Educational (1906) Department.

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O., No. 468

DATED 3.7.06

83

[Despatch Abstract.]

Exemption from the examn. rules—

Recording certain correspondence from the Rev. and P.W. Departments and the Board of Revenue relating to the exemption of certain officials from the examination rules, ~~and~~ after making the necessary alterations in the Public service notification

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Recd. } 1906. *Enclosures*

Regd. } *Spare copies*

G.O., No. 468, 3rd July 1906.

N. P.

Public Service Notification.

Recording communications from the Revenue and Public Works Departments and the Board of Revenue suggesting certain alterations in the —, the modifications having been carried out.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following papers :—

I

Reference from the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), Forest No. 82, dated 5th February 1906.

Under the Public Service Notification published prior to 1905, the Board had the power to sanction the permanent exemption from the examination rules of candidates for appointments in the Forest Department carrying a pay of more than Rs. 12 but not more than Rs. 15, provided it was satisfied that qualified candidates could not be obtained. This power which was vested in it under G.O., No. 636, Educational, dated 1st September 1892 has not been continued to the Board in the revised Public Service Notification published at pages 564–571, Part I-B of the *Fort St. George Gazette*, dated 26th September 1905. The Board is not aware whether it was the deliberate intention of Government to withdraw, and considers that possibly it was not. It therefore requests that this power may again be conferred on it by the issue of the necessary addendum to article 2 of the Public Service Notification.

A. R. Loftus-Tottenham,
Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Educational Department.

II

G.O., No. 368, Revenue, dated 24th April 1906.

Reference from the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), B. No. 285, dated 3rd April 1906.

The Hon'ble Mr. C. J. Weir, I.C.S.

On

Letter—from A. R. Knapp, Esq., I.C.S., Acting Collector of Malabar.
To—the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue.
Dated—the 14th March 1906.
No.—D. 415/P.

Under the revised Public Service Notification No. 103, dated 12th September 1905, published in pages 532 to 539 of the *Fort St. George Gazette*, of the 19th idem, the Village Officers' Special Test has been prescribed as a compulsory test for Karnams, Assistant Karnams, Munsifs and Monegars—*vide* item 26 under C in the table appended to article 3 of the notification. Under article 5, clause (5) of the same notification, the Collector alone is empowered to sanction the exemption of candidates from this test. In consequence of this restriction there is much correspondence and consequent delay in making temporary appointments of village officers. Since the publication of the notification I have received 88 applications for exemption from the Divisional-officers, Tellicherry and Calicut, as shown below :—

		Applications.
Exemption for	one month or less	58
Do.	two months and above one month	9
Do.	three months	12
Do.	six months	4
Do.	one year	5
	Total	88

I have had no application from the Palghat and Malappuram divisions and it is probable that the Divisional-officers concerned are not aware of the provisions of the revised Public Service Notification. I am drawing their attention to this point.

2. Under article 4 (5) of the notification, Revenue Divisional-officers are empowered to sanction the entertainment of unpassed candidates in acting vacancies in the Taluk or Sub-Magistrate's offices for a period of three months. I have the honour to suggest that a similar power might without objection and with great convenience be allowed to Divisional-officers in respect of the appointment to vacancies in village offices not exceeding three months in duration of persons who have not passed the Village Officers' Special Test.

Reference—B. No. 285, dated 3rd April 1906.

The letter read above will be submitted to Government.

2. In their Order No. 662, Revenue, dated 8th November 1898, Government extended to Divisional-officers the power to exempt candidates for village offices from the Village Officers' Special Test. The Board presumes that this order should still hold good, and requests that Government will be pleased to make the necessary alterations in article 5, clause (5) of the Public Service Notification, published at pages 532 to 539, Part I-B of the *Fort St. George Gazette*, dated 19th September 1905, and in clause XIV of the Village Officers' Special Test Notification published at page 89, Part I of the *Fort St. George Gazette*, dated 16th January 1906.

A. R. Loftus-Tottenham,
Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

Order—No. 368, Revenue, dated 24th April 1906.

Miscellaneous.

The necessary alteration will be made in the Village Officers' Special Test Notification.

2. The following notification will be published :—

NOTIFICATION.

In clause XIV of the notification regarding the Village Officers' Special Test, published at page 89 of Part I of the *Fort St. George Gazette*, dated 16th January 1906, after the word "Collector" insert the words "or the Divisional-officer."

3. Further orders amending the Public Service Notification will issue in the Educational Department.

(True Extract.)

A. G. Cardew,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue).
,, Educational Department.
,, Superintendent, Government Press.

III

G.O., No. 1153 W., dated 24th May 1906.

Letter—from the Superintending Engineer, I Circle.
To—the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department.
Dated—the 14th April 1906.
No.—1266-G.

I have the honour to bring to your notice that S. N. Krishnaswami Aiyar, who has passed the Sub-overseer and Surveyor's test was appointed Conservancy Inspector in the Gódávari Eastern division. He claimed travelling allowance under article 1083 (*a*) of the Civil Service Regulations for joining a first appointment. But the Examiner has disallowed the claim on the assumption that the appointment is not one requiring technical skill and knowledge.

I am however of opinion that the Examiner is not right in his objection and that the appointment is one which requires technical knowledge.

In this connection, I beg to refer you to Mr. Allen's letter No. 62-C. of 3rd February 1905 printed with G.O., No. 612 W. of 18th March 1905, in which the duties of the conservancy establishment are prescribed. Also to memorandum No. 4563-C. of 11th August 1905, in which you declined to exempt an unpassed candidate with practical experience for the post of Conservancy Inspector, but were evidently of opinion that passed Sub-overseers or Surveyors should be appointed to the post.

Under these circumstances, I have the honour to request that orders of Government may be obtained defining the qualifications required for the post of a Conservancy Inspector.

Order—No. 1153 *W., dated* 24*th May* 1906.

The Government agree with the Superintending Engineer that the appointment of river Conservancy Inspector is one which requires technical skill and knowledge, and consider that the technical qualifications for that appointment should be the "Sub-overseer and Surveyor class" test of the Madras College of Engineering. Steps are being taken to amend the Public Service Notification so as to make this intention clear.

2. The Examiner, Public Works Accounts, will admit the claim for travelling allowance referred to in the Superintending Engineer's letter read above.

(True Extract.)

J. P. Davidson,
Secretary to Government, P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, I Circle.
,, Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
,, Educational Department.

Order—No. 468, Educational, dated 3rd July 1906.

Recorded, the necessary alterations having been made in the Public Service Notification.

(True Extract.)

H. D. Taylor,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

Educational Department.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. 468, EDUCATIONAL, DATED 3RD JULY 1906.

[Subject.—*Amendment of the Public Service Notification.*]

I

Local and Municipal Department.

[Notes with G.O., R. No. 20, Educational, dated 30th January 1906.]

The question as to whether this * test should be required of Local and Municipal clerks on Rs. 50 may be separately raised by that Department if it thinks necessary when the next Public Service Notification issues. I would put the limit at Rs. 70 as in Revenue.

H. D. Taylor—30-1-06.

* Account Test, Higher grade.

* * * * *

Please note and see that the matter is not lost sight of when the revised Public Service Notification comes round. Better start the question at once on a separate file, putting up a statement showing posts on Rs. 50 in Local and Municipal before and after the reorganization.

H. D. Taylor—3-2-06.

In G.O., No. 461 L., dated 9th March 1894, the Government prescribed a pass in the Account Test, Higher Grade, in the case of appointments on Rs. 50 and upwards in the Local and Municipal Department, and this was incorporated in the Public Service Notification.

2. In the Revenue Secretariat, this test is prescribed only for the appointment of head clerk and higher posts, while the Revenue Test, Higher Grade, is prescribed for posts on Rs. 70 and upwards in that Department. In the Chief Secretariat, the Account Test, Higher Grade, is required only in the case of the budget clerk.

3. It is for consideration whether the Account Test, Higher Grade, may be prescribed for the future only for appointments on Rs. 75 and above in the Local and Municipal Department.

4. The following statement shows the number of posts on Rs. 50 and upwards in the Local and Municipal Department before and after the recent reorganization :—

Before reorganization.		After reorganization.	
	RS.		RS.
2	50 each.	3	50 each.
1	65	2	60 ,,
		1	75
1	100	1	100
1	125	1	125
		1	150
1	175	1	175
1	200	1	200
Total .. 7		Total .. 11	

N.C.—6-2-06.

V. Raghava Charlu—7-2-06.

It will be difficult to find qualified men for the Rs. 50 and Rs. 60 posts, and I do not think that the test is really required in their case.

Educational and Legislative clerks are entirely exempt from this test. There is of course a great deal of figure work in connection with scrutiny of budgets and reports required in Local and Municipal, but I see no reason why this Department should not be brought into a line with Revenue. The test may be prescribed for posts on Rs. 75 and upwards.

H. D. Taylor—8-2-06.

Yes. Higher Account Test for Rs. 75.

G. S. F[orbes]—9-2-06.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

A draft of the Public Service Notification as revised up to date is going round to the departments of the Secretariat for acceptance. On return the alteration now approved as regards Local and Municipal clerks may be put in.

P. T. Tharyan—10-2-06.

Yes.

C. A. Souter—12-2-06.

II

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), No. 82, dated 5th February 1906.

See to this please.

I see no objection.

H. D. Taylor—9-2-06.

Please see article 3 (4) of the Public Service Notification revised up to 31st March 1904. In this clause, (4), certain appointments in the Forest Department were excluded from the operation of the Examination rules, viz.:

(*a*) appointments of a temporary character carrying pay which is chargeable to "conservancy and works";

(*b*) appointments of a permanent or temporary character carrying pay which is not chargeable to "works" and which does not exceed Rs. 12 *per mensem*;

(*c*) appointments which carry a pay of more than Rs. 12 but not more than Rs. 15, provided the Board of Revenue is satisfied that qualified candidates cannot be obtained.

2. The substance of sub-clause (*a*) of clause (4) referred to above alone was retained in the revised Public Service Notification published in September last—*vide* the entry under Forest Department in column B of the table appended to article 2 of the notification. How the sub-clauses (*b*) and (*c*) came to be omitted is not known. Now the Board of Revenue requests that the provision of sub-clause (*c*) may be inserted in the proper place in article 2.

Submitted for orders whether the provision in sub-clause (*b*) should also be inserted.

K.K.M.—16-2-06.

Under the revised Public Service Notification only appointments on Rs. 15 and above in superior service come under the operation of the Examination rules. The provision in sub-clause (*b*) of clause (4) of article * 3 of the old notification cannot therefore in any case be inserted. Nor can appointments on less than Rs. 15 included in sub-clause (*c*).

* Article 1 of the revised notification.

Quite so.

C. A. Souter.

2. With the concurrence of the Revenue Department the entry under "Forest Department" in column B of the table appended to article 2 of the revised notification may be altered as follows when the notification is next revised (proof has gone to departments of the Secretariat for acceptance):—

"*Forest Department.*—Appointments in the Forest Department—

"(1) which are of a temporary character and carry pay which is chargeable "to 'conservancy and works',

"(2) which are of a permanent or temporary character and carry pay of Rs. 15 "[not chargeable to 'conservancy and "works'], provided that the Board of "Revenue is satisfied that qualified "candidates cannot be obtained."

[Not necessary.]

A. G. Cardew.

P. T. Tharyan—17-2-06.

C. A. Souter—17-2-06.

The above seems correct.

H. D. Taylor—19-2-06.

To the Revenue Department.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

The alteration may, it is submitted, be accepted in this department.

B.M.—20-2-06.

F. B. Evans—21-2-06.

It would make the effect a trifle clearer if clause (2), as above drafted, preceded clause (1). The exemption would then run—

(1) Appointments in the Forest Department, whether permanent or temporary, on pay not exceeding Rs. 15 per mensem, provided that the Board is satisfied that qualified candidates cannot be obtained.

H. D. Taylor—3-2-06.

(2) Appointments in the Forest Department which are of a temporary character and of which the pay is chargeable to "conservancy and works".

A. G. Cardew—22-2-06.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

The alteration suggested by the Revenue Department may be accepted and inserted in the proof of the Public Service Notification now under consideration.

P. T. Tharyan—23-2-06.

No objection.

C. A. Souter—23-2-06.

III

Please use the word "employment" instead of "entertainment" throughout the Public Service Notification which may now be reprinted and circulated for acceptance to all departments.

H. D. Taylor—12-1-06.

A proof copy of the whole notification as corrected up to date has been called for for circulation to the departments of the Secretariat for acceptance.

P. T. THARYAN—23-1-06.

C. A. SOUTER—30-1-06.

The proof has been received from the Press and has been checked and is submitted for approval. This may now be sent to other departments of the Secretariat for acceptance.

K.K.M.—6-2-06.

Revised proof is submitted.

P. T. THARYAN—10-2-06.

C. A. SOUTER—10-2-06.

To the Judicial
" Public
" Marine
" Revenue
" Public Works
Department.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

Under Government Secretariat in the revised Public Service Notification, the terms First and Second Assistants and Head Clerk require amendment with reference to the recent change of designation to Registrar, Deputy Registrar and Superintendent.

2. Under "N. Medical Department", Military Assistant Surgeons and Military Hospital Assistants may be struck out, as the Madras Government have no control over the recruitment of men of those classes. The recruitment is now controlled by the Director-General, Indian Medical Service.

3. No other remarks seem called for in the Public Department.

C. S. SIMPSON—15-2-06.

C. A. SOUTER—16-2-06.

To the Educational Department.

MARINE DEPARTMENT.

Submitted that the proof of the revised Public Service Notification may be forwarded to the Presidency Port Officer for check.

M.V.V.—15-2-06.

Very well.

A. Y G. CAMPBELL—16-2-06.

To the Presidency Port Officer.

PRESIDENCY PORT OFFICE.

Proof checked and returned.

W. MITCHELL—19-2-06.

MARINE DEPARTMENT.

The proof of the Public Service Notification may now be returned to the Educational Department.

M.V.V.—22-2-06.

A. Y. G. CAMPBELL—23-2-06.

To the Educational Department.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

The proof of the revised Public Service Notification may be accepted by this Department subject to the following remarks:—

I. Article 2, *Column A, Item* (4).—This entry is now unnecessary, the conditions of recruitment to the conservancy establishment having since changed. Under the scheme of reorganisation sanctioned in G.O., No. 1272 W., dated 10th July 1905, that establishment now consists of (*a*) Sub-Overseers and (*b*) Works establishment. For (*a*) the requisite educational qualifications are prescribed in article 3—Item O in the statement. As regards (*b*), appointments the pay of which is chargeable to "Works" are, under column *B* of article 2, excluded from the operation of the Public Service Notification.

II. Article 3 *and Item O* (*Public Works Department*) *in the table subjoined.*—Reading these two together it would appear that the men appointed as Sub-Overseers, Surveyors and Draftsmen must possess not only the *technical* qualifications specified in the table, but also * "the *general* educational qualification mentioned in article 1", *i.e.*, the Madras Upper Secondary or the Matriculation examination. As a fact, however, the passed Sub-Overseers and Surveyors and Draftsmen of the Madras College of Engineering do not possess the *general* educational qualification referred to above, as the College rules do not require such qualifications for admission into those classes.

In the case of Assistant Engineers and Engineer Subordinates, the candidates have passed no doubt the First-in-Arts and Matriculation examinations, respectively, but they are not required by the College rules to have passed the Handwriting and Dictation test as well, which is prescribed in article 1 of the Public Service Notification.

The wording of article 3 would thus seem to require some modification in order to make the intention clearer. A note might be added under "O. Public Works Department" to the effect that the posts included in that head are not governed by article 1.

* See the wording of article 3.

J.V.S.—17-2-06.

S. B. MURRAY—19-2-06.

Accepted subject to the remarks in the note above.

J. P. DAVIDSON—21-2-06.

To the Educational Department.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

The proof may be approved.

K.K.—14-2-06.
S.P.—15-2-06.

C. A. SOUTER—16-2-06.

To the Educational Department.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

The portions of the notification relating to the Revenue Department have been checked and found correct. The file may, it is submitted, be sent back to the Educational Department.

E.S.—20-2-06.

Under B. Government Secretariat "(1) First Assistant, (2) Second Assistant and (3) Registrar" may be altered into "(1) Registrar, (2) Deputy Registrar and (3) Superintendent".

A. G. CARDEW.

C.R.—22-2-06.
M B.—22-2-06.

V. A. ANANTARAMA AIYAR—27-2-06.

There was a question about exclusion of temporary Forest appointments in column B. How was that decided?

A. G. CARDEW—28-2-06.

The alterations suggested in this Department have been accepted by Educational and will be carried out by that Department—page 3 *supra*.

B.M.—1-3-06.

