[E.]

808-12-4-05.

Educational (1906) Department.

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O., No. 226

(16)

DATED 27-3-6.

INDEXED.

N. P.

~~Prohibition to~~ [Despatch Abstract.]

(Non-employment of teachers)- Forbidding the future employment, in recognised schools, ~~in the Presidency~~ of Kailasa Odayar, late manager and head teacher of the primary school at Perungeri & of Ishidambara Odayar, late assistant Master of the same school.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

2

Recd. } 1906. *Enclosures*

Regd. *Spare copies*

G.O., No. 226, 27th March 1906.

N. P.

Disqualified teachers.

Forbidding the employment in recognised schools of Kailasa Odayar, lately manager and head teacher of the Primary school at Perunjeri and of Chidambara Odayar, lately assistant master of the same school.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following papers :—

I

Letter—from the Hon'ble Mr. A. G. Bourne, D.Sc., F.R.S., Director of Public Instruction.
To—the Secretary to Government, Educational Department.
Dated—Madras, the 23rd February 1906.
No.—Ref. on Cur. No. 8347 of 1905.

I have the honour to report that one Kailasa Odayar, manager and head teacher of the results school, Perunjeri, Máyavaram taluk, Tanjore district, and his assistant Chidambara Odayar have been found guilty of false personation in respect of certain pupils who were presented by them for grant examination and to recommend that they be declared ineligible for any appointment in schools enjoying the privileges of recognition under the Madras Educational rules.

2. The facts of the case are these. On the 25th November 1904 the school was examined for grant. After the close of the examination the said Kailasa Odayar and Chidambara Odayar requested the Sub-Assistant Inspector's permission to present a few of their pupils, who were then absent for grant examination, at Kiliyanur, the next centre of examination. The request was granted as a special case. On the 26th five pupils were accordingly presented and while the examination was proceeding the village karnam and a mirasidar of Perunjeri appeared on the scene. Thereupon Kailasa Odayar with one of the boys slipped away without the knowledge of the Sub-Assistant Inspector. The matter was however brought by the karnam to the notice of the Sub-Assistant Inspector and he suspecting some malpractice had both Kailasa Odayar and the boy brought back and ordered them to await enquiry after the completion of the examination. When the time for enquiry arrived it was found that Kailasa Odayar and his assistant had decamped leaving the boys behind. The matter was enquired into by the Sub-Assistant and it was proved that of the five boys only one was a pupil of the Perunjeri school and of the rest two were pupils of an indigenous school situated close by, the remaining two being ex-pupils of the same Perunjeri school. These four pupils had been deliberately tutored, some by Kailasa Odayar and some by Chidambara Odayar, to take the place of Swaminathan of class I, Natesan and Chakrapani of class II and Natesan of class III.

3. Written charges were framed against Kailasa Odayar and Chidambara Odayar, but neither of them made any attempt to refute the charges or to rebut the evidence tendered against them by the pupils presented for examination. Each of them tries to make the other responsible for the malpractice brought to light. Kailasa Odayar in his explanation says that he was the manager only in name and that it was his assistant who should be held responsible for all the irregularities, while his assistant contends that he was only a paid servant of Kailasa Odayar entrusted with no responsible part in the management of the school. The fact is that Kailasa Odayar in collusion with Chidambara Odayar made arrangements to present the boys in question as pupils actually reading in their school and thus to earn grants to which they were not entitled.

II

Memorandum No. 113, dated 7th March 1905.

With reference to his letter Ref. on Cur. No. 8347 of 1905, dated the 23rd February 1906, the Director of Public Instruction is requested to be so good as to state whether Kailasa Odayar, head teacher of the results school, Perunjeri, and his assistant Chidambara Odayar are certificated teachers and, if so, whether their certificates have been withdrawn.

(By Order.)

