


[3.]
1906-12-1-96.
Educational (1906) Department.

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O., No. 337

DATED 11-5-06

For Record Only



INDEXED

1
Press
Please print
and state proof
14/5/06

16

[Despatch Abstract.]

Honoraria Informing the Registrar, Madras University that the payment of — to a clerk ~~as accompanied by University Council~~ and ~~person~~ does not appear to be appropriate.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.


Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

FOR RECORD ONLY.

Recd. } *Enclosures*
Regd. } 1906. *Spare copies*

G.O., No. 337, 11th May 1906.



Honoraria.

Informing the Registrar, Madras University, that the payment of — to a clerk and a peon does not appear to be appropriate.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers:—

I

G.O., No. 59, Educational, dated 1st February 1906.

ABSTRACT.—Passing orders on the statement of expenses incurred by the members of the University Commission appointed for the inspection of colleges and by the clerk and the peon who accompanied them.

II

Letter—from W. DOBBIE, Esq., Accountant-General, Madras.
To—the Secretary to Government, Educational Department.
Dated—the 20th March 1906.
No.—P.A. 927/14236.

With reference to G.O., No. 59, Educational, dated the 1st February 1906, in which a sum of Rs. 210-6-3 being a portion of the travelling allowance claimed for a clerk and a peon who accompanied the University Commission was disallowed, I have the honour to state that the Syndicate has since sanctioned the payment of the amount disallowed by Government out of University funds.

2. Although it was stated in the order that the Government were not prepared to sanction the payment of any larger sum from the amount granted by them to defray the expenses of the Commission, I am not sure that it can be paid out of University funds inasmuch as the claim was disallowed as being inadmissible under the rules in the Civil Service Regulations.

3. I beg therefore to inquire whether the amount disallowed may now be paid from University funds, and whether it is open to the University to grant travelling allowance to members of their establishment at rates in excess of those admissible in the Civil Service Regulations.

III

Official Memorandum No. 158, Educational, dated 5th April 1906.

It is understood that the disbursement, from the funds of the University, of the amount retrenched under paragraph 4 of G.O., No. 59, Educational, dated 1st February 1906, from the travelling allowance bill of the clerk and the peon who accompanied the University Commission for inspection of colleges, has been sanctioned by the Syndicate. The retrenchment was ordered under the rules governing the grant of travelling allowance to members of the establishment of the University. The Syndicate is requested to be so good as to state the circumstances under which the amount disallowed by Government has been ordered to be paid.

(By Order.)

C. A. SOUTER,
Ag. Asst. Secy. to Govt.

To the Registrar, Madras University.

IV

Letter—from C. A. PATERSON, Esq., M.A., LL.B., Registrar, University of Madras.
To—the Secretary to Government, Educational Department.
Dated—Senate House, the 26th April 1906.
No.—1160.

With reference to Government memorandum No. 158, Educational, dated the 5th April 1906, I am directed by the Syndicate to point out that when the clerk was instructed to accompany the Commission for the inspection of colleges it was the intention of the Syndicate that he should be given travelling allowance at the rates prescribed in articles 1011, 1016 and 1034 of the Civil Service Regulations. When the Registrar arranged with the railway companies for the travelling accommodation of the whole party the provisions of article 999 (1) were overlooked. The Syndicate did not intend that the clerk should suffer pecuniarily by the arrangement so made. If the fact had been known that the clerk would suffer pecuniarily, the Registrar would have been instructed merely to arrange for the travelling accommodation of the members of the Commission, and the clerk would have been allowed to make his own arrangements and to submit his travelling allowance bill to the University at the ordinary rates admissible. As, however, the literal application of the provisions of article 999 (1) debar him from adopting this course, the Syndicate desires to pay him out of University funds the amount disallowed by Government as honorarium for extra services and not as "travelling allowance," and I am accordingly directed to request that the Government will be pleased to accord sanction to this course.

With regard to the peon the Syndicate, in consideration of the fact that his services are not pensionable and that his case is not governed by the Civil Service Regulations, and in consideration of the further fact that there was great scarcity throughout the Presidency at the time, resolved to give him batta at the rate of As. 4 a day. As this course too has been objected to by Government, the Syndicate desires to give him out of University funds the difference not as "batta" but as honorarium for extra services, and I am accordingly directed to request that Government will be pleased to sanction the payment.

Order—No. 337, Educational, dated 11th May 1906.

The Registrar will be informed that the payment of honoraria to a clerk and a peon does not appear to Government to be appropriate.

(True Extract.)

