Educational (1906) Department.

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O., No. 481

DATED 16-7-06

N. P.

—27—

[Despatch Abstract.]

Fees - Stating that the Government see no sufficient reason at present for raising the fees paid by candidates for any of the Gover -ment Technical Examinations and requesti the Commissioner for Government Examinations to eff a reduction of about 25 per cent on the whole in the Stipends paid to Examiners.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Recd. } 1906. *Enclosures*

Regd. } *Spare copies*

G.O., No. 481, 16th July 1906.

N. P.

Technical Examinations.

Stating that the Government see no sufficient reason at present for raising the fees paid by candidates for any of the Government Technical Examinations and requesting the Commissioner for Government Examinations to effect a reduction of about 25 per cent. on the whole in the stipends paid to examiners.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following papers :—

I

G.O., No. 612, Educational, dated 3rd October 1902.

Abstract.—Sanctioning the revised scale of remuneration to examiners appointed to conduct the Government Technical Examinations.

II

Letter—from the Hon'ble Mr. A. G. Bourne, D.Sc., F.R.S., Commissioner for Government Examinations.
To—the Secretary to Government, Educational Department.
Dated—Madras, the 14th February 1906.
No.—125/4-D.

With reference to G.O., No. 612, Educational, dated the 3rd October 1902, I have the honour to report that the revised scale of remuneration to examiners appointed to conduct the Technical Examinations has been in operation for the past three years. As the scale has worked well, it may be allowed to remain in force.

III

Memorandum No. 91, dated 26th February 1906.

The following statement shows the fees levied from candidates at the Government Technical Examinations and the stipends paid to examiners during the last three years :—

	Fees. RS.	Stipends. RS.
Examinations held in November 1902	4,400	5,475
,, ,, April 1903	8,900	14,862
,, ,, November 1903	4,700	5,833
,, ,, April 1904	8,800	14,154
,, ,, November 1904	4,600	6,175
,, ,, April 1905	11,400	16,306

As the fees do not as yet cover the stipends, the Commissioner for Government Examinations is requested to be so good as to make suggestions for a reduction in the rate of stipends.

(By Order.)

C. A. Souter,
Ag. Assistant Secretary to Govt.

To the Commissioner for Government Examinations.

IV

Letter—from the Hon'ble Mr. A. G. Bourne, D.Sc., F.R.S., Commissioner for Government Examinations.
To—the Secretary to Government, Educational Department.
Dated—Madras, the 2nd June 1906.
No.—378/4-D.

I was requested in your Memorandum No. 91, dated 26th February 1906, to make suggestions for a reduction in the rate of stipends paid to the examiners at the Government Technical Examinations in order that the fees may cover the stipends. I have the honour in reply to state that whatever be the rates of stipends fixed, these are comparatively speaking very expensive examinations to conduct. This is due to the large number of subjects, the large number of papers to be set, the numerous practical examinations wherein the candidates require individual attention, and the facts that for many of these practical examinations additional examiners have to be appointed for mufassal centres and on the other hand that in many subjects the number of candidates is very small as is therefore the income from fees.

2. Looking at the lists of the persons who examine, one is struck by the fact that, having regard to their general attainments and position, some receive more than they could expect to receive in an open market for a particular piece of technical work and some perhaps less. It does not seem possible however to pay even technical examiners at varying rates. Speaking generally I fear that, if the rates are lowered to any great extent, the best men will not care to undertake the work. I would rather see an examination abolished altogether than left to be conducted by inferior men. Bad examinations do more than anything else to promote cram.

3. If it is resolved to reduce the rates, those for commercial subjects might be reduced to a somewhat larger extent than those for most other subjects. These are in my experience the only subjects in which there is anything like competition for examinerships even with the present rates. The reduction required averages about 31 per cent. The accompanying statements show how this reduction would have affected the remuneration paid for the examinations of 1904 and 1905. The annual report for the year 1904–1905 published in G.O., No. 569, Educational, dated 18th August 1905, shows how the candidates are scattered among the several subjects and grades and how impossible it would be to make the examination in most of the subjects self-supporting.

4. Perhaps Government would be willing to reconsider the question of raising the fees paid by candidates. The fee for the Elementary grade of Re. 1 is really very low as compared with the value which the candidate at any rate puts upon the certificate. To make it Rs. 2 would doubtless raise the income by over Rs. 4,000, and I think that this would be better than to do anything which might diminish the prestige of the examinations.

5. If Government approve of raising this fee, I will submit a schedule reducing the rates of stipends particularly in one or two subjects to the extent necessary to obviate the probability of a loss. If the fee is not to be raised and the examinations are to be self-supporting, the stipends must be reduced all round and I would submit for the consideration of Government whether it would not be better in this case to make these examinations as far as possible part of the duty of certain officers. Many of these are of course beyond my control.

Order—No. 481, Educational, dated 16th July 1906.

The Government see no sufficient reason at present for raising the fees paid by candidates for any of the Government Technical Examinations. The Commissioner for Government Examinations will therefore be requested to effect a reduction of about 25 per cent. on the whole in the stipends paid to examiners. It will be left to the Commissioner to arrange the details regarding the subjects in which reduction should be made and the amount of reduction to be made in those subjects.

(True Extract.)

H. D. Taylor,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Commissioner for Government Examinations.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. 481, EDUCATIONAL, DATED 16TH JULY 1906.

[SUBJECT.—*Revised scales of remuneration to examiners appointed to conduct Government Technical Examinations.*]

From the Commissioner for Government Examinations, dated 14th February 1906, No. 125/4-D.

