[L.]

150—21-3-05.

Educational

~~Legislative~~ (1906) Department.

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O., No. 388

DATED 2. 6. 06.

48

[Despatch Abstract.]

College of Engineering, Madras.

Recording report of the D. P. I on ~~his~~ the result of his enquiries regarding the failure of students in the "College ~~total~~ at the College of Engineering Final Examinations held in December

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Recd. } 1906. *Enclosures*

Regd. *Spare copies*

G.O., No. 388, 4th June 1906.

College of Engineering, Madras.

Recording report of the Director of Public Instruction on the result of his enquiries regarding the failure of students in the "College total" at the College of Engineering final examinations held in December 1904.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following papers :—

I

G.O., No. 278, Educational, dated 19th April 1906.

Abstract.—Recording the report of the Board of Examiners, College of Engineering, Madras, on the final examinations held in December 1905, with the remark that the Principal of the College should take steps to see that the teaching in the subjects in which there has been marked failure is improved; and requesting the Director of Public Instruction to submit the result of his enquiries on the failure in the "College total" noticed in the report of the Board on the examinations of 1904.

II

Letter—from the Hon'ble Mr. A. G. Bourne, D.Sc., F.R.S., Director of Public Instruction.
To—the Secretary to Government, Educational Department.
Dated—Madras, the 21st May 1906.
No.—Ref. on Cur. No. 1420.

With reference to G.O., No. 278, Educational, dated 19th April 1906, I have the honour to state that, as proposed by Colonel Love and with the concurrence of the President of the Board of Examiners, College of Engineering, it has been decided to permit students failing in the "College total" in any one year to rejoin the college in the year following, to allot college marks for such work as they do during the year, and to allow them to present themselves again for the final examination at the end of the year. The effect of these concessions will be that students failing in the "College total" will be penalized by the loss of a year and by the additional tuition fees they will have to pay; but they will not be for ever excluded from the opportunity of passing the college examinations. They will of course be ineligible for prizes, scholarships or guaranteed appointments.

Order—No. 388, Educational, dated 4th June 1906.

Recorded.

(True Extract.)

A. Butterworth,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.

Educational Department.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. 388, EDUCATIONAL, DATED 4TH JUNE 1906.

[Subject.—*The failure of students in the "College total" at the College of Engineering Final examinations.*]

From the Director of Public Instruction, dated 21st May 1906, No. 1420.

The Director of Public Instruction submits, with reference to G.O., No. 278, Educational, dated 19th April 1906, a report regarding the failure of students in the "College total" at the College of Engineering final examinations.

Submitted that this current may be recorded.

P.K.M.—24-5-06.

Under rules 11, 16, 21 and 25 of the rules of the College of Engineering on pages 5, 6 and 7 of G.O., No. 37, Educational, dated 20th January 1906, the total marks assigned to the subjects studied by the four classes in the college are as follow :—

		Class.	Number of marks.
Rule	11	(1) Engineer class	3,000
,,	16	(2) Engineer Subordinate class	2,000
,,	21	(3) Sub-overseer and Surveyor class	1,500
,,	25	(4) Draftsman class	1,000

2. Of the 3,000 marks assigned to the subjects studied by the Engineer class, 2,300 shall be awarded by the Board of Examiners and 700 by the College staff—rule 12—and in order to pass students must obtain a certain percentage in five out of the seven subjects (with which we are not now concerned) *and* one-half of the total marks awarded by the Board of Examiners and the College staff separately—rule 13. Similarly of the 2,000 marks for the subjects studied by the Engineer Subordinate class 1,525 shall be awarded by the Board of Examiners and 475 by the College staff—rule 17—and in order to pass students must obtain, besides a certain percentage in four out of the six subjects, one-half of the total marks awarded by the Board of Examiners and the College staff separately—rule 18. The division of the marks in the case of the sub-overseer and surveyor class is 1,000 by the Board and 500 by the College staff—rule 22—and in the case of the draftsman class—750 by the Board and 250 by the College staff—rule 26. In these cases too, in order to pass students must obtain, besides the percentages in certain of the subjects, one-half of the total marks awarded by the Board of Examiners and the College staff separately—rules 23 and 27.

