record-keeper in this registry, C. S. Chockalinga Mudaliyar, may be exempted, as a special case, from the examination rules.

2. The pay of the post is only Rs. 50 per mensem, so that it is not likely that it will be taken up by a candidate of full qualifications as a *permanency*. And it is manifestly undesirable that the post should be only used as a stepping stone to other and better paid appointments.

It will suit this clerk to remain in the post, in which he has now been acting for a year, and as he is an experienced lawyer's clerk (ten years in the Government Solicitor's office and three under myself), he is a very suitable man for the work. He is a thoroughly competent and trustworthy clerk, and comes of a respectable Madras family.

As a lad he passed the Middle School examination and went up for the Matriculation examination but failed to pass.

3. I believe it will conduce to the efficiency of this office for C. S. Chockalinga Mudaliyar to continue in the post of head clerk and record-keeper, and therefore have the honour to request that you will be pleased to move the Government to exempt him from the examination rules in order that he may retain the appointment.

II

Memorandum No. 271-A, Educational, dated 13th June 1906.

The Registrar of the Diocese is requested to submit a list of the clerical establishment under him, noting also the educational qualifications possessed by each clerk. He should also state whether he has made any attempts to secure the services of a qualified candidate for the post of head clerk by advertisement or otherwise.

(By order.)

C. A. Souter,
Assistant Secretary to Government.

To the Registrar of the Diocese.

III

Letter—from F. Rowlandson, Esq., LL.B., Registrar of the Diocese.
To—the Secretary to Government, Educational Department.
Dated—Ootacamund, the 15th June 1906.
No.—189.

I have the honour in reply to memorandum No. 271-A, Educational, dated 13th June 1906, to submit as requested a list of my clerks, who are, with the exception of the head clerk and record-keeper, merely copyists.

2. As explained to you in person I do not wish to train one man after another to discharge the duties of my head clerk, which are in many ways different to those in other Government offices. And it is my desire to keep on the present man who has now learnt the work well.

3. I have advertised for a head clerk in the *Fort St. George Gazette*, and have received numerous applications, some from men whose qualifications obviously point to their only using the billet as a stepping stone and others from men to whom even Rs. 50 a month is a prize they are hardly fit for.

3

Enclosure.

Clerical establishment of the Registrar of the Diocese of Madras.

Number.	Name.	Rank.	Pay.	Educational qualifications.	Remarks.
			RS.		
1	C. S. Chockalinga Mudaliyar ..	Acting head clerk and record-keeper.	50	Middle School.	The duties of the 2nd, 3rd and 4th clerks are those of copyists.
2	J. M. Divianathaswami Pillai ..	2nd clerk ..	20	*Nil.*	
3	S. Thurmanatha Pillai	3rd ,, ..	20	*Nil.*	
4	S. Ratnaswami	4th ,, ..	15	Lower Secondary	

4

Order—No. 492, Educational, dated 19th July 1906.

In the special circumstances of the case C. S. Chockalinga Mudaliyar will be permanently exempted from the Upper Secondary examination for confirmation as head clerk and record-keeper in the office of the Registrar of the Diocese of Madras. Similar exemptions will not however be granted for the future. There should be no difficulty in obtaining the services of a qualified candidate who would be willing to bind himself to serve for, say, five years in the post.

2. The Right Reverend the Lord Bishop of Madras will be requested to state whether any exemption is required on behalf of the 2nd, 3rd and 4th clerks in the Registrar's office, none of whom is qualified under article 1 of the Public Service Notification for the post he now holds.

(True Extract.)

H. D. Taylor,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

The Right Reverend the Lord Bishop of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. 492, EDUCATIONAL, DATED 19TH JULY 1906.

[SUBJECT.—*Exemption from the Examination Rules of C. S. Chockalinga Mudaliyar, head clerk of the office of the Registrar of the Diocese.*]

ECCLESIASTICAL DEPARTMENT.

From the Registrar of the Diocese, dated 21st May 1906, No. 169.

Put up the order appointing this man. I seem to recollect some other correspondence on the subject.

C. A. SOUTER—22-5-06.

No papers are traceable in Ecclesiastical regarding the appointment of the man.

