Recd

[ B. ]

500—12-8-04.

# Educational (1906 ) Department.

1

ORDINARY PRINTED.

**G.O., Nos** 401 & 402.

**DATED** 9th June 1906.

N. P.

—25—

[Despatch Abstract.]

School of Arts

Requesting the Govt: of India to sanction the further continuance, until a new Superintendent is appointed, of the arrangement under which Mr. Brand holds charge of the ~~School~~ on his present salary of Rs 500 a month

## BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | |

## FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | |

---

1A

# Government of Madras.

## EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

*Recd.* } 1906. *Enclosures*

*Regd.* *Spare copies*

25

**G.O., &c., Nos. 401, 402, 9th June 1906.**

N. P.

### School of Arts.

Requesting the Government of India to sanction the further continuance, until a new Superintendent is appointed, of the arrangement under which Mr. Brand holds charge of the — on his present salary of Rs. 500 a month.

## GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

## EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper :—

**G.O., No. 425, Educational, dated 22nd June 1905.**

ABSTRACT.—Informing the Director of Public Instruction that the Government of India sanction the continuance, for a further period of sixteen months, of the arrangement approved in their telegram of 11th June 1904 under which Mr. Brand holds charge of the Superintendentship of the School of Arts, Madras.

---

**Order—No. 401, Educational, dated 9th June 1906.**

The following letter will be despatched to the Government of India.

(True Extract.)

H. D. TAYLOR,
*Ag. Secretary to Government.*

---

**Letter—from H. D. TAYLOR, Esq., I.C.S., Acting Secretary to the Government of Madras, Educational Department.**
**To—the Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department.**
**Dated—Ootacamund, the 9th June 1906.**
**No.—402, Educational.**

I am directed to invite your attention to the correspondence ending with Mr. Brunyate's letter No. 386 (Education), dated 9th June 1905, in which the Government of India sanctioned the continuance, for a further period of sixteen months, of the arrangement approved in the Home Department telegram No. 486 of the 11th June 1904 placing Mr. G. E. Brand of the Madras Public Works Department in charge of the School of Arts, Madras, on a salary of Rs. 500 a month.

2. It was stated in paragraph 3 of my letter No. 139 of the 7th March 1905 to which Mr. Brunyate's letter above cited was the reply, that a reorganization of the school was under consideration and that meanwhile it was undesirable that a permanent appointment to the Superintendentship of the school should be made. It will be seen from my letter No. 79, dated 10th February 1906, that the lines on which the reorganization should be carried out have now been decided and an attempt has been made to procure a suitable Superintendent in India. This attempt having failed, the Government of India is now being separately addressed regarding the selection of a Superintendent in England. In the meanwhile, as the sanction conveyed in Mr. Brunyate's letter expires on the 17th instant I am directed to request that the Government of India will be pleased to sanction the further continuance, until a new permanent Superintendent is appointed, of the arrangement under which Mr. Brand holds charge of the school on his present salary of Rs. 500 a month. This salary is the *minimum* salary attached to the post of Superintendent of the School of Arts and Mr. Brand has raised the question whether he should not be allowed to draw increments in accordance with the sanctioned scale. On this subject the Government of India will, if necessary, be addressed hereafter.

---

2

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

## NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., Nos. 401, 402, EDUCATIONAL. DATED 9TH JUNE 1906.

[SUBJECT.—*Privilege leave to Mr. Brand and extension of the term of his office in the School of Arts as Superintendent.*]

*Demi-official from G.E. Brand, Esq., Superintendent, School of Arts, Madras, to the Director of Public Instruction, dated 14th May 1906.*

I have received the orders of Government that my services will be required in the School of Arts beyond the date sanctioned by the Government of India and that the three months' leave applied for by me cannot be sanctioned.

I have not had leave for a longer period than two months since joining the Government service and it is more than twenty years since I left England. I am also *feeling the want of a change* very much.

As it appears that I am to remain some time longer in the school, it would certainly be more convenient, now so little is doing, if I had the leave now instead of later on.