3. In the report of the Board of Examiners on the final examinations held in December 1904, the Board said: "The Board would here point out that students who "have failed in the College total have not a second opportunity of obtaining a certificate as have those who have failed in the Board's total only and that this seems to be "a distinct hardship in the case of those students who have passed in the Board's total, "but who have failed by a few marks in the College total"—paragraph 3 of the report on page 3 of G.O., No. 243, Educational, dated 12th April 1905. In forwarding the report to Government, the Director said that the matter was under consideration—paragraph 2 of his letter on page 2 of the same Government order.

4. On receipt of the report on the final examinations held in December 1905 which was an unsatisfactory report, the Government requested the Director to submit to them the result of his enquiries in regard to the Board's report of 1904 on the consequences of failure in the College total—paragraph 2 of G.O., No. 278, Educational, dated 19th April 1906.

5. The Director now states that "as proposed by Colonel Love and with the "concurrence of the President of the Board of Examiners, College of Engineering, it "has been decided to permit students failing in the College total in any one year to "rejoin the College in the year following, to allot College marks for such work as they

"do during the year and to allow them to present themselves again for the final examination at the end of the year. The effect of these concessions will be that students failing in the College total will be penalized by the loss of a year and by the additional tuition fees they will have to pay; but they will not be for ever excluded from the opportunity of passing the College examinations".

6. Those who pass in the Board's total and fail in the College total as well as those who fail in both totals will thus be given chances of passing in future. At present under rule 98 of the rules of the College of Engineering—page 14 of G.O., No. 37, Educational, dated 20th January 1906—"a student who has failed to obtain a certificate from the Board at the final examination may appear before the Board for examination in any subsequent year, provided he is certified to have put in the necessary attendance at the College" and pay a certain fee. From this it would seem that at present if a student fails in the Board's total and passes in the College total he has only to pass again in the Board's total or in other words when once he passes in the College total that pass counts in subsequent years and he need not go through an additional year's College course. The Director may perhaps be asked whether this is really so and if so whether in future he also should not go through an additional year's course, and pass both in the Board's total and in the College total or whether the penalty referred to should be attached only to those who fail in the College total or in both the Board's and College totals.

It looks like it. The necessary attendance is, I suppose, that given in rules 10, 15, 20 and 24.

C. A. SOUTER.

P. T. THARYAN—25-5-06.

C. A. SOUTER—28-5-06.

In both cases the unsuccessful man should go through the College course again and get the requisite College marks again I think. Will Director of Public Instruction kindly state whether that is the intention?

A. BUTTERWORTH—30-5-06.

To the Director of Public Instruction.

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

I don't think it does.

A.B.

The note travels altogether outside the present proposal and deals with another question and one which arises in connection with the proposals for the reorganization of the College. I have not the Committee's report before me but it provides I think for the abolition of the "independent" candidate altogether—at any rate it should do so.

2. Of course I agree that all students who have not been profitted by any particular portion of the course should go over that portion again. The turning back process should however take place at the end of each term and not merely after the final examination.

3. What I have arranged at present does not of course go to the root of the matter—it was not intended to do so as a complete reorganization is under discussion. It has been the practice to turn adrift altogether students who failed in the "College total" and give them no second chance. I have said they may have a second chance if they go back to College for a year. There can, I submit, be no harm in this. There is nothing in the rules to prevent it and I only reported it to Government because I was asked how the matter stood.

A. G. BOURNE—1-6-06.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Better record it. I have passed the draft in the file.

A. BUTTERWORTH—1-6-06.

[G.O., No. 388, Educational, dated 4th June 1906.]

[E.]
1,500—12-12-05.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

CURRENT FILE.

CONTENTS.

From		Number.	Date.	Pages.
[857]	The D. P. I.	Roc no: 1420/06	21-5-06	1+2

[P. T. O. FOR PUT UP PAPERS.

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No.........

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number.	Date.	Original (=O) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers as have been removed for copying, printing, &c., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of Referencer with date in token of return to Reference department.

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number.	Date.	Original (=O) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Despatcher to note the return of the papers to the departments concerned.

70278
19/4/06 Cop Edl

Stock Form No. 135
3,700—12-6-05.

CURRENT No. 857

5

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL SECRETARIAT. 22 MAY 1906

Received .... 190 , Registered 22-5- 1906.