Submitted that this may be sent to the Educational Department un-officially.

C.R.—29-5-06.

J. A. DEROZARIO—29-5-06.

C. A. SOUTER—30-5-06.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

C. S. Chockalinga Mudaliyar has passed only the late Middle School examination. From the letter of the Registrar of the Diocese, it would appear that he has been acting in the post of head clerk and recordkeeper of the registry for the past one year. The pay of the post is Rs. 50. No papers are traceable in this department regarding the exemption of this man. The procedure of the Registrar in having allowed him to act for a year seems to be irregular.

P.K.M.—4-6-06.

It has now to be considered whether Chockalinga Mudaliyar should be exempted with retrospective effect.

P. T. THARYAN—4-6-06.

I happened to be with Mr. Taylor one day when Mr. Rowlandson spoke about this man. Mr. Taylor asked Mr. Rowlandson whether he had done all he could to get a qualified man and Mr. Rowlandson did not seem to have taken any steps. Mr. Taylor then gave Mr. Rowlandson to understand that simply because a clerk was competent and convenient in the office, was no reason for exempting him from the necessary educational qualifications.

C. A. SOUTER—5-6-06.

Of course it would be easy to get a passed man for the pay. The Registrar however seems to think that the clerk's experience in a lawyer's office is of great value and the appointment lies so far outside the ordinary range of administration that I do not think we need be so particular as usual. But what other establishment has the

Registrar? He has probably passed men available in his own office but of course they may not be suitable. The Registrar should have got sanction before appointing the man to act.

A. Butterworth—6-6-06.

The following clerical establishment is allowed for the whole of the Church of England :—

	RS.
1 clerk	50
1 do.	35
2 clerks	34 each.
2 do.	20 each.
1 clerk	15
9 clerks	12 each.
1 clerk	6

It is not known how much of this establishment is attached to the Registrar's office, and what are the educational qualifications of the Registrar's clerks. Should information on these points be obtained?

P.K.M.—7-6-06.

P. T. Tharyan—7-6-06.

C. A. Souter—8-6-06.

The Registrar may be asked. But should not this communication have come through the Archdeacon in the ordinary course? Rs. 50 seems to be very high pay for a Middle School man unless it is clearly proved that no qualified candidate is available for the post. Ask the Registrar also if he has attempted to procure a qualified candidate by advertisement or otherwise.

H. D. Taylor—10-6-06.

The heads of departments under Ecclesiastical are—

(1) Right Reverend the Lord Bishop of Madras.
(2) Venerable the Archdeacon of Madras.
(3) Senior Chaplain of the Church of Scotland.
(4) Roman Catholic Archbishop.

It is however usual for the Registrar of the Diocese to communicate direct with the Government though he is not the head of a department. Please see page 2 of G. O., No. 85, Ecclesiastical, dated 19th October 1905. The Registrar of the Diocese is also the Legal Secretary to the Bishop.

This is wrong.
G. S. F[orbes].

Vide page 3 of the notes connected with G.O., No. 37, Ecclesiastical, dated 10th May 1905.

The draft placed below is submitted for approval.

P.K.M.—12-6-06.

P. T. Tharyan—12-6-06.

Issue.

C. A. Souter—12-6-06.

[Memorandum No. 271-A., dated 13th June 1906.]

ECCLESIASTICAL DEPARTMENT.

From the Registrar of the Diocese, No. 189, dated 15th June 1906.

I suppose the papers are being dealt with in Educational. But Ecclesiastical should have a voice in the matter. Mr. Rowlandson spoke to me at length and explained his difficulties, and I think exemption might be given. Will Educational let Ecclesiastical see the papers for remarks?

M. Hammick—15-6-06.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Put up the file please. This would have been sent u.o. to Ecclesiastical in the ordinary course. It does not appear whether the other clerks in the Registrar's office need formal exemption for their respective posts.

H. D. Taylor—19-6-06.

The file is submitted.