F. B. EVANS—2-3-06.
A. G. CARDEW—3-3-06.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

The proof of the Public Service Notification as revised up to date was forwarded for acceptance to the departments of the Secretariat to which proofs were sent on the previous occasion, namely, the Judicial, Public, Marine, Revenue and Public Works Departments. It has now been returned by these departments. Marine and Judicial have not offered any remarks. The remarks of the other departments are extracted below. Independently of the present reference certain proposals for amending the Public Service Notification have been accepted separately. These and other slight alterations which the notification seems to require are also dealt with below in the order in which they occur:—

2. Article 1 is as follows:—

"Subject to the provisions of article 2 no person shall be eligible for appointment to any post "in the superior service carrying a pay of Rs. 15 or more unless he has passed the Madras Upper "Secondary examination, with English as his first language, or the Matriculation examination "of the Madras University or some other Examination accepted by Government for the purposes "of this notification as equivalent. Persons not being natives of India, who have passed no public "examination, must satisfy Government that they have received an education at least up to the "standard of the Upper Secondary or the Matriculation examination.

"NOTE (1).—The following examinations shall be accepted as equivalent to the Upper Secondary or the Matriculation "examination:—

"(1) The late Higher examination for women.
"(2) The Oxford or Cambridge senior local examinations.
"(3) The Matriculation examination of the London University.
"(4) The School final examination of the Allahabad University.
"(5) The First examination in arts of the Calcutta University.
"(6) The Senior Commercial examination of the London Chamber of Commerce.

"The cases of persons who have passed other examinations of a similar character may be referred for the special orders "of Government. The Entrance examinations of the Calcutta, Bombay and Allahabad Universities are not accepted as "equivalent to the Upper Secondary examination or to the Madras University Matriculation examination.

"NOTE (2).—All undergraduates shall be required to have passed in handwriting and in dictation as prescribed under "first language (English) in the Upper Secondary examination notification. Undergraduates who have passed the Entrance "examination for the Subordinate establishment of the Account branch of the Public Works Department are exempted "from passing this test for appointments in the Public Works Department; and undergraduates who passed in "Handwriting before 1896 will not be required to have passed in Dictation. Undergraduates who passed the late Hand-"writing Test for Matriculates, or the late Middle School examination in the first class, are exempt from passing this test.

"Candidates for appointment as teachers who hold Second-grade Collegiate or Upper Secondary trained teachers' "certificates are not required to have passed in Handwriting and Dictation.

"NOTE (3).—The examination for the Handwriting and Dictation Test for undergraduates is held twice in the year "once in May and a second time in December. The fee for admission to the examination is Rs. 3."

3. In note (2) candidates for appointment as teachers who hold Second-grade Collegiate or Upper Secondary trained teachers' certificates are exempted from the Handwriting and Dictation test. Similarly candidates for appointment as Minor Irrigation Supervisors and Overseers in the Revenue Department and as Engineer Subordinates in the Public Works Department should be exempted from the Handwriting and Dictation test. Under article 3 they should pass the examination prescribed for the Engineer Subordinate class of the College of Engineering and the qualification for admission to this class is the Upper Secondary or the Matriculation examination or an examination accepted as equivalent thereto—rule 39 on page 8 of G.O., No. 37, Educational, dated 20th January 1906. They do not now pass the Handwriting and Dictation test nor does this seem to have ever been intended. In this connection please see also the Public Works Department's note on page 5 *supra*. In that note it is stated that Assistant Engineers also should be exempted; but under article 3 of the Public Service Notification no one can be appointed an Assistant Engineer who has not passed the B.E. degree examination. He will then be a graduate and not an undergraduate, and it will then be unnecessary for him to pass the Handwriting and Dictation test.

C. A. SOUTER.
H. D. TAYLOR.

C. A. SOUTER.
H. D. TAYLOR.

4. Article 2 is as follows:—

"(1) The persons specified in column A of the table below in respect of the appointments "therein specified are exempted, and

"(2) the posts specified in column B of the table are excluded from the operation of article 1.

A

Persons exempted.

* * * * *

"(4) Draftsmen and Surveyors who possess certificates of the College of Engineering, "Madras, or the Draftsman's group certificate in Mechanical Drawing awarded under the notifica-"tion regulating the Government Technical examinations, for appointment to the Conservancy "establishment of the Public Works Department.

* * * * *

5. The Public Works Department suggests the omission of item (4) in the following words—"This entry is now unnecessary, the condition of recruitment to the conser-"vancy establishment having since changed. Under the scheme of reorganization "sanctioned in G.O., No. 1272 W., dated 10th July 1905, that establishment now "consists of (*a*) Sub-Overseers and (*b*) Works establishment. For (*a*) the requisite "educational qualifications are prescribed in article 3—item O in the table under that "article. As regards (*b*), appointments the pay of which is chargeable to "works" "are under column B of the table under article 2 excluded from the operation of the "Public Service notification."

6. Submitted that item (4) may be omitted.

C. A. SOUTER.
H. D. TAYLOR.

7. Article 2 again is as follows:—

"(1) The persons specified in column A of the table below in respect of the appointments "therein specified are exempted, and

"(2) the posts specified in column B of the table are excluded from the operation of "article 1.

B

Appointments excluded.

* * * * *

DEPARTMENTAL.

Revenue Department.

* * * * *

"(2) *Minor Irrigation maistries.*—Preference shall be given to candidates who have passed "the examination prescribed for the Sub-"Overseer and Surveyor class in the College of "Engineering, to agricultural students who "have passed in Agricultural Engineering and "Surveying and to those who have passed the "Government Technical examinations in Men-"suration (elementary), Building construction (intermediate), Plan drawing and Estimating "(elementary) and Surveying and Levelling (intermediate).

The Public Works Department have asked that "River Conservancy Inspectors" should be entered under "O" Public Works. This will now be done under "O" in the table sub-joined to article 3.

H. D. TAYLOR—6-1-06.
G. S. F[ORBES].

* * * * *

8. Submitted that before item (2), a new item "Minor Irrigation Sub-Overseers" may be inserted. Special qualifications for these subordinates are prescribed in article 3 under C. Revenue Department, item (19), namely, examination prescribed for

C. A. SOUTER.

the Sub-Overseer and Surveyor class, College of Engineering, or examinations in Agricultural Engineering and Surveying and Levelling. Admissions to the College o Engineering and to the College of Agriculture are governed by special rules. Minor Irrigation Sub-Overseers should therefore have no further general education qualification. In column B of the table under article 2, Field surveyors, and Deputy surveyors, Hospital Assistants and Deputy Inspectors of Vaccination are likewise exempted.

Approved subject to acceptance in Revenue.
H. D. Taylor—5-1-06.

9. *Article 2, column B of table—*

" Forest Department—

" Appointments in the Forest Department which are of a temporary character and carry " pay which is chargeable to " Conservancy and Works ".

C. A. Souter.

10. As suggested by the Revenue Department on page 3 *supra* this item may be altered as follows :—

" Forest Department—

" (1) Appointments whether permanent " or temporary on pay not exceeding Rs. 15 per " mensem, provided that the Board is satisfied " that qualified candidates cannot be obtained.

Yes. This power was freely conferred but unintentionally omitted from the last notification.
H. D. Taylor—6-1-06.

" (2) Appointments which are of a temporary character and of which the pay is chargeable " to Conservancy and Works."

11. *Article 2, column B of table—*

" Police—

" (1) Head constables.

" (2) Sub-Inspectors on promotion from head constables.

C. A. Souter.
H. D. Taylor.

12. In this item the words " the grade of " may perhaps be inserted between " from " and " head constables ".

13. *Article 2, column B of table—*

" Public Works Department—

" Appointments the pay of which is chargeable to " Works ".

14. For the reason given in paragraph 8 *supra* a new item " Sub-Overseers " may be inserted above this item which may be numbered as (2). Please see also the Public Works Department note on page 5 *supra*. Surveyors and Draftsmen are now exempt from the general education qualification—*vide* item (6) in column A of the table under article 2.

C. A. Souter.
H. D. Taylor.

15. In a recent reference from the Public Works Department it was stated that under paragraph 197 of the Public Works Code, the Public Works Department was in the habit of admitting to the grade of Upper Subordinates unpassed men having a thorough practical knowledge and experience in any branch of Engineering and it was proposed to extend the practice to the grade of Lower Subordinates also. It is submitted that provision for the formal exemption of such men from the examination rules should be made in the Public Service Notification. Exemption from the technical qualifications very often implies exemption from the general education qualification also. If the suggestion made above regarding Sub-Overseers is approved nothing further is necessary in the case of Lower Subordinates admitted under paragraph 197 of the Public Works Code. In the case of Upper Subordinates an additional clause may be inserted as follows :—

C. A. Souter.
H D. Taylor.

" Upper Subordinates admitted under " paragraph 197 of the Public Works Code."

H. D. Taylor.

---

16. Article 3 is as follows :—

" (3) In addition to the general education qualification mentioned in article 1, candidates " before appointment to particular posts, or before promotion to or confirmation in such, shall be " required to pass such tests of a special, professional, or technical nature as may, from time to time, " be declared by Government to be applicable. A list of these particular posts and of the qualifying tests required for each is given in the appended table."

Table showing the various offices in " Superior service " for which Special, Professional or Technical tests are prescribed.

* * * * *

B. Government Secretariat.

Offices or Appointments.		Tests.
" (1) First Assistant	in the Revenue Department.	Revenue Test, Higher Grade. Account Test do.
" (2) Second do.		
" (3) Registrar		
" (4) Head clerk		
" (5) Clerks on Rs. 70 and upwards in the Revenue Department.		Revenue Test, Higher Grade.
" (6) Budget clerk in the Public Department.		Account Test, Higher Grade.
" (7) Clerks on Rs. 50 and upwards in the Local and Municipal Department.		Do. do.
" (8) Clerks on Rs. 50 and upwards in the Public and Revenue Departments.		Translation Test, Lower Grade.
" (9) Translators		Do. do.
" (10) Accountant in the Government Press ..		Account Test, Higher Grade."

* * * * *

17. The Public and Revenue Departments suggest, with reference to the recent changes in designation, that the term " First Assistant " may be altered into " Registrar ", " Second Assistant " into " Deputy Registrar " and " Registrar " into " Superintendent ". This may be done.

Similarly the term " Budget clerk " may be altered into " Superintendent, Budget section ".

With reference to the notes and orders in the Local and Municipal Department on pages 1 and 2 *supra* the rupee limit in item (7) should be raised to 75.

C. A. Souter
H. D. Taylor.

18. *Article 3—*

N. Medical Department.

" (1) Civil Assistant Surgeons	Examinations for the degree of M.D., M.B. & C.M. or L.M. & S. of the Madras or other University.
" (2) Military Assistant Surgeons	Examination prescribed for the students of the Apothecary Department in the Madras Medical College.
" (3) Civil Hospital Assistants	Examination prescribed for the students of the Civil Hospital Assistant grade.
" (4) Military Hospital Assistants	Examination prescribed for the students of the Military Hospital Assistant grade."

19. The Public Department suggests that items (2) and (4) may be omitted as recruitment to the Subordinate Military Medical Department is now controlled by the Director-General, Indian Medical Service. They may be done.

C. A. Souter.
H. D. Taylor.

20. With reference to the latter portion of paragraph 15 *supra*, an additional clause may be inserted in article 5 as follows :—

" The Chief Engineer, Public Works " Department, may sanction the exemption of " unpassed candidates for admission to the grade " of Upper or Lower Subordinates in the Public " Works Department under paragraph 197 of the Public Works Code."

C. A. Souter.

What do Public Works Department say? The Honourable Member did not specifically approve the proposal.
H. D. Taylor—6-1-06.

21. The file may be forwarded to the Revenue and Public Works Departments for any further remarks they may have to offer.

P. T. THARYAN—14-3-06.

C. A. SOUTER—14-3-06.

To the Revenue
,, Public Works } *Department.*

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

With reference to the notes recorded on pages 2 and 3 of the notes to G.O., No. 51, Educational, dated 29th January 1906, the question whether the Draftsman's test should be made compulsory in the case of temporary Draftsmen as well may now be considered. In paragraph 2 of the notes, dated 6th January 1906, the Secretary asked whether it would not be better now to extend the test qualification to temporary Draftsmen entering the service in future leaving all men now in service free from it (page 2 of the notes to G.O., No. 51, Educational, dated 29th January 1906).

The Draftsmen employed in the Survey Department are required to have passed the examinations prescribed for the Draftsmen's class, College of Engineering, Madras; but temporary hands are not required to pass these tests [*vide* article 3 D (1) of the Public Service Notification]. The order restricting these tests qualifications to permanent hands was based on paragraph 2 of the Superintendent's letter on page 31 of G.O., No. 67, Educational, dated 2nd February 1894. The reasons advanced by the Superintendent of Survey in paragraph 5 of his letter, dated 20th February 1893 (in G.O., No. 167, dated 4th March 1893) will apparently still hold good. Before deciding the question finally it seems, therefore, desirable to call for the remarks of the Deputy Director of Survey and the Board of Revenue.

Article 3 of the notification.

Viz., that temporary service is not qualifying service and that therefore it is difficult to attract qualified men.

F. B. EVANS.

I don't think any alteration is required.

F. B. EVANS—23-3-06.

We may leave it alone.

A. G. CARDEW—23-3-06.

2. Now that the sanction of the Secretary of State to the formation of a Land Records Department has been obtained, provision should be made in the Public Service Notification in respect of the various appointments newly created. The Land Records Tahsildar is to be appointed to the lowest grade Tahsildar in the district at the first vacancy after his appointment as Land Records Tahsildar and the Land Records Inspector is after three years either to be promoted or reverted to the post of a firka Inspector. In paragraph 3 of G.O., No. 919, dated 20th August 1904, it has been laid down that no person should be appointed a Land Records Tahsildar who is not fully qualified under existing orders to fill the post of a Tahsildar. In these circumstances the qualifications of a Land Records Tahsildar may be taken as the same as those of an ordinary Tahsildar and the qualifications of a Land Records Inspector as those of an ordinary firka Inspector. The words "Land Records Tahsildars" and "Land Records Inspectors" may be added to items (11) and (13) respectively of article 3 (C. Revenue Department) of the notification. If thought necessary the Board's remarks may be invited on this point also.

Vide paragraph 20, G.O., No. 1212, dated 30th November 1903, and paragraphs 6 and 7 of Mr. Carr's letter, dated 12th February 1903.

I don't think the addition is required since the words "Tahsildar" and "Revenue Inspector" can, and I think should, be held to include "Land Records Tahsildar" and "Land Records Inspector."

F. B. EVANS—23-3-06.

3. The alterations suggested on page 5 *supra* have been adopted.

C.R.—21-3-06.

M.A —21-3-06.

V. A. ANANTARAMA AIYAR—22-3-06.

Submitted that there is no need to make any further alterations in the notification.

F. B. EVANS—23-3-06.

I agree; but if Educational like to put in the reference to Land Records Tahsildars and Land Records Inspectors, there is no objection.

A. G. CARDEW—23-3-06.

To the Educational Department.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

The changes suggested in paragraphs 3, 6, 14 and 15 of the Educational Department note, dated 14th March 1906, are intended to give effect to the suggestions made in this department note of the 17th February last and may be accepted by this Department.

2. With reference to the addition to article 5 of the Public Service Notification proposed in paragraph 20 of the Educational Department note, dated 14th March 1906, so as to empower the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, to sanction the exemption of unpassed candidates for admission to the grade of Upper and Lower Subordinates in the Public Works Department under paragraph 197 of the Public Works Department Code, attention is solicited to the notes, dated 16th March 1906, recorded by the Hon'ble Mr. Forbes in another file, from which it will be seen that the Honourable Member is not for delegating such powers to the Chief Engineer, but desires that such exemptions should continue to be sanctioned by Government in the Educational Department.

Page 8 *supra*.

J.V.S.—2-4-06.

A. G. ROMILLY—3-4-06

Accepted in the Public Works Department. The Honourable Member's note above referred to is being replied to separately. The case has been somewhat misunderstood.

J. P. DAVIDSON—5-4-06.

To the Educational Department.

IV

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), No. 253, *dated* 13*th Oct.* 1905.
" " " " *D. No.* 57, ,, 12*th Mar.* 1906.

In October last the Board of Revenue submitted certain amendments to Board's Standing Order No. 127 (Qualifications for appointment) with reference to the Public Service Notification No. 103, dated 12th September 1905. These amendments were checked in this office, and on the suggestion of this Department, the Educational Department issued a notification making certain alterations in the Public Service Notification of the 12th September 1905—*vide* G.O., No. 47, Educational, dated 23rd January 1906. In official memorandum No. 6947/05-1, dated 3rd February 1906, the Board of Revenue was asked to embody these alterations also. The Board now submits revised draft of paragraphs 1, 2 and 2-A of the Standing Order.