A. BUTLERWORTH,
Ag. Secretary to Government

To the Registrar, Madras University.
,, Accountant-General.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. 337, EDUCATIONAL,
DATED 11TH MAY 1906.

[SUBJECT.—Rate of travelling allowance admissible to the clerk and the peon who accompanied the Commission appointed for the inspection of colleges.]

From the Accountant-General, dated 20th March 1906, No. P.A. 927/14236.

In connection with the inspection of aided colleges arranged for by the University, the Registrar submitted a bill for Rs. 4,044-7-3 for payment by Government as they undertook to bear all the expenses on account of the inspection. The amount included—

	RS.	A.	P.
(1) Proportionate charges on account of Dr. Bourne who was allowed to draw travelling allowance separately from the departmental budget at the ordinary rates admissible under the Civil Service Regulations (G.O., No. 609, Educational, dated 11th September 1905)	613	6	0
(2) Overcharge on account of the clerk who travelled with the Commission	202	0	3
(3) Overcharge on account of the peon who also travelled with the Commission	8	6	0

The total of these sums (Rs. 823-12-3) was deducted from the bill and the balance paid.

2. The Accountant-General now brings to notice that the Syndicate has sanctioned the payment to the clerk and the peon of items (2) and (3), totalling Rs. 210-6-3, and enquires whether they can be paid from University funds, and whether it is open to the University to grant travelling allowance to members of its establishment at rates in excess of those admissible under the Civil Service Regulations.

3. In the case of the clerk, the Registrar admitted in his letter No. 2758, dated 9th November 1905 (page 4 of G.O., No. 59, dated 1st February 1906), that the claim for travelling allowance was subject to the provisions of the Civil Service Regulations as he was "an employé of the University". The deduction in the amount claimed has also been directed under the Civil Service Regulations. It seems, therefore, that the Syndicate should show sufficient justification why the principles of the Civil Service Regulations should be departed from.

4. With regard to the peon, the Registrar stated that the man belonged to non-pensionable service and deserved special rate of allowance. But this reason was not accepted by Government.

N.H.S.—29-3-06.

P. T. THARYAN—29-3-06.

C. A. SOUTER—30-3-06.

I do not understand how the University Syndicate has passed the allowances which Government declined to sanction. The first thing to do is to ask for an explanation.

H. BRADLEY—31-3-06.

[E.]
1,500-12-17
TID

CURRENT FILE.

CONTENTS.

From	Number.	Date.	Pages.
[490] The A.G.	PA. 927 14276	20-3-06	1-2
memo.	158	5-4-06	3-4
[716] Registrar Madras University	1160	26-4-06	5-6

A draft memorandum is submitted.

N.H.S.—31-3-06.

P. T. THARYAN—3-4-06.

Issue.

C. A. SOUTER—3-4-06.

[Memorandum No. 153, dated 5th April 1906.]

From the Registrar, Madras University, dated 26th April 1906, No. 1160.

The Syndicate admits that when the Registrar arranged with the railway companies for the travelling accommodation of the party comprising the Inspection Commission, he apparently overlooked the provisions of article 999 (1) of the Civil Service Regulations. As however it was not the intention of the Syndicate that the clerk and the peon who accompanied the party should suffer pecuniarily by the arrangement so made, the Syndicate now prays that sanction may be accorded to the payment to them out of University funds of the amount disallowed by Government, as honoraria for extra services and not as "travelling allowance". In the case of the peon it is also observed that his case is not governed by the Civil Service Regulations, and that the additional batta of 4 annas a day was given in consideration of the great scarcity that then prevailed in the Presidency.

It is for consideration, whether the proposals of the Syndicate may be approved.

P.K.M.—1-5-06.

There appears to be no objection to the payment of honoraria as proposed out of University funds.

P. T. THARYAN—1-5-06.

This is not true in the sense that travelling allowance is meant to cover expenses. The Registrar says the clerk and the peon lost pecuniarily. There is nothing to show this, but perhaps his suggestion may be approved.
G. S. F[ORBES].

C. A. SOUTER—2-5-06.

Government need not object. The men are servants of the University, and if the University chooses to pay them extra remuneration from University funds, it may do so.

H. D. TAYLOR—3-5-06.

It seems absurd to pay honoraria to a clerk and a peon. The University may do as it likes; only the Government cannot approve. I should say the Government cannot approve the payment of honoraria to a clerk and a peon.

G. S. F[ORBES]—5-5-06.

The draft placed below is submitted for approval.

P. T. THARYAN—7-5-06.

C. A. SOUTER—8-5-06.

Issue.