2. None of the Registrar's four clerks are educationally qualified for the posts they hold. Although the third and fourth clerks are in reality copyists they are styled "clerks" and as such should have passed the Matriculation examination with the Handwriting test or the Upper Secondary examination under article 1 of the Public Service Notification. "Copyists" are exempt under item II in column B of the table under article 2. The Registrar may perhaps be asked whether the other clerks also require exemption.

H. D. Taylor.

P. T. Tharyan—21-6-06.

C. A. Souter—22-6-06.

The only tangible ground for exemption of the head clerk is that he has had 10 years' experience as a lawyer's clerk in the Government Solicitor's office. On this ground his exemption may perhaps be sanctioned, but there should be no difficulty in obtaining the services of a qualified man who would be willing to bind himself to serve for five years or so, in the post of Registrar's head clerk, the salary of which is Rs. 50.

G. S. F[orbes].

Say so.
G. S. F[orbes].

H. D. Taylor—23-6-06.

Yes; and that exemptions will not be given for the future. But the communication should go to the *Bishop* and not the Registrar.

G. S. F[orbes]—24-6-06.

Draft please.

C. A. Souter—25-6-06.

The draft placed below is submitted for approval. If approved it may be forwarded to the Ecclesiastical Department for acceptance.

P. T. Tharyan—25-6-06.

C. A. Souter—26-6-06.

To the Ecclesiastical Department.

ECCLESIASTICAL DEPARTMENT.

May be accepted.

A. Galletti—27-6-06.

Please examine in Ecclesiastical if we have not always addressed the Registrar direct. Mr. Rowlandson will probably make trouble over this and we should know the history of the things. Look up please old papers.

M. Hammick—2-7-06.

In 1815 Mr. R. Orme, the first Registrar of the Archdeaconry of Madras, reported himself direct to Government and the Secretary to Government wrote direct to him conveying to him the sanction of Government to his drawing a salary of 200 *sicca* rupees *per mensem*. The Registrar does not however appear to have always written to Government direct; for, a letter of 1850 is traced in which he wrote *through the Archdeacon* for the sanction of Government to the

Letter dated 3rd May 1815, in E.M.C. No. 340-1, dated 26th May 1815 (Public), on page 12 of the printed collection (G.O., No. 141, Ecclesiastical, dated 16th May 1878, and connected papers).

Page 1 of printed collection, E.M.C. No. 87, dated 13th March 1856.

transfer of some establishment to his office. In 1848 when there was a dispute between the clergy and the Registrar as regards the scale of fees levied by the latter, the Venerable the Archdeacon submitted for the consideration of Government the propriety of the Registrar's being required to forward any communications he may have occasion to address the Government through the chief Ecclesiastical authority. He also wrote as follows:—

Paragraph 4 of Archdeacon's letter No. 155, dated 8th March 1848, on page 35 of printed collection G.O., No. 141, Ecclesiastical, dated 6th May 1878.

"From my letter of yesterday's date the Hon'ble the Governor in Council will " have learnt that the Registrar has already taken the liberty, without communication " with myself in the absence of the Lord Bishop and as it appears without any sanction " from Government, to publish the table of fees to which he refers in the Madras " Almanac. This document was appended to Minutes of Consultation No. 324, dated " the 21st September 1847, and I can no otherwise consider Mr. Orme's * conduct in " publishing it without permission than as a " breach of official confidence as well as " an inexcusable liberty in a subordinate officer." On this the Government ordered that "it is advisable that the Registrar's " communications to Government should " in all ordinary cases be submitted through " the chief Ecclesiastical authority and " requests that this course be adhered to in future."

G.O., No. 87, Ecclesiastical, dated 22nd March 1848, on page 36 of the collection G.O., No. 141, Ecclesiastical, dated 16th May 1878.

This rule does not appear to have been observed very long for in 1855 the Government themselves communicated Government Orders on the subject of the reduction of the Registrar's establishment direct to the Registrar as well as to the Bishop. Letters written by the Registrar *direct* to Government can be traced in 1856 and since then the practice of the Registrar communicating direct with Government appears to have continued up to the present time.

E.M.C. No. 296, Ecclesiastical, dated 24th August 1855, and E.M.C. No. 383, Ecclesiastical, dated 20th November 1855, pages 37 and 38 of printed collection E.M.C. No. 87, dated 13th March 1856.