2. The notification embodied in G.O., No. 47, Educational, dated 23rd January 1906, conferred upon *Collectors* the power to sanction the employment of unpassed men in sub. *pro tem.* vacancies and in *temporary posts* as well as in acting vacancies—*vide* paragraph 3 (4) of the notification in G.O., No. 47, Educational, dated 23rd January 1906. The Board presumes that it is the intention of Government to extend to Revenue Divisional Officers in Ganjám, Vizagapatam, the Ceded districts and the

Nilgiris the power to sanction the employment of unpassed men also in sub. *pro tem.* vacancies and in temporary posts. This point, it is submitted, was not specifically considered in the notes to G.O., No. 47, Educational, dated 23rd January 1906. There seems to be no objection to the extension of the power to Divisional Officers generally. If this view be accepted, the Educational Department may be asked to make the necessary alterations in the revised Public Service Notification which will issue shortly.

Should be done, I think. The Board appears to have lost sight of the Divisional Officers referred to in 2 (v) of its Standing Order.

F. B. EVANS—26-3-06.

E.S.—20-3-06.

C.R.—23-3-06.

It was decided in G.O., No. 47, dated 23rd January 1906, that, so far as Board's and Collectors' powers were concerned, "acting vacancies" should include "sub. *pro tem.* vacancies and temporary posts."

The present proposal is to apply the same ruling to "Collectors in Ganjám, Vizagapatam, etc.," who have more extensive powers than other Collectors, and to all Divisional-officers.

This was, I think, the intention in G.O., No. 47, dated 23rd January 1906, and we may ask Educational to agree to the Board's interpretation, as modified, and to make the point clear in the notification.

F. B. EVANS—26-3-06.

A. G. CARDEW—27-3-06.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

This may lie over pending the return of the draft of the revised Public Service Notification forwarded to the Revenue and Public Works Departments for acceptance.

P. T. THARYAN—31-3-06.

C. A. SOUTER—2-4-06.

H. D. TAYLOR—6-4-06.

V

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

With reference to the Revenue Department note, dated 23rd March 1906, on page 10 *supra*, may the words "including Land Records Tahsildars" be inserted in article 3 after "Tahsildars" in item (11) under C. REVENUE DEPARTMENT and the words "including Land Records Inspectors" after "Revenue Inspectors" in item (13)?

Very well.

C. A. SOUTER.

H. D. TAYLOR.

2. The addition suggested in paragraph 20 of the office-note, dated 14th March 1906, on page 9 *supra*, may be omitted as the Honourable Member has directed that such exemptions should be sanctioned in the Educational Department. The file has gone back to the Honourable Member.

A. Wait till the Honourable Member replies to the Public Works Department's note.

C. A. SOUTER.

3. With reference to the Revenue Secretary's note above, dated 27th March 1906, the words "in acting and sub. *pro tem.* vacancies and in temporary posts" may be substituted for the words "in acting vacancies" in clause (6) of article 4 of the notification and the same words may be inserted after the words "unpassed candidates" in clauses (7) and (8).

It will then be for consideration whether the same words may not be substituted for the words "in acting vacancies" in clause (5) as otherwise the powers of District Judges, Superintending Engineers, the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, Madras, Conservators of Forests and Deputy and Assistant Commissioners of Salt, Abkári and Customs Department will be inferior to those of Revenue Divisional-officers and there may be references from the former for more extended powers.

I see no reason why all should not be treated alike.

C. A. SOUTER.

I fully agree. This was the intention I think, but it was not clearly expressed.

H. D. TAYLOR.

4. With reference to the Secretary's notes on page 5 of G.O., R. No. 70, dated 28th March 1906, and page 5 of G.O., R. No. 72 of the 30th idem, the following note may perhaps be inserted at the end of article 4:—

"*Note.*—The powers of exemption granted in clauses (2) to (8) may be exercised "in respect also of acting or sub. *pro. tem.* "appointments in permanent vacancies".

H. D. TAYLOR.

P. T. THARYAN—19-4-06.

C. A. SOUTER—21-4-06.

The delegation of powers to Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, to exempt Lower Subordinates under paragraph 197, Public Works Department Code, must await the Honourable Member's orders on the separate file *. I think that the Chief Engineer may be relied upon to see that such exemptions are given only in the case of men of proved practical ability and that the proposal may be accepted.

Public Works Department note, dated 5th April 1906, and note at **A** *supra*.

* Has this returned?

H. D. TAYLOR.

It has returned and gone back to the Public Works Department. The Honourable Member did not pass any specific orders on this point when the file went back to him.

P. T. THARYAN—7-5-06.

2. The concluding note, which it is proposed to add at the end of the office-note of 19th April 1906 *supra*, must be approved by the Honourable Member. The powers under (3) to (8) are strictly limited in extent and there is no *real* distinction between acting, sub. *pro tem.* and temporary appointments. The present distinction was never really intended.

3. As requested in a file since received from the Public Works Department, "River Conservancy Inspector" have been entered after "O. Public Works Department" under article 3 of the notification.

4. Submitted to the Hon'ble Mr. Forbes, C.S.I., for approval of the proposals in paragraphs 1 and 2 *supra*—

(1) seems unobjectionable,

(2) merely removes a certain indefiniteness of language in the previous notification.

The other alterations are mere drafting alterations or have been approved from time to time.

H. D. TAYLOR—7-5-06.

There has been some discussion in various connections on the first point. The present rule should stand, these Public Works Department exemptions coming up to Educational Department as now.

On the second point, a sub. *pro tem.* vacancy is an *acting* vacancy. The two terms are used solely for financial purposes—whether for the acting vacancy full or not full pay is available. Otherwise the two are precisely on the same footing. The proposed addition will however make the rule clearer and is approved.

G. S. F[ORBES]—12-6-06.

Now have a clean proof. Enter River Conservancy establishment as ordered and leave Public Works Department Lower subordinates alone.

C. A. SOUTER—14-6-06.

H. D. TAYLOR—14-6-06.

Please see the proof of the Public Service and Special Test notifications, as now corrected, placed below. If approved it will be sent to the Press and a revised proof obtained.

P. T. Tharyan—14-6-06.

Proof approved. Mark urgent as it must be published before July 1st.

C. A. Souter—15-6-06.

In the file I returned regarding the revision of the Public Service notification, there were proposals as to exemption, if I remember aright, of Upper and Lower Public Works Department subordinates.

Are *all* the persons who would be exempted under the proposals persons who must have qualified by technical or professional tests, apart from purely educational tests?

G. S. F[orbes]—14-6-06.

File now in office for draft. Please note hereon.

H. D. Taylor—15-6-06.

The proposals as to exemption were in respect of—

(1) Upper Subordinates admitted under paragraph 197 of the Public Works Code. It was proposed* that their appointments should be entered under "Public Works Department" in column B of the table under article 2 of the Public Service Notification. The appointments would then be exempt from the operation of article 1 of the notification, or in other words no minimum general educational qualification would be required of candidates for those appointments. Their professional qualification will be governed by paragraph 197 of the Public Works Code. Upper Subordinates † appointed in the ordinary course will have to pass the examination prescribed for the Engineer Subordinate class of the College of Engineering—item (2) under O. Public Works Department.

* Paragraph 15, page 8 *supra*.

† Upper Subordinates are Sub-Engineer, Supervisor and Overseer. Lower Subordinates are Sub-overseers. Paragraph 16 of the Public Works Code.

(2) Sub-Overseers and River Conservancy Inspectors. The proposal ‡ was to include these also under "Public Works Department" in column B of the table under article 2. Candidates for these appointments will not then be required to possess any general educational qualification. Their technical qualifications will, however, still continue, that is, they must have passed the examination prescribed for the Sub-Overseer and Surveyor class, College of Engineering, Madras—*vide* entry under O. Public Works Department in the table under article 3.

‡ Marginal note on page 7 against paragraph 7; also paragraph 14 on page 8.

P. T. Tharyan—15-6-06.

The only qualifications required of Public Works Department subordinates are technical qualifications. Apart from what the College rules require there are no general educational qualifications imposed by Government. Please see on pages 6 and 7, paragraph 3 of the office-note and also on page 5, paragraph II of the Public Works Department note.

C. A. Souter—15-6-06.

The question as to the qualifications required for admission to the various classes of the Engineering College is now under consideration in connection with the proposals for the reorganization of the College.

2. The qualifications at present required are specified on pages 7 to 9 of G.O., No. 37, dated 20th January 1906. For the Engineer Subordinate class the Matriculation is prescribed and for the Sub-Overseer and Surveyor class the qualification is the Lower Secondary or late Middle School examination or "an examination accepted by Government as equivalent thereto".

3. If power is reserved to the Public Works Department under article 197, Public Works Department Code, to exempt from the technical test on the ground of practical knowledge and experience, presumably the educational test which qualifies for admission to the technical course is also dispensed with, and this is the practice.

4. It is urged in the Public Works Department that if under article 197, Public Works Department Code, they can exempt Upper Subordinates, the Department should be able to exempt Lower Subordinates also from the technical examinations and, *ergo*, from the general educational qualifications which admit to the technical classes.

H. D. Taylor—15-6-06.

If the proposal means that the Public Works Department should be able to exempt from the educational qualifications necessary for admission to the technical classes, I cannot assent.

If the admission qualifications are maintained, the fact of qualifying by the technical standards should relieve from further general educational tests.

G. S. F[orbes]—16-6-06.

To the Public Works Department for remarks.

The issue of the revised notification cannot be delayed and if the question is not settled in time for the final proof, the power of exemption, if allowed, should be made by an addendum slip, the item being omitted in the general notification.

H. D. Taylor—16-6-06.

To the Public Works Department.

Public Works Department.

I have read paragraph 197 of the Public Works Code again and am not in sympathy with it. As worded it gives Government power to put in men in what are described as suitable positions, in other words gives power to bring in an outsider over the heads of men in the service. I should never take action under this paragraph in this particular direction, and the more difficult it is made for my successor to do so the better pleased I shall be. So far as appointments at the bottom rung of the ladder are concerned, there should, as a rule, be always a sufficient supply of fully qualified men. I have, in another file, raised another question—the promotion of a Sub-Overseer for approved service as an exceptional case from the Lower Subordinate to the Upper Subordinate class. These cases would of course be few and far between and could, if necessary, be brought to notice of Educational. I am quite in agreement with the view taken by the Honourable Member.

J. P. Davidson—18-6-06.

To the Educational Department.

Educational Department.

Z | The revised Public Service Notification will now issue without the exemption from general educational qualification proposed in the case of Upper Subordinates appointed under article 197, Public Works Code.

H. D. Taylor—19-6-06.

Clean proofs of the Public Service and Special Test Notifications are submitted for approval. Should the Chief, Revenue and Public Works Secretariats be asked to accept them finally?

2. Item (3) under Public Works Department in article 2 of the Public Service Notification has been scored out with reference to the orders at Z above.

P. T. Tharyan—21-6-06.

Perhaps this had better go to departments again for final scrutiny.

C. A. Souter—22-6-06.

Yes. Very urgently please. The notification has to issue by 1st July. Separate copy should go to each department which may be asked to treat the matter as very urgent.

H. D. TAYLOR—23-6-06.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

The only amendments required by the Public Works Department are those specified in the note, dated 23rd June 1906, addressed separately to the Educational Department (pages 19 and 20 *infra*).

R. PARSONS—25-6-06.

With the exception of the amendment referred to in the Public Works Department Registrar's note above there is nothing Public Works Department requires, and the notification is accepted.

J. P. DAVIDSON—25-6-06.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

The point specified above will have to form the subject of separate orders, which cannot be awaited in the present notification.

H. D. TAYLOR—25-6-06.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

The portions of the Public Service and Special Test notifications relating to this Department have been scrutinized. Submitted that they may be accepted subject to the alterations indicated below :—

As the testing establishment in the Customs-house, Madras, has been abolished,* the remarks in the last column under "Customs Department" in article 3 of the notification should be omitted. For the same reason the words "except the appointment of assistant tester in the Madras Customs-house" occurring in item (16) of the appointments against Translation Test, Lower grade, in the Special Test notification should also be omitted.

F. B. EVANS—27-6-06.

G.A.V.—27-6-06.

Public Service Notification.—(1) For the words "Deputy Commissioners of Settlement" occurring in column B, article 2 under Settlement Department may be substituted "Settlement Parties" as there are at present no Deputy Commissioners working in the Settlement Department.

Yes. Nor likely to be.

F. B. EVANS.

(2) The words "Land Records Tahsildars" may be added after "Tahsildars" in column 1 under, article 3 "A-General".

Yes; may be added.

F. B. EVANS.

(3) For the words "Land Revenue Inspectors" item (13) under "C-Revenue Department" article 3, the words "Land *Records* Inspectors" may be substituted.

Yes.

F. B. EVANS.

Special Test Notification.—(1) The words "Land Records Tahsildars" may be put in after "Tahsildars" against Précis-writing Test.

(2) The plural term "Tahsildars" may be used instead of the singular in item 12, Translation Test, Lower grade.

Yes.

F. B. EVANS.

---

* *Vide* Board's Proceedings on page 7 of G.O., No. 1221, dated 19th December 1905.

(3) The words "Land *Records* Tahsildars" and "Land *Records* Inspectors" may be used for Land *Revenue* Tahsildars and Land *Revenue* Inspectors occurring in items (1) and (7) under Criminal Judicial Test, Lower grade, and Revenue Test, Lower grade.

Yes.

F. B. EVANS—27-6-06.

K.R.N.—27-6-06.

F. B. EVANS—27-6-06.

PUBLIC AND MARINE DEPARTMENTS.

The notification has been checked in these departments and may be accepted.

C. S. SIMPSON—26-6-06.

PUBLIC (MILITARY), POLITICAL AND ECCLESIASTICAL DEPARTMENTS.

The reference to article 237 of volume XIII of the Army Regulations, 1900, in article 2 of the Public Service Notification cannot be checked as there is no copy of the book in the office. There is probably a later edition. Submitted that the following telephonic message may be sent to the Assistant Adjutant-General :—

> "Article 237 of volume XIII of the Army Regulations, 1900, provides for the grant of "certain examination certificates. If the volume has undergone revision, can you let me know "in what volume and paragraph of the existing Regulations the provisions are reproduced."

J. A. DEROZARIO—26-6-06.

Issue.

A. GALLETTI—26-6-06.

Telephonic message from the Assistant Adjutant-General, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated 26th June 1906, No. A-777.

Your telephone message of date. Please see India Army Order 225 of 1906 which comes into force in August and October next.

I have ascertained from the Assistant Adjutant-General's office that volume XIII of the Army Regulations is still in force. Army Order 225 of 1906 modifies the syllabus of the examinations given in paragraph 237 of volume XIII. Submitted that the reference to paragraph 237 may stand.

J. A. DEROZARIO—27-6-06.

A. GALLETTI—27-6-06.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

The notification has been checked in this Department and may be accepted.

The file may, it is submitted, be passed on to the Financial Department.

S.P.—28-6-06.

C. A. SOUTER—28-6-06.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

Attention is solicited to the entry "appointments the pay of which is paid from "the Provincial grant for the District Post"—in the list (under article 2 of the draft notification) of appointments excluded from the operation of the rule requiring certain minimum educational qualifications.

G.O., No. 805, Financial, dated 3rd December 1903.

It is submitted that since the imperialization of the Postal Department, there are no appointments in that department paid from Provincial. Even where Post offices are established at the instance of the Local Government, the officials employed there belong to and are controlled by the Imperial Department—the Local Government only *paying the cost* of such Post offices.

2. It will therefore be seen that the entry above referred to is unnecessary and may be deleted.

C. M. Schmidt.

A.R.—28-6-06.

Special Test Notification—Translation Test, Lower grade.

See also item (6) under "Revenue Test, Lower grade".

Also item (10) under C. Revenue Department in article 3 of the Public Service Notification.

C. M. Schmidt.

Item (11).—"Sub-Collector" and "Head Assistant Collector" are *grades* now: would not "Head Clerks in Revenue Divisional offices" do for this entry?

Item (20).—I don't think there are any separate Translator appointments now in the Secretariats. Those in the Chief Secretariat were abolished some time ago as separate offices.

C. M. Schmidt—28-6-06.

To the Educational Department.

Educational Department.

The notifications have been revised with reference to the remarks of the Revenue and Chief Secretariats. The Public Works Secretariat has no suggestions to make.

The notifications may now issue.

P. T. Tharyan—30-6-06.

May issue. If any further alteration is required it can be effected by addenda or errata slips.

C. A. Souter—1-7-06.

Yes.

H. D. Taylor—1-7-06.

[Notifications published in the *Fort St. George Gazette*, dated 3rd July 1906.]

VI

Public Works Department.

In his note printed on pages 6 to 9 of G.O., No. 1272 W., dated 10th July 1905, the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, drew up a scheme for the reorganisation of the Irrigation Conservancy establishment, and this was approved by Government in the Government order quoted above. The scheme was based on the lines suggested by the Government of India, who also forwarded a note on the subject by the Inspector-General of Irrigation, who wrote as follows:—

> "The proposals for the improvement of the position of the Delta Superintendents put forward in the Madras letter are: first, to bring all the present men on to either the Upper or Lower Subordinate establishments. I venture to think it would be better to bring them all into one class and . . . . . . I think this should be the Sub-Overseer class which would *permit of their being promoted for approved service into the Overseer or Upper Subordinate class with its improved travelling allowances and pay and consequent better pension*—this will give the men something to look forward to, while I venture to think that the pay and position of a first-grade Overseer is ample for men doing the duties which will be required of them. This would also assist in forming a really good Lower Subordinate establishment for the Province . . . . ".