I would therefore be very grateful if you will again submit my application to Government. There is no reason why, at this juncture, the Assistant Superintendent should not be able to manage during my absence.

If the leave is sanctioned I should like it to be from the "date of availing" so that I may have a few days to arrange for passage, etc.

---

*Demi-official from A. Chatterton, Esq., Officer on special duty, to the Director of Public Instruction, dated 14th May 1906.*

I understand that Brand has written to you about his leave and I have promised to back him up as I think he wants a change very badly and it would be much more convenient if he went now than later on. Velayuda Asari can very well act for him now as the school is practically marking time. Later on when definite orders have been issued, it will be desirable to go ahead and if Brand is retained in any capacity he will be all the better for having been on a holiday. If he goes back to the Public Works Department it will not affect us in any way but it will benefit him and I think he deserves consideration at the hands of Government.

---

*Demi-official from the Hon'ble Mr. A. G. Bourne, D.Sc., F.R.S., Director of Public Instruction, to the Secretary to Government, Educational Department, dated 19th May 1906, No, 195.*

Will you kindly ascertain whether Government would be prepared to reconsider their order about Brand's leave. I enclose a letter from him and another from Chatterton. I really think that Brand could be spared just now and perhaps much better now than later on.

Velayuda Asari would carry on the legitimate work of the school and Chatterton would look after his chrome-leather industry by himself for the time.

In G.O., No. 294, Educational, dated 25th April 1906, Mr. Brand was informed that his services would be required at the School of Arts until a successor could be found for him and that the privilege leave for three months from 19th June 1906 for which he had applied could not be granted at present.

2. It has been decided to apply to the Secretary of State through the Government of India for a suitable person to be Superintendent of the School of Arts. The draft letter to India is now in circulation.

3. The reorganization of the School of Arts has been deferred pending the appointment of a new Superintendent—G.O., No. 78, Educational, dated 10th February 1906—and pending reorganization very little work appears to be going on at the school. The Director thinks that Mr. Brand can be spared from the school now and that M.R.Ry. Velayuda Asari can carry on the legitimate work of the school, *i.e.*, such as there is at present.

4. If he is allowed to go on leave now should it not be on the distinct understanding that he goes back to the school until his services can be conveniently and permanently spared therefrom?

P. T. THARYAN—19-5-06.

C. A. SOUTER—21-5-06.

Mr. Brand is a Conductor in the Public Works Department and is acting as Superintendent, School of Arts. His acting appointment expires on 17th proximo. The post of Superintendent is permanently vacant and the Secretary of State has not yet been asked to appoint a new man. It is certain that some months will elapse before a permanent Superintendent comes out.

2. As it is said that Mr. Brand badly wants a change and can be spared, I think that the Educational Department need not object to his going on leave; but he will go on leave, not as Acting Superintendent, School of Arts, but as Conductor, Public Works Department (because the acting vacancy expires just before he goes on leave) and the order will have to issue from that department.

3. During Mr. Brand's absence Velayuda Asari can act as Superintendent and on Mr. Brand's return (if by that time no permanent man has been sent out) it can be decided whether he should be put in to act again as Superintendent.

A. BUTTERWORTH—22-5-06.

*To the Public Works Department.*

---

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Conductor Brand's title to the three months' privilege leave he applies for has now to be ascertained. The Accountant-General may be asked through the Educational Department to report on this point.

T.S.R.—24-5-06.

A. G. ROMILLY—25-5-06.

Yes, this may be ascertained at once.

J. P. DAVIDSON—25-5-06.

To the Educational Department with Conductor Brand's service book.

---

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Ascertain sharp please.

A. BUTTERWORTH—29-5-06.

The draft memorandum placed below is submitted for approval.

P. T. THARYAN—29-5-06.

C. A. SOUTER—30-5-06.

[Memorandum No. 241, Educational, dated 30th May 1906.]

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

G.O., No. 174, Educational, dated 11th April 1900.