Subject.

Submitting with reference to G.O. No. 278 Educational dated 19th April 1906 a report regarding the failure of students in the "College total" at the College of Engineering Final Examinations

ENCLOSURES.
No..........
....
Spare copies..........

Ref on Current No. 1420/06

Station Madras, *Dated the* 21st May 1906.

From (Name) The Hon'ble Mr. A.G. Bourne, D.Sc., F.R.S.,

(Designation) Director of Public Instruction

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Educational Department.

Sir,

With reference to G.O. No. 278 Educational dated 19th April 1906, I have the honour to state that, as proposed by Colonel Love and with the concurrence of the President of the Board of Examiners, College of Engineering, it has been decided to permit students failing in the "College total" in any one year to rejoin the College in the year following, to allot college marks for such work as they do during the year, and to allow them to present themselves again for the Final Examination at the end of the year. The effect of these concessions will be that students failing in the "College total" will be penalized by the loss of a year and by the additional tuition fees they will have to pay ; but they will not be for ever excluded from the opportunity of passing the college examinations. They will of course be ineligible for prizes

2.

scholarships or guaranteed appointments.

I have the honour to be,

SIR,

Your most obedient servant,

For Director of Public Instruction.

V.
19-5-06

---

notes connected with G.O. No. 388 dt 4-6-06

E.
6
300—2-12-04.

[~~Subject~~ The failure of students in the "College total at the College of Engineering final examinations.]

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT

C. No. 857, From the ~~The~~ D. P. I., No. 1420/06 Dated 21-5-1906.

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL
1 - JUN 1906
SECRETARIAT.

The D. P. I submits with reference to G.O. No. 278 Edl dated 9th April 1906 a report regarding the failure of students in the 'College total' at the College of Engineering final examinations.

Submitted that this current may be recorded. ~~with reference to that G.O.~~

Put up rules of the College of Engineering.
P. K. M
TKR
24/5/06.

P.M
25/5/6

Under rules 11, 16, 21 + 25 of the rules of the College of Engineering on pages 5, 6 + 7 of G.O. No 37 Edl dt 10th January 1906 the total marks assigned to the subjects studied by the four classes in the College are as follow:—

		Class	No of marks
Rule 11	(1)	Engineer class.	3000.
Rule 16	(2)	Engineer Subordinate class	2000.
Rule 21	(3)	Sub Overseer & Surveyor class	1500.
Rule 25	(4)	Draftsman class	1000.

2. Of the 3000 marks assigned to the subjects studied by the Engineer class 2,300 shall be awarded by the Board of Examiners and 700 by the College staff – rule 12 – and in order to pass students must obtain a certain percentage in five out of the seven subjects (with which we are not now concerned) and one-half of the total marks awarded by the Board of Examiners and the College staff separately – rule 13.

Similarly

4 7 28

Similarly of the 2000 marks for the subjects studied by the Engineer Subordinate class 1525 shall be awarded by the Board of Examiners & 475 by the College Staff – rule 17 – and in order to pass students must obtain, besides a certain percentage in four out of the six subjects, one-half of the total marks awarded by the Board of Examiners & the College Staff separately – rule 18. The division of the marks in the case of the Sub overseer & Surveyor class is 1000 by the Board & 500 by the College Staff – rule 22 – and in the case of the Draftsman class – 750 by the Board and 250 by the College Staff – rule 26. In these cases too, in order to pass students must obtain, besides the percentages in certain of the subjects, one half of the total marks awarded by the Board of Examiners & the College Staff separately – rules 23 & 27.

3. In the report of the Board of Examiners on the final examinations held in December 1904. the Board said "The Board would here point out that students who have "failed in the College total have not a second opportunity of "obtaining a certificate as have those who have failed in "the Board's total only and that this seems to be a distinct "hardship in the case of those students who have passed in "the Board's total, but who have failed by a few marks in the D. "College total" – para. 3 of the report on page 3 of G.O. No 243 Edl dt 12th April 1905. In forwarding the report to Govt. the Director said that the matter was under consideration – D. para. 2 of his letter on page 2 of the G.O.

4. On receipt of the report on the final examinations held in December 1905 which was an unsatisfactory report

5 . 8 38

the Govt. requested the Director to ~~state~~ submit to them the result of his enquiries in regard to the Boards' report of 1904 on the consequences of failure in the College total – para. 2 of G.O. No 278 Edl dt 19th April 1906.