In their order No. 612, Educational, dated 3rd October 1902, the Government sanctioned the revised scales, submitted by the Commissioner for Government Examinations, of remuneration to examiners appointed to conduct the Government Technical examinations and observed that these scales would be reconsidered after they had been in operation for three years.

2. The Commissioner for Government Examinations now reports that the revised scales have worked well and accordingly recommends that they may be allowed to remain in force. But before accepting the Commissioner's recommendation attention is invited to paragraph 2 of the office-note, dated 30th September 1902, on page 2 of the notes in G.O., No. 612, Educational, dated 3rd October 1902, and the orders of Honourable Members (the Hon'ble Sir James Thomson and the Hon'ble Mr. Stokes) thereon. From the Commissioner for Government Examinations' letters in G.Os., Nos. 207, 208, Educational, dated 11th May 1903, Nos. 518, 519, Educational, dated 17th October 1903, Nos. 363, 364, Educational, dated 13th June 1904, and Nos. 429, 430, Educational, dated 23rd June 1905, requesting sanction for the disbursement of stipends due to examiners who conducted the Government Technical examinations, it will be seen that the charges were always in excess of the receipts. This will be made clear from the following statement:—

			Receipts. RS.	Charges. RS.
Technical examinations held in	November 1902	.. ..	4,400	5,475
Do. do.	April 1903	.. ..	8,900	14,862
Do. do.	November 1903	.. ..	4,700	5,833
Do. do.	April 1904	.. ..	8,800	14,154
Do. do.	November 1904	.. ..	4,600	6,175
Do. do.	April 1905	.. ..	11,400	16,306

From the above statement it will be seen that the Technical examinations are not self-supporting. It is therefore for consideration whether a reduction in the examiners' stipends should not be considered or whether the Commissioner's recommendation should be accepted.

N.L.R.—15-2-06.

The Director of Public Instruction's recommendation may be accepted.

C. A. SOUTER—17-2-06.

Submitted that the fees to examiners should be reduced so as to be covered by the fees received from candidates. The variation in April 1903 and April 1904 was very considerable. On other occasions a reduction of one-fourth would practically have resulted in equilibrium.

2. The only danger in a reduction of fees is that competent examiners may not be attracted. I believe, however, that there is room for reduction in some cases. I have heard examiners talk about some examinations or sets of papers being more

remunerative than others with reference to the quantity of work involved. The Commissioner for Government Examinations does not state whether it is possible to obtain examiners at lower rates, or whether no readjustment of fees can be arranged for.

H. D. TAYLOR—19-2-06.

Point out that the receipts do not cover the fees, and ask him for suggestions for reduction.

G. S. F[ORBES]—20-2-06.

The draft placed below is submitted for approval.

P. T. THARYAN—20-2-06.

Issue.

C. A. SOUTER—23-2-06.

[Memorandum to the Commissioner for Government Examinations, No. 91, dated 26th February 1906.

From the Commissioner for Government Examinations, dated 2nd June 1906, *No.* 927.

In Memorandum No. 91, dated 26th February 1906, the Commissioner for Government Examinations was requested to make suggestions for a reduction in the rate of stipends paid to examiners at the Government Technical examinations. In reply the Commissioner states that " whatever be the rates of stipends fixed these (the " Technical examinations) are, comparatively speaking, very expensive examinations to " conduct. This is due to the large number of subjects, the large number of papers to " be set, the numerous practical examinations wherein the candidates require individual " attention and the facts that for many of these practical examinations additional " examiners have to be appointed for mufassal centres and on the other hand that in " many subjects the number of candidates is very small as is therefore the income " from fees".

2. The following statement compiled from tables II, III and IV under (*d*) Technical examinations on pages 7 to 11 of G.O., No. 569, Educational, dated 18th August 1905, illustrates the above so far as regards the examinations held in 1904–1905, the latest year for which there is information :—

—	Number of subjects in which examinations were held.	Number of papers set.	Number of subjects in which oral examination was held.	Number of subjects in which there was only one candidate.	Number of subjects in which there were only two candidates.	Number of subjects in which candidates exceeded two but did not exceed ten.
Elementary	50	68	33	3	1	14
Intermediate	58	94	43	11	2	19
Advanced	17	41	9	3	1	9

Page 5 of notes with G.O., No. 653, Educational, dated 28th September 1899.

3. The fees leviable from candidates are as follow :—

	RS.
Elementary grade, for each subject	1
Intermediate " "	4
Advanced " "	8

Table I on page 7 of G.O., No. 569, Educational, dated 18th August 1905.

The number of candidates registered for examination in 1904–1905 was as follows :—

	RS.
Elementary grade	4,811
Intermediate "	1,561
Advanced "	133
Total ..	6,505

4. The Commissioner fears that if the rates of stipends (sanctioned in G.O., No. 612, Educational, dated 3rd October 1902) are lowered the best men may not care to take up the examining work and he would rather see an examination abolished than left to be conducted by inferior men. But if it is necessary to reduce the rates he thinks that the rates for commercial subjects—Book-keeping, Commercial Correspondence, Banking, Commercial Geography, Shorthand, Annuities and Life Assurance and Typewriting—might be reduced to a somewhat larger extent than those for most of the other subjects, the average total reduction being by 31 per cent. But he would prefer raising the fee for the Elementary grade examination from Re. 1 to Rs. 2 by which he expects an increased income of over Rs. 4,000 and then reduce the rates of stipends in one or two subjects, or making the task of examining part of the duty of certain officers whose designations however he does not give.

Pages 18 and 19 of G.O., No. 612, Educational, dated 3rd October 1902.