In connection with Mr. Chatterton's employment on special duty for the purpose of developing technical trades and industries in the Madras Presidency, the Director of Public Instruction made certain proposals for the management of the School of Arts under which Mr. Chatterton was to be in charge of the school with an Assistant to help him on the Arts side and another on the Industrial side. These proposals were approved in G.O., No. 511, Educational, dated 18th October 1900, and M.R.Ry. Velayuda Asari, the Assistant Superintendent, became the Assistant on the Arts side and Mr. G. E. Brand was appointed temporary Assistant on the Industrial side on a salary of Rs. 400 *per mensem* for a period of three years—G.O., No. 512, Educational, dated 18th October 1900. The sanction of the Secretary of State was subsequently obtained to this arrangement—G.O., No. 269, Educational, dated 15th May 1901.

2. Mr. Brand's original term of appointment as Industrial Assistant expired on 7th February 1904, but this was extended till the date of Mr. Chatterton's departure on leave on 12th April 1904—Secretary of State's despatch, No. 64, Public, on page 3 of G.O., No. 325, Educational, dated 31st May 1904.

3. Mr. Brand was then appointed Acting Superintendent, first on his grade pay of Rs. 200 *plus* acting allowance Rs. 100, under article 140, Civil Service Regulations—telegram from the Government of India, No. 344, dated 21st April 1904, on page 6 of G.O., No. 371, Educational, dated 14th June 1904—and then on Rs. 500, the minimum salary of the post—telegram from the Government of India, No. 486, dated 12th June 1904, on page 7 of the same Government Order.

4. In letter No. 185, Educational, dated 16th March 1904, on pages 4 and 5 of the above Government Order, this Government asked for sanction to Mr. Brand's appointment as Acting Superintendent during Mr. Chatterton's absence on leave, *i.e.*, till 16th February 1905. This was sanctioned in the telegram of 21st April 1904 referred to. Then an extension for a further period of sixteen months was applied for in letter to India, No. 139, Educational, dated 7th March 1905, and sanction obtained in letter from India, No. 386, printed with G.O., No. 425, Educational, dated 22nd June 1905. This extension expires on the 17th of June 1906.

5. The question has now to be decided whether application should be made to the Government of India for a further extension. In G.O., No. 294, Educational, dated 25th April 1906, Mr. Brand was informed that the privilege leave for three months for which he had applied could not be granted and that his services would be required in the School of Arts until a successor for him had been found. He has now applied for a reconsideration of this order and the Director of Public Instruction has stated that he can now be spared. The Accountant-General has been asked to report on his eligibility for the leave. No reply has yet been received. If the leave is granted, the proposal at present is that he should revert to the Public Works Department on the termination of his present term of acting appointment and should be brought back again if necessary at the end of the leave.

P. T. THARYAN—1-6-06.

Mr. Brand's appointment as Acting Superintendent, School of Arts, expires on 17th instant and the Accountant-General has advised that an extension to the appointment will need sanction of the Government of India.

2. Mr. Brand wants three months' privilege leave from date of expiry of his acting appointment. The Director of Public Instruction recommends this because Mr. Brand is said to really need leave and because work in the school can at this time be carried on very well by Velayuda Asari, the Assistant Superintendent. Mr. Brand reverts naturally to the Public Works Department on the 18th instant and unless he is to be given a further extension as Superintendent of the School of Arts the order granting him leave should issue in that department.

3. I think the best plan will be for the Public Works Department (if there is no objection and the Accountant-General, who has been addressed, certifies that he is entitled to privilege leave) to grant Mr. Brand the three months' leave applied for.

Then, if the Secretary of State has not appointed a new Superintendent of the School of Arts by the time Mr. Brand is about to return, we can ask the Government of India to allow him to come back to the School of Arts until the Secretary of State has selected a man.