A. BUTTERWORTH—11-5-06.

[G.O., No. 337, Educational, dated 11th May 1906.]

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No. _____

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number.	Date.	Original (=O) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers as have been removed for copying, printing, &c., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of Referencer with date in token of return to Reference department.
59	1-2-06			
609	11-9-05			
447-48	1-7-05			
310	12-5-05			
190	28-3-05			

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number.	Date.	Original (=O) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Despatcher to note the return of the papers to the departments concerned.

Stock Form No. 135
8,700-1-05.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.



To 555-28-8-03-1ms
Memo 576-4/107
Received 190 , Registered 21-3-06 1906.

To 310-12/5703-107
425-15-6-03-1ms
190-28/3103-1ms
Subject.
Enquiring whether the sum of Rs 210-6-3 being travelling
allowance for a clerk & a peon who accompanied the University Com.
can be paid from University funds.

ENCLOSURES.

No. _____

Spare copies _____

No. P. A. 927
14236

Station Madras, Dated the 20 March 1906.

From (Name) W. Dobbie Esq.,

(Designation) Accountant-General,

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Educational Department.

Sir,

With reference to G.O. No. 59 Educational, dated the 1st February 1906, in which a sum of Rs. 210-6-3 being a portion of the travelling allowance claimed for a clerk and a peon who accompanied the University Commission was disallowed, I have the honour to state that the Syndicate has since sanctioned the payment of the amount disallowed by Government out of University funds.

2. Although it was stated in the order that the Government were not prepared to sanction the payment of any larger sum from the amount granted by them to defray the expenses of the Commission, I am not sure that it can be paid out of University funds in as

much

much as the claim was disallowed as being inadmissible under the rules in the Civil Service Regulations.

3. I beg therefore to inquire whether the amount disallowed may now be paid from University funds, and whether it is open to the University to grant travelling allowance to members of their establishment at rates in excess of those admissible in the Civil Service Regulations.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

H. Dobbie.

Accountant-General.

3
Educational Dept.

C. no. 490.

158
No. 5.4.6

Official Memorandum

It is understood that the disbursement from the funds of the University, of the amount retrenched under para. 4 of G.O. no. 59, 188, dated 1st Feb. 1906, from the travelling allowance bill of the clerk and ^{the} peon who accompanied ^{the} ^{University} Commission for inspection of Colleges, has been sanctioned by the Syndicate. The retrenchment was ordered under the rules governing the grant of travelling allowance to members of the establishment of the University. The Syndicate is requested to be so good as to state the circumstances under which the amount disallowed by

Please keep M together
11th

by Government- ^{has been} ~~was~~ ordered
 to be paid. 8
 1281
 1282
 1283
 1284
 1285
 1286
 1287
 1288
 1289
 1290
 1291
 1292
 1293
 1294
 1295
 1296
 1297
 1298
 1299
 1300

It is understood that the
 disbursement from the
 funds of the University of
 the amount retained
 under the 4 of 10.00.00
 dated 1st Feb 1906, from the
 travelling allowance bill
 of the last and have also
 accompanied the Commission
 for inspection of Colleges has
 been authorised by the
 Syndicate. The amount
 - was ordered and
 the rules governing the
 grant of travelling allow-
 -ance to members of the
 establishment of the Uni-
 -versity. The Syndicate
 is requested to be so
 good as to state the
 the amount of the

4 ~~has been~~ 4P
 by Government- ^{has been} ~~was~~ ordered
 to be paid. 8
 1281
 1282
 1283
 1284
 1285
 1286
 1287
 1288
 1289
 1290
 1291
 1292
 1293
 1294
 1295
 1296
 1297
 1298
 1299
 1300

It is understood that the
 disbursement from the
 funds of the University of
 the amount retained
 under the 4 of 10.00.00
 dated 1st Feb 1906, from the
 travelling allowance bill
 of the last and have also
 accompanied the Commission
 for inspection of Colleges has
 been authorised by the
 Syndicate. The amount
 - was ordered and
 the rules governing the
 grant of travelling allow-
 -ance to members of the
 establishment of the Uni-
 -versity. The Syndicate
 is requested to be so

To The Registrar, Madras University
 (Memo)
 Dated 27/4/06

Memo 158
5/4/06 ✓

5
Ed CURRENT No. 7/6 5P
Regd. 30/4/06
9



University of Madras.



No. 1160

SENATE HOUSE, APR 28 1906

Enclosures.

FROM

C. A. PATERSON, Esq., M.A., LL.B.,

Registrar, University of Madras,

TO

The Secretary to Government,
Educational Department.