C. A. Souter,
Ag. Asst. Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.

III

Letter—from the Hon'ble Mr. A. G. Bourne, D.Sc., F.R.S., Director of Public Instruction.
To—the Secretary to Government, Educational Department.
Dated—Madras, the 16th March 1906.
No.—Ref. on Cur. No. 8347 of 1905.

With reference to your official Memorandum No. 113, dated 7th March 1906, I have the honour to say that Kailasa Odayar holds an approved service certificate of the Primary Grade which has not yet been withdrawn.

2. Chidambara Odayar underwent a course of training in the Government Training school, Cuddalore, during 1903 and is said to have passed the Written Test alone.

Order—No. 226, Educational, dated 27th March 1906.

Under rule 17 of the Madras Educational rules the Government forbid the employment, in recognized educational institutions in the Presidency, of Kailasa Odayar, lately manager and head teacher of the Primary school at Perunjeri, Máyavaram taluk, and of Chidambara Odayar, lately assistant master in the same school, both these persons having after due enquiry been considered by the Director of Public Instruction unfit to be teachers.

(True Extract.)

H. D. Taylor,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. 226, EDUCATIONAL, DATED 27TH MARCH 1906.

[SUBJECT.—*Ineligibility of one Kailasa Odayar, manager and head teacher of the Results school, Perunjeri, Máyavaram taluk, Tanjore district, and his assistant Chidambara Odayar for any appointment in schools enjoying the privilege of recognition under the Educational Rules.*]

From the Director of Public Instruction, dated 23rd February 1906, No. 8347-5.

For orders as to whether in the circumstances explained by the Director we may accept his recommendation that Kailasa Odayar, manager and head teacher of the Results school, Perunjeri, Máyavaram taluk, Tanjore district, and his assistant Chidambara Odayar, who have been found guilty of false personation in respect of certain pupils presented for grant examination, may be declared ineligible for any appointment in recognized schools. Under rule 17 of the Madras Educational Rules the Government reserve to themselves the right to forbid the employment in recognized institutions of any teacher whose certificate has been withdrawn after due inquiry, or who has, after due inquiry, been considered by the Director of Public Instruction unfit to be a teacher.

2. If the Director of Public Instruction's recommendation is approved, the draft placed below is submitted for approval.

N.L.R.—28-2-06.

The case does not seem to be exactly one of false personation but of attempting to cheat by presenting as pupils reading in Kailasa Odayar's and Chidambara Odayar's school certain pupils who were not reading in that school. The Director has, after due inquiry, found these teachers to be unfit to be teachers, although he does not seem to have recorded any finding to that effect. Should he be asked to record a formal finding if he has not already done it? Should he be also asked why the teachers in question cannot be criminally prosecuted?

P. T. THARYAN—28-2-06.

H. D. TAYLOR. | I would simply forbid their employment.

C. A. SOUTER—1-3-06.

It is not stated whether the teachers are certificated or not—presumably not, as the Director of Public Instruction has not withdrawn their certificates.

The Director of Public Instruction's proposal in paragraph 1 of his letter may be approved under rule 17 of the Educational Rules.

H. D. TAYLOR—2-3-06.

But how is the prohibition to become known to authorities who are likely to employ them.

I do not like the plan of gibbeting their crimes or supposed crimes; nor should the Director of Public Instruction's letter be published on any account.

Is it enough to say that so and so is debarred (?) from employment in any recognized institution under etc. ?

Please put up a draft.

G. S. F[ORBES]—3-3-06.

[E.]
1,500—12-12-

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

5

CURRENT FILE.

CONTENTS.

From	Number.	Date.	Pages.
[Circ. 311] The ~~D.P.I.~~	8341/05	23-2-06	1-2
Memo to the D.P.I. No 113 7-3-06	No 113	7-3-06	3
[Circ 463] The D.P.I.	8347/05	16-3-06	4

[P. T. O. FOR PUT UP PAPERS.

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No. 311

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number.	Date.	Original (=O) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers as have been removed for copying, printing, &c., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of Referencer with date in token of return to Reference department.
463	6-7-05	O		

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number.	Date.	Original (=O) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Despatcher to note the return of the papers to the departments concerned.