E.M.C. No. 7, Ecclesiastical, dated 7th January 1856, page 38 *ibid.*

[The Madras office has been asked to make a thorough search for any papers between 1848 and 1855 countermanding the order of 1848 but meanwhile the file is submitted for information.]

See also E.M.C. No. 3, dated 3rd January 1855.

A.L.P.—10–7–06.

J. A. DE ROZARIO—10–7–06.

The Registrar is, under the Letters Patent, appointed by the Bishop and not the Government, and in one capacity he is the Bishop's legal Secretary. It therefore seems natural that Government should as a rule communicate with him through the Bishop.

A. GALLETTI—11–7–06.

Very well issue.

M. HAMMICK—15–7–06.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Issue.

H. D. TAYLOR—18–7–06.

[G.O., No. 492, Educational, dated 19th July 1906.]

---

* Registrar.

[G./1]
10,0

Ecc. DEPARTMENT.

CURRENT FILE.

6

CONTENTS.

	From	Number.	Date.	Pages.
[900]	Registrar of the Diocese through the Ecclesiastical dept.	169 ~~250~~	22·5·06	2
	Memo	271. A	13-6-06	3
[Cuo 1016]	Registrar of the Diocese	189	15 6-06	4-6

[P. T. O. FOR PUT UP PAPERS.]

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No. 250

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number.	Date.	Original (=O.) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers have been removed for copying, printing, etc., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of Referencer with date in token of return to Reference department.
13	15. 2. 06	O		
85	19. 10. 05	S. C		
37	10. 5. 05	S. C		
69	6. 8. 00.	SC		
32	23-5-1890			
~~141~~				

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number.	Date.	Original (=O.) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Initials with date of (1) Referencer of the Chief Secretariat department acknowledging return of the paper concerned or (2) Despatcher to denote the return of the papers to departments outside the Chief Secretariat.
Eccl. 741.	of	1878. SC		
Eeme.	807	of 13-3-1856.		

PAGE 1

CURRENT No 900

BISHOPRICK OF MADRAS

Diocesan Registry,

Ootacamund, ~~Madras~~, 21st May 1906.

Regd 1/6/6

No. 169

7

CURRENT NO. 0250 ECCLESIASTICAL

FROM

FREDERIC ROWLANDSON, Esq., LL.B.,

Registrar of the Diocese,

Reg.d 22 5 6

REGR CHIEF SECT. 22 MAY 1906 MADRAS GOVT.

To

The Chief Secretary

to the Government of Madras

Ootacamund.

Put up the orders appointing this man to the [illegible] & the other correspondence on the subject

18

Sir,

I have the honour to request that the acting Head Clerk and Record Keeper in this Registry (C. S. Chockalinga Moodelly) may be exempted - as a special case - from the Examination rules.

2. The pay of the post is only Rs 50/. per mensem, so that it is not likely that it will be taken up by a candidate of full qualifications as a permanency. And it is manifestly undesirable that the post should be only used as a stepping-stone to other and better paid appointments.

It will suit this clerk to remain in the post, in which he has now been acting for a year and as he is an experienced lawyer's clerk, (ten years in the Government Solicitor's office and three under myself,) he is a very suitable man for the work. He is a thoroughly competent and trustworthy clerk, and comes of a respectable Madras family.

As a lad he passed the Middle School Examination and went up for the Matriculation Examination but failed

failed to pass.

3. I believe it will conduce to the Efficiency of this Office for T.S. Thochalinga Moodelly to continue in the post of Head Clerk and Record Keeper, and therefore have the honour to request that you will be pleased to move the Government to exempt him from the Examination Rules in order that he may retain the appointment.

I have the honour to be,
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
F. Rowlandson
Registrar.

[E. / 12]
1,000—2-3-06.

DEPARTMENT.

READ AGAIN G.O., No. ...., dated .... 190 .
" " " " ...., " .... 190 .

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 900., From the Regr. of the Diocese, No. 169, dated 21-5- 1906.
" " ...., " " ...., " ...., " 190
" " ...., " " ...., " ...., " .... 190 .