C.

5. The Director now states that "as proposed by Col. Love
" and with the concurrence of the President of the Board of
" Examiners, College of Engineering, it has been decided to
" permit students failing in the College total in any one year
" to re-join the College in the year following, to allot College marks
" for such work as they do during the year and to allow them
" to present themselves again for the final examination at the
" end of the year. The effect of these concessions will be that
" students failing in the College total will be penalized by the
" loss of a year and by the additional tuition fees they will have
" to pay; but they will not be for ever excluded from the
" opportunity of passing the College examinations".

6. Those who pass in the Boards' total & fail in the College total as well as those who fail in both totals will thus be given chances of passing in future. At present under rule 98 of the rules of the College of Engineering – page 14 of G.O. No 37 Edl dt 20th January 1906 – "A student who has
" failed to obtain a certificate from the Board at the final
" examination may appear before the Board for examination in
" any subsequent year provided he is certified to have put in
" the necessary attendance at the College" – and pay a certain fee.

E

From this it would seem that at present if a student fails in the Boards' total & passes in the College total he has only to pass again in the Boards' total – or ~~at all~~ in other words when once he passes in the College total

It looks like it. The necessary attendance is, I suppose that given in rules 10 ~~to~~ 15, 20 &c.
Aes
order

that pass counts in subsequent years (and he need not go through an additional year's College course.). The Director may perhaps be asked whether this is really so and if so whether in future he also should not go through an additional year's course and pass both in the Boards' total and in the College total or whether the penalty referred to should be attached only to those who fail in the College total or in both the Boards' + College totals.

Eol no. 4 11 D
31/5/06.

AH Harington
25/5/06

Lee.

CASonker
28.5.06.

In both cases the unsuccessful man should go through the College Course again & get the requisite College marks again, I think. Will D.P.I. kindly state whether that is the intention?

A Butterworth
30/5

Desp
30/5/06

To the D.P.I. n.o.

Office of the D.P.I.

I don't think it does. A Butterworth

The note travels altogether outside the present proposal and deals with another question and one which arises in connection with the proposals for the reorganization of the Colleges. I have not the Committee's report before me but it provides I think for the abolition of the "independent" candidate altogether - at any rate it should do so.

Of course I agree that all students who have not profited by any particular portion of the course should go over that portion again. The turning back process should however take place at the end of each term and not merely after the final examination.

What I have arranged at present does not of course go to the root of the matter – it was not intended to do so as a complete reorganization is under discussion. It has been the practice to turn adrift altogether students who failed in the "College total" and give them no second chance. I have said they may have a second chance if they go back to College for a year. There can I submit be no harm in this. There is nothing in the rules to prevent it and I only reported it to Government because I was asked how the matter stood

A.E.M. 1.6.6.

To the Edn. Deptt.

Edn. Deptt.

Better record it

Butterworth
1/6 [Ed. no. 388 Edn. dt. 4-6-06]

A.S. 2/6/06

[L. / 5.]
300–17–11–04.

8 11

LEGISLATIVE DEPARTMENT.

READ AGAIN G.O., No. 278 Edl, dated 19th april 1906.

" " " ...., " .... 190 .

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 857, From the D. P. I., No. 1420/06, dated 21-5- 1906

" " ...., " " ...., " ...., " .... 190 .

" " ...., " " ...., " ...., " .... 190 .

ORDER No. 388, dated 4- 6- 1906.

P. S.

desp 2/6/6

3P

Recorded.

Sher 26/6/06.

1/7/6

To,
The D. P. I. [illegible].
desp 4/6/6

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched in print.

NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED.
- Order complete. 15.
- Letter only.
- Order complete with Letter

6

READ AGAIN G.O., No. 278 DATED 19.4.06 – P.
190

399

CURRENT No. 857. A.

Please show proof
& return the G.O. read.

4. 6. 06.

14/6/06.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT. A.

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

Inspection & Control of Schools in Coorg.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

Approving, with the concurrence of the Chief Commissioner, Coorg, the proposed alteration of rule 18 of the Rules for the Inspection and Control of Government Schools in Coorg.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.