4. As, however, the Secretary of State has not yet been addressed about securing a substitute, it is almost certain that no substitute will be available by the time when **A** Mr. Brand is due to return. In view of this fact, it would not be unreasonable to tell Mr. Brand that he can have his leave and to apply at once to India to sanction his retention as Acting Superintendent until the future pucka Superintendent has been selected. In that case Mr. Brand would take his leave as Acting Superintendent and the order granting leave should issue in the Educational Department.

(Circulated to the Honourable Member (through the Public Works Department).

A. BUTTERWORTH—1-6-06.

---

*From the Accountant-General, dated* 1st *June* 1906, *No. A.D.H.* 14.

---

The Accountant-General reports that Mr. Brand will on 3rd June 1906 be eligible for privilege leave for three months.

2. The file on the subject went to the Public Works Department last night. This letter may be forwarded to that department in continuation ?

P. T. THARYAN—2-6-06.

Yes.

A. BUTTERWORTH—2-6-06.

*To the Public Works Department.*

---

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

The course proposed at **A** above may be agreed to.

T.S.R.—1-6-06.

A. G. ROMILLY—2-6-06.

There is no objection in the Public Works Department to the leave being sanctioned in the Educational Department. All I would stipulate for is the very earliest information, if during Mr. Brand's leave it is found to be unnecessary for him to return to the Educational Department. Mr. Brand is a good man and I should not be at all sorry to get him back to the Public Works Department. At the same time, because he is quite above his own class in the Public Works Department, I should be glad to see him get a good appointment.

J. P. DAVIDSON—3-6-06.

I agree to Mr. Butterworth's proposal.

G. S. F[ORBES]—3-6-06.

The papers may now be returned to the Educational Department.

T.S.R.—5-6-06.

A. G. ROMILLY—5-6-06.

J. P. DAVIDSON—5-6-06.

*To the Educational Department.*

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Draft *sharp* please as at **A**. Order granting leave can go out before letter to India is passed.

A. BUTTERWORTH—5-6-06.

The draft placed below granting the leave is submitted for approval.

Issue this at once please.
A. BUTTERWORTH.

2. A draft letter to India applying for a further extension of Mr. Brand's term as Acting Superintendent of the School of Arts is separately submitted.

P. T. THARYAN—5-6-06.

C. A. SOUTER—6-6-06.

The draft to India can be typed and sent to the Honourable Member for approval, but the order granting leave can issue meantime.

A. BUTTERWORTH—6-6-06.

[G.O., No. 146 R., dated 6th June 1906.]

The Government Order granting the leave has issued. The draft letter to India has been typed and is placed below. Mr. Brand has meantime applied for the annual increments of Rs. 50 which officers in the Indian Educational Service on Rupees 500—50—1,000 get and the file is with the Secretary. Perhaps these two files may be linked or circulated together.

P. T. THARYAN—6-6-06.

C. A. SOUTER—7-6-06.

I do not think we need delay the present draft in order to settle first the question of increments which involves a reference to the Accountant-General. I have added a few words at the end of the letter to show that this further question has been raised and with the Honourable Member's approval the letter may issue.

A. BUTTERWORTH—7-6-06.

G. S. F[ORBES]—8-6-06.

Issue.

C. A. SOUTER—9-6-06.

[G.O., Nos. 401, 402, Educational, dated 9th June 1906.]

---

~~Educational~~ Educational D^t

[Notes connected with 3 G.Os Nos. 401, 402, 408, Educational dated 9th June 1906]

Subject – ~~Mr Brand's~~ Privilege leave to Mr Brand and the extension of the term of his office in the School of Arts as Superintendent.

---

Précis
Please put in type & show proof
23/6/06

---

D. O.

5

School of Arts,
Madras, May 14th 1906

No.

Dear Sir

I have received the orders of Govt that my services will be required in the S of Arts beyond the date sanctioned by the Govt of India & that the 3 months leave applied for by me cannot be sanctioned

I have not had leave for a longer period than 2 months since joining the Govt Service & it is more than 20 years since I left England. I am also feeling the want of a change very much

As it appears that I am to remain some time longer in the School it would certainly be more convenient, now so little is doing, if I had the leave now instead of later on.