~~ORDER~~ memo. No. ~~[illegible]~~ 271.A, dated 13-6- 1906.

desp 12/6/6

The Registrar of the Diocese is requested to submit a list of the clerical establishment under him, noting also the educational qualifications possessed by each clerk. He should also state whether he has made any attempts to secure the services of a qualified candidate for the ~~post~~ of head clerk by advertisement or otherwise.

TKR 12/6/06.

Cas 12606

BJ 12/6/6

To
The Regr. of the Diocese

Desp 12/6/6

The Registrar of the Diocese is requested to submit a list of the clerical establishment with him, noting also the educational qualifications of each clerk. He should also state whether he wants any attempt to secure the services for the post of Head Clerk of a qualified candidate of Government or others

---

To 400
13/6/06

13/08
85/05 Copy
37/05 Copy

PAGE 4 E

CURRENT No. 1016

BISHOPRICK OF MADRAS

Diocesan Registry,
~~Madras~~ Ootacamund, 15 June 1906.

No. 189

Regs - 19.6.06

FROM
FREDERIC ROWLANDSON, Esq., LL.B.,
Registrar of the Diocese,

38

To
The Chief Secretary
to the Government of Madras,
Ootacamund.

Sir,

I have the honour in reply to ~~G.O. No. 4 Mis~~ memo No. 275-A (Educational Department) dated 13 June 1906 to submit as requested a list of my clerks, who are — with the exception of the Head Clerk and Record-Keeper, — merely copyists.

2. As explained to you in person I do not wish to train one man after another to discharge the duties of my Head Clerk, which are in many ways different to those in other Government Offices. And it is my desire to keep on the present man who has now learnt the work well.

3. I have advertised for a Head Clerk in the Fort Saint George Gazette, and have received numerous applications, some from men whose qualifications obviously point to their only using the billet as a stepping stone, and others from men to whom even Rs 50/. a month is a prize they are hardly fit for.

I have the honour to be,
Sir
Your most obedient servant
F. Rowlandson
Registrar

PAGE 5

CURRENT NO.
0287
ECCLESIASTICAL

CHIEF SECRETARY TO THE GOVT.
15 JUN 1906

Ecclesiastical (1906) Department

From
The Registrar of
the Diocese of Madras
Ootacamund

To
The Chief Secretary
to the Government of Madras
Ootacamund.

Dated 15th June
Despatched 15th June } 1906.
Received
Regd. } 15-VI-06

No. 189

Enclosure. 1

Subject.

Submitting as requested in G.O. No. 410 Mis. Edu: Dept. of 13-6-06 a list of the clerical establishment with their qualifications and informing that attempts were made to secure a qualified man for the Head Clerkship by advertisements in the Fort St. George Gazette, and giving the reasons why one of the applicants was not selected.

I suppose the papers are being dealt with in Educational - but Ecclesiastical sh.d have a voice in the matter. Mr. Rowlandson has spoken to me at length re explaining his difficulties - and I think exemption might be given. Will Ed.l. let Ecclesiastical see the papers for remarks?

PAGE 6

Clerical Establishment of the Registrar of the Diocese of Madras.

No.	Name	Rank	Pay Rs	as	ps	Educational qualifications	Remarks.
1	C.S. Chockalinga Mudelliar	Ag: Head Clerk and Record Keeper.	50	"	"	Middle School	
2	J.M. Dirranathasawmy Pillai	2d. Clerk	20	"	"	Nil	The Duties of the 2nd 3rd and 4th Clerks are those of copyists.
3	S. Thurmanatha Pillai	3d. Clerk	20	"	"	Nil	
4	S. Ratnasawmy	4th Clerk	15	"	"	Lower Secondary	

Ootacamund
15th June 1906.

J. Rowlandson
Registrar of the Diocese of Madras.

Ltd. to Eccl
Cns 28/7/6

Educl
492 of 19/7/06

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL
31 MAY 1906
SECRETARIAT.

~~2~~

Eccl. Dept.

Exemption of C. S. Chockalinga Moodelly, Head clerk of the Office of the Registrar of the Diocese from the Examination Rules.