Under the Madras Government Educational rules, it is, however, necessary that before a man can be appointed or promoted to the Upper Subordinate establishment of the Public Works Department, he should be qualified in the subjects prescribed on page 62 of the Engineering College Calendar. It is for consideration whether an exception should not now be made in the case of Sub-Overseers who have practical experience and rendered approved service. I am strongly of opinion that unless such relaxation is allowed the reorganisation of the Irrigation Conservancy establishment will not have the full effect which the Government expect from it.

R. Parsons.

A. G. Romilly—16-6-06.

Promotions from the Sub-Overseer class would of course be very exceptional, but the fact that such promotions were possible would be an inducement to men to do their best. With the certificate of the Sub-Overseer and Surveyor class *plus* many years of practical work a man would be just as good an Upper Subordinate as a young passed student of the college.

Promotion on the same lines obtains in the Upper Subordinate ranks, where selected subordinates may be promoted into the Provincial Engineer service as Assistant Engineers.

There can, I think, be no doubt that Government intended that promotion from the Sub-Overseer to the Upper Subordinate class should be permissible, but in the actual orders dealing with the Conservancy reorganisation this point is nowhere brought out.

J. P. Davidson—16-6-06.

To the Educational Department.

Educational Department.

It is not clear whether the Public Works Department is referring to "River Conservancy Inspectors" as stated in one of its previous notes. If River Conservancy Inspectors are meant, they are not required to pass anything more than the examination prescribed for the Sub-Overseer and Surveyor class of the College of Engineering—*vide* item (5) under O. Public Works Department in article 3 of the proof of the Public Service Notification. Perhaps Public Works Department may be asked whether the entries under O. Public Works Department in the proof are correct or whether they require any alteration with reference to its note above.

P. T. Tharyan—21-6-06.

The rule in Educational may be stated thus, as I understand it. If a subordinate possesses the general educational qualifications requisite for a certain post, but has not passed the necessary *technical* tests, then in considering his experience and ability the Public Works Department does not think it necessary that he should pass the technical tests, the subordinate may be exempted from passing them. What Educational is mainly concerned about is to see that officers of certain grades possess certain general educational qualifications.

2. In the light of these remarks will Public Works Department please say whether they desire any alteration in the Public Service Notification, or whether they wish any further discussion?

C. A. Souter—22-6-06.

To the Public Works Department.

Public Works Department.

What the Public Works Department desires is that it should have power to promote to the Upper Subordinate establishment fully qualified Sub-Overseers who have practical experience and are, in the opinion of the Chief Engineer, fit for such promotion.

The following addition may be made in column A of the table under article 2 of the Public Service Notification ;—

B | "(6) Fully-qualified Sub-Overseers of the Public Works Department whose practical experience fits them, in the opinion of the Chief Engineer, for promotion to the Upper Subordinate grades."

The effect of this addition would be to exempt these men from general educational qualifications. Action could then be taken in the Public Works Department under paragraph 197 of the Public Works Department Code.

The following addition would, as a consequence, also have to be made in the column "Tests" against item (2) Engineer Subordinates under O. Public Works Department in article 3 of the Public Service Notification :—

C | "Fully-qualified Sub-Overseers with practical experience who are considered by the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, fit for promotion to the Upper Subordinate grades."

R. Parsons.

If Educational agrees to the above proposals, the Conservancy reorganization will be more effective and more in keeping with the general idea which obtained at the time this reorganization was worked out. Briefly, the considerable staff of anicut, tank and delta superintendents has been done away, and its place has been taken by a lower subordinate staff of Sub-Overseers. A certain number of the old conservancy staff have of course been brought into the new conservancy staff as Sub-Overseers. The main object of the reorganisation was to have a better class of man on better pay generally and with better prospects in charge of conservancy work, water distribution, etc., than the old staff of superintendents, and one of the improvements in the prospects of these men was to be possibility, for approved service, of promotion to the Upper Subordinate staff. The educational qualifications possessed by these men will be those of the candidates for the Sub-Overseer-Surveyor class in the college, and their technical qualifications will be that of Sub-Overseer-Surveyor. With practical experience some of these men would certainly, as the years go on, be perfectly fit to be Overseers, but of course it would be hopeless to ask them to go and pass the Overseer's test at the college—equally so would it be useless to ask them to pass a higher educational test than that with which they entered the college, and yet the hope of attaining the Upper Subordinate class, and the knowledge that such attainment was possible as the reward of really good work would be a great incentive to really good work. Such promotions would naturally be few and far between, and would equally naturally be only given to men who had thoroughly earned it. I would very strongly recommend the addition to the Public Service Notification of the portions side-lined **B** and **C**.

But what is the test of a "better class of man"? It can in the circumstances be only the standard of the education of the men.

C. A. Souter—26-6-06.

As regards paragraph 197 of the Public Works Code, I have already * stated that, in so far as this paragraph is intended to give power to the Chief Engineer under Government to appoint outsiders in suitable grades, I am not in sympathy with it, but with regard to these Sub-Overseers, it will be noticed that they are not outsiders ; they are men who, when promotion to the Upper Subordinate ranks is proposed, will have done many years' service as Public Works Department Subordinates, and further their promotion will be to the lower grades of Upper Subordinates.

* Page 15, *supra.*

J. P. Davidson—23-6-06.

To the Educational Department.

Educational Department.

At present the technical qualification of Sub-Overseers is the examination prescribed for the Sub-Overseer and Surveyor class, College of Engineering—article 3 of the proof of the Public Service Notification—entry under O. Public Works Department—3. Sub-Overseers. This exempts them from the general educational test—entry under Public Works Department in column B of the table under article 2 on page 2 of the proof.

2. Upper subordinates have to pass the examination prescribed for the Engineer Subordinate class of the College of Engineering—article 3 of the proof of the Public Service Notification—entry under O. Public Works Department—2. Engineer Subordinates.

This ensures their being at least Matriculates as the Matriculation examination is the qualification for admission to the class—rule 39 on page 8 of G.O., No. 37, Educational, dated 20th January 1906.

3. The Public Works Department wants provision to be made for the promotion of Sub-Overseers to the ranks of Upper Subordinates in exceptional cases. Sub-Overseers have then to be exempted from both the general education and technical qualifications. The Honourable Member has decided in his orders, dated the 16th instant, page 15 *supra* that exemption from the general education qualification cannot be given.

4. At present Sub-Inspectors of Police *on promotion from the grade of head constables* are exempt from the general education qualification—*vide* the second entry under "Police" in column B of the table under article 2 of the proof, but their case is not parallel as they should possess the technical qualification required, whereas Sub-Overseers on promotion will require exemption from the technical qualification also.

P. T. Tharyan—26-6-06.

Mr. Davidson has said above that the intention of the proposed change is to give encouragement and hope of promotion to men of the Sub-Overseer class who have done exceptionally good work. He further observes that cases of promotion of this sort will be very few and that all such promotions will be very carefully scrutinized.

2. I submit that, if these promotions are to be so rare, they will form the exception. It would therefore seem unreasonable to give such a general power as is contemplated in the proposed rules to meet purely exceptional cases. Even under the present rules, if the Chief Engineer particularly recommends a man for exemption, it is not likely that Educational would refuse to exempt, and as these cases are admittedly exceptional and would be sent to Educational only if the Chief Engineer made such a particular recommendation, there seems no need to make any change. As to the argument that these Sub-Overseers, by knowing that they may be promoted if they do well, are all the more likely to turn out good work, I submit that they are just as likely to work well under existing arrangements, for they know that good work may get them an exemption from passing examinations.

H. D. Taylor.

H. D. Taylor.

C. A. Souter—26-6-06.

Submitted that as the cases in which promotion from the Lower Subordinate to Upper Subordinate rank is recommended are likely to be exceptional, general exemption is undesirable. Special exemption may be given in each case on its merits.

H. D. Taylor—26-6-06.

I agree.

G. S F[orbes]—27-6-06.

The Public Works Department may see.

C. A. Souter—28-6-06.

H. D. Taylor—28-6-06.

To the Public Works Department.

Public Works Department.

A copy of these notes has been made for record and future reference in this office. The original notes may now be returned to the Educational Department.

R. Parsons—3-7-06.

Returned with thanks. The position taken up by Educational will meet requirements of the Chief Engineer. I raised the question in order that something might be on record against the time when a proposal to transfer a subordinate from the Lower Subordinate ranks to the Upper Subordinate ranks might be first made.

J. P. Davidson—3-7-06.

To the Educational Department.

Educational Department.

These may be added to and printed with the notes on the subject of the revised Public Service Notification.

H. D. Taylor—4-7-06.

VII

From the Revenue Department, No. 368, *dated 24th April* 1906.

The file regarding the revision of the Public Service Notification is now in circulation. On its return the alteration suggested by the Revenue Department may be inserted.

P. T. Tharyan—8-5-06.

C. A. Souter—9-5-06.

VIII

From the Public Works Department, No. 1153 *W., dated 24th May* 1906.

It is submitted that this current may lie over till the return of the file regarding the amendment of the Public Service Notification from circulation.

P.R.—29-5-06.

(The Secretary, Mr. Taylor, seems to have already made the necessary alteration in the draft.)

P. T. Tharyan—29-5-06.

C. A. Souter—30-5-06.

IX

All the suggestions for the amendment of the Public Service Notification have been carried out. The currents concerned may now be recorded. Please see draft placed below.

P. T. Tharyan—30-6-06.

C. A. Souter—2-7-06.
H. D. Taylor—3-7-06.

[G.O., No. 468, Educational, dated 3rd July 1906.]

[E.]
1,500—12-12

G.O. No. 468 / 3-7-06

Educational Department.

CURRENT FILE.

CONTENTS.

	From	Number.	Date.	Pages.
[171]	The Board of Rev (LR)	82.	5. 2. 06	1-2

[P. T. O. for put up papers.

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No.

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number.	Date.	Original (=O) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers as have been removed for copying, printing, &c., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of Referencer with date in token of return to Reference department.

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number.	Date.	Original (=O) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Despatcher to note the return of the papers to the departments concerned.

612/05 copy
636/92

1,000—19-6-05.

CURRENT No. 171

~~REVENUE~~ Educational Ed DEPARTMENT.

1

CURRENT No. 16

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL
9 - FEB 1906
SECRETARIAT

Received 190 , Registered 9-2- 1906.

1 P

Subject.

PUBLIC SERVICE NOTIFICATION.

Requesting Government to confer again on the Board the power of sanctioning permanent exemption from examination rules of candidates for appointments in the Forest Department carrying a pay of more than Rs.12 but not more than Rs.15 per mensem and to issue the necessary addendum to Article 2 of the---------.

ENCLOSURES.
No
Spare copies

Forest No. 82

Station Madras , Dated the 5th February 1906.

From

The Board of Revenue, Land Revenue, Forests.

The Hon'ble Mr. C.J.Weir, Commissioner of Land Revenue.

Reference.

A

Under the public service notification published prior to 1905, the Board had the power to sanction the permanent exemption from the examination rules of candidates for appointments in the Forest Department carrying a pay of more than Rs.12 but not more than Rs.15, provided it was satisfied that qualified candidates could not be obtained. This power which was vested in it under Government Order No. 636 Educational, dated 1st September 1892 has not been continued to the Board in the revised public service notification published at pages 564-571 Part I-B of the Fort Saint George Gazette, dated 26th September 1905. The Board is not aware whether it was the deliberate intention of Government to withdraw, and considers that possibly it ~~will~~ was not. It therefore requests that this power may again be conferred on it by the issue of the necessary addendum to Article 2 of the Public service notification.

P

H. Tottenham 8-2-06.
Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Educational Department.

RNC
8-2-06

Edl CURRENT No. 759.

2

Regd 7-5-06.

notes copy

Government of Madras.

17

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Recd.	1906.	*Enclosures*	
Regd.		*Spare copies*	

Not Standing
P.C.
11/7/06

G.O., No. 368, 24th April 1906.

Village Officers' Special Test

Directing the amendment of the — notification so as to give power to Divisional-officers to exempt candidates for village offices from the —.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following papers:—

I

G.O., No. 48, Revenue, dated 12th January 1906.

Abstract.—Approving the amendments proposed by the Board in Village Officers' Special Test Notification and in rule 21 of the rules under Madras Act III of 1895.

II

Reference from the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), B. No. 285, dated 3rd April 1906.

The Hon'ble Mr. C. J. Weir, I.C.S.

Read—the following paper:—

Letter—from A. R. Knapp, Esq., I.C.S., Acting Collector of Malabar.
To—the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue.
Dated—the 14th March 1906.
No.—D. 415/P.

Under the revised Public Service Notification No. 103, dated 12th September 1905, published in pages 532 to 539 of the *Fort St. George Gazette*, of the 19th idem, the Village Officers' Special Test has been prescribed as a compulsory test for Karnams, Assistant Karnams, Munsifs and Monegars—*vide* item 26 under C in the table appended to article 3 of the notification. Under article 5, clause (5) of the same notification, the Collector alone is empowered to sanction the exemption of candidates from this test. In consequence of this restriction there is much correspondence and consequent delay in making temporary appointments of village officers. Since the publication of the notification I have received 88 applications for exemption from the Divisional-officers, Tellicherry and Calicut, as shown below:—

		Applications.
Exemption for	one month or less	58
Do.	two months and above one month	9
Do.	three months	12
Do.	six months	4
Do.	one year	5
	Total	88

I have had no application from the Palghat and Malappuram divisions and it is probable that the Divisional-officers concerned are not aware of the provisions of the revised Public Service Notification. I am drawing their attention to this point.

2. Under article 4 (5) of the notification, Revenue Divisional-officers are empowered to sanction the entertainment of unpassed candidates in acting vacancies in the Taluk or Sub-Magistrate's offices for a period of 3 months. I have the honour to suggest that a similar power might without objection and with great convenience be allowed to Divisional-officers in respect of the appointment to vacancies in village offices not exceeding 3 months in duration of persons who have not passed the Village Officers' Special Test.

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL
7-MAY 1906
SECRETARIAT

Reference—B. No. 285, dated 3rd April 1906.

The letter read above will be submitted to Government.

2. In their order No. 662, Revenue, dated 8th November 1898, Government extended to Divisional-officers the power to exempt candidates for village offices from the Village Officers' Special Test. The Board presumes that this order should still hold good, and requests that Government will be pleased to make the necessary alterations in article 5, clause (5) of the Public Service Notification, published at pages 532 to 539, Part I-B of the *Fort St. George Gazette*, dated 19th September 1905. and in clause XIV of the Village Officers' Special Test Notification published at page 89, Part I of the *Fort St. George Gazette*, dated 16th January 1906.

A. R. Loftus-Tottenham,
Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

Order—No. 368, Revenue, dated 24th April 1906.

Miscellaneous.

The necessary alteration will be made in the Village Officers' Special Test Notification.

2. The following notification will be published:—

NOTIFICATION.

In clause XIV of the notification regarding the Village Officers' Special Test, published at page 89 of Part I of the *Fort St. George Gazette*, dated 16th January 1906, after the word "Collector" insert the words "or the Divisional-officer."

3. Further orders amending the Public Service Notification will issue in the Educational Department.

(True Extract.)
V. Raghava Charlu
for Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue).
,, Educational Department. ✓ with 4 sc
,, Superintendent, Government Press.

Pub Sec notified
10612 W
18/3/05 not concd ✓

3

19

882

[P. W. 40.
500—5-12-05.]

Educational Department.

Received 28 MAY 1906 190 , Registered 28-5-1906

6 P

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL SECRETARIAT

Abstract.

River Conservancy Inspector.

Deciding that the appointment of ------ is one which requires technical skill and knowledge, prescribing the technical qualifications for the same, and directing the Examiner, P. W. Accounts, to admit the claim of S. N. Krishnaswami Aiyar for travelling allowance for joining a first appointment as River Conservancy Inspector.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

ENCLOSURES.
No.

G.O., No. 1153 W , dated 24th May 1906.

READ the following paper:—

From the Superintending Engineer, I Circle, No.1266 G, dated 14th April 1906.

ORDER. No 1153 W of 24th May 1906.

The Government agree with the Superintending Engineer that the appointment of River Conservancy Inspector is one which requires technical skill and knowledge, and consider that the technical qualifications for that appointment should be the "Sub Overseer and Surveyor class" test of the Madras College of Engineering. Steps are being taken to amend the Public Service Notification so as to make this intention clear.

2. The Examiner, Public Works Accounts, will admit the claim for travelling allowance referred to in the Superintending Engineer's letter read above.

(True Extract)
(Signed) J. P. Davidson
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To
The Suptg. Engineer, I Circle.
" Examiner, P. W. Accounts.
" Educational Department.