I would therefore be very grateful if You will again submit my application to Govt. There is no reason why, at this juncture, the Asst Supt should not be able to manage during

my absence

If the leave is sanctioned I should like it to be from the "date of availing" so that I may have a few days to arrange for passage &c.

Yours Obedly

G E Briand

D. O. 7 2P

School of Arts,

Madras, 14 May 1906.

No.

My dear Bourne,

I understand that Brand has written to you about his leave and I have promised to back him up as I think he wants a change very badly and it would be much more convenient if he went now than later on. Velayudu Asari can very well act for him now as the School is practically marking time - later on when definite orders have been issued, it will be desirable to go ahead and if Brand is retained in any capacity he will be all the better for having been on a holiday. If he goes back to the P.W.D. it will not affect us in any way but it will benefit him & I think he deserves consideration at the hands of Govt.

Yours sincerely

Alfred Chatterton

[Notes connected with G.O. Nos: 401, 402 of 9-6-06.]

[Subject. – Extension of Privilege leave to Mr. Brand & his ~~Mr. Brand's~~ term of Office as Supdt. of the School of Arts.]

D.O. 196 D.O. Rno: 478 of 19.5.06.

DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION
MADRAS

Ootacamund
19 May 1906

My dear Butterworth

Will you kindly ascertain whether Government would be prepared to reconsider their order about Brand's leave. G.O. 294 25-4-06 I enclose a letter from him and another from Chatterton. I really think that Brand could be spared just now and perhaps much better now than later on. 10

Velayudasuri would carry on the legitimate work of the School and Chatterton would look after his chrome leather industry by himself for the time

Yours sincerely

A. G. Bourne.

Note 401-02 Edn.

In G.O. No 294 Edl. d/ 25th April 1906 Mr. Brand was informed ~~that~~ his services would be required at the School of Arts until a successor could be found for him and ~~that~~ the privilege leave for three months from 19th June 1906 for which he had applied could not be granted at present.

2. It has been decided to apply to the Secretary of State through the Govt. of India ~~[illegible]~~ for a suitable person to be Superintendent of the School of Arts. The draft letter to India is now in circulation.

3. The reorganization of the School of Arts has been deferred pending the appointment of a new Superintendent – G.O. No 78 Edl. d/ 10th February 1906 – and pending re-organization very little work appears to be going on at the School. The Director thinks ~~that~~ Mr. Brand ~~could~~ can be spared ~~and~~ from the School now and ~~that~~ M.R.Ry Velayudasari can carry on the legitimate work of the School – i.e. such as ~~[illegible]~~ there is at present.

4. If he is allowed to go on leave now should it not be on the distinct understanding that he goes back to the School until his services can be conveniently & permanently spared therefrom?

P.

B.J. harryon
19/5/06

Sec
C.L. Souter
21.5.06.

Mr. Brand is a ~~[illegible]~~ Conductor in the PWD & is acting as Supt. School of Arts. His acting appointment expires on

13

5P

Urgent

17th prox. The post ~~of~~ of Supt. is permanently vacant & the S. of S. has not yet been asked to appoint a new man.

It is certain that some months will elapse before a permanent Supt. comes out.

As it is said that Mr. Brand badly wants a change & can be spared, I ~~[illegible]~~ think that Edn.! need not object to his going on leave but he will go on leave, not as Ag. Supt. School of Arts but as ~~[illegible]~~ Conductor P.W.D. (because the Acting vacancy expires just before he goes on leave) & the order will have to issue from that Dept.

Z ‖ During Mr. Brand's absence [illegible] can act as Supt. & on Mr. Brand's return (if by that time no permanent man has been sent out) it can be decided whether he should be put in to act again as Supt.

A.G. Butterworth
22/5

Edl. No. 393 D / 23-5-06

23 MAY 1906
[illegible] WORKS DEPARTMENT

To the P.W. Dept (U.O.)

22/5/6

U.O.C. / E
2

P.W.D.