1P
2

[C. 250] From the Registrar of the Diocese, no. 169 d 21.5.06

Put up the order appointing this man. I seem to recollect some other Correspondence on the subject.

(intld) C. A. S.
22.5.06.

For the A. S.

No papers are traceable in Eccl. regarding the appointment of the man.

Submitted that this may be sent to the Educational Dept. u.o.

Chs
30.5.06.

Eccl no D. 250-1
30/5/06.

Issd 29.5.06

CR
29.5.06

Edl. u.o.

vide art 1. Public Service notification.

S

Educational Department

C. S. Chokkalinga Modaly has passed only the late Middle School examination. From the letter of the Registrar of the Diocese, it would appear that he has been acting in the post of Head Clerk & Record Keeper of the Registry, for the past one year. The pay of the post is Rs 50. ~~To allow him to act as an appointment~~ No papers are traceable in this dept. regarding the exemption of this man. The procedure of the Registrar in having allowed him to act for a year, seems to be irregular.

TKGr
4/6/06.

It has now to be considered whether Chokkalinga mudali should be exempted with retrospective effect.

PM
4/6/6

Sec.

I happened to be with Mr. Taylor one day when Mr. Rowlandson spoke about this man. Mr. Taylor asked ~~gave~~ Mr. R. whether he had done all he could to get a qualified man & Mr. R. did not seem to have taken any steps. Mr. T. then gave Mr. R. to understand that simply because a clerk was competent & convenient in the office, was no reason for exempting him from the necessary ~~of~~ educational qualifications.

Cws
5.6.06.

Of course it would be easy to get a passed man further pay. The Regr. however seems to think ~~that~~ the clerks experience in a lawyer's office is of great value & the appt. lies so far outside the ordinary range of administration ~~that~~ I do not think we need be so particular as usual. But ~~[illegible]~~ what other estt. has the Registrar He has probably passed men available in his own office but of course they may not be suitable. The Regr. should have got sanction before appg. the man to act.

AWS
6/6

Vide Civil Budget Estimate for 1906-07, page 142.

The following clerical establishment is allowed for the whole of the Church of England:—

14

1 clerk on Rs 50
1 clerk on Rs 35
2 clerks on Rs 34 each
2 clerks on Rs 20 each
1 clerk on Rs 15
9 clerks on Rs 12 each
1 clerk on Rs 6

It is not known how much of this establishment is attached to the Registrar's office ~~distributed~~, and what are the educational qualifications of the Registrar's ~~various~~ clerks. Should information on these points be obtained? ~~Ecclesiastical department may be asked to obtain furnish information about the clerical establishment maintained by the Registrar, and the educational qualifications possessed~~ by ~~each clerk.~~

TKG
7/6/06. PBJ 7/6/06

See
CAS
8 6 06

The Registrar may be asked. But should not this communication have come through the Archdeacon in the ordinary course? R 50/- seems to be very high pay for a middle school man – unless it is clearly proved that no qualified candidate is available for the post.

Ask the Reg. also if he has attempted to procure a qualified candidate by advertisement or otherwise.

HRL
10 6 06

442 Edn notes

~~3~~ 4

4P

The heads of departments under Ecclesiastical are: —

(1) Right Reverend the Lord Bishop of Madras

(2) Venerable Archdeacon of Madras

(3) Senior Chaplain of the Church of Scotland

(4) Roman Catholic Archbishop

Page 20 of the Chief Secretariat office manual Vol II ~~p. 30~~

It is however usual for the Registrar of the Diocese to communicate direct with the Govt. though he is not the head of a dept. Please see page 2 of G.O. No. 85 Ecclesiastical dated 9th October 1905. The Registrar of the Diocese is also the Legal Secretary to the Bishop.

This is wrong

Vide page 3 of the notes connected with G.O. No. 37 Ecclesiastical d/ 10-5-05

The draft placed below is submitted for approval.

TKDr
12/6.
12/6/06

Issue
12.6.06.

[memo. 271.A d/ 13-6-06]

ECCLESIASTICAL DEPARTMENT

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL
19 JUN 1906
SECRETARIAT.