Forwarded to the Educational Department.
(By Order)
24.5.06
Ag. Deputy Registrar.

Letter from the Superintending Engineer, First Circle, No. 1266-G, dated 14th April 1906:-

I have the honour to bring to your notice that S.N. Krishnaswami Aiyar, who has passed the Sub Overseer and Surveyor's test was appointed Conservancy Inspector in the Godavari Eastern Division. He claimed T.A. under article 1083 (a) of the C.S.R.. for joining a first appointment. But the Examiner has disallowed the claim on the assumption that the appointment is not one requiring technical skill and knowledge.

I am however of opinion that the Examiner is not right in his objection and that the appointment is one which requires technical knowledge.

In this connection, I beg to refer you to Mr.Allen's letter No.62-C., of 3-2-'05 printed with G.O.No.612-W., of 18-3-'05, in which the duties of the Conservancy Establishment are prescribed. Also to Memo No.4563-C., of 11-8-'05, in which you declined to exempt an unpassed candidate with practical experience for the post of Conservancy Inspector, but were evidently of opinion that passed Sub Overseers or Surveyors should be appointed to the post.

Under these circumstances, I have the honour to request that orders of Government may be obtained defining the qualifications required for the post of a Conservancy Inspector.

500—3-11-05.

Note to go: No 468 Edl. D/ 3-7-06. 5

I 21

Educational

~~LEGISLATIVE~~ DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 171, From the Board of Rev. (L.R.), No. 82, Dated 5 · 2 · 1906

SUBJECT.

re: the amendment of the Public Service Notification

See to this please.

I see no objection.

H. D. T.
9. 2-06.

A

Please see article 3 (4) of the Public Service Notification revised upto 31st March 1904. In this clause (4) certain appointments in the Forest department were excluded from the operation of the Examination Rules, viz: (a) appointments of a temporary character carrying pay which is chargeable to "Conservancy & works";

Press
Please print & show proof
2/7/06

+ (b) appointments of a permanent or temporary character carrying pay which is not chargeable to "works" & which does not exceed Rs 12 per mensem;

(c) Appointments which carry a pay of more than Rs 12 but not more than Rs 15, provided the Board of Revenue is satisfied that qualified candidates cannot be obtained.

C

p. 2 of C

2. The substance of subclause (a) [of clause (4) referred to above] alone was retained in the revised public Service Notification published in September last – vide the Entry under Forest department in Column B of the Table appended to article 2 of the notification. How the subclauses

(b)

(b) & (c) came to be omitted is not known. Now the Board of Revenue requests that the provision of Sub clause (c) may be inserted in the proper place in article 2.

page 1 of current

Submitted for orders whether the provision in sub clause (b) should also be inserted.

~~A draft is submitted below for approval, which may issue with the Concurrence of the Revenue department.~~

K.Kello
16-2-06

C. Under the revised Public Service notification only appointments on Rs15 and above in Superior Service come under the operation of the examination rules. The provision in sub clause (b) of clause (4) of article 3 of the old notification cannot therefore in any case be inserted. Nor can appointments on less than Rs15 included in sub clause ([illegible].

Article 1 of the revised notification C.

A.

2. With the concurrence of Revenue the entry under "Forest Departt" in column B of the Table appended to article 2 of the revised notification may be altered as follows when the notification is next revised (— proof has gone to departts of the Secretariat for acceptance —) C.

"Forest Departt.

" Appointments in the Forest Departt

" (1) which are of a temporary character and carry pay

" which is chargeable to "Conservancy & works",
" (2) which are of a permanent or temporary character and
" carry pay Rs 15 not chargeable to "conservancy & works",
" provided that the Board of Revenue is satisfied that
" qualified candidates cannot be obtained.

PZJ
17/2/6.

See
Cas
17/2/06

[not rec]
AJL

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL
23 FEB 1906
SECRETARIAT.

Edn Cr. No. 153
19.2.06.

Revenue Cr. No. 781A
20.2.06

desp
19/2/6

The above seem correct.

KDT
19.2.06

Revenue.

Revenue Dept.

To Under-Secy.

1/2) The alteration may, it is submitted, be accepted in this Dept.

PM
20.2.06

See 1/2
21.2.06

It would make the effect a trifle clearer if Clause (2), as above drafted, ~~might~~ preceded clause (1). The exemption w^d then run: —

(1) Appointments in the Forest Dept on pay not exceeding Rs 15/m, whether permanent or temporary, provided that the Bd. is satisfied that qualified candidates cannot be obtained

(2) appointments in the Forest Dept. w^h are of a temporary character & of w^h the pay is chargeable to "Conservancy & Works".

Edn U.O.

AJL
22.2.06

B 3.2.06

Revenue 781A/06-1
22.2.06

Educational Departt

The alteration suggested by Revenue may be accepted & inserted in the proof of the Public service notification now under consideration

By
23/2/16

to Director

Cas
24/2/16

B
25/2

vide 4383

Local and Municipal Department.

SUBJECT.

Regarding qualifications in Account Test, Higher Grade, for certain appointments in the Local and Municipal Department.

Educational Department.

Account test - higher grade

The question as to whether this test should be required of L.& M. clerks on Rs.50 may be separately raised by that Department if it thinks necessary when the next Public Service Notification issues. I would put the limit at Rs.70 as in Revenue.

H.D.T.
30-1-06.

x x x

Please note and see that the matter is not lost sight of when the revised Public Service Notification comes round. Better start the question at once on a separate file, putting up a statement showing posts on Rs.50 in L.& M. before and after the reorganization.

H.D.T.
3-2-06.

A In G.O., No.461 L., dated 9th March 1894, the Government prescribed a pass in the Account Test, Higher Grade, in the case of appointments on Rs.50 and upwards in the L.& M. Department, and this was incorporated in the Public Service Notification - D vide item 7 in column 1 of the statement on page 3 of the notification.

2. In the Revenue Secretariat, this test is prescribed only for the appointment of Head Clerk D and higher posts, while the Revenue Test, Higher Grade, is prescribed for posts on Rs.70 and upwards in that Department. In the Chief Secretariat, the D Account Test, Higher Grade, is required only in the case of the budget clerk.

3. It is for consideration whether the Account Test, Higher Grade, may be prescribed for the future only for

appointments

appointments on Rs.75 and above in the Local and Municipal Department.

4. The following statement shows the number of posts on Rs.50 and upwards in the L.& M. Department before and after the recent re-organization :-

Before re-organization.	After re-organization.
2 on Rs. 50 each.	3 on Rs. 50 each.
1 " 65	2 " 60 "
	1 " 75
1 " 100	1 " 100
1 " 125	1 " 125
	1 " 150
1 " 175	1 " 175
1 " 200	1 " 200
Total 7	Total 11

26
6. 2. 06

VR
7/2/06

It will be difficult to find qualified men for the R 50 - R60 posts, and I do not think that the test is really required in their case.

Edl. & Legal clerks are entirely exempt from this test. There is of course a great deal of figure work in connection with scrutiny of Budgets and reports required in L & M. but I see no reason why ~~[illegible]~~ this Dept. should not be brought into a line with Revenue. The Test may be prescribed for posts on R. 75 and upwards.

WDT
8.2.06

HM.

Yes Higher Acct test for R 75
+

L. & M., C.O. No. 219 D
Dated 10-2-1906.

Edl. no.

817 91

10.2.06

Educational Departt

A draft of the ~~revised~~ Public Service notification as revised up to date is going round to the departts of the Secretariat for acceptance. On return the alteration iiii approved as regards L & m clerks may be put ~~up~~ in.

PJ 10/2/06

As

Chd 12/2/06

HB 6/5/06

[E. 36. / 2.]
1,500—3-12-04

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 759 From the Revenue Departt No. 368, dated 24-4-1906.

SUBJECT.

Alterations in the Public Service notification

The file regarding the revision of the Public Service notification is now in circulation. On its return the alteration suggested by Revenue may be inserted.

8/5/6

9.5.06.

[2.]
500—8-1-06.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT

C. No. 882 From the P. W. D. No. 1153, dated 24. 5. 1906.

SUBJECT.

Prescribing the Technical qualifications for the post of River Conservancy Inspector.

It is submitted that this current may lie over till the return of the file re: the amendment of Public Service Notification ~~is received back~~ from circulation.

VR
29.5.6

(The Secretary, Mr. Taylor, seems to have already made the necessary alteration in the draft.)

PB
29/5/6

CH
30.5.6

30

all the suggestions forthe amendment of the Public service notification havebeen carried out. The currents concerned may now be recorded. Please see draft placed below.

PB
30/6/6

Se
Cos
2.7.06.

HLD
3.7.06

Educational Departt

Not. 468 Edl.

~~[G.O. No. 47 Edl. d/ 23 - 1 - 06]~~

Public Service Notification.

Please use the word 'Employment' instead of 'Entertainment' throughout the P.S. notification which may now be reprinted and circulated for acceptance to all Departments.

H. D. Taylor
12.1.06.

Press
Please print & show proof.
M.S.T.
7/2/06

x xx. A proof copy of the whole notification as corrected upto date has been called for, for circulation to the departments of the Secretariat for acceptance. x x xx.

P. T. Tharyan
23-1-06.

C. A Souter
30-1-06.

The proof has been received from the Press & has been checked & is submitted for approval. This may now be sent to other Departments of the Secretariat for acceptance.

?

K.K.M.
6. 2. 06.

P.T. Tharyan
10/2/06

Revised proof submitted.

See

C.A. Souter
10/2/06

Edl. G.O. No. 125 D
13. 2. 06

Type & send copies to Departts of the Secretariat with copy of P.S. notification
10/2/06

To
The Jud.
" Public
" Marine
" Revenue
" P. W. D.
} Depts. w.o.

Educational Dept.

[Pub. 47 22 dy 23-1-06]

Public Service Notification.

Please use the word "employment" instead of "entertainment" throughout the P.S. notification which may now be reprinted and circulated for acceptance to all departments.

H.D.T.
12-1-06.

x x A proof copy of the whole notification as corrected up to date has been called for, for circulation to the departments of the Secretariat for acceptance. x x x x.

P.T.T.
29-1-06

C.A.S.
30-1-06.

The proof has been received from the Press & has been checked & is submitted for approval. This may now be sent to other departments of the Secretariat for acceptance.

K.K.W.
6-2-06.

Revised proof submitted.

P.T.T.
10/2/06

Sec.

C.A.S.

To the Public, Public, Finance, Revenue, P.W.D. Regd. No.

12

2

PAGE

32

Educational Department.

Public Service Notification.

Please use the word "employment" instead of "enter~~t~~tainment" throughout the Public Service Notification which may now be reprinted and circulated for acceptance to all departments.

H.D.T.
12-1-6.

x x A proof copy of the whole notification as corrected up to date has been called for, for circulation to the departments of the Secretariat for acceptance. x x

P.T.T.
30-1-6.

C.A.S.
30-1-6.

The proof has been received from the Press and has been checked and is submitted for approval. This may now be sent to other departments of the Secretariat for acceptance.

K.K.W.
6-2-6.

Revised proof submitted.

P.T.T.
10-2-6.

Sec.

C.A.S.
10-2-6.

To

The Public Department.

CURRENT NO.
0589
PUBLIC

Edn. Dept. No. 125
13-2-06.

Regd. 13/2/06

CHIEF SECRETARIAT
13 FEB 1906
MADRAS

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL
16 FEB 1906
SECRETARIAT.

For the Asst Secy.

At page 3 of the proof, the terms First & Second Assistants & Head Clerk, require amendment with reference to the recent change of designation to Registrar, Deputy Registrar & Supt.

At page 6 under "N. Medical Dept.", Military Assistant Surgeons & Military Hospital Assistants may be struck out, as the Madras Govt. has no control over the recruitment of men of these classes. The recruitment is now controlled by the Director General, I.M.S.

No other remarks seem called for in Public.

C.S. Simpson
15.2.06

C.A. Souter
16/2/06

Pub. M.C. 589-1
16/2/06

Issue. U.O.

16.2.06

C.2095 (Lodged 19-1-06)

Dealt in part

Educational Department.

Public Service Notification.

Please use the word "employment" instead of "entertain~~e~~ment" throughout the Public Service Notification which may now be reprinted and circulated for acceptance to all departments.

H.D.T.
12-1-6.

x x A proof copy of the whole notification as corrected up to date has been called for, for circulation to the departments of the Secretariat for acceptance. x x

P.T.T.
30-1-6.

C.A.S.
30-1-6.

The proof has been received from the Press and has been checked and is submitted for approval. This may now be sent to other departments of the Secretariat for acceptance.

K.K.M.
6-2-6.

Revised proof submitted.

P.T.T.
10-2-6.

Sec.

C.A.S.
10-2-6.

Edl. no. Dno. 125
13-2-06

To

The Marine Department.

CURRENT NO.
0268
MARINE

CHIEF SECRETARIAT
13 FEB 1906
MADRAS

Received } 14/2/06
Registered }
One 1

15 P

5

35

Marine dept.

For the U.S.

submitted that the proof may be forwarded to the P.P.O for check.

H.V.V.
15.2.06

Very well
AYG
16-2-06
A. Y. G. Campbell

U.O. clerk. Send only the notes & not the file

Marine U.O.
26 S. 1
16/2/06

G. 1141

To the P P O (U.O.)

No. 59 G

20 February 1906

Proof Checked & returned.

W Mitchell
Commander R.I.M.
Presdy Port Offr.

PRESIDENCY
17 FEB 1906
PORT OFFICE.

19/2

REGR. CHIEF SECT.
21 FEB 1906

MARINE DEPARTMENT.

For the U.S.

The proof of the Public service notification may now be returned to the Educational dept.

H.V.V.
22.2.06

AYG Campbell
23-2-06

U.O.

Marine U.O.
26 S. 2
23/2/06

U.O. R No 74
23-2-6

Revised Public Service Notification

36

16

Educational Department.

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
14 FEB 1906
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Public Service Notification.

Don't print

Please use the word "employment" instead of "entertainment" throughout the Public Service Notification which may now be reprinted and circulated for acceptance to all departments.

H.D.T.
12-1-6.

A proof copy of the whole notification as corrected up to date has been called for, for circulation to the departments of the Secretariat for acceptance.

P.T.T.
30-1-6.

C.A.S.
30-1-6.

The proof has been received from the Press and has been checked and is submitted for approval. This may now be sent to other departments of the Secretariat for acceptance.

K.K.M.
6-2-6.

Revised proof submitted.

P.T.T.
10-2-6.

Sec.

C.A.S.
10-2-6.

Edl. U.O. D. No. 125 / 13·2·06.

To

The P. W. Department.

P.W. U.O. R No. 201 / 14·2·06

Read

Proof of the revised Public Service Notification

Note 468[illegible]

Public Works Department.

For the Secretary

The proof of the revised Public Service Notification may be accepted by this Department subject to the following remarks:—

I.

Article 2. Column A. Item (4)

This entry is now unnecessary, the conditions of recruitment to the Conservancy Establishment having since changed. Under the Scheme of reorganisation sanctioned in G.O. No. 1272 W of 10th July 1905, that Establishment now consists of (a) Sub Overseers and (b) Works Establishment. For (a) the requisite educational qualifications are prescribed in Article 3.— "Item O in the statement" as regards (b), appointments the pay of which is chargable to "Works" are, under Column B of article 2 excluded from the operation of the Public Service Notifn.

page 6 of proof.

II

Article 3, and item O. (P.W.D.) in the table subjoined

Reading these two together, it would appear that the men appointed as Sub overseers, Surveyors and draftsmen ~~should~~ must possess not only the technical qualifications specified in the table,[×] but also[⊕] "the general educational qualification

× Page 6 of proof

⊕ See the wording of article 3.

page 3 of proof

Read

qualification mentioned in article 1", i.e the Madras Upper Secondary or the Matriculation Examination. As a fact, however, the passed Subovers[ee]rs & Surveyors and draftsmen of the Madras College of Engineering do not possess the general educational qualification referred to above, as the College rules do not require such qualifications for admission into those classes.

In the case of Assistant Engineers and Engineer Subordinates, the candidates have passed no doubt the First in Arts and Matriculation Examinations respectively, but they are not required by the College rules to have passed the Handwriting and Dictation test as well, which is prescribed in article 1 of the Public service notification.

The wording of article 3 would thus seem to require some modification in order to make the intention clearer. A note might be added under "O. P.W. Deptt" to the effect that the ~~off~~ posts included in that head are not governed by article 1.

J.V.S 17.2.06

(S. P. Murray)
19.2.06

accepted subject to the remarks in note above.

J. P. Dawson
21/2/06

Educational U.O.

Read

D.S. 23/2

C.S.
Please have two copies of the letter made, 1 for office record and the other for S.D.C's stock file

9 39 19 P

Educational Department.

Public Service Notification.

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL 27 FEB 1906 SECRETARIAT

Please use the word "employment" instead of "entertainment" throughout the Public Service Notification which may now be reprinted and circulated for acceptance to all departments.