W/ Conductor Brand's ~~tittle~~ title to the 3 months' privilege leave he applied for has now to be ascertained. The ~~A.G.~~ Accountant-General may be asked through the Educational Dept.

P.W. No. R No. 603 / 23.5.6

A.W. / W

Dept. to report on this point.

TSR
24-5-06.

A. G. Romilly
25/5/06

Yes this may be ascertained at once —

J. P. Davidson
25/5/06

L & M ... SECRETARIAT. 29 MAY 1906

To the Educational Dept U.O. with Conductor Brand's service book.

Educational Dept

Ascertain sharp please

A. W. Butterworth
29/5

The draft memo. placed below is submitted for approval.

P. J. Harrigan
29/5/6

C. A. Souter
30.5.06.

[Memo. No 241 Edl d/ 30-5-06]

[E./2.]
1,500—3-12-04

~~EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.~~

C. No. 908 From the A.G. No. ADH/14, dated 1-6-1906.

SUBJECT.

Mr. Brand's Eligibility for privilege leave.

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.
3 JUN 1906

The A.G. reports that Mr. Brand will on 3rd June 1906 be eligible for privilege leave for three months.

The file on the subject went to P.W.D. last night. This letter may be forwarded to that Departt. in continuation?

Edn. No. 416 D / 2/6/6.

By. Bhargava
2/6/6

C. A. Butterworth
2/6

Dspd. 4/6/6 To the P.W.D. 2ttl (U.O.)

~~U.O.C~~
2. E
4.6.06

P.W. U.O. R No. 652 / 4.6.06

Press
Please print the matter in this sheet at B B on page 11

Nil

8 16 88

Educational Department.

## Subject.

## Extension of Mr. Brand's term of office in the School of Arts.

G.O. No.174 Edl., dated 11th April 1900. Q1

In connection with Mr. Chatterton's employment on Special Duty for the purpose of developing technical trades and industries in the Madras Presidency, the Director of Public Instruction made certain proposals for the management of the School of Arts under which Mr. Chatterton was to be in charge of the School with an assistant to help him on the Arts side and another on the Industrial side. These proposals were approved in G.O. No.511 Edl., dated 18th October 1900 and M.R.Ry. Velayudasari the Assistant Superintendent became the Assistant on the Arts side and Mr. G.E. Brand was appointed temporary assistant on the Industrial side, on a salary of Rs.400 per mensem for a period of three years - G.O. No.512 Edl., ~~dax~~ dated 18th October 1900. The sanction of the Secretary of State was subsequently obtained to this arrangement - G.O. No.269 Edl., ~~dax~~ dated 15th May 1901.

XVIII

R1

P1

2. Mr. Brand's original term of appointment as Industrial Assistant expired on 7th February 1904 - but this was extended till the date of Mr. Chatterton's departure on leave on 12th April 1904 - Secretary of State's despatch No.64 Public on page 3 of G.O. No.325 Edl., dated 31st May 1904.

U

3. Mr. Brand was then appointed acting Superintendent, first on his grade pay of Rs.200 plus acting allowance (Rs 100) under Article 140 C.S.R. ~~[illegible]~~.- Telegram from the Government of India No.344, dated

21st

J 21st April 1904 on page 6 of G.O. No.371 Edl., dated 14th June 1904, and then on Rs.500, the minimum salary of the post - Telegram from the Government of India No.486, dated 12th June 1904

J on page 7 of the same G.O.

J 4. In letter No.185 Edl., dated 16th March 1904 on pages 4 and 5 of the above G.O. this Government asked for sanction to Mr. Brand's appointment as acting Superintendent during Mr. Chatterton's absence on leave - i.e., till 16th February 1905. This was sanctioned in the telegram of 21st April 1904 referred to. Then an extension for a further period of sixteen months was applied for in letter

F1 to India No.139 Edl., dated 7th March 1905 and sanction obtained in letter from India No.386 printed

B1 with G.O. No.425 Edl., dated 22nd June 1905. This extension expires on the * 17th of June 1906.