[C no 287] From the Registrar of the Diocese
No 189 d/ 15-6-06

I suppose the papers are being dealt with in Educational. But Ecclesiastical should have a voice in the matter. Mr. Rowlandson spoken to me at length and~~e~~ explained his difficulties, and I think exemption might be given. Will Educational let Ecclesiastical see the papers for remarks?

M.H.
15- 6- 06.

Eccl. O.D.
287-1
18.6.

To the Educational ~~u.o.~~ Deplt.

Educational Department

Put up the file please. This would have been sent u.o. to Eccl. in the ordinary course. It does not appear whether the other clerks in the Registrar's office ~~have been qualified~~ need formal exemption for their respective posts.

20-6-06.

~~[illegible]~~

FKB
21/6/06.

The file is submitted.

2. None of the Registrar's four clerks are Educationally qualified for the posts they hold. Although the third & fourth clerks are in reality copyists they are styled "Clerks" and as such ~~have to pass~~ should have passed the matriculation examination with

C. the Handwriting test or the upper Secondary examination under article 1 of the Public Service notification. "Copyists" are exempt under ~~Article 2~~ item II in Column B of the table under Article 2. The Registrar may perhaps be asked whether the other clerks also require exemption.

W

PM 21/6/06

Lee

Cbl
22 6 06

The only tangible ground for exemption of the Head clerk is that he has had 10 years experience as a lawyer's clerk in the Govt. Solicitor's office. On this ground his exemption may perhaps be sanctioned, - but there should be no difficulty in obtaining the services of a qualified man who would be willing to bind himself to serve for five years also, in the post of Registrar's head clerk, the salary of which is Rs 50.

HD
23.6.06.

Says 50

Hons.

Yes & that exemption will not be given for the Registrar. But the copyists [illegible] the [illegible] the [illegible] the

Grant please
Cbl
25.6.06.

492 Eccl. [illegible]

The draft placed below is submitted for approval. If approved it may be forwarded to the Ecclesiastical Department for acceptance.

Bg
25/6/6

Clt
26.6.06

Disp
26/6/6

Eccl. to

ECCLESIASTICAL DEPARTMENT,
Eccl. C. No 298. A.
Reg^d. 27.6.6.

May be accepted.
M 27.6.06

Edl. No. 466 D
26.6.06

CHIEF SECRETARIAT,
27 JUN. 1906
OOTACAMUND.

Please examine in Ecclesiastical if we have not always addressed the Registrar direct. Mr. Rowlandson ~~[illegible]~~ will probably make trouble over this & we sh^d know the history of the thing – look up please old papers.

[illegible]
2 vii 06

Recd 3. VII. 06.
Reg^r. sent to M.O. for papers 4.VII.06
Papers rec^d 7/7 6 P.M.

A

Letter d ~~[illegible]~~. 3-5-1815 in E.M.C. 340-D26-5-1815 (Public) on page 12 of the printed Collection (~~[illegible]~~ G.O. 141 Eccl D16.5.1878 & connected papers)

In 1815 Mr R. Orme the first Registrar of the Archdeaconry of Madras reported himself direct to Govt. and the Secretary to Govt. wrote direct to him conveying to him the sanction of Govt. to his drawing a salary of 200 sicca rupees per mensem

Page 1 of printed B
Collection E.M.C.
87 d 13.3.1856

The Registrar does not however appear to have always written to Govt direct for a letter of 1830 is ~~[illegible]~~ traced in which he wrote through the Archdeacon for the sanction of Govt. to the transfer of some establishment to his office. In 1848 when there

D
Para 4 of Archdeacon's letter No 155 d 8.3.1848 on page 35 of printed Collection G.O. 141 Eccl d 16.5.78

was a ~~collision~~ dispute between the Clergy and the Registrar as regards the scale of fees levied by the latter, the Ven'ble the Archdeacon subd for the consideration of Govt. the propriety of the Registrar's being required to forward any communications he may have occasion to address the Govt. through the Chief Ecclesiastical authority. He also wrote as follows:—

