

Educational (1906) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 156

DATED 7.3.06



INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Examination leave

According sanction ^{to the} ~~for~~ five
Chances ^{given} to K. Sitarama Sastri, Assistant Teacher
Government Lower Secondary School, Bhadrachallam
for appearing for ^{the} teachers' certificate examination

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

2,000-5-11-00.

Educational (190⁵) Department.

CURRENT No. 2139 ✓

From

[Name] J. A. Cumming Esq., I.C.S.

[Designation] Government Agent, Godavari.

[Station] Cocanada.

Ref No. 554/ag of 05-
7-12-05.

Dated 190

Enclosures

Received 11 DEC 1905 190

Registered 11/12 1905

SUBJECT.

Requesting that sanction may be accorded for 5 chances to K. Sitarama-
sastry, Assistant teacher, Government Lower Secondary school, Bhadrachellam,
for appearing for Teachers Certificate Examination, under the circumstances
reported.

BACK PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS.

To

The Secretary to Government,

Educational Department.

Sir,

Copy of Head Assistant Collector's letter Ref on C No. 363 of 05 dated 17th November 1905 addressed to the Government Agent, Godavari.

K. Sitaramasastry 1st Assistant teacher, Lower Secondary school, Bhadrachalam, was granted Examination leave -- four times, as noted in the margin, for appearing for the --

- | | | |
|-----|---------------------------|---------------------|
| (1) | For 3 weeks from 30-11-02 | written portion |
| (2) | Do. 3-12-00 | |
| (3) | Do. in December 02. | of the Teachers' -- |
| (4) | Do. from 6-12-04. | Certificate Exami- |

nation. The Head master of the school reports that Sitaramasastry actually availed himself of only two days' leave on 12th and 13th in December 1902, when owing to the absence of the Head master on leave, the examination was held in Bhadrachalam by a special arrangement, and that no orders were issued by the President Taluk Board, cancelling the portion of the leave not availed of, by the Assistant Teacher. The Assistant Teacher having again applied for 3 weeks Examination leave from 1-7-05 for appearing for the practical Test for which he never had any leave before, I granted the leave applied for, subject to the condition that he is entitled to it under Article 284 of G.S.R.

2. The Accountant General in his letter No. 7 Godi/8710 dated 26-10-05 communicated with the Treasury Deputy Collector's D.Dis No. 1715 /Acc of 05 dated 4-11-05 says that as the teacher had already had leave four times, Government sanction is necessary to determine the number of chances to be allowed to him.

3. As the Assistant Teacher who completed the Teachers' certificate Examination by passing the practical portion this year requires no more leave for the Examination, I have the honour to request that necessary sanction may be obtained for the leave already granted to him.

Sd/ H. Tyler
Head Assistant Collr.

Ref 554 405-4
ag

3

Submitted to the Secretary to Government, Educational Department.

2. The teacher has had in all five chances to attend this obligatory departmental examination. As the Accountant General states that Government sanction is required for the number of chances allowed, the issue of necessary sanction is requested.

See a. a. m. h. a. m.
9-12-11
for Government Agent.

W
9-12-05

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

15.
2.
1,500-3-12-04

C. No. 2139 From the Govt. agent, Godavari No. 554, dated 7-12-1905.

SUBJECT.

re: the grant of Examination Leave.

K. Sitarama Sastri, 1st Assistant teacher, Lower Secondary School, Bhadrachalam, was granted examination leave ^{four times} for appearing for the written portion of the Teachers' Certificate Examination, once in 1898, again in 1900, 1902 & in 1904; & he was again granted leave for appearing for the practical portion of this test this year.

2. The Accountant-General has observed that Govt. sanction is necessary to determine the number of chances (of examination leave) to be allowed to this teacher. No more chances ~~are~~ however ^{is said to have} needed in his case, as he has already passed the practical test also. Under article 285 of the C.S.R., the teacher could not have been allowed more than two chances.

As draft is placed below for approval.

K. M. L.
13/12/05

7/3/12/05 The man appeared four times for the theoretical test.

5
2
article 285 should have been
applied but was not (and nothing
need perhaps be said about that).

Acc. to the ^{Govt agent} Director, Govt should
now rule whether he may have
5 chances when he is only allowed
2 under the C.S.R.

What the ^{agent} Director apparently
means is that Govt should rule
that the practical test is a different
standard from the theoretical test
within the meaning of C.S.R. 285.
the ~~present~~ applicant appeared, on
this 5th occasion, for the practical
test.

15.12.05


The ^{case above} question is whether the theoretical
test and practical test are, for the
purpose of C.S.R. 285, to be regarded as two
separate standards of examination.

But I doubt whether C.S.R. 285 applies

at all. ^{The Govt agent} ~~govt~~ states that this is an
obligatory departmental examination.

Art 285 seems to apply only to
special tests as a standard to qualify
for promotion. I doubt whether the
limit of two occasions applies to
cases falling under art 284. There

[Faint, mostly illegible handwritten text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]



A. G. O. No. 188
23-2-06

6 3 6
 appear to be no limit of number in such
 cases
 18.12.05
 Agt. for remarks
 11/11/5
 10/11/5

The Director of Public Instruction to whom a reference was made before raising the objection calling for Government sanction has stated that the Teachers' Certificate Examination referred to was an obligatory one and that the number of chances allowed to a teacher was left to the discretion of the authority empowered to sanction temporary exemption from the examination rules, and hence the objection. As the examination is an obligatory one it is governed by article 284 of the Civil Service Regulations and not 285. The former does not prescribe any limit to the number of times an officer may be allowed to appear at an examination, and so there is no objection to the Government sanctioning the proposal of the Agent. The delay in replying is due to a reference having been made to the Government Agent, as 3 weeks to attend at an examination appeared rather long. The Agent has explained that the Asstt. Teacher spent 17 days in the journey to and from the place of examination and 4 days in the examination itself.

B. D.
23.2.06.
 A. G.

Not approved
26.2.06

the draft may not issue? Should Judicial & Financial see the file before issue?

RECEIVED
JAN 11 1906
JUDICIAL

RECEIVED
JAN 11 1906
JUDICIAL

RECEIVED
JAN 11 1906
JUDICIAL

The draft may be accepted in full.

Accepted
Cus
27/2/06

Accepted
Cus
27/2/06

Accepted
Cus
27/2/06

Accepted
Cus
27/2/06

Accepted
Cus
27/2/06

Accepted
Cus
27/2/06

Accepted
Cus
27/2/06

Edl no. 1500/169
27/2/06

Jus
Fin

RECEIVED
JAN 11 1906
JUDICIAL

CURRENT NO.
1104
JUDICIAL

Recd
27/2/06

The draft may be accepted in full.

Edl
27/2/06

Accepted
Cus
27/2/06

CHIEF SECRETARIAT
1-11-1905
MADRAS

Edl. dept. v.o.

The necessary
account.

CURRENT NO.
0982
FINANCIAL
FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

Recd 1. 3. 06

Chief Secretary.

May be accepted

Cus
27/2/06

HS
5-306

Educational dept. no.

79
Sul. 982-1
6.3.06

Recd
Cus
7.3.06

9/3/6

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____ } 190
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, DATED
 190 .

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.

*The necessary business is
 completed.
 13/11/12
 15/11/12
 16/11/12*

*To be filed up
 13/11/12*