Cur No 311

Stock Form No. 135
8,700—7-6-05.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Received 26-2-1906 *Registered* 26-2-1906

b

Subject.

Recommending that one Kailasa Odayar Manager and head teacher of the results school Perunjeri, Mayaveram Taluk, Tanjore District and his assistant Chindambara Odayar having been found guilty of false personation in respect of certain pupils presented by them for grant examination may be declared ineligible for any appointment in schools recognized by the Madras Educational Department.

ENCLOSURES.
No.
Spare copies

Ref on Cur No. 8347/05

Station Madras, *Dated the* 23rd February 1906

From (Name) The Hon'ble Mr. A.G. Bourne, D.Sc., F.R.S.

(Designation) Director of Public Instruction.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Educational Department.

Sir,

I have the honour to report that one Kailasa Odayar Manager and head teacher of the results school, Perunjeri, Mayavaram Taluk, Tanjore District, and his assistant Chidambara Odayar have been found guilty of false personation in respect of certain pupils who were presented by them for grant examination and to recommend that they be declared ineligible for any appointment in schools enjoying the privileges of recognition under the Madras Educational Rules.

2. The facts of the case are these. On the 25th November 1904 the school was examined for grant. After the close of the examination the said Kailasa Odayar and Chidambara Odayar requested the Sub Assistant Inspector's permission to present a few of their pupils, who were then absent for grant examination, at Kiliyanur the next centre of examination.

2

The request was granted as a special case. On the 26th five pupils were accordingly presented and while the examination was proceeding the village Karnam and a Mirasidar of Perunjeri appeared on the scene . Thereupon Kailasa Odayar with one of the boys slipped away without the knowledge of the Sub Assistant Inspector . The matter was however brought by the Karnam to the notice of the Sub Assistant Inspector and he suspecting some malpractice had both Kailasa Odayar and the boy brought back and ordered them to await enquiry after the completion of the examination. When the time for enquiry arrived it was found that Kailasa Odayar and his assistant had decamped leaving the boys behind. The matter was enquired into by the Sub Assistant and it was proved that of the five boys only one was a pupil of the Perunjeri school and of the rest two were pupils of an indigenous school situated close by, the remaining two being ex-pupils of the same Perunjeri School. These four pupils had been deliberately tutored some by Kailasa Odayar and some by Chidambara Odayar to take the place of Swaminathan of class I Natesan and Chakrapani of class II and Natesan of class III.

3. Written charges were framed against Kailasa Odayar and Chidambara Odayar but neither of them made any attempt to refute the charges or to rebut the evidence tendered against them by the pupils presented for examination . Each of them tries to make the other responsible for the malpractice brought to light. Kailasa Odayar in his explanation says that he was the manager only in name and that it was his Assistant who should be held responsible for all the irregularities while his assistant contends that he was only a paid servant of Kailasa Odayar entrusted with no responsible part in the management of the school. The fact is that Kailasa Odayar in collusion with Chidambara Odayar made arrangements to present the boys in question as pupils actually reading in their school and thus to earn grants to which they were not entitled.

I have the honour to be,
S i r ,
Your most obedient servant,

E. Middlemast

For Director of Public Instruction.

D.I. 27-2-06.

[E. / 5a]
500—24-8-05.

3

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

7

2P

Memorandum No. 113 / 7.3.6.

READ the following paper :—

Cen. 311 Est.

With reference to his letter Ref. on Cen. no. 8347/05, dated the 23rd February 1906, the D.P.I. is requested to be so good as to state whether Kailasa odayar, head teacher of the results school, Perunjeri, and his assistant Chidambara Odayar are certificated teachers and if so, whether their certificates have been withdrawn.

Dept 5/3/6

M&R 5/3/6

Cert 6.3.06.

Pl 5/3/6

To the D.P.I.

D.P.I. 5/3/6

Stock Form No. 135
8,709—17-6-05.