5. The question has now to be decided whether application should be made to the Government of

C1 India for a further extension. In G.O. No.294 Edl., dated 25th April 1906, ~~he~~ Mr. Brand was informed that the privilege leave for three months for which he had applied could not be granted and that his services would be required in the School of Arts until a successor for him had been found. He has now † applied for a reconsideration of this order and the Director of Public Instruction has stated that he can now be spared. The Accountant General has been asked to report on his eligibility for the leave. No reply has yet been received. If the leave is granted the ‡ proposal at present is that he should revert to the P.W.D. on the termination of his present term of acting appointment and should be

brought

* As reported by A.G. in another connection.

† Linked file ~~[illegible]~~ disposed.

‡ vide Secretary's note in linked ~~[illegible]~~ file.

brought back again if necessary at the end of the leave.

1/6/16

Mr. Brand's appointment as acting Supt. School of Arts expires on 17th inst. & the Acct. Gen. has advised that an Extension of the appointment will need sanction of the G. of I.

Linked file

Mr. Brand wants 3 months' privilege leave from date of expiry of his acting appointment. The D.P.I. recommends this because Mr. Brand is said to really need leave & because work in the School can at this time be carried on very well by Velayudasami the Asst. Supt.

Mr. Brand reverts naturally to PWD on the 18th inst & unless he is to be given a further Extension as Supt. of the School of Arts the order granting him leave should issue in that Dept.

I think the best plan will be for the P.W.D. (if there is no objection to the Acct. Gen., who has been addressed, certifies that he is entitled to privilege leave) to grant Mr. B. the 3 months' leave applied for. Then, if the Sec. of State has not appointed

Linked file

a new Supt. of the School of Arts by the time Mr. Brand is about to return, we can ask the G. of I. to allow him to come back to the School of Arts until the S. of S. has selected a man.

As however the S. of S. has not yet been addressed about securing a substitute, it is almost certain that no substitute will be available by the time when Mr. Brand is due to return. In view of this fact it would not be unreasonable to tell Mr. Brand that he can have his leave & to apply at once to India to sanction his retention as Ag. Supt. until the future pucka Supt. has been selected. In that case Mr. B. would take his leave as Acting Supt. & the order granting leave should issue in ~~P.W.~~ Educat. Dept.

ALS utterworth
1/6

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
1 JUN 1906
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

Edl. W.O. 412 D / 1/6/06 circulated to Hon. (through P.W.D.)

B

P.W.D

W.O.C / E

P.W.W.O.R 643 / 1.6.06

(W)

The course proposed in the last para. of the above note may be agreed to.

TSR
1-6-06.

1/6/06 A.G. Romilly

5 12 128

29

Hon Member.

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL
5 - JUN 1906
SECRETARIAT.

There is no objection in P.W.D. to the leave being sanctioned in Educational. All I should stipulate for is the very earliest information, if during Mr Brand's leave, it is found to be unnecessary for him to return to Educational. Mr Brand is a good man & I should not be at all sorry to get him back to the D.P.W. at the same time, because he is quite above his own class in the P.W.D., I should be glad to see him get a good appointment.

T. P. [illegible]

3/6/06

I agree to Mr Butterworth's proposal

G. F. [orbes]

3.6.06

(10)

The papers may now be returned to the Educational Dept.

TSR
5-6-06.

5/6/06.

A. G. Romilly

To Educational (U.O.)

With Edl. u.o. No. 416 D / 2.6.06 & Conductor Brand's Service register returned.

T. P. [illegible]

5/6/06

Educational Dept.

Draft sharp please as at A. Order granting leave can go out ~~first then before awaiting~~ before letter to India is passed.

A. G. letter to write

5/6

4/6 R 5/6

~~6~~ 13 13 B

21

Issue this at once please ~~[illegible]~~
AN

The draft placed below granting the leave is submitted for approval.

2. A draft letter to India applying for a further extension of Mr. Brand's term as acting Superintendent of the School of Arts is separately submitted with the linked file

P. J. [illegible]
5/6/6

Lee
C. E. S. [illegible]
6.6.06.