Sir,

With reference to Government memorandum No. 158, Edl.,
dated the 5th April 1906, I am directed by the Syndicate to
point out that when the clerk was instructed to accompany the
Commission for the ⁱInspection of ^eColleges it was the intention
of the Syndicate that he should be given travelling allowance
at the rates prescribed in Articles 1011, 1016, and 1034 of the
Civil Service Regulations. When the Registrar arranged with the
railway companies for the travelling accommodation of the whole
party the provisions of Article 999(1) were overlooked. The
Syndicate did not intend that the clerk should suffer pecuniarily
by the arrangement so made. If the fact had been known that the
clerk would suffer pecuniarily, the Registrar would have been
instructed merely to arrange for the travelling accommodation of
the members of the Commission, and the clerk would have been
allowed to make his own arrangements and to submit his travelling
allowance bill to the University at the ordinary rates admissi-
ble. As, however, the literal appliaation of the provisions of
Article 999(1) debars him from adopting this course, the Syndic-
ate desires to pay him out of University funds the amount dis-
allowed by Government as honorarium for extra services and not
as "Travelling Allowance", and I am accordingly directed to

request that the Government will be pleased to accord sanction to this course.

With regard to the peon the Syndicate, in consideration of the fact that his services are not pensionable and that his case is not governed by the Civil Service Regulations, and in consideration of the further fact that there was great scarcity throughout the Presidency at the time resolved to give him batta at the rate of 4 annas a day. As this course too has been objected by Government, the Syndicate desires to give him out of University Funds the difference not as "batta" but as honorarium for extra services, and I am accordingly directed to request that Government will be pleased to sanction the payment.

I have the honour to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant

C. A. Pakson,

Registrar.

Notes connected with G.O. No. 337, Ed., dated 11-5-06

[5]
500-3-11-05.

Educational
LEGISLATIVE DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 490, From the Accountant General, No. PA. 927, Dated 20th March 1906.

SUBJECT. Rate of T.A. to a clerk and a peon who accompanied the Commission for the inspection of Colleges.

Rs. 242.0.594.1.2.06-0-

G.O. No. 1907/28.3.05 C.

In connection with the inspection of aided colleges arranged for by the University, the Registrar submitted a bill for Rs. 4,044-7-3 for payment by Government as they undertook to pay bear all the expenses on account of the inspection. The amount included -

(1) Rs. 613-6-0, proportionate charges on account of J. B. Bhowmik who was allowed to draw travelling allowance (from the departmental budget) separately at the ordinary rates admissible under the C.S.R. (G.O. No. 609 Exd dt 11th September 1905.)

(2) Rs. 202-0-3, overcharge on account of a clerk who travelled with the Commission.

(3) Rs. 8-6-0, overcharge on account of a peon who also travelled with the Commission.

The total of these sums (Rs. 823-12-3) was deducted

Exhibit 3

deducted from the bill and the balance paid.

The Accountant General now brings to notice that the Syndicate has sanctioned the payment ^(to the clerk & peon) of items (2) & (3), totalling Rs 210-6-3, and enquires whether they can be paid from University funds, and whether it is open to the University to grant travelling allowance to members of its establishment at rates in excess of those admissible under the C.S.R.

In the case of the clerk, the Registrar admitted in his letter No. 2758 dated 9th November 1905 (page 4 of Ljo. No. 59 dt 1st Feb. 1906) that the claim for travelling allowance was subject to the provisions of the C.S.R. as he was "an employe of the University". But the deduction in the amount claimed has also been directed under the C.S.R. It seems, therefore, that the ^{Syndicate} Registrar should show sufficient justification why the principles of the C.S.R. should be departed from.

With regard to the peon,

Exhibit 2

(Ljo. No. 46 dt 1st Feb. 1906)

deducted from the bill and the balance paid.

The Accountant General now brings to notice that the Syndicate has sanctioned the payment ^(to the clerk & peon) of items (2) & (3), totalling Rs 210-6-3, and enquires whether they can be paid from University funds, and whether it is open to the University to grant travelling allowance to members of its establishment at rates in excess of those admissible under the C.S.R.

In the case of the clerk, the Registrar admitted in his letter No. 2758 dated 9th November 1905 (page 4 of Ljo. No. 59 dt 1st Feb. 1906) that the claim for travelling allowance was subject to the provisions of the C.S.R. as he was "an employe of the University". But the deduction in the amount claimed has also been directed under the C.S.R. It seems, therefore, that the ^{Syndicate} Registrar should show sufficient justification why the principles of the C.S.R. should be departed from.