4 Edl CURRENT No.463

38

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

8

Received 17 MAR 1906 190 , *Registered* 17-3- 1906.

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL SECRETARIAT.

Subject.

Reporting with reference to Government Memorandum No. 113 Edl. d/ 7th March 1906 as to the professional qualifications of Kailasa Odayar and Chidambara Odayar who were recommended to be declared ineligible for any appointment in schools recognized by the Madras Educational Department.

ENCLOSURES.
No.
Spare copies

Ref on CurrentNo. 8 3 4 7 /05

Station M a d r a s , *Dated the* 16th M a r c h 190 6

From (Name) The Hon'ble Mr. A.G. Bourne, D.Sc., F.R.S.,

(Designation) Director of Public Instruction.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Educational Department.

Sir,

With reference to your official Memorandum No. 113 dated 7th March 1906 I have the honour to say that Kailasa Odayar holds an approved service certificate of the Primary Grade which has not yet been withdrawn.

Page 3 of ct

2. Chidambara Odayar underwent a course of training in the Government Training School, Cuddalore during 1903 and is said to have passed the Written Test alone.

I have the honour to be,

S i r ,

Your most obedient servant,

E.Middlemast

For Director of Public Instruction.

D.I. 15-3-06.

N.V.S.

Orders

[E. 6]
300—2-12-04.

9

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Note connected with G.O. No. 2266 Ed. dy 27-3-06.

C. No. 311, From the D.P.I., No. 8347/5 Dated 23-2- 1906.

Subject:- Ineligibility of one Kailasa Odayar, Manager and head teacher of the results School, Perunjeri, Mayavaram Taluk, Tanjore Dt. and his assistant Chidambara Odayar for any appointment in Schools enjoying the privilege of recognition under the Edl. Rules.

For orders as to whether in the circumstances explained by the Director (we may accept) his recommendation that Kailasa Odayar, Manager and head teacher of the results School, Perunjeri, Mayavaram Taluk, Tanjore District, and his assistant Chidambara Odayar who have been found guilty of false personation in respect of certain pupils presented for grant examination, may be declared ineligible for any appointment in recognized schools. Under rule 17 of the Madras Educational Rules the Govt. reserve to themselves the right to forbid the employment in recognized institutions of any teacher whose certificate has been withdrawn after due enquiry, or who has, after due enquiry, been considered by the D.P.I. unfit to be a teacher.

Page 8 of the Orders

2. If the D. P. I's recommendation is approved, the draft placed below is submitted for approval.

28/2/06

The case does not seem to be exactly one of false

personation but of attempting to cheat by presenting as pupils reading in Kailasa Odayar's & Chidambara Odayar's school certain pupils who were not reading in that school. The Director has after due enquiry found these teachers to be unfit to be teachers, although he does not seem to have recorded any proceeding to that effect. Should he be asked to record a formal proceeding if he has not already done it? Should he be also asked why the teachers in question cannot be criminally prosecuted?

Sec.

I would simply forbid their employment.

Sec

It is not stated whether the teachers are certificated or not - presumably not as the DDI has not withdrawn their certificates.

The DDI's proposal in para 4 of the letter may be approved under Rule 17 of the Ed. Rules

2.3.16

But how is the production to become known to authorities who are likely to punish them

I do not like the pleader of gibbeting their crimes or

suffered crimes; nor the D.P.O's letter be published on any account

Do I ought to say that no one is debarred (?) from employment in any recognised institution under Govt?

Please put up a draft

8.3.06

Dy. I may be asked in the first instance whether the teachers referred to are certificated and if so whether their certificates have been withdrawn. This is necessary in order to determine the precise wording of the order under rule 17

8.3.06

The draft memo placed below is submitted for approval.

6.3.06.

[Memo. no. 113 dated 7th March 1906.]

From the D.P.I. no. 8347 dated 16th March 1906.

In reply to the reference made to him the Director says that Kailasa Sagar holds an approved

Memo. no. 113 dt. 7-3-06 - Page 3 of ct.