The draft to India can be ~~typed & sent~~ to H. M. for approval ~~if~~ ~~all letters of India~~ but the order granting leave can issue meanwhile
AN
6/6

[G.O. No 146 R dt 6-6-06]

The G.O. granting the leave has issued. The draft letter to India has been typed and is placed below. Mr. Brand has meantime applied for the annual increment of Rs 50 which officers in the Indian Ed. service on Rs 500-50-1000 get and the file is with the Secretary. Perhaps these two files may be linked or circulated together.

P. J.
6/6/6

Lee
CAS
7.6.06.

~~[illegible]~~

(Retype last page of draft letter please)
AN

done.
P. J.
7/6/6

I do not think we need delay the present draft in order to settle first the question of increments which involves a reference to the Acct. Gen. I have added a few words at the end of the letter to show that this further question has been raised & with H. M.'s approval the letter may issue.
AN
7/6

H. M.
8/6/6

[G. O. Nos. 401, 402 dt 9-6-06]

Issued CAS 9.6.06.

New

[ 6 / 12 ]
1,000—2-3-06.

22

17

Educational **DEPARTMENT.**

2 P

READ AGAIN G.O., No. 425, dated 22 - 6 - 1905.

" " " " , " 190 .

*READ ALSO:—*

C. No. , From the , No. , dated 190 .

" " , " " , " , " 190

" " , " " , " , " 190

**ORDER.** No. 401, dated 9 - 6 - 1906.

PS

The following letter will be despatched to the Government of India.

P.T.T.
5-6-06.

A.B.
6-6-06.

Desp minus furniture & prints 9/6/6

Recd 23/6/6

LETTER: 402 d/ 9 - 6 - 06.

To the Secretary to the Govt. of India,
Home Department.

S i r,

I am directed to invite your attention to the correspondence ending with Mr. Brunyate's letter No.386 (Education) dated 9th June 1905 in which the Government of India sanctioned the continuance, for a further period of

With G.O.No.425 Edl.,
dated 22nd June 1905. B1

of sixteen months, of the arrangement approved in the Home Department Telegram No.486 of the 11th June 1904 placing Mr. G.E.Brand of the Madras Public Works Department in charge of the School of Arts, Madras, on a salary of Rs.500 a month.

F,

P.

2. It was stated in para.3 of Mr. Taylor's letter No.139 of the 7th March 1905 to which Mr. Brunyate's letter above ~~referred to~~ cited was the reply that a re-organization of the School was under consideration and that meanwhile it was undesirable that a permanent appointment to the superintendentship of the School should be made. It will be seen from Mr. Taylor's letter No.79, dated 10th February 1906 that the lines on which the reorganization should be carried out have now been decided and an attempt has been made to procure a suitable Superintendent in India. This attempt having failed the Government of India is now being separately addressed ~~with a view to~~ regarding the selection of a Superintendent in England. In the meanwhile, as the sanction conveyed in Mr. Brunyate's letter expires on the 17th instant I am directed to request that the Government of India will be pleased to sanction the further continuance, until a new permanent Superintendent is

appointed

Rev

appointed, of the arrangement under which Mr. Brand holds charge of the School on his present salary of Rs.500 a month. This salary is the minimum salary attached to the post of Superintendent of the School of Arts and Mr. Brand has raised the question whether he should not be allowed to draw increments in accordance with the sanctioned scale. On this subject the Government of India will, if necessary, be addressed hereafter.

A.B.
6-6-06.

Despd
10/6/6

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched in print.

NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED. { Order complete. 20
Letter only. 20
Order complete with Letter. 35

READ AGAIN G.O., No. 425, DATED 22-6-05 – abstract
190 .

5P
[illegible] 1-13 P

URRENT No.

Press
Please put in type and show proof –
Mt
23/6/06

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

RDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. .. .. } A

ETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

ATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

---

N.B.—

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.