H.D.T.
13-1-6.

Print

A proof copy of the whole notification as corrected up to date has been called for, for circulation to the departments of the Secretariat for acceptance.

P.T.T.
30-1-6.

C.A.S.
30-1-6.

The proof has been received from the Press and has been checked and is submitted for approval. This may now be sent to other departments of the Secretariat for acceptance.

K.K.M.
6-2-6.

Revised proof submitted.

P.T.T.
10-2-6.

Sec.

C.A.S.
10-2-6.

Edn No 125
13-2-06

To

The Judicial Department.

CURRENT NO.
0828
JUDICIAL

CHIEF SECRETARIAT 13 FEB 1906 MADRAS

Print

Judicial Dept

For the Asst Secy.

The proof may be approved.

Recd
Regd 13/2/06

Checked

Genl

Jnl. No 5221
26/2/06 to Edl dept no 15/2/06

K.K.
14/2/06

16/2/06

27/2/06

Read Read

40 10/7

20

Educational Department.

Don't print

Public Service Notification.

Please use the word "employment" instead of "entertainment" throughout the Public Service Notification which may now be reprinted and circulated for acceptance to all departments.

H.D.T.
12-1-6.

x x A proof copy of the whole notification as corrected up to date has been called for, for circulation to the departments of the Secretariat for acceptance. x x

P.T.T.
30-1-6.

C.A.S.
30-1-6.

The proof has been received from the Press and has been checked and is submitted for approval. This may now be sent to other departments of the Secretariat for acceptance.

K.K.M.
6-2-6.

Revised proof submitted.

P.T.T.
10-2-6.

Sec.

C.A.S.
10-2-6.

Edl. Lr. D. No. 128
13-2-06

To

The Revenue Department.

Revenue C. No. 362 D
14-2-06

Check
14-2-06

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Read

41

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL 6 - MAR TARN

To Under Secretary

The portions of the Notification relating to the Revenue Department have been checked and found correct. The file may, it is submitted, be sent back to the Educational Department u.o.

Thro' all Sections

Cf.

$\frac{\text{al}}{20.2.06}$

In page 3 of the Notification under B. Govt. Secretariat "(1) First Assistant (2) Second Assistant & (3) Registrar" may be altered into "(1) Registrar, (2) Dy Registrar & (3) Superintendent".

AGL

CR 22/2/06

$\frac{\text{al}}{22.2.06}$

M.L. 22.2.06

AGL 27.2.06

There was a query about recruiting for the Custom Preventive Officer. Put up ante 26.2.06

N 16.251 Ed. dt 18th April 05 is put up

TAR 27/2/6

There was a question about exclusion of Tempy Forest appointmts in Col B. How was that decided?

AGL 28.2.06

To Under-Secy

The alterations suggested ~~by~~ in this Dept have been accepted by Educational and will – please see p. ~~[illegible]~~ be carried out by that Depth (vide linked file which has been obtained from Educational)

Emily

Sm 1-3-06.

Seen 2.3.06

Rev. U.O. No. 362 D/6-1 5-2-06

AGL 3.3.06

Agl 3rd Educl. u.o.

Educational Departt

Page 1 supra.

The proof of the Public Service Notification as revised up to date was forwarded for acceptance to the Departts of the Secretariat to which proofs were sent on the previous occasion, namely, the Judicial, Public, Marine, Revenue & Public works Departts. It has now been returned by these Departts. Marine and Judicial have not offered any remarks. The remarks of the other Departts are extracted below.

Pages 5 & 9 supra.

Independently of the present reference proposals for amending the Public Service Notification have been accepted in the linked files. These and other slight alterations which the notification seems to require are also dealt with below in the order in which they occur.

2. 1. Subject to the provisions of article 2 no person shall be eligible for appointment to any post in the superior service carrying a pay of Rs. 15 or more unless he has passed the Madras Upper Secondary examination, with English as his first language, or the Matriculation examination of the Madras University or some other examination accepted by Government for the purposes of this notification as equivalent. Persons not being natives of India, who have passed no public examination, must satisfy Government that they have received an education at least up to the standard of the Upper Secondary or the Matriculation examination.

NOTE (1).—The following examinations shall be accepted as equivalent to the Upper Secondary or the Matriculation examination:—

(1) The late Higher examination for women.
(2) The Oxford or Cambridge senior local examinations.
(3) The Matriculation examination of the London University.
(4) The School final examination of the Allahabad University.
(5) The First examination in arts of the Calcutta University.
(6) The Senior Commercial examination of the London Chamber of Commerce.

The cases of persons who have passed other examinations of a similar character may be referred for the special orders of Government. The Entrance examinations of the Calcutta, Bombay and Allahabad Universities are not accepted as equivalent to the Upper Secondary examination or to the Madras University Matriculation examination.

NOTE (2).—All undergraduates shall be required to have passed in handwriting and in dictation as prescribed under first language (English) in the Upper Secondary examination notification. Undergraduates who have passed the Entrance examination for the Subordinate establishment of the Account branch of the Public Works department are exempted from passing this test for appointments in the Public Works department; and undergraduates who passed in handwriting before 1896 will not be required to have passed in dictation. Undergraduates who passed the late Handwriting Test for Matriculates, or the late Middle School examination in the first class, are exempt from passing this test.

Candidates for appointment as teachers who hold Second-grade Collegiate or Upper Secondary trained teachers' certificates are not required to have passed in handwriting and dictation.

NOTE (3).—The examination for the Handwriting and Dictation Test for Undergraduates is held twice in the year, once in May and a second time in December. The fee for admission to the examination is Rs. 3.

(1) In note (2) Candidates for appointment as teachers who hold Second Grade Collegiate or upper Secondary trained teacher's certificate are exempted from the handwriting & dictation test. Similarly candidates for appointment as Minor Irrigation Supervisors & overseers in the Revenue Departt and as Engineer Subordinates in the P.W.D should be

Read

Item (18) on page 4 of the notification.

exempted from the handwriting & dictation test. Under article 3 they should pass the examination prescribed for the Engineer subordinate class of the College of Engineering and the qualification for admission to this class is the Upper Secondary or the Matriculation examination or an examination accepted as equivalent thereto – rule 39 on page 8 G.O. No 37 Rvl d/ 20th January 1906 – They do not now pass the handwriting & dictation test nor does this seem to have ever been intended. In this connection please see also the P.W. note on page 8 supra. In that note it is stated that Assistant Engineers also should be exempted. but under article 3 of the Public service notification no one can be appointed an Assistant Engineer who has not passed the B.E. degree examination. He will then be a graduate & not an under graduate & it will then be unnecessary for him to pass the handwriting & dictation test.

B.

On page 6 of the notification

3\.

2. (1) The persons specified in column A of the table below in respect of the appointments therein specified are exempted, and

(2) the posts specified in column B of the table are excluded from the operation of article 1.

A
Persons exempted.

x x x x x x x

(4) Draftsmen and surveyors who possess certificates of the College of Engineering, Madras, or the draftsman's group certificate in mechanical drawing awarded under the notification regulating the Government technical examinations, for appointment to the Conservancy establishment of the Public Works department.

x x x x x x x x

Page 7 supra

(2)

The P.W.D. suggest the omission of item (4) in the following words – "This entry is now unnecessary; the condition of " recruitment to the Conservancy Establishment having since " changed. Under the scheme of re-organization sanctioned in

C. " G.O. No 1272 W d/ 10th July 1905, that Establishment
" now consists of (a) Sub overseers + (b) Works Establishment.
" For (a) the requisite Educational qualifications are
" prescribed in article 3 – Item O in the Table under that
" article – As regards (b), appointments the pay of
" which is chargeable to "works" are under column B
" of ~~the~~ the table under Article 2 excluded ~~excluded~~ from
" the operation of the Public Service notification".

Page 6 of proof.

Page 2 of proof.

Submitted that item (4) may be omitted.

Acs[illegible] H. Bayley

4.

2. (1) The persons specified in column A of the table below in respect of the appointments therein specified are exempted, and

(2) the posts specified in column B of the table are excluded from the operation of article 1.

B
Appointments excluded.

* * * * * *

DEPARTMENTAL.

Revenue Department—

* * * * * *

(2) Minor irrigation maistries. Preference shall be given to candidates who have passed the examination prescribed for the sub-overseer and surveyor class in the College of Engineering, to agricultural students who have passed in agricultural engineering and surveying and to those who have passed the Government technical examinations in mensuration (elementary), building construction (intermediate), plan drawing and estimating (elementary) and surveying and levelling (intermediate).

* * * * * *

In a separate file subsequently received P.W.D. have asked that "River Conservancy Inspectors" may be entered under "O" Public Works. This will have to be done under O. of the Table supported to art 3.

H. Bayley 3/1 G.F. Forbes 6/1.

1 Submitted that before item (2), a new item "Minor Irrigation Sub overseers" ~~and~~ may be inserted. Special qualifications for these subordinates are prescribed in article 3 under C. Revenue Departt, item (10) ~~for these as in the case of Hospital assistants under article 3-II Medical Departt, Admission to the~~ namely Examination prescribed for the Sub Overseer & Surveyor class, College of Engineering or Examinations in Agricultural Engineering & Surveying & Levelling. Admissions to the College of Engineering and to the College of Agriculture are governed by special rules. Minor Irrigation Sub overseer

(4) Acs[illegible]

on page 4 of the notification

Approved – subject to acceptance in Revenue

H. Bayley 8/1-6

45

258

Read

should therefore have no further general Education qualification. In Column B of the table under article 2 ~~Hospital Assistants~~ Field Surveyors & deputy Surveyors Hospital assistants & deputy Inspectors of vaccination are likewise exempted.

5. Article 2. ~~Table~~ Column B of table.

Forest Department—
Appointments in the Forest department which are of a temporary character and carry pay which is chargeable to "Conservancy and Works".

(5) ✓

As suggested by the Revenue Departt in linked file III below, this item may be altered as follows:—

" Forest departt
"(1) Appointments whether permanent or temporary
" on pay not exceeding Rs 15 per mensem, provided
" that the Board is satisfied ~~that~~ qualified candidates
" cannot be obtained"
" (2) Appointments which are of a temporary
" ~~nature~~ character and of which the pay is chargeable
" to Conservancy & works".

Yes. This power was formerly conferred but unintentionally omitted from the last notification.

6. Article 2. Column B of table.

Police—
(1) Head constables.
(2) Sub-inspectors on promotion from head constab

(6) ✓

In this item the words "the grade of" may perhaps be inserted between "from" and "head constables".

7. (a) Article 2. Column B of table

Public Works Department—
Appointments the pay of which is chargeable to "Works."

(7) ✓

For the reason given in para. 4 supra a new item "Sub overseers" may be inserted above this item which may be numbered as (2). Please see also the P.W.D. note on pages 7 & 8 supra. Surveyors & draftsmen are now exempt from the general Education qualification vide item (6) in column A of the table under article 2.

[See also remark against para. 4 supra, page 14.]

(b) In a recent U.O. reference from the P.W.D. it was stated that under para. 197 of the P.W. Code, the P.W.D. was in the habit of admitting to the grade of Upper Subordinates unpassed men having a thorough practical knowledge and experience in any branch of Engineering and it was proposed to extend the practice to the grade of Lower Subordinates also. It is submitted that provision for the formal exemption of such men from the Examination rules should be made in the Public Service notification. Exemption from the technical qualifications very often implies exemption from the General Education qualification also. If the suggestion made above regarding Sub Overseers is approved nothing further is necessary in the case of Lower Subordinates admitted under para. 197 of the P.W. Code. In the case of Upper Subordinates an additional clause may be inserted as follows:-

"Upper Subordinates admitted under para. 197 of the P.W. Code".

Cus

(8)

16 & 17

In a recent U.O. reference from the P.W.D. it was stated that under para. 197 of the P.W. Code, the P.W.D. was in the habit of admitting to the grade of upper subordinates passed men having a thorough knowledge and experience in any branch of engineering and whose proposed to extend the practice to the grade of lower subordinates also. It is submitted that provision for the formal exemption of such men from the Examination rules should be made in [illegible]

Exemption from the technical qualifications may often implies exemption from the general Education qualification also. If the suggestion made above regarding such [illegible] is approved [illegible]

~~#~~17 47 278

8. 3. In addition to the general education qualification mentioned in article 1, candidates before appointment to particular posts, or before promotion to or confirmation in such, shall be required to pass such tests of a special, professional, or technical nature as may, from time to time, be declared by Government to be applicable. A list of these particular posts and of the qualifying tests required for each is given in the appended table.

Table showing the various offices in 'Superior Service' for which Special, Professional or Technical Tests are prescribed.

Offices or Appointments.	Tests.	Remarks.

* * * * * * * * * * * * * *

B. GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT.

(1) First Assistant (2) Second do. (3) Registrar (4) Head Clerk — in the Revenue Department.	Revenue Test, Higher Grade. Account Test do.	
(5) Clerks on Rs. 70 and upwards in the Revenue Department.	Revenue Test, Higher Grade.	
(6) Budget Clerk in the Public Department.	Account Test, Higher Grade.	
75/- (7) Clerks on Rs. ~~50~~ and upwards in the Local and Municipal Department.	Do. do.	
(8) Clerks on Rs. 50 and upwards in the Public and Revenue Departments.	Translation Test, Lower Grade.	
(9) Translators	Do. do.	
(10) Accountant in the Government Press.	Account Test, Higher Grade.	

* * * * * * * * * * * * * *

Pages 3 & 11 supra.

The Public and Revenue departts ~~that~~ suggest with reference to the recent changes in designation, that the term "First Assistant" may be altered into "Registrar", "Second Assistant" into "Deputy Registrar" and ~~th~~ "Registrar" into "Superintendent". This may be done.

(9) ✓

Similarly the term "Budget Clerk" may be altered into "Superintendent Budget section".

(10) ✓

9. With reference to the notes & orders in linked file III the rupee limit in item (7) should be raised to 75.

(11) ✓

10. Article 3.

N. MEDICAL DEPARTMENT.

(1) Civil Assistant Surgeons	Examinations for the Degree of M.D., M.B. & C.M. or L.M. & S. of the Madras or other University.
(2) Military Assistant Surgeons ..	Examination prescribed for the Students of the Apothecary Department in the Madras Medical College.
(3) Civil Hospital Assistants	Examination prescribed for the Students of the Civil Hospital Assistant grade.
(4) Military Hospital Assistants ..	Examination prescribed for the Students of the Military Hospital Assistant grade.

Page 3 supra.

The Public departt suggests that items (2) & (4) may be omitted as recruitment to the Subordinate Military Medical departt is now controlled by the Director

(12) ✓

Recd

[O. Public works Departt

See remark against para 4. supra. page 14.]

General, Dept

11. With reference to the latter portion of para. 7 supra an additional clause may be inserted in article 5 as follows:–

"The Chief Engineer, Public works Departt, may
" sanction the exemption of unpassed candidates for
" admission to the grade of Upper or Lower Subordinates
" in the Public works Departt under para. 197 of the
" P.W. Code."

What do P.W.D. Secy.
H.M. did not specifically
approve the
proposal
Ches

12. The file may be forwarded to the Revenue & P.W. Departts for any further remarks they may have to offer.

Ches
14.3.06.

Edl. u.o. $\frac{216 D}{14.3.06.}$ P.H. 14/3/6

$\frac{\text{Desp}}{14/3/6}$

Rev.
P.W.D. } u.o.

Rev. Cur. No $\frac{597 D}{15-3-06}$

G.O. No. $\frac{51 \text{ Edl}}{29.1.06}$ Edl. (D) obtained from Edl. 20/1.

Rev. Deptt

To under Secy

With reference to the notes recorded on pp. 2-3 of the notes to G.O. No. 51 Edl. d/29.1.06, the question whether the draftsman's test should be made compulsory in the case of temporary draftsmen as well, may now be considered. In para 2 of the notes dated 6.1.06 the Secy asked whether it would not be better now to extend the test qualification to temporary draftsmen in future entering the not 468 Edl

service leaving all men now in service free
D from it (p 2 of the notes to G.O 51 of 29. 1- 06).

Para 3 of the Notfn. p.4

The Draftsmen employed in the Survey Deptt. are required to have passed the examinations prescribed for the Draftsmen's class, College of Engineering Madras; but temporary hands are not required to pass these tests. (Vide para 3 D (1) of the Public Service
p4. notification). The order restricting these tests qualifications to permanent hands was based on para 2 of the Supdt's letter
E on p. 31 of G.O No 67 Edl of 2. 2. 1894. The reasons ~~the~~ advanced by the Supdt. of Survey
7 in para 5 of his letter of 20. 2. 93 (in G.O No 167 of 4. 3. 93) will apparently still hold good. Before deciding the question finally it seems, therefore, desirable to call for the remarks of the Dy. Director of Survey and the Board of Rev.

viz., that temporary service is not qualifying service & that therefore it is difficult to attract qualified men
L.

I dont think any alteration is required.
L
23. 3. 06.

We may leave it alone.
Alf 23. 3. 06.