Page 4 of ct.

48

Rule 146 of the Col rules – p 89 – Also rule 13 – page 7.

Service Certificate of the Primary grade which has not yet been withdrawn and that Chidambara Odayar underwent a course of training in the Government Training School, Cuddalore during 1903 and is said to have passed the written Test alone. The Training School at Cuddalore is of the primary grade – please see item marked in pencil on page 11 of Vol. II of the Public Instruction Report for 1904-05.

PAB
17/3/6

The draft placed below is submitted for approval. It follows the wording of rule 17 of the Educational rules. The prohibition will be known to the inspecting officers of the Departt & they will prevent the employment of the men.

PH
17/3/6

See
Clerk
17.3.06.

Approved

20/3/06

Settled as clerk & Collector
Is DPI satisfied that
he will take this step?

23/3/06

Telephone sent

PH
23/3/6

[E. & L. 21-σ]
800—28-1-02.

5 13 58

TELEPHONE MESSAGE.

From

THE [~~ASSISTANT~~] SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
SECOND ASSISTANT,
HEAD CLERK,
EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT,

To

THE HON'BLE MR. THOMSON,
PRIVATE SECY. TO H. E. THE GOVERNOR,
DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,
SUPDT., GOVERNMENT PRESS,
SUPDT., GOVERNMENT MUSEUM,
ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,

Dated 23-3- 1906.

dasp 23/3/06

Yours letter 8347 of the 23rd February 1906. Does Kailasa Odayar still hold the post of manager & head teacher of the Primary School at Perumjeri & does Chidambara Odayar hold the post of Assistant master of the same School.

PM 23/3/06

CM 23.3.06.

Save 23/3/06

TELEPHONIC MESSAGE. 6

Date, _____ 190 . 14

_____ Hours _____ Minutes.

From

The D. P. I.

To

The Secretary to Govt.

Edl : Deptt.

Your message of the 23rd inst. Kailasa Odayar was teacher and manager of the School on 1.5.05; On 2.5.05 he describes himself as "late teacher", so that he probably is not employed in the School. We have no information after these dates. No orders have yet issued about the withdrawal of aid & recognition. The inspector will, however, not pass grant until the case is settled. This is the usual procedure.

19/
26/3

may the draft as altered by the Hon now issue.
The Director has not furnished any information about
Chidambara Mayar. 15

PS
25/3/16

Yes: issue.

Cas
27.3.16.

[E. 12.]

1,000—14-6-05.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

16

4 P

READ AGAIN G.O., No. ....., dated ..... 190 .

" " " " ....., " ..... 190 .

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 311, From the D.P.I., No. 8347, dated 23-2- 1906

" " 463 " " " D.P.I. " " 8347 " 16-3- 1906.

" " ....., " " " ....., " ....., " ..... 190 .

ORDER No. 226, dated 27.3. 1906

D.P.I.

Despatched 27/3/06

Under rule 17 of the Madras Educational rules the Govt. forbid the employment in recognized Educational institutions in the Presidency of Kailasa Odayar, manager & head teacher of the Primary School at Perumjeri, Thayvaram Taluk, and of Chidambara Odayar Asst. Master of the same school, Assistant, both these persons having after due enquiry been considered by the D.P.I unfit to be teachers.

Is he still Manager? 8/7

Lately

Lately

PH 17/3/06

Chd 19.3.06

To the D.P.I.

Despd 29/3/06

8/7

t copy sent to Press.

turned for signature.

patched in print.

AGAIN G.O., No. 190 , DATED

NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED. { Order complete. / Letter only. / Order complete with Letter.

30

I

No. 311 — A

II

O.M. No. 113 577-3-06 — A

III

C-463 — A.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT. — A.

LETTER TO GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED OR, BEING GRANT FOR DEFACE IN ORIGINAL.

Press

Please show proof

Mot
31-3-06.

N.B.—

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.