With regard to the peon,

...the Registrar stated that the man
 belonged to non-pensionable service
 and deserved special rate of
 allowance. But this reason was
 not accepted by Government.

Para. 3 of 59-0
 1-2-06

Para. 6 of letter 49-11-05
 on page 47 59-0
 1-2-06

29.3.06.
 N.H.S. Mr. 29/3/06
 Pharyan

30.3.06.
 Lee
 Cloutier

~~The Hon. Secy. to Govt. C.S.R.~~

I do not understand how the Uni-
 -versity Syndicate has passed the
 allowance which Government
 declined to sanction. The first
 thing to do is to ask for an
 explanation.

H. Bradley
 31.3.06

A draft ~~is~~ ^{is} submitted.

N.H.S. Mr. 31.3.06.
 Pharyan
 3/4/06

W. Lee
 Cloutier
 3/4/06

[Memo. no 158 of 5-4-06]

the Registrar stated that the man
 belonged to non-pensionable service
 and deserved special rate of
 allowance. But this reason was
 not accepted by Government.

N.H.S. Mr. 29.3.06.
 Pharyan
 29/3/06

Lee
 Cloutier
 30.3.06.

~~The Hon. Secy. to Govt. C.S.R.~~

I do not understand how the Uni-
 -versity Syndicate has passed the
 allowance which Government
 declined to sanction. The first
 thing to do is to ask for an
 explanation.

H. Bradley
 31.3.06

A draft ~~is~~ ^{is} submitted.

N.H.S. Mr. 31.3.06.
 Pharyan
 3/4/06

W. Lee
 Cloutier
 3/4/06

[Memo. no 158 of 5-4-06]

the Registrar stated that the man
belonged to our family and was
and showed special love of
all his family. But this man was

The first of these is the
 fact that the
 government has
 been unable to
 secure the
 necessary
 funds to
 carry out
 its policy.

to their one of numerous found
the right but also allowed by
for a business office

From the Registrar, Madras University

no 1160 of 26-4-06

The Syndicate admits, that when the Registrar arranged with the Rail way Companies for the travelling accommodation of the ~~2~~ party comprising the Inspection Commission, he apparently overlooked the provisions of Article 999(1) of the C. S. R. As however it was ^{not} the intention of the Syndicate ^{of the person who accompanied the party} that the clerk should suffer pecuniarily by the arrangement so made, the Syndicate now prays that sanction may be accorded, for the payment to their out of University fund, the amount disallowed by Govt., as honorarium for extra services & not as 'travelling allowance'. In the case of the ^{person} it is also observed that his case is not governed by the C. S. R., and that the additional batch of 4 annas a

1458

a day, was given in consideration of the great scarcity that then prevailed in the Presidency.

It is for consideration, whether the proposals of the Syndicate ^{may} be approved.

P.K.M.

1.5.06

Myharyam
11/5/16

There appears to be no objection to the payment of honoraria as proposed out of University funds.

(See.)

The Registrar says the clerk & peon lost pecuniarily. There is nothing to show this, but perhaps his suggestion may be approved.

Cashout
2.5.06

Govt. need not object. The men are servants of the University, and if the University chose to pay them a remuneration from University funds, they may do so.

(by)

1/20/16
3.0.00

It seems absurd to pay honoraria to a clerk & a peon. The University may do

This is not true in the sense that it is meant to cover expenses
[T. J. [unclear]]

a day, was given in connection
 of the great demand that
 was presented in the Press
 for a conversation
 between the two
 the government and the
 opposition

The draft placed below is submitted for
 approval.

The draft placed below is submitted for
 approval.

The draft placed below is submitted for
 approval.

12
 as it likes; only the
 cannot approve. I do say
 the pot cannot approve the
 part of honoraria to a clerk
 for a year
 J. P. Forbes
 5/4/06

Richard Taylor
 7-1-06

The draft placed below is submitted for

approval.
 H. W. Hargrave
 7/5/06

Lee
 C. S. 10/15/06

Issue
 11/5/06

A. Butterworth

[Ex. no. 337 vol. 4 11-5-06]

11.002-14-6-05

READ ALSO:—

ORDER

PS

7/5/6

To The Registrar, Madras University
" A.C. 20490 - 7/16/50

copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched in print.

Order complete. 55
NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED. Letter only.
Order complete with Letter.

HAD AGAIN G.O., No. 190

59

DATED

1-2-06

Abstract (re-attached)

I

II

490

A

CURRENT No.

III

on 158

IV

5-4-06

c. no. 716

A

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

LETTER TO GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DUTY.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
F = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.

78
note 1-6 R