2 Now that the sanction of the Secy of State ~~fo~~ to the formation of a Land Records Deptt has been obtained, provision should be made in the public service Notification ~~as~~ in respect of the various appointments newly ~~cr~~ created. The Land Records Tahr. is to be appointed to the lowest grade Tahr. in the Dt. at the first vacancy after his appointment as Land Records Tahr. and the Land Records

Vide para 20 G.O 1212 of 30-11.03 + paras. 6 + 7 of the corr's letter of 12. 2. 03. G.T.

Inspector is after 3 years either to be promoted or reverted to the post of a firka Inspector. In para. 3 of G.O. No. 919 d/ 20. 8. 04 it has been laid down that no person should be appointed a Land Records Tahr who is not fully qualified under existing orders to fill the post of a Tahr. In these circumstances the qualifications of a Land Records Tahr may be taken as the same as those of an ordinary Tahr. and the qualifications of a Land Records Inspr as those of an ordinary firka Inspr. The words "Land Records Tahrs" and "Land Records Insprs" may be added to items 11 & 13 respectively of para 3 (C Rev. Deptt.) of the notifn. If thought necessary the Board's remarks may be invited on this point also.

K

I don't think the addition is required since the words "Tahsildar" & "Rev. Inspector" cover & I think should be held to include "L.R. Tahsildar" & "L.R. Inspector".

h
23.3.06

3. The alterations suggested in the linked file (No. II.) and on p. 11 of the notes supra have been adopted. (vide pages 15 & 17 supra)

Om
21.3.06.

KRn
21.3.06.

CS
21.3.06

L.R.
21/3/06

21.3.06

21.3.06.

22.3.06

Sey

Submitted that there is no need to make any further alterations in the note.

h
23.3.06

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, 27 MAR 1906 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

I agree; but if Ed. like to put in the reference to Land Records Tahs & Land Records Inspr, there is no objection.

ASY 23.3.06

Rev. no No. 597 D/06-1
26-3-06

P.W. Rev. R. 384
27.3.06

For the Secretary.

pp 12 - 15 Supra

Paras 7 & 8 supra

The changes suggested in paragraphs 2, 3, and 7 of the Educational Department note d/14-3-06 are intended to give effect to the suggestions made in this Deptt note of the 17th February last and may be accepted by this Deptt.

ASSISTT SECY TO GOVT
6 APR 1906
LOCAL MUNL EDL & LEG DEPTTS

Page 18 Supra

2. With reference to the addition to article 5 of the Public Service notification proposed in para 11 of the Educational Deptt note d/14.3.06, so as to empower the Chief Engineer P.W.D. to sanction the exemption of unpassed candidates for admission to the grade of Upper & Lower Subordinates in the P.W.D. under para 197 of the P.W.D. Code, attention is solicited to the notes d/16.3.06 recorded by the Hon: Mr. Forbes in the fourth linked file below from which it will be seen that the H.M. is not for delegating such powers to the Chief Engineer but desires that such exemptions should continue to be sanctioned by Govt in the Educational Deptt.

○ Since removed and separately dealt with in the P.W. Deptt

J.S.
2.4.06

3/4/06.

Accepted in P.W.D. The Hon Member's note above referred to is being replied to separately. The case has been somewhat misunderstood.

5/4/06

To ~~L.M.E.~~ Educational U.O.

Desp. 5/4/06

52

328

Educational depart^t

With reference to the Revenue Depart^t note d/ 23-3-06 on page 20 supra may the words "including Land Records Tahsildars" be inserted after "Tahsildars" in item (11) under C - Revenue Depart^t on page 3 of the proof and the words "including Land Revenue Records Inspectors" after "Revenue Inspectors" in item (13)?

(13) ✓

Very well.
Cas

Z1

A

2. The additions suggested in para ~~[illegible]~~ 11 of the Office note d/ 14-3-06 on page ~~[illegible]~~ 18 may be omitted as the H.M. has directed that such exemptions should be sanctioned in the Educational depart^t. The file has gone back to the H.M.

Wait till H.M. replies to P.W.D's note.
Cas

3. With reference to the Revenue Depart^t note in linked file IV, the words "in acting and sub pro tem vacancies & in temporary posts" may be substituted for the words "in acting vacancies" in clause (6) of article 4 of the notification and the same words may be inserted after the words "unpassed candidates" in clauses (7) and (8).

(14) ✓ Z1

(15) ✓

It will then be for consideration whether the same words may not be substituted for the words "in acting vacancies" in clause (5), as otherwise the powers of District Judges, Superintending Engineers, the Examiner of Public works accounts, Madras, Conservators of Forests and Deputy & Assistant Commrs. of the Salt, Abkari & Customs Depart^t will be inferior to ~~[illegible]~~ those of Revenue Divisional Officers and there may be references from ~~the~~ ~~officers~~ the former for more extended powers.

I see no reason why all should not be treated alike.
Cas

(16) ✓

I fully agree. This was the alteration I think - but it was not clearly expressed.

4. With reference to the Secretary's notes on page 5 of

A G.O. R no 70 d/ 28th March 1906 and page 5 of G.O. R no
B 72 of the 30th idem in linked file V the following note
may perhaps be inserted at the end of Article 4:-

" note. The powers of exemption granted in clauses (2) to (8)
" may be exercised ~~also~~ in respect also of acting or sub pro tem
" appointments in permanent vacancies".

(17)

BJ 19/4/6

Sec

CS

U.S.

PWD Note p. 21 and note at A. p 22.

The delegation of powers to C.E. ~~to~~ (W) to exempt Lower Subordinates under para 157 P.W.D. Code must await the H.M.'s orders on the separate file. I think that C.E. may be relied upon to see that such exemptions are given only in the case of men of proved practical ability. That the proposal may be accepted.

"Has this returned?"

It has returned & gone back to P.W.D. The H.M. did not pass any specific orders on this point when the file went back to him.
BJ
7/5/6

+ 2. The concluding note, which it is proposed to add ~~in~~ at the end of the Office note of 19.4.6 supra ~~may be~~ must be approved by H.M. The powers under (3) to (8) are strictly limited in extent & there is no real distinction between acting, sub pro tem, and temporary appointments. The present distinction was never really intended

3. As requested in a file since

recd. from PWD, "River Conservancy Inspectors" have been entered after O. Surveyors under rule 3 on page 6 of the notification

HWI
7.5.06

Submitted to the Hon Mr Forbes CIE for approval of the proposals in para 11-c1 of the note supra

c1 seems unobjectionable

c1 merely removes a certain indefiniteness of language in the previous notification

The other alterations are mere drafting alterations & have been approved from time to time.

HWI
7.5.06

There has been some discussion in various connections re the first point. The present rule shd. stand: these DPW exemptions coming up to Ed. Dept. as now

On the second point, a *sub pro tem*

note 468 Ed!

vacancy is an acting vacancy. The two terms are used solely for financial purposes — whether for the acting vacancy full or not full pay is available. Otherwise the two are precisely on the same footing. The proposed addition will however make the rule clearer & is approved

G.F.L. 7/6

Now have a clean proof. Enter River Conservancy estab? as ordered & leave P.W.D. Lower Subordinates alone.

Ans
11.6.06

HS
12.6.06

Please see the proof of the Public Services & Special test notifications as now corrected — placed below. If approved it will be sent to the Press & a revised proof obtained.

BH
14/6/6

Proof approved. Mark urgent as it must be published before July 1st.

Ch
15.6.06

Educatl. Dpt

In the file I returned regarding the revision of the Public Service Notifn., there were proposals as to exemption, if I remember aright, of Upper & Lower D.P.W. Subordinates.

Are all the persons who wd. be exempted under the proposals persons who must have qualified by technical or professional tests, apart from purely educatl. tests?

14/6

File now in office i.e. for Staff.

Please note hereon.

1.7.08

The proposals as to exemption were in respect of

(1) Upper subordinates ~~admitted~~ admitted under para. 197 of the P.W. Code.

It was* proposed that their appointments should be entered under "Public Works Departt" in Col: B of the table ~~appear~~ under article 2 of the Public Service notification - page 2 of the proof flagged Z/ ~~- page 18 of the notes on the flag placed below~~ The appointments would then be exempt from operation of Article 1 of the notification - or in other words no minimum general Educational qualification would be required of candidates for ~~for holding~~ those appointments. Their professional qualification will be

* Para 7(b) = Page 16 of the notes at flag F

57

37 P

* Upper Subordinates – Sub Engineers Supervisors & Overseers – Lower Subordinates – Sub Overseers. Para. 16 of the P.W. Code.

+ marginal note on page 14 – Against para. 4 of the notes at flag F – also para. 7(a) of the notes on page 15.

governed by para. 197 of the P W Code

* ~~Only~~ Upper Subordinates appointed in the ordinary course will have to pass the Examination prescribed for the Engineer Subordinate class of the College of Engineering – item (21) under O. P.W.D. – page 6 of the proof flagged Z1.

(2) Sub Overseers & River Conservancy Inspectors. The proposal\+ was to include these also under "Public Works Departt" in Col: B of the table under article 2 – (page 2 of the proof flagged Z1.) ~~The~~ Candidates for these appointments will not then be required to possess any General Educational qualification. Their technical qualifications will however still continue – that is they must have passed the examination prescribed for the Sub Overseer & Surveyor class, College of Engineering, Madras – vide ~~the~~ entry under O. Public Works Departt in the table under ~~para~~ article 3 – page 6 of the proof at flag Z1.

✓

P.M.
15/6/6

Sec.

The only qualifications required of P.W. Subordinates are technical qualifications. Apart from what the College rules require there are no general educational qualifications imposed by Govt. Please see on pp 12 & 13 of the Office note (para 2) & also on p 7 ib.

C.S.
15.6.06.

The question as to the qualifications required for admission to the various classes of the Eng. College is now under consideration in connection with the proposals for the reorganisation of the College.

File with H.M.

u 2. The qualifications at present required are specified ~~in page~~ on page No 9 of G.O 37 d/ 20.1.06 for the Engineer Subordinate class the matriculation is prescribed, & for the Sub Overseer & Surveyor class the qualification is the Lower Secondary or Cate Middle School Examination or "an examination accepted by Govt. as equivalent thereto".

3 If power is reserved to PWD under art 197 PWD Code to exempt from the technical test on the ground of practical knowledge & experience, presumably the educational test which qualifies for admission to the technical course is also dispensed with – and this is the practice

4 It is urged in PWD that if under 197 PWD Code they can exempt upper Subordinates, the Dept. sh^d be able to exempt Lower Subordinates also from the technical examinations and, ergo, from the general educational qualifications which admit to the technical classes.

HJ
15.6.08

If the proposal means that DPW sh^d be able to exempt from the educat^l qualifications

#29 59 39 P

necessary for admission to the technical classes, I cannot assent.

If the admission qualifications are maintained, the fact of qualifying by the technical standards sh^d relieve from further general educat^l. tests

Sec

Cas

16.6.06.

Very urgent

P.W.D. u.o. for remarks.

The issue of the revised notification cannot be delayed. - if the question is not settled in time for the final proof, the power of exemption, if allowed, sh^d be made by an addendum slip. - the item being omitted in the general notification

P.W.D. u.o.

P.W.D.

I have read para 197 of the P.W. Code again and am not in sympathy

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
17 JUN 1906
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Sup
18.6.06

Ed. u.o. 443 D
16.6.06.

P.W. ~~W.O.R.~~ U.O.C. No. 715
18.6.6

with it – as worded it gives but power to put in men in that are described as suitable positions, in other words gives power to bring in an outsider over the heads of men in the service – I should never take action under this para in this particular direction, & the more difficult it is made for ~~another~~ my successor to do so, the better pleased I shall be –

So far as appointments at the bottom rung of the ladder are concerned, there should as a rule be always a sufficient supply of fully qualified men –

I have in another file raised another question in the promotion of a subordinate for approved service as an exceptional case from the Lower Subordinate to the Upper Subordinate class – These cases would of course be ~~fe~~ few & far between & could be, if necessary, be brought to notice of Educational

I am quite in agreement with the views taken by [illegible] at pages 3 & 4 of these notes.

18/6/06.

To
The Edl: Dept. u.o.

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL
19 JUN 1906
SECRETARIAT.

11-12

~~[illegible]~~.

The revised public Service Notification will now issue without the ~~general~~ ~~passing~~ exemption of from general Edl. qualifications proposed in the case of ~~Upper~~ Subordinate appointed

2 | under art 197 P.W. Code

AS
4.6.06.

AS
Cas
17.6.06

Clean proofs of the Public Service and Special test notifications are submitted for approval. Should the Chief, Revenue & P.W. Secretariats be asked to accept them finally?

2. Item (3) under Public works departt on page 2 of the Public Service notification has been scored out with reference to the orders at 2 above.

Sec.

By
21/6/6

Perhaps this had better go to Depts again for final scrutiny.

CAS
22.6.06

Copies ~~[illegible]~~ sent to Chief Secrett, P.W. D & Rev. 23/6/6

Yes. Very urgently please. The notification has to issue by 1st July. Separate copy should go to each Dept. which may be asked to treat the matter as very urgent

22.6.06

Very urgent

Z The revised Public Service Notification will now issue without the exemption from general educational qualifications proposed in the case of Upper Subordinates appointed under Article 197 P.W. Code.

H.D.T.
19-6-06.

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL
25 JUN 1906
SECRETARIAT.

Clean proofs of ~~xx~~ the Public Service and Special Test Notifications are submitted for approval. Should the Chief, Revenue and Public Works Secretariats be asked to accept them finally?

2. Item (3) under Public Works Department on page 1 of the Public Service Notification has been scored out with reference to the orders at Z above.

P.T.T.
21-6-06.

Secretary.

Perhaps this had better go to Departments again for final scrutiny.

C.A.S.
22-6-06.

Yes. Very urgently please. The notification has to issue by 1st July. Separate copy should go to each Department which may be asked to treat the matter as very urgent.

H.D.T.
23-6-06.

~~U.O.C.~~ R.

(Received 4.45 P.M.)

Edl U.O. 464 D.
23.6.06.

P.W. U.O.R. No. 745
23-6-06

~~Flag T~~

Public Works Department U.O.

W

The only amendments required by the P.W.D. are those specified in U.O. No 696 of 25. June 1906 addressed to the Educational Deptt.

R.J.
25.6.06

With the exception of the amendment referred to in P.W.D. Registrar's note on previous page there is nothing P.W.D. requires, and the notification is accepted.

25/6/06

To Educational u.o.

The point specified above will have to form the subject of separate orders, which cannot be awaited in the present notification.

26.6.06.

34

64

Z

The revised Public Service Notification will now issue without the exemption from general educational qualifications proposed in the case of Upper Subordinates appointed under Article 197 P.W. Code.

H.D.T.
19-6-06.

Clean proofs of ~~ha~~ the Public Service and Special Test Notifications are submitted for approval. Should the Chief, Revenue and Public Works Secretariats be asked to accept them finally?

2. Item (3) under Public Works Department on page 2 of the Public Service Notification has been scored out with reference to the orders at Z above.

P.T.T.
21-6-06.

Secretary.

Perhaps this had better go to Departments again for final scrutiny.

C.A.S.
22-6-06.

Yes. Very urgently please. The notification has to issue by 1st July. Separate copy should go to each Department which may be asked to treat the matter as very urgent.

H.D.T.
23-6-06.

Dont print

Edl no. 464 d

23.6.06

Revenue Secretariat. u.o.

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
23 JUN 1906
REVENUE-D
1301
REVENUE DEPARTMENT

Recd 23.6.06.
Regd Recd 7 P.m.

To Under Secy. 6

The portions of the Public service and Special Test notifications relating to this Deptt. have been scrutinized. Submitted that they may be accepted subject to the alterations indicated ~~at pages~~ below:-

As the Testing Establishment in the Custom House, Madras, has been ~~abo~~ abolished x, the remarks ~~against~~ in the last column under C. Customs Deptt on page 5 of the notification should be omitted. For the same reason the words "except the appointment of Assistant Tester in the Madras Custom House" occurring in item 16 of the appointments against Translation Test, Lower Grade, on page ⑮ 7 of the Special Test Notn. should also be omitted.

x vide BP at page 7 of G O No 1221 d

R.

26.6.06.

26.6.06

27.6.06

26.6.06

Public Service Notification.

(1) For the words "Dy. Commissioners of Settlement" occurring in col B on p 2 under Sett Deptt (1), may be substituted "Settlement ~~Officers~~ Parties" as there are at present no Dy Commissioners working in the Settlement Deptt

Yes will likely do.

(2). The ~~words~~ "Land Records Tahsildars" may be added after "Tahsildars" in col 1 under rule 3 A Genl. (p. 3).

Yes - may be added

(3) For the words "Land Revenue Inspectors" item (13) under C. Rev. Deptt rule 3, the words "Lands Records Insprs" may be substituted.

Special test notification

(1) The words "Land Records Tahrs" may be put in ~~after~~ "Tahrs" against Precis writing ~~test.~~ (p. 7).