"From my letter of yesterday's date
"the Honourable the Govr in Council
"will have learnt that the Registrar
"has already taken the liberty, without
"communication with myself in the
"absence of the Lord Bishop and as
"it appears without any sanction ~~of~~ from
"Govt., to publish the table of fees
"which ~~it~~ he refers in the Madras
"Almanac. This document was

appended

"Appended to Minutes of Consultation
"no 324 dated the 21st Sept 1847 and
"I can no otherwise consider Mr
(+) Registrar "Orme (+)'s conduct in publishing it
"without permission than as a
"breach of ~~public~~ official confidence as well
"as an inexcusable liberty in a subordi-
"nate officer" On this the
Government ordered that "it is advisable
" that the Registrar's communi-
"cations to Govt. should in all ordinary
" cases be subd through the Chief
"Ecclesiastical authority and requests
"that this course be adhered to in future."

GO 87 Eccl / 22.3.48 D
on page 36 of the
Cons. Go. 141 Eccl / 16.5.78

This rule does not appear to
have been observed very long for in
1855 the Govt ~~through~~ themselves
communicated GOs on the subject
of the reduction of the Registrar's
Establishment direct to the Registrar
as well as to the Bishop. Letters written
by the Registrar direct to Govt.
can be traced in 1856 and since
then the practice of the Registrar
communicating direct with Govt
appears to have ~~con~~ continued
up to the present time.

K
E.m.c 296 Eccl
d 24.8.55 &
E.m.c. 383 Eccl
d 20.11.55,
pages 37 & 38 of
printed Collection
E.m.c. 87 d 13.3.56
E.m.c. 7 Eccl d 7.1.56,
page 38 ibid
K

[The Madras office has been
asked

9

108

21

See also E.M.C no. 383-1-~~4~~ 1855.

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL
18 JUL 1906
SECRETARIAT.

asked to make a thorough search ~~to~~ for any papers between 1848 and 1855 countermanding the order of 1848 but meanwhile the file is submitted for information]

A.L.P.
10.7.06

Ind
10.7.06

The Registrar is, under the Letters Patent, appointed by the Bishop and not the Govt, and in one capacity he is the Bishop's legal secretary. It therefore seems natural that Govt should as a rule communicate with him through the Bishop.

11-7-6

Chief Secretary.

Very well ind

15 vii

Ecclus D 2784-1
16.7.06.

Edl. dept. u.o.

Issue
18.7.06

(G.O. no 492 d/ 19-7-06)

[E./12]
1,000—24-9-04.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

READ AGAIN G.O., No. ……… dated ……… 190 .

" " " " ……… " ……… ~~190~~ .

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 900, From the Registrar of the Diocese of Madras, No 169, dated 21 – 5 – 1906.

" " 1016, " " – .. .. .., " 189, " 15 – 6 – 1906.

" " ……… , " " ……… , " ……… , " ……… 58 190 .

ccp. wlis
Print here
18/7/6

P.N.

ORDER No. 492, dated 19 – 7 – 1906.

P.S.

In the special circumstances of the case C.S. Chockalinga Mudaliyar will be permanently exempted from the upper Secondary Examination for confirmation as Head Clerk and Record Keeper in the Office of the Registrar of the ~~Madras~~ Diocese of Madras. Similar exemptions will not however be granted for the future. There should be no difficulty in obtaining the services of a qualified candidate who would be willing to bind himself to serve for, say, five years in the post.

2. The Right Rev: the Lord Bishop of Madras will be requested to state whether

any exemption is required on behalf of the 2nd, 3rd & 4th Clerks in the Registrar's office, none of whom ~~are~~ is ~~Educational~~ qualified under Article 1 of the Public Service notification for the posts ~~they~~ he now holds.

25/6/6

26 6 06

15 vii

The Right Rev: the Lord Bishop of Madras.

Despd 9/7/6

copy sent to Press.

nged for signature.

ched in print.

NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED. {
Order complete.
Letter only.
Order complete with Letter.

AGAIN G.O., No. , DATED
190 .

MENT No.

CLOSURES TO C. No.

RDER OF GOVERNMENT.

LETTER TO GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
O = Print heading only.