5. In paragraph 3 of his letter No. 38—1-D., dated 9th March 1898, page 4 of G.O., No. 653, Educational, dated 28th September 1899, Dr. Duncan proposed that the rate of fees for the Elementary examination might be raised from Re. 1 to Rs. 2 and that for the Intermediate from Rs. 4 to Rs. 5, but the Government then said that " the Technical examinations are in need of special encouragement " and that " it would be inexpedient to raise the fees for candidates "—paragraph 3 (5) of G.O., No. 653, Educational, dated 28th September 1899. As regards the stipends to examiners the Government said at the same time " It must be expected that the Technical examina- " tions should be expensive. The Government recognizes the importance of the " examinations which test the progress of technical education and considers that the " results are commensurate with the expenditure incurred upon them "—paragraph 2 of the above Government Order—and again " in the present condition of technical " education it would be inadvisable to reduce the maximum fees for examiners "—paragraph 3 (5) of the Government Order.

6. It is for consideration, however, whether the time has not arrived when the fee for the Elementary examination may be raised from Re. 1 to Rs. 2. Considering that the fee for the Advanced grade is Rs. 8 and that for the Intermediate grade, Rs. 4, that for the Elementary grade ought perhaps to have been Rs. 2. This increase in the fee and the reduction in the rates of stipends in the commercial subjects referred to by Dr. Bourne may perhaps be now effected.

P. T. THARYAN—11-6-06.

Please note on the total spent last year in examiners' fees for all Government examinations and the receipts from candidates' fees.

C. A. SOUTER—12-6-06.

The following statement shows in one view the receipts from fees and the expenditure on examiners' stipends, contingencies and other objects on account of each of the examinations under the control of the Commissioner for Government Examinations during the year 1904–1905 :—

Table II on page 13 of G.O., No. 569, Educational, dated 18th August 1905.

—	Receipts.	Charges.		
		Examiners' stipends.	Contingencies, temporary establishments, travelling allowance and other charges.	Total.
	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Primary and fifth and sixth standard examinations	51,903	19,652	7,729	27,381
Lower Secondary examination ..	63,039	30,216	20,039	54,229
Upper " " ..	3,115	2,757		
Handwriting and Dictation test ..	9,315	1,217		
Technical examinations	* 16,433	* 22,784	6,000	28,784
Medical Entrance examination ..	490	454	73	527
Special and Salt and Abkári tests.	31,098	10,289	2,679	12,968
Refunds—Printing charges—Secretary and his establishment and office contingencies ..	..	..	13,311	13,311
Total ..	1,75,393	87,369	49,831	1,37,200

* These figures differ slightly from those given on page 1 but represent the whole of the credits and debits during the year, whether on account of the year itself or of the previous year.

P. T. THARYAN.

Now that the Primary (as a public) and Lower Secondary examinations have been abolished * the receipts and charges are expected in the current year's budget to be only Rs. 68,000 and Rs. 63,000—pages 21 and 188 of the Civil Budget Estimate.

P. T. Tharyan—13-6-06.

I asked for these figures to see if the examinations were carried on at a loss. It is evident that a large profit has been made and that some profit will continue to be made. I submit that examinations as a whole ought to be looked at, and not each examination separately. Losses in one ought to be balanced against profits in another. If this view is accepted, there seems no need to alter the fees for the Technical examinations. This is apart from the question whether if fees were lower the standard of the work would not follow suit.

C. A. Souter—13-6-06.

If the Government Technical examinations are to be considered on their own merits, the proposal to raise the fee for the Elementary grade from Re. 1 to Rs. 2 may be approved. If, however, the receipts and charges from all examinations are to be looked at as a whole, no enhancement will be necessary. It must, however, be borne in mind that the cost of the Commissioner for Government Examinations' Secretary and establishment is properly a charge upon these fees.

This has been allowed for in the statement on page 3—last item.

C. A. Souter—14-6-06.

Yes. I overlooked this item.

H. D. Taylor—15-6-06.

2. With the elimination of the Primary and Lower Secondary examinations, there will after allowing for establishment, be little margin left, even if the budget figures of Rs. 68,000 under receipts are worked up to (Budget expenditure Rs. 63,000 †). From the statement on page 3 it will be observed that there is a large profit made under the Handwriting and Dictation test, and under the Special, Salt and Abkári tests. It would be more equitable to reduce the fees under those tests and to raise the fees for Technical examinations. It is hardly fair that the Matriculate clerk or Salt officer should pay for the certificate of a typewriter or commercial accountant.

† This includes *all* expenditure.

C. A. Souter.

Letter No. 279, dated 9th May 1906.

3. But under the recent reference from the Government of India the whole question of fees to Government officers is under consideration and the reference contemplates the attachment of specific examination duties to specific officers as part of their proper duty. This alternative is suggested by the Director of Public Instruction in the last paragraph of his letter.

Paragraph 2 (iv) of letter No. 279, dated 9th May 1906.

4. There are certain examinations for which the appointment of other than Government servants is necessary, *e.g.*, Music, Jeweller's work, Tailoring and Commerce. But it would not perhaps be too hard a burden to impose on District Forest-officers the duty of conducting examinations in Forestry at centres in their districts. The staff of the School of Arts could take the woodwork, metal work and drawing; the Sanitary officers could undertake the work in Minor Sanitary Engineering, the Superintendent, Government Press, Printing, and so on.

But this point will depend on the orders which may issue on the Government of India reference.

5. The Commissioner for Government Examinations in the statement attached to this letter proposes a general reduction of 31 per cent., but has not differentiated at all between the fees paid for the different examinations.

H. D. Taylor—14-6-06.

---

* G.Os., No. 409, Educational, dated 15th June 1905, and No. 443, Educational, dated 29th June 1905.

The whole question of fees will have to be gone into on the reference from the Government of India. I would not at present touch the candidates' fees. If the Primary and Secondary examinations cease, the statement plainly shows there will be a deficit even on the whole examination budget.

2. I think the Commissioner for Government Examinations may be told that the fees to examiners in these subjects should be reduced about 25 per cent. on the whole, leaving to him to arrange in what subjects, etc., the actual reductions should take place.

G. S. F[orbes]—4-7-06.

The draft placed below is submitted for approval. The statement on page 3 shows that the receipts and charges excluding those on account of the Primary and Lower Secondary examinations were Rs. 60,451 and Rs. 55,590, respectively, in 1904-1905.

P. T. Tharyan—6-7-06.

Even without the Primary and Lower Secondary examinations there will be a slight profit.

C. A. Souter—7-7-06.

For approval.

H. D. Taylor—8-7-06.

G. S. F[orbes]—12-7-06.

Issue.

H. D. Taylor—14-7-06.

[G.O., No. 481, Educational, dated 16th July 1906.]

[E.]
1,500—12-12—1

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

6

CURRENT FILE.

CONTENTS.

	From	Number.	Date.	Pages.
[Cro. 217]	The C.G.E.	125/40	14-2-06	1
	Memo dtd C.G.E.	91	26-2-06.	2.
[927]	The C.G.E.	378/40 ~~927~~	2-6-06.	3-20

[P. T. O. FOR PUT UP PAPERS.

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No. 217

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number.	Date.	Original (=O) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers as have been removed for copying, printing, &c., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of Referencer with date in token of return to Reference department.
612	3-10-02	SC		
807-8	11-12-05	O		
569	18-8-05	SC		
443	29-6-05	SC		
429-30	23-6-05	O		
569	15-6-05	SC		
666-67	8-11-04	O		
363-64	13-6-04	O		
578-79	17-10-03	O		
207-8	11-5-03	O		
612 -	3 d/ 10-02	SC		
653	d/ 28-9-99	SC		

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number.	Date.	Original (=O) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Despatcher to note the return of the papers to the departments concerned.

Ed 217

Stock Form No. 135
3,700—1 6-05.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL 15 FEB 1906 SECRETARIAT.

Received 190 , Registered 18-2-1906.

Subject.

Reporting with reference to G.O., No. 612, Educational, dated the 3rd October 1902, that the revised scale of remuneration to examiners for the Technical Examinations has been in operation for the past three years and recommending that the scale may be allowed to remain in force.

ENCLOSURES.	
No.	Nil
Spare copies	Nil

No. 125/4D

Station Madras , Dated the 14th February 1906.

From (Name) The Hon'ble Mr. A. G. Bourne, D.Sc., F.R.S.,
(Designation) Commissioner for Government Examinations.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Educational Department.

Sir,

With reference to G.O., No. 612, Educational, dated the 3rd October 1902, I have the honour to report that the revised scale of remuneration to examiners appointed to conduct the Technical Examinations has been in operation for the past three years. As the scale has worked well, it may be allowed to remain in force.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
your most obedient servant,
A. G. Bourne
Commissioner

RK.

Educational departt

Memo 91 / 26.2.06

Cno 217

nsp
26/2/06

The following statement shows ~~the receipts & charges on account of~~ the the fees levied from Candidates at the Govt. Technical Examinations and the stipends paid to Examiners during the last three years:—

	Fees. Rs	Stipends Rs
Examinations held in November 1902.	4,400.	5,475.
" " " April 1903.	8,900.	14,862.
" " " Novr. 1903.	4,700.	5,833.
" " " April 1904.	8,800.	14,154.
" " " Novr. 1904.	4,600.	6,175.
" " " April 1905.	11,400	16,306

As the fees do not except cover the stipends the ~~Direct~~ C.G.E. is requested to be so good as to make suggestions for a reduction in the rate of stipends.

The Commr for Govt. Exams.

DPI
26/2/06

PB
26/2/06

Cbs
28/2/06

3

Memo 91
26/2/06

p 569
18/8/05

Ed CURRENT No. 927

4 P

Stock Form No. 135
3,700—17-6-05.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Received LOCAL & MUNICIPAL SECRETARIAT. 5 - JUN 1906 190 , Registered 5-6-1906

9

Subject.

Stating with remarks how reduction can be effected in the stipends to examiners for the Government Technical Examinations.

ENCLOSURES.	
No.	2.
Spare copies	Nil.

No. 378/4D.

Station Madras, Dated the 2nd June 1906.

From (Name) The Hon'ble Mr. A. G. Bourne, D.Sc., F.R.S.

(Designation) Commissioner for Government Examinations.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Educational Department.

Sir,

I was requested in your Memorandum No. 91 dated 26th February 1906 to make suggestions for a reduction in the rate of stipends paid to the examiners at the Government Technical Examinations in order that the fees may cover the stipends. I have the honour, in reply to state that whatever be the rates of stipends fixed, these are comparatively speaking

speaking very expensive examinations to conduct. This is due to the large number of subjects, the large number of papers to be set, the numerous practical examinations wherein the candidates require individual attention, and the facts that for many of these practical examinations additional examiners have to be appointed for mofussil centres and on the other hand that in many subjects the number of candidates is very small as is therefore the income for fees.

2. Looking at the lists of the persons who examine, one is struck by the fact that, having regard to their general attainments and position, some receive more than they could expect to receive in an open market for a particular piece of technical work and some perhaps less. It does not seem possible however to pay even technical examiners at varying rates. Speaking generally I fear that, if the rates are lowered to

any

great extent, the best men will not care to undertake the work. I would rather see an examination abolished altogether than left to be conducted by inferior men. Bad examinations do more than anything else to promote cram.

3. If it is resolved to reduce the rates, those for Commercial subjects might be reduced to a somewhat larger extent than those for most other subjects. These are in my experience the only subjects in which there is anything like competition for examinerships even with the present rates. The reduction required averages about 31 per cent. The accompanying statements show how this reduction would have affected the remuneration paid for the examinations of 1904 & 1905. The annual report for ~~the~~ year 1904-05 published in G. O., No. 569, Educational, 18th August 1905, shows how the candidates are scattered among the several subjects and grades and how impossible it would

be

be to make the examination in most of the subjects self supporting.

4 Perhaps Government would be willing to reconsider the question of raising the fees paid by candidates. The fee for the Elementary Grade of Rupee one is really very low as compared with the value which the candidate at any rate puts upon the certificate. To make it Rupees Two would doubtless raise the income by over Rupees 4000, and I think that this would be better than to do anything which might diminish the prestige of the examinations.

5 If Government approves of raising this fee, I will submit a schedule reducing the rates of stipends particularly in one or two subjects to the extent necessary to obviate the probability of a loss. If the fee is not to be raised and the examinations are to be self supporting, the stipends must be reduced all round and I would submit for the consideration of Government whether

it

it would not be better in this case to make these examinations as far as possible part of the duty of certain officers. Many of these are of course beyond my control.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

your most obedient servant,

A. G. Bourne

Commissioner

Government Technical Examinations.

Stipends paid in 1905-1906.

Showing ~~Deficit~~ Reduction by 31·2 per Cent.

Name of Examiner.	Stipend paid.	~~Deficit~~ Reduction by 31 o/o.	Balance.	Remarks.
Music.	Examination of November 1904.			
	Rs	Rs	Rs	
Signor D. Aloisio	69	21	48	
Monsieur E. Stradiot	182	56	126	
Miss Catherine C. Vangeyzel	161	50	111	
Mrs. Lester	15	5	10	For the Oral and Practical exams. only
Mr. J. A. Matcher.	15	5	10	Do.
Drawing etc.				
Mr. Peter Scott.	1,159	359	800	
Mr. J. Brett.	520	161	359	
M.R.Ry. M. Velayuda Asari, Avgl	380	118	262	
Mr. W. G. Molesworth.	234	73	161	
Mr. E. B. Havell.	367.	114	253	
Jeweller's Work and Wood work and metal work.				
Mr. G. E. Braud (75+420)	495	153	342	
Jeweller's Work				
M.R.Ry. T. Murugesa Butter, Avl.,	50	16	34	
Printing etc.				
Mr. T. Fisher.	650	202	448	
Mr. H. H. Bicknell.	175	54	121	
Wood work and metal work.				
Mr. W. H. James.	470	146	324	
Textile Fabrics and Boot and Shoe-making.				
Mr. J. P. Lewis (150+195)	345	107	238.	
Carried over	5287	1640	3647	

Name of Examiner.	Stipend paid	Deduction ~~deficit~~ by 31 o/o	Balance	Remarks.
Textile Fabrics Brought forward	5287	1640	3647	
Mr. J. W. Coombes.	100	31	69	
Lace-making.				
Mrs Stevenson.	150	47	103	
Tailoring.				
Staff-Sergeant J. O'Brien	75	23	52	
Mr. E. D. Smith.	50	16	34	
Needlework and Dress-making and Embroidery.				
Mrs Alexander.	250	78	172	
Minor Sanitary Engineering.				
Mr. W. Hutton	214	66	148	
Mr. H. Nowroji	49	15	34	
Total	6175	1916	4259	

Government Technical Examinations.

Stipends paid in 1905-1906.

Deficit by 31 per Cent.

Name of Examiner.	Stipend paid	Deduction ~~deficit~~ by 31 o/o	Balance	Remarks.
Agriculture.	Examination of December 1904.			
Mr. C. Benson	300	93	207	
Dr. J. Walter Leather	76	24	52	
Dr. A. Lehmann.	301	93	208	
M.R.Ry. C. K. Subbarau, Avl.	166	51	115	
Mr. W. H. James	296	92	204	
M.R.Ry. K. Rangachariar, Avl.	102	32	70	
Veterinary-Major W. D. Gunn	194	60	134	
Mr. W. C. H. Littlewood	68	21	47	For the Oral and Practical ~~only~~ examinations only.
Total.....	1,503	466	1,037	

Name of Examiner,	Stipend paid	~~Deficit~~ Reduction by 31 o/o	Balance	Remarks.
Brought forward..	7046	2188	4858	
Electrical Engineering				
Mr. W. D. MacGregor	265	82	183	
" A. G. N. Bremer	149	46	103	
" A. W. McMannes	65	20	45	For the oral and Practical examinations only.
Physical Science				
Lieutenant-Colonel J. L. Vangeyzel, I.M.S.,	201	62	139	
Mr. R. Littlehailes	123	38	85	
M.R.Ry. J. M. Harris	25	8	17	For the oral and Practical examinations only.
" S. S. Vyasa Rau	40	12	28	
" P. Rajagopala Aiyar	35	11	24	
" N. Ananda Rau	25	8	17	
Biology and Horticulture and Botany (Pharmacy)				
Dr. J. R. Henderson	356	110	246	
Mr. P. F. Fyson	306	95	211	
" B. F. Cavanagh	36	11	25	
M.R.Ry. S. S. Pasupati Aiyar	35	11	24	For the oral and Practical examinations only.
The Rev J. Castets	25	8	17	
M.R.Ry. S. Venkateswara Aiyar	25	8	17	
" L. A. Ganapati Aiyar	35	11	24	
" S. Ramachandra Aiyar	25	8	17	
Sanitary Science				
Lieutenant-Colonel W. G. King I.M.S.	513	159	354	
Captain T. S. Ross, I.M.S.	463	144	319	
Agriculture				
M.R.Ry. Rao Bahadur C. K. Subba Rau	138	43	95	
" B. V. Narayana Rau	40	12	28	For the oral and Practical Examinations only.
" D. Balakrishnamurti	30	9	21	
" J. Chelvarangaraju	25	8	17	
Forestry				
Mr. G. F. F. Foulkes	288	89	199	
" F. A. Lodge	25	8	17	For the oral and Practical examinations only.
Carried over	10,339	3209	7130	

Name of Examiner.	Stipend paid.	~~Deficit~~ Reduction by 31 o/o	Balance	Remarks.
Brought forward.	10,339	3209	7130	
Forestry				
Mr. J. S. Scot	25	8	17	For the oral and Practical examinations only.
" J. T. Battie	50	16	34	
" H. B. Bryant	30	9	21	
" R. D. Richmond	25	8	17	
Commerce				
" C. A. Paterson	1,290	400	890	
" Harry Ormerod	849	263	586	
M.R.Ry. S. Vaidyanadha Aiyar	760	236	524	
" K. C. Rajagopalacharia	1,133	351	782	
Mr. E. C. Woods-Scawen	585	181	404	
M.R.Ry. K. Subramani Aiyar	585	181	404	
Mr. Graham F. Ross	585	181	404	
M.R.Ry. P. Karunakara Menon	50	16	34	For the oral and Practical examinations only.
Total	16,306	5059	11247	

[Notes connected with G.O. no. 481 Edl., d. 16-7-06]

14

16

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT

[F/2.]
500—8-1-06.

C. No. 217 From the C.G.E. No. 125/40, dated 14-2-1906

SUBJECT.
Revised Scales of remuneration to Examiners appointed to conduct Govt. Technical Examination.

Press
Please print & show proof
1877/07

Page 1 of c.f.

In their order no. 612 Educational, dated 3rd October 1902, the Government sanctioned the revised Scales submitted by the C.G.E. of remuneration to Examiners appointed to conduct the Government Technical Examinations and observed that these scales would be reconsidered after they had been in operation for three years.

2. The C.G.E. now reports that the revised Scales have worked well and accordingly recommends that they may be allowed to remain in force. But before accepting the Commissioner's recommendation attention is invited to para. 2 of the Office note dated 30th September 1902 on page 2 of the notes in G.O. no. 612 Educational, dated 3rd October 1902 and the orders of H.Ms (~~then~~ the Hon'ble Sir James Thomson (& the Hon'ble Mr. Stokes)) thereon. From the C.G.E.'s letters in G.O. nos. 207-08 Edl., dated 11th May 1903, nos. 518-519 Edl., dated 17th October 1903, nos. 363-364 Edl., dated 13th June 1904 and ~~G.O.~~ nos. 429-30 Educational, dated 23rd June 1905, requesting sanction for the disbursement of Stipends due to Examiners who conducted the Government Technical Examinations, it will be seen that the charges were always in excess of the receipts.

The

This will be made clear from the following Statement

		~~Technical Examin~~	Receipts. Rs.	Charges Rs.
Pages 2 & 3 of G.O. nos. 207-08 Edl 11-5-03.	H	Technical Examinations held in November 1902.	4,400	5,475.
Pages 4 & 5 of G.O. nos. 518-19 Edl 17-10-03.	G	Technical Examinations held in April 1903.	8,900 ~~14,862~~	14,862 ~~8,900~~
Page 3 of G.O. nos. 363-364 Edl 13-6-04.	F	Technical Examinations held in November 1903.	4,700 ~~5,833~~	5,833 ~~4,700~~
Pages 4 & 5 of G.O. nos 660-661 8-11-04	P.	Do — Do in April 1904	8,800	14,154
Page 3 of G.O. nos. 429-30 Edl 23-6-05.	E.	Technical Examinations held in November 1904.	4600 ~~6,175~~	6,175
Pages 4 & 5 of G.O. nos 807-808 11-12-05	K.	Do — Do in April 1905	11,400	16,306

From the above Statement it will ~~thus~~ be seen that the Technical Examinations are not self-supporting. It is therefore for consideration whether a reduction in the examiner's stipends should not be considered or whether the ~~Director~~ Commissioner's recommendation should be accepted. Orders are solicited.

N.L.R. NLR 15/2/6

Sec.

The D.P.I.'s recommendation may be accepted.

Cos
17/2/06

Submitted that the fees to examiners should be reduced so as to be covered by the fees received from candidates. The variation in April 03 and April 1904 was very considerable. On other occasions a reduction of 1/4 would practically have resulted in equilibrium.

2 The only danger in a reduction of fees is that competent examiners may not be attracted. I believe however that there is room for reduction in some cases. I have heard examiners talk about some examinations or sets of papers being more remunerative than others with reference to the quantity of work involved. The C.E.S. does not state whether it is possible to obtain examiners at lower rates, or whether no readjustment of fees can be arranged for.

H D Taylor
19.2.06

WM.

Point out that the receipts do not cover the fees & ask them for suggestions for reduction

G H Tabor
20

Dept. Ref'd acc'd
H Taylor
20.2.06

The draft placed below is submitted for approval.

P.B. Margam
20/2/06

Vetted C.E.Sutton
21/2/06

Pd

~~4~~. 17 19 4 P

[Memo to the C. G. E. no. 91 d/ 26-2-06.]

From the C. G. E no. 927 d/ 2-6-06.

Page 2 of current

In memo. No 91 d/ 26th February 1906 the Commr. for Govt. Examinations was requested to make suggestions for a reduction in the rate of stipends paid to Examiners at the Govt. Technical Examinations. In reply the Commr. states that "whatever be the rates of stipends fixed these

Para. 1 of letter = Pages 3 & 4 of current.

" (the Technical Examinations) are comparatively speaking
" very expensive Examinations to conduct. This is due to
" the large no of subjects, the large number of papers to be set
" the numerous practical Examinations wherein the candidates
" require individual attention and the facts that for many
" of these practical Examinations additional Examiners have
" to be appointed for mufassal centres and on the other hand
" that in many subjects the number of candidates is very
" small as is therefore the income from fees".

2. The following statement compiled from Tables II, III & IV under (d) Technical Examinations on pages 7 to 11 of G.O. no 569 Edl ~~and from the separate enclosure to the [illegible] Commr's letter~~ d/ 18th August 1905 illustrates the above so far as regards the examinations held in 1904-05, the latest year for which there is information:-

	No of Subjects in which Exams were held.	No of papers set.	No of Subjects in which ~~practical~~ Exam's was held.	No of Subjects in which there was only one candidate.	No of Subjects in which there were only 2 candidates	No of Subjects in which candidates [illegible] did not exceed 10.
Elementary	50	68	33	3	1	14
Intermediate	58	94	43	11	2	19
Advanced.	17.	41	9	3	1	9

3. The fees leviable from candidates are as follow:-

Page 5 of notes with G.O. no 653 Edl d/ 28-9-99. X

	Rs
Elementary grade - for each subject	1
Intermediate " " "	4
Advanced	8

The number of candidates registered for examination in 1904-05 was as follows:-

Table I in page 9 of G.O. no 569 Edl dt 18-8-05.

Elementary grade	4811
Intermediate	1561
Advanced	133
	6,505

Para. 2 of letter - Page 5 of current.

4. The Commr. fears that if the rates of Stipends (sanctioned in G.O. no 612 Edl dt 3rd October 1902) are lowered the best men may not care to take up the examining work and he would rather see an examination abolished altogether ~~rather~~ than left to be conducted by inferior men. But if it is necessary to reduce the rates he thinks that the ~~reduction to be made~~ rates for commercial Subjects - Book Keeping, Commercial Correspondence, Banking, Commercial Geography, Shorthand, Annuities & Life assurance & Type writing - might be reduced to a somewhat larger extent than those for most of the other subjects, the average total reduction being by 31 per cent. But he would prefer raising the fee for the Elementary grade Examination from Re 1 to Rs 2 by which he expects an increased income of ~~about~~ over Rs 4000 and then reduce the rates of Stipends in one or two subjects - or making the task of examining part of the duty of certain officers whose designations however he does not give.

Para. 3 of letter - Page 5 of current

Pages 18 & 19 of G.O. no 612 Edl dt 3-10-02.

Para. 4 of letter - Page 6 of current

Para. 5 of letter - Page 6 of current.

do do.

5. In para. 3 of his letter no 38-1-D dt 9th March 1898 - page 24 of G.O. no 653 Edl dt 28th September 1899 - Dr. Duncan proposed that the rate of fees for the Elementary Examination might be raised from Re 1 to Rs 2 and that for the Intermediate from Rs 4 to Rs 5 - but the Govt. then said that "the Technical examinations are in need of special encouragement" and that "it would be inexpedient to raise the

fees for candidates – para. 3 (5) of G.O. No. 653 Edl. d/ 28th. September 1899. As regards the stipends to examiners the Govt. said at the same time "It must be expected that the technical examinations should be expensive. The Govt. recognizes the importance of the examination "which test the progress of technical Education and considers that "the results are commensurate with the expenditure incurred upon "them" – para. 2 of the above G.O. – and again "in the present "condition of technical education it would be inadvisable to "reduce the maximum fees for examiners" – para. 3(5) of the G.O..

6. It ~~would seem however~~ is for consideration however whether the time has not arrived when the fee for the Elementary examination may be raised from Re 1 to Rs 2. Considering ~~that~~ the fee for the ~~El~~ Advanced grade is Rs 8 & ~~that~~ for the Intermediate grade Rs 4, ~~that~~ for the Elementary grade ought perhaps to have been Rs 2. This increase in the fee and the reduction in the rates of stipends in the Commercial Subjects referred to by Dr. Bourne ~~seem to be the best thing to do now~~ may perhaps be now effected.

PJ
#16/6
11

Please note on the total spent last year in Examiners' fees for all Govt. Exams & the receipts from Candidates' fees.

C.A.S.
12.6.06.

The following statement shows in one view the receipts from fees and the expenditure on Examiners' stipends, contingencies and other objects on account of each of the examinations under the control of the Commr. for Govt. Examinations during the year 1904-05: —

Notes 48 Edn.

Table II on page 13 of G.O. No 569 Edl dt 18-8-05 - O

	Receipts.	Charges.		
		Examiners' Stipends	Contingencies Trav: Establishments, Trav: allowance & other ch:	Total
	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs
Primary & 5th & 6th Standard Examinations	51,903	19,652	7,729	27,381
Lower Secondary Exam'n.	63,039	30,216	20,039	54,229
Upper Secondary Exam'n.	3,115	2,757		
Hand writing and ~~Test~~ Dictation test	9,315	1,217		
Technical Exam'ns.	* 16,433	* 22,784	6,000	28,784
Medical Entrance Exam'n.	490	454	73	527
Special Salt & Abkari Tests	31,098 60,451	10,289	2,679	12,968.
Refunds - Printing charges - Secretary & his Establishment & office Contingencies			13,311	13,311 55,590
Total	1,75,393	87,369	49,831	1,37,200

* These figures differ slightly from those given on page 2 but represent the whole of the credits & debits during the year - whether on account of the year itself or of the previous year.
P.J.

G.O. No 409 Edl dt 15-6-05 S
& No 443 " " 29-6-05 T

Now that the primary (as a public) & lower Secondary Examinations have been abolished the receipts and charges are expected in the current year's budget to be only Rs 68,000 and Rs 63,000 - pages 21 & 188 of the C.B.E.

P.J.
23/6/6

Sec.

I asked for these figures to see if the Examinations were carried on at a loss. It is evident ~~that~~ a large profit has been made & that some profit will continue to be made. I submit that Examinations as a whole ought to be looked at, & not each examination separately. If losses in one ought to be balanced against profits in another. If this view is accepted, there seems no need to alter the fees for the Technical Examinations. This is apart from the question whether if fees were lowered the standard of the work would not follow suit.

Cas ~~ouke~~

13.6.06.

If the Govt. Technical Examinations are to be considered on their own merits, the proposal to raise the fee for the elementary grade from R1/- to R2/- may be approved.

If however the Receipts - charges from all examinations are to be looked at as a whole, no enhancement will be necessary. It must however be borne in mind that the cost of the C.G.E.'s establishment is properly a charge upon these fees.

This has been allowed for in the statement on p. 7 of last item. Secretary +

Cas ~~ouke~~

11.6.

Yes. I overlooked this item

HJ

13/6.

2 With the elimination of the primary and Secondary examinations, there will after allowing for establishment be little ~~if any~~ margin left, even if the ~~an~~ Budget figures of Rs 68000 under receipts ~~and Rs63000 under expenditure~~ are worked up to. From the statement on page 7 it will be

Budget Expenditure. R63000

+ This includes all Expenditure.

Cas HJ

observed that there is a large profit made under the handwriting & Dictation Test, and under the special Salt & Abkari Tests. It would be more equitable to reduce the fees under those tests and to raise the fees for technical examinations. It is hardly fair that the Matriculate ~~budding~~ clerk or ~~[illegible]~~ salt officer should pay for the certificate of a type writer or ~~b~~ commercial accountant.

There is a deficit here. Cf. p 7 above. 13/6

3. But under the recent reference from the Govt of India the whole question of fees to Govt. officers is under consideration - and the reference contemplates the ~~imp~~ attachment of specific examination duties to specific officers as part of their proper duty. This alternative is suggested by the D.P.I. in the last paragraph of his letter

Letter no 279 d/ 9-5-06. V

Para. 2(iv) of -do-

p7 of file.

There are certain examinations for which the appointment of other than Govt. servants is necessary - e.g. Music, Jewellers work, tailoring, & commerce. But it would ~~it~~ not perhaps be too hard a burden to impose on D.I.s the duty of conducting examinations in Forestry at centres in their Districts. The staff of the School of Arts could take the wood work, metal work and drawing; the Sanitary Officers could undertake the work in Minor Sanitary Engineering, the Supt. Govt Press, printing, and so on.

But this point will depend on the orders which may issue on the Govt of India reference

4. The D.P.I in ~~the~~ statement attached to his letter proposes a general reduction of 31% – but has not differentiated at all between the fees paid for the different examinations

HOL

H. Taylor
14. 6. 08

The whole qn of fees will have to be gone into on the reference from the G. of I..

I wd not at present touch the candidates' fees

If the primary secondary examns cease, the statt plainly shows there will be a deficit even in the whole examn budget.

I think the Commr of G. Examns

may be told that the fees ~~to~~ examrs on these subjects shd be reduced about 25% on the whole, leaving to him to arrange in what subjects the actual reductions shd take place.

G F [Forbes]
6/7

The draft placed below is submitted for approval. The statet ~~at~~ on page 7 shows that the receipts & charges excluding those on account of the Primary and Lower Secondary Examinations were Rs 60,451 & Rs 55,590 respectively in 1904-05.

PJz 6/7/6

Lee

Even without the Primary & L. Secondary Examns there will be a slight profit.

C Carter
7.7.06.

For approval

HM/

H D Taylor
8.7.06

G F [Forbes]
14/7

Taylor
14/7/06

[Gd No. 481 Ed 16-7-06]

7 75

Educational Department.

27 78

C. No. 217, from the C. G. E., No. 125/4.D., d/14-2-1906.
C. No. 927, from the C. G. E., No. 378/4.D., d/ 2-6-1906.

ORDER: 481 d/ 16.7.06.
P.S.

The Govt. see no sufficient reason at present for raising ~~T~~he fees paid by candidates for any of the Government Technical Examinations. ~~cannot be raised at present~~. The Commissioner for Government Examinations will therefore be requested to effect a reduction of about 25 per cent. on the whole in the stipends paid to Examiners. It will be left to the Commissioner to arrange the details regarding the subjects in which reduction should be made and the ~~exact~~ amount of reduction to be made in those subjects.

8.7.06

6/7/6

Desp. from here in [illegible]
12/7/6

To the C.G.E.

Commd. to Finl. 13/8/6

Desp. 16/7/6

opy … Press.

rned for signature.

spatched in print.

NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED { Order …
Letter only.
Order complete with Letter.

AD-AGAIN G.O., No. 612, DATED 5th Oct. 1902 — P.
190 .

8 P

RRENT No. 217 — A

O.M. no. 91
26-2-08 — A

C. no. 927 — A

do — B

NCLOSURES TO C. No.

Press

Please print
& show proof

18/7/08

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

LETTER TO GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.