27/6/06

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL
27 JUN 1906
SECRETARIAT.

(2). The plural term "Tahrs" may be used instead of the singular in item 12, Translation Test lower grade.

(3) The words "Land Records Tahrs" and "Land Records Insprs" may be used for Land Rev. Tahrs and Land Rev. Insprs occurring in items 1 and 7 of under criminal judl. test lower grade and Rev. test lower grade.

27/6/06

Rev: No 1301D/06-1
27.6.06

VRu
27.6.06

27/6/06

Z

The revised Public Service Notification will now issue without the exemption from general educational qualifications proposed in the case of Upper Subordinates appointed under Article 197 P.W. Code.

H.D.T.
19-6-06.

Clean proofs of ~~xx~~ the Public Service and Special Test Notifications are submitted for approval. Should the Chief, Revenue and Public Works Secretariats be asked to accept them finally?

2. Item (3) under Public Works Department on page 2 of the Public Service Notification has been scored out with reference to the orders at Z above.

P.T.T.
21-6-06.

Secretary.

Perhaps this had better go to Departments again for final scrutiny.

C.A.S.
22-6-06.

Yes. Very urgently please. The notification has to issue by 1st July. Separate copy should go to each Department which may be asked to treat the matter as very urgent.

H.D.T.
23-6-06.

Edl no. 464 D
23.6.06.

Chief Secretariat. no.

Regd 23.6.06

CURRENT NO.
2339
PUBLIC

Public & Marine Depts

For the Under Secy.

The notification has been checked in these Depts and may be accepted.

Cy
26.6.02

Pub (Mily); Pol & Eccl. depts

The reference to article 237 of vol. XIII of the Army Regulations, 1900, ~~cannot be checked~~ at p. 2 of the first notification cannot be checked as there is no copy of the book in the Office. There is probably a later edition. Submitted that the following telephonic message may be sent to the A. A. G.

"Article 237 of volume XIII "of the Army Regulations, 1900, "~~[illegible]~~ provides for the "grant of certain examination "certificates. If the volume "~~is not now extant~~ has undergone "revision, can you let me know "in what volume & paragraph

"of

"of the existing Regulations the "provisions are reproduced."

Issue
2ed
28.6.06

K
26.6.06

Desp^d
C.H.
13/20
26.6.06

TELEPHONIC MESSAGE.

Date 26 June 1906

15 Hours 40 Minutes.

From A.A.G.

To Chief Secretary

N. A 777. Your telephone message of date Please see India Army Order 225 of 1906 which comes into force in August & October next.

C.A.

For the U.S.

I ~~have~~ ascertained from the A.A.G.'s Office that Vol. XIII of the Army Regulations is still in force. Army Order 225 of 1906 modifies the syllabus of the examinations given in para 237 of Vol. XIII ~~the Regulations~~.

Submitted

6

Submitted that the ~~referen~~ reference to para. 237 may stand.

7 Ledd 27.6.06 Ml 27.6.06

H. Edl. co

Judicial Department

To the A.S.

The Notification has been checked in this dept & may be accepted.

The file may it is submitted be passed on to the Jud Dept.

AS 28-6-06

CM 28.6.06.

Finl. Co.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

p1. of draft notifn.

Attention is solicited to the entry "appointments the pay of which is paid from the "provincial grant for the District post" – in the list (on page 2 of the draft notifn.) of appointments excluded from the operation of the rule ~~regarding~~ requiring certain minimum educational qualifications.

F. Go. 805 Finl, 3.12.03

It is submitted that since the imperialization of the Postal dept, there are no appointments in that dept paid from Provincial. Even where Post offices are established at the instance of the Local Govt, the ~~officials~~ officials employed there belong to and are Controlled by the Imperial dept – the Local Govt only paying the Cost of such Post offices.

Cml

2. It will therefore be seen that the entry above referred to is unnecessary & may be deleted.

ab
28.6.06

Special Test Notificn

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL
29 JUN 1906
SECRETARIAT.

Translation Test. Lower Grade (p. 7)

See also item 6 under "Revenue Test - Lower Grade" on p 9 Cml

also item (10) under C. Rev. Dept. on p. 3 of Public Service Notifn.

Cml

Item 11 "Sub. Collector" + "Head Asst Colr" are graded now. Would not "Head Clerks in Revenue divisional offices" do for this entry?

Item 20 I don't think there are any separate "Translator" appts now in the Sects: those in the Chief Secl. were abolished some time ago, as separate offices.

Cml
29 6 06
3.55 pm

7.D

ED no

Educational departt

The notifications have been revised with reference to the remarks of the Revenue + Chief Secretariats. The P.W. Secretariat has no suggestions to make.

The notifications may now issue?

PH 30/6/6

Issue with next page.

1-7-06

Sec.

May issue. If any further alteration is required it can be effected by addenda or errata slips.

Cas
1. 7. 06.

Yes

H. D. T
1/7/6

H.C.
Educational

V. E

I am sending you these notes & the proofs of the Public service & Special test notifications ~~[illegible]~~ as finally passed. The notifications must appear in the Gazette of the 8th instant without fail. But I want you also to check them once more with the notes very carefully. Also check the Special test notifications with the Public service & see that the designations &c are uniform. Pass the final proof very carefully & you may go to the Press first if you want.

1/7/6

Attended to
CS
3.7.06

approved

Promotion of Lower Subordinates who have not passed the overseer's test to the permanent upper Subordinate establishment

53

III 73

P. W. D.

NOTE.

XXV

In his note printed on pages 6 to 9 of G.O.No. 1272 W, dated 10th July 1905, the Chief Engineer, P.W.D., drew up a scheme for the reorganisation of the irrigation conservancy establishment, and this was approved by Government in the G.O. quoted above. The scheme was based on the lines suggested by the Government of India, who also forwarded a note on the subject by the Inspector General of Irrigation, who wrote as follows:-

with G.O. 1272 W of 10-7-05 W

"The proposals for the improvement of the position of the Delta Superintendents put forward in the Madras letter are; first, to bring all the present men on to either the Upper or Lower Subordinate establishments. I venture to think it would be better to bring them all into one class and ... ... I think this should be the Sub-Overseer class which would permit of their being promoted for approved service into the Overseer or Upper Subordinate class with its improved travelling allowances and pay and consequent better pension- this will give the men something to look forward to, while I venture to think that the pay and position of a 1st grade overseer is ample for men doing the duties which will be required of them. This would also assist in forming a really good Lower subordinate establishment for the Province .... ".

Pres
Please print
+ [illegible] proof
7/7/6

Under the Madras Government Educational rules, it is, however, necessary that before a man can be appointed or promoted to the Upper Subordinate establishment of the P.W.D., he should be qualified in the subjects prescribed on page 62 of the Engineering College Calendar. It is for consideration whether an exception should not now be made in the case of Sub-Overseers who have practical experience and rendered approved

DP/W

approved service. I am strongly of opinion that unless such relaxation is allowed the reorganisation of the Irrigation Conservancy Establishment will not have the full effect which the Government expect from it.

R.P.

S 7/6/06

10

To
The Edl. Depl. u.o.

1 ~~in fact one of the~~

Promotions for the Subovérseer class would of course be very exceptional, but the fact that ~~they~~ such promotions were possible would be an inducement to men to do their best - With the certificate of the Subovérseer Surveyor class + many years of practical work a man would be just as good an upper subordinate as a young passed student of the College -

~~The same~~
Promotion on the same lines obtains in the upper subordinate ranks, where selected subordinates may be promoted into the Provincial Engineer Service as Asstt: Engineers -

There can I think be no doubt that Government intended that promotion from the Subovérseer to the upper subordinate class should be permissible, but the actual orders dealing with the Conservancy reorganization this point is nowhere brought out.

To Educational
U.O.

JJ
19/6/06

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL
21 JUN 1906
SECRETARIAT.

P.W. W.D. No. 659 / 19.6.06

Edl. no. 213 R / 21.6.06.

21.6.6

Educational Departt.

It is not clear whether the P.W.D. is referring to "River Conservancy Inspectors" as stated in one of its previous notes. If River Conservancy Inspectors are meant they are not required to pass anything more than the examination prescribed for the Sub overseer & Surveyor class of the College of Engineering - vide item (5) under O. Public works departt. on page 6 of the proof of the Public Service notification. Perhaps P.W.D. may be asked whether the entries under O. Public works departt. in the proof are correct or whether they require any alteration with reference to its note above.

P.J.
21/6/6

The rule in Educational may be stated thus, as I understand it. If a subordinate possesses the general educational qualifications requisite for a certain post, but has not passed the necessary technical tests, then, if considering his experience and ability, the P.W.D. does not think it necessary that he should pass the technical tests, the Subordinate may be exempted ~~therefor~~ from passing them. What Edn. is mainly concerned about is to see that officers of certain grades possess certain general educational qualifications.

2. In the light of these remarks will P.W.D. please say whether they desire any alteration in the P.S. notification, or whether they wish any further discussion?

Chs
22.6.06.

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
22 JUN 1906
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

desp
22/6/6

~~U.O.C~~
R

PWD no.

P.W.D.

What the P.W.D. desires is that it should have power to promote to the Upper Subordinate establishment fully qualified Sub-Overseers who have practical experience and are, in the opinion of the Chief Engineer, fit for such promotion.

The following addition may be made in Column A of the table under Article 2 of the Public Service Notification, page 2.

"(6) Fully qualified Sub-Overseers of the

13-15

A P.W.D. whose practical experience fits them, ~~as~~ in the opinion of the Chief Engineer, for promotion to the Upper Subordinate grades."

The effect of this addition would be to exempt these men from general educational qualifications. Action could then be taken in the P.W.D. under para 197 of the P.W.D. Code.

The following addition would, as a consequence, also have to be made in the column "Tests" against item (2) Engineer Subordinates, under O. Public Works Department, on page 6 of the Public Service Notification.

Q

B. "Fully qualified Sub-Overseers with practical experience who are considered by the Chief Engineer P.W.D. fit for promotion to the Upper Subordinate grades".

R.S./ If Educational agrees to the above proposals, the Conservancy reorganization will be more effective & more in keeping with the general idea which obtained at the time this reorganization was worked out. Briefly the considerable staff of Ancient, Tank & Delta Superintendents has been done away, and its place has been taken by a lower subordinate staff of subOverseers. A certain number of the old Conservancy staff have of course been brought into the new Conservancy

~~What~~ But what is the test of a 'better class of man'? It can in the circumstances be only the ~~better educated~~ standard of ~~[illegible]~~ the education of the men.

CoL
26.6.06.

staff as Subverseers. The main object of the reorganization was to have a better class of man on better pay generally & with better prospects in charge of conservancy work, water distribution &c than the old staff of Superintendents; and one of the improvements in the prospects of these men was to be possibility, for enhanced service, of promotion to the Upper Subordinate Staff. The educational qualifications presumed of these men will be those of the candidates for the Subverseer-Surveyor class in the College — and their technical qualifications will be those of Subverseer-Surveyors with practical experience. Some of these men would certainly, as the years go on, be perfectly fit to be overseers, but of course it would be hopeless to ask them to go & pass the overseer's test at the College — equally so would it be useless to ask them to pass a higher educational test than that ~~[illegible]~~ with which they entered the College; and yet the hope of attaining the Upper Subordinate Class, & the knowledge that such attainment was possible as the reward of really good work would be a great incentive to really good work. Such promotions would naturally be few & far between, and would equally naturally be only given

~~What~~ But what is the test of a 'better class of man'? He can in the circumstances be only [illegible]

~~[illegible]~~

[illegible]

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL
23 JUN 1906
SECRETARIAT.

however I had thoroughly examined it –
I would ^very strongly^ recommend the ~~insertion~~ addition to ~~of~~ the P.S. Notification of the portions side lined A & B –

As regards para (97) of the P.W. Code I have already in a separate file stated that in so far as this para is intended to give power to the Chief Engineer under rule to appoint outsiders in suitable grades, I am not in sympathy with it. but with regard to the Sub Overseers, it will be noticed that they are not outsiders; they are men, who, when promotion to the upper subordinate ranks is proposed, will have done many years service as P.W.D. subordinates; & further their promotion will be to the lowest grades of upper subordinates –

P.W. U.O. D 676
23-6-06

To Educational U.O.

23/6/06

Edl U.O. No: 218A
23.6.06

Educational Departt

At present the technical qualification of Sub Overseers is the Examination prescribed for the Sub Overseer & Surveyor class, College of Engineering – page 6 of the proof of the Public Service Notification – Entry under O. Public Works Departt – Q.
3 Sub Overseers. This exempts them from the general Educational test – ~~Article 2~~ Entry under Public works departt in Column B of the table under article 2 – on page 2 of the proof Q.

2. Upper Subordinates have to pass the Examination

prescribed for the Engineer Subordinate class of the College of Engineering – page 6 of the proof of the P.S. notification – entry under O. Public Works Dept. – 2. Engineer Subordinates.

This ensures their being at least matriculates as the Matriculation Examination is the qualification for admission to the class – Rule 39 on page 8 of G.O. No 37 Edl dt 20th Jany 1906. R.

3. The P.W.D. wants provision to be made for the promotion of Sub overseers to the ranks ~~parts of~~ upper subordinates in exceptional cases. Sub overseers have thus to be exempted from both the general Education and technical qualifications. The H.E. has decided ~~that~~ in his orders on the Public Service Service notification file that exemption from the general Education qualification cannot be given.

4. At present Sub inspectors of Police on promotion from the grade of head constables are exempt from the general Education qualification – vide the second entry under "Police" in Column B of the table under article 2 on page 2 of the proof. but their case is not parallel as they should possess the technical qualification required whereas Sub overseers on promotion will require exemption from the technical qualification also. Q.

Lee.

P.S.
26/6/6

Mr. Davidson has said above that the intention of the proposed change is to give encouragement & hope of promotion to ~~so~~ men of the Sub-overseer class who have done exceptionally good work.

He further observes that cases of promotion of this sort will be very few & that all such promotions will be very carefully scrutinized.

2. I submit that if these promotions are to be so rare, they will form the exception. It would therefore seem unreasonable to ~~frame~~ give such a general power as is contemplated in the proposed rules to meet purely exceptional cases. Even under the present rules, if the Chief Engineer particularly recommends a man for exemption, it is not likely that Govt would refuse to exempt, and as these cases are admittedly exceptional & would be sent to Govt only if the C.E. made such a particular recommendation, there seems no need to make any change. As to the argument that these sub-overseers by knowing that they may be promoted if they do well, are all the more likely to turn out good work, I submit that they are just as likely to work well under existing arrangements; for they know that good work may get them an exemption from

Passing Examinations.

W
26.6.06

Submitted that as the cases in which promotion from the Lower Subordinate to Upper Subordinate rank is recommended are likely to be exceptional, general exemption is undesirable: Special exemption may be given in each case on its merits

HD.
26 6 06

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, 29 JUN 1906 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

HM

I agree
8-7/6

Sec.

P.W.D. may see.

28.6.06.

H
28.6.06

P.W.D.

W

A copy of these notes has been made for record and future reference in this office. The original notes may now be returned to the Educational Dept.

3.7.06

Recd 28/6/6

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL
4 - JUL 1906
SECRETARIAT.

Returned with thanks — The position taken up by Educational with regard to appointment of the Chief Engineer — I raised the question in order that something might be on record against the time when a proposal to transfer a subordinate from the lower subordinate ranks to the upper subordinate ranks might be first made.

P.W. N.O.D. No. 703 / 3.7.06.

Edn. G.O. 226R / 4-7-06

Educational N.O.

3/7/06

Recd with th. No.

(Have copies asked for printed with notes in support of [illegible] notification.)

H.S.
4.7.06

H.E. Col
Madras

Print with notes already sent down & show proof —

P.J. 24/7/06

Re

[E. / 12]
1,000—2-3-06.

15

Educational DEPARTMENT.

83

6 P

READ AGAIN G.O., No. , dated 190 .

" " " " , " 190 .

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 171, From the Board of Revenue, No. 82, dated 5 - 2 - 1906.

" " 759, " " Revenue departt, " 368, " 24 - 4 - 1906

" " 882, " " P.W.D, " 1153W, " 24 - 5 - 1906.

A

A

A

ORDER.

P.S.

No. 468, dated 3 - 7 - 1906.

Recorded, the necessary alterations having been made in the Public Service notification.

P.S.
30/6/6

Ces
2.7.06

H.D.
3.2.06

Desp
3/7/6

To be printed in Madras. The notes & sent down with the Public Service & Special Test notifications should be printed with the notes in this case.
3/7/6

st copy sent to Press.

eturned for signature.

espatched in print.

NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED { Order complete. 30
Letter only.
Order complete with Letter.

AD AGAIN G.O., No. , DATED 190 .

77p
notes 1-63

CURRENT No. 171—A
759—A
882—A

Press
Please print
& send proof

MOL
7/7/6

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

LETTER TO GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
F = Print abstract only.
G